

The University of Texas / Texas Tribune Poll Cross Tabulations

The Texas Politics Project at The University of Texas at Austin

February 20, 2017

Contents

Political Habits	2
Q2. Political Interest	2
Texas Political Mood	13
Q4. MIP Country	13
Q5. MIP State	52
Q6. Trump Approval	92
Q7. Congress Approval	104
Q8A. Greg Abbott Approval	116
Q8B. Dan Patrick Approval	128
Q8C. Joe Straus Approval	140
Q9A. Ted Cruz Approval	152
Q9B. John Cornyn Approval	164
Q10. Right Direction/Wrong Track, Country	176
Q11. National Economy: Better off/Worse Off	184
Q12. Right Direction/Wrong Track, Texas	196
Q13. Personal Economy: Better off/Worse off	204
Q14. Texas Economy: Better off/Worse Off	216
Q15. Do you feel like you've been left behind by changes in the economy?	228
Q16. Most Trusted Branch of Government	236
Q16A.A. Fav/Unfav: The Military	246
Q16A.B. Fav/Unfav: The Police	258
Q16A.C. Fav/Unfav: The courts and the criminal Justice System	270
Q16A.D. Fav/Unfav: The federal government	282
Q16A.E. Fav/Unfav: The Texas state government	294
Q16A.F. Fav/Unfav: The municipal or local government where you live	306
Q17A. Tea Party Support	318
Q17B. Tea Party Influence	328
Political Figure Favorability Ratings	338
Q18. Donald Trump Favorability	338
Q19. Mike Pence Favorability	350
Q20. Vladimir Putin Favorability	362
Q21. Future Performance as President: Donald Trump	374

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q22. Do you think Donald Trump has the temperament to serve effectively as president?	386
Q23. Do you think that Donald Trump is honest and trustworthy?	394

Policy Questions

Q24A. Has the U.S. Constitution held up well, or is it time for a constitutional convention?	402
Q24B. Has the U.S. Constitution held up well, or is it time for a convention of the states?	411
Q25A. Do you support or oppose the U.S. banning Syrian refugees from entering the United States?	419
Q25B. Do you support or oppose temporarily blocking entry into the U.S. for individuals travelling from Syria, Sudan, Somalia, Libya, Iran, Iraq, and Yemen?	430
Q25C. Would you support or oppose banning Muslims who are not U.S. citizens from entering the United States?	441
Q26A. What would you like to see happen to the Affordable Care Act, also known as "Obamacare"?	452
Q26B. Do you think lawmakers should...	460
Q26C. Do you think lawmakers should...	468
Q26D. If lawmakers repeal the Affordable Care Act with the intention of replacing it, should they...	476
Q27. Knowledge of 2017 Texas Legislature Budget Situation	484
Q28. Texas Legislature Top Priority	494
Q29. K-12 Public Education Quality	508
Q30A. Effectiveness: Creating a school voucher program	519
Q30B. Effectiveness: Expanding the number of charter schools	530
Q30C. Effectiveness: Increasing the pay of public school teachers	541
Q30D. Effectiveness: Increasing funding for the public school system	552
Q30E. Effectiveness: Providing more incentives for individuals to choose teaching as a profession	563
Q30F. Effectiveness: Reducing the number of standardized tests students must take	574
Q30G. Effectiveness: Increasing opportunities for online learning	585
Q30H. Effectiveness: Grading individual schools on an A-F scale	596
Q30I. Effectiveness: Expanding state-funded, pre-kindergarten programs	607
Q31. Most Effective K-12 Education Policy Proposal	618
Q32. Do you support or oppose proposals that would redirect state tax revenue to help parents pay for some of the cost of sending their children to private or parochial schools?	633
Q33. In-State Tuition for Undocumented Immigrants	642
Q34. What is your opinion on the legalization of marijuana possession?	650
Q35. Which of the following comes closer to your opinion? Transgender people should have access to public restrooms based on...	660
Q36. How important do you think it is that the Texas Legislature pass a law regulating transgender access to public restrooms?	669
Q37. What is your opinion on the availability of abortion?	680
Q40. Sanctuary Cities	691
Q41A. How should the Legislature address budget shortfall?	702
Q41B. Should the Legislature use the Rainy Day Fund?	712

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q42A. Generally speaking, do you approve or disapprove of the Texas Legislature passing laws designed to override measures adopted by local governments?	720
Q42B. Generally speaking, do you approve or disapprove of the Texas Legislature passing laws designed to override measures adopted by voters in city and county elections?	729

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Political Habits

Q2. Political Interest

Cell Contents
Count
Column Percent

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Extremely interested	52 65.00%	70 65.42%	23 43.40%	166 37.90%	38 40.00%	108 52.94%	133 65.52%	590
Somewhat interested	18 22.50%	26 24.30%	27 50.94%	187 42.69%	47 49.47%	82 40.20%	54 26.60%	441
Not very interested	6 7.50%	8 7.48%	3 5.66%	58 13.24%	10 10.53%	13 6.37%	14 6.90%	112
Not at all interested	4 5.00%	3 2.80%	0 0.00%	26 5.94%	0 0.00%	1 0.49%	2 0.99%	36
Don't know	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1 0.23%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1
Total	80 6.78%	107 9.07%	53 4.49%	438 37.12%	95 8.05%	204 17.29%	203 17.20%	1180

Cell Contents
Count
Column Percent

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

```

=====
                                IDEOL
Q                                Liberals  Moderates  Conservatives  Total
-----
Extremely interested           145         166         279         590
                                60.67%     37.90%     55.36%
-----
Somewhat interested            71         187         183         441
                                29.71%     42.69%     36.31%
-----
Not very interested            16          58          38         112
                                6.69%     13.24%     7.54%
-----
Not at all interested           7           26           4          37
                                2.93%     5.94%     0.79%
-----
Don't know                      0           1           0           1
                                0.00%     0.23%     0.00%
-----
Total                           239         438         504        1181
                                20.24%     37.09%     42.68%
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|                Count |
|          Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
                                PID7
Q                                StrDem  WeakDem  LeanDem  Ind  LeanRep  WeakRep  StrRep  Total
-----
Extremely interested           132         46         54         72         83         62         134         583
                                55.46%     36.80%     48.21%     52.17%     55.70%     37.58%     61.47%
-----

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Somewhat interested	79 33.19%	55 44.00%	46 41.07%	39 28.26%	57 38.26%	81 49.09%	75 34.40%	432
Not very interested	14 5.88%	19 15.20%	12 10.71%	21 15.22%	5 3.36%	17 10.30%	8 3.67%	96
Not at all interested	13 5.46%	4 3.20%	0 0.00%	6 4.35%	4 2.68%	5 3.03%	1 0.46%	33
Don't know	0 0.00%	1 0.80%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1
Total	238 20.79%	125 10.92%	112 9.78%	138 12.05%	149 13.01%	165 14.41%	218 19.04%	1145

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	PID3			Total
	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	
Extremely interested	232 48.84%	72 52.17%	279 52.35%	583
Somewhat interested	180 37.89%	39 28.26%	213 39.96%	432
Not very interested	45 9.47%	21 15.22%	31 5.82%	97
Not at all interested	17	6	10	33

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	3.58%	4.35%	1.88%	
Don't know	1 0.21%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1
Total	475 41.45%	138 12.04%	533 46.51%	1146

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q17A
Q      Republican candidate  Democratic candidate  Tea Party candidate  Don't know  Total
-----
Extremely interested      138      249      121      95      603
                          47.92%   54.49%   68.36%   34.55%
-----
Somewhat interested      132      157      50      107      446
                          45.83%   34.35%   28.25%   38.91%
-----
Not very interested      12      41      1      54      108
                          4.17%    8.97%    0.56%   19.64%
-----
Not at all interested      6      9      5      19      39
                          2.08%    1.97%    2.82%    6.91%
-----
Don't know      0      1      0      0      1
                  0.00%    0.22%    0.00%    0.00%
-----
Total      288      457      177      275      1197
            24.06%   38.18%   14.79%   22.97%
=====

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Extremely interested	10 19.23%	155 42.47%	142 47.81%	58 47.93%	161 66.26%	76 63.87%	602
Somewhat interested	19 36.54%	151 41.37%	122 41.08%	48 39.67%	70 28.81%	36 30.25%	446
Not very interested	17 32.69%	45 12.33%	21 7.07%	10 8.26%	11 4.53%	7 5.88%	111
Not at all interested	6 11.54%	13 3.56%	12 4.04%	5 4.13%	1 0.41%	0 0.00%	37
Don't know	0 0.00%	1 0.27%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1
Total	52 4.34%	365 30.49%	297 24.81%	121 10.11%	243 20.30%	119 9.94%	1197

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

ATTEND

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
Extremely interested	90 56.25%	118 49.58%	64 45.39%	139 45.57%	190 53.52%	601
Somewhat interested	50 31.25%	94 39.50%	61 43.26%	135 44.26%	105 29.58%	445
Not very interested	13 8.12%	20 8.40%	15 10.64%	22 7.21%	43 12.11%	113
Not at all interested	7 4.38%	5 2.10%	1 0.71%	9 2.95%	17 4.79%	39
Don't know	0 0.00%	1 0.42%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1
Total	160 13.34%	238 19.85%	141 11.76%	305 25.44%	355 29.61%	1199

Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	race								Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern	
Extremely interested	409 57.44%	60 40.82%	107 37.15%	3 60.00%	6 66.67%	12 40.00%	6 75.00%	0 0.00%	603
Somewhat interested	253 35.53%	61 41.50%	108 37.50%	2 40.00%	2 22.22%	16 53.33%	2 25.00%	2 100.00%	446

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Not very interested	41 5.76%	14 9.52%	56 19.44%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	2 6.67%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	113
Not at all interested	9 1.26%	11 7.48%	17 5.90%	0 0.00%	1 11.11%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	38
Don't know	0 0.00%	1 0.68%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1
Total	712 59.28%	147 12.24%	288 23.98%	5 0.42%	9 0.75%	30 2.50%	8 0.67%	2 0.17%	1201

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	MARITAL						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	
Extremely interested	365 54.72%	9 45.00%	48 41.38%	26 42.62%	134 49.81%	19 30.16%	601
Somewhat interested	236 35.38%	7 35.00%	55 47.41%	23 37.70%	86 31.97%	36 57.14%	443
Not very interested	54 8.10%	3 15.00%	10 8.62%	9 14.75%	33 12.27%	3 4.76%	112
Not at all interested	12 1.80%	1 5.00%	3 2.59%	3 4.92%	15 5.58%	5 7.94%	39
Don't know	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1 0.37%	0 0.00%	1

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	667	20	116	61	269	63	1196
	55.77%	1.67%	9.70%	5.10%	22.49%	5.27%	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q           gender
           Male   Female   Total
-----
Extremely interested   334     269     603
                       59.43%  42.10%
-----
Somewhat interested   171     275     446
                       30.43%  43.04%
-----
Not very interested   41      72     113
                       7.30%  11.27%
-----
Not at all interested  16      22      38
                       2.85%  3.44%
-----
Don't know             0       1       1
                       0.00%  0.16%
-----
Total                 562     639    1201
                       46.79%  53.21%
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

```

=====
LOCATE
Q      Urban      Suburban      Rural      Total
-----
Extremely interested      193      316      89      598
                             52.02%    54.39%    37.08%
-----
Somewhat interested      138      190      115      443
                             37.20%    32.70%    47.92%
-----
Not very interested      31      56      25      112
                             8.36%     9.64%    10.42%
-----
Not at all interested      8      19      11      38
                             2.16%     3.27%     4.58%
-----
Don't know      1      0      0      1
                             0.27%     0.00%     0.00%
-----
Total      371      581      240      1192
                             31.12%    48.74%    20.13%
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
metro
Q      Houston Area      Dallas-Fort Worth Area      San Antonio Area      Austin Area      Another Part of TX      Total
-----
Extremely interested      170      167      58      81      127      603
                             56.29%    54.22%    50.88%    60.00%    37.35%

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Somewhat interested	101 33.44%	106 34.42%	46 40.35%	35 25.93%	156 45.88%	444
Not very interested	21 6.95%	27 8.77%	7 6.14%	13 9.63%	44 12.94%	112
Not at all interested	9 2.98%	8 2.60%	3 2.63%	6 4.44%	13 3.82%	39
Don't know	1 0.33%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1
Total	302 25.19%	308 25.69%	114 9.51%	135 11.26%	340 28.36%	1199

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Extremely interested	99 46.48%	135 41.67%	234 53.67%	134 59.29%	602
Somewhat interested	82 38.50%	136 41.98%	157 36.01%	71 31.42%	446
Not very interested	26 12.21%	39 12.04%	31 7.11%	16 7.08%	112
Not at all interested	6	14	13	5	38

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	2.82%	4.32%	2.98%	2.21%	
Don't know	0	0	1	0	1
	0.00%	0.00%	0.23%	0.00%	
Total	213	324	436	226	1199
	17.76%	27.02%	36.36%	18.85%	

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Texas Political Mood

Q4. MIP Country

Cell Contents
Count
Column Percent

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
The economy	1 1.23%	2 1.85%	3 5.77%	37 8.45%	7 7.37%	19 9.31%	8 3.94%	77
Federal spending/national dbt	1 1.23%	4 3.70%	2 3.85%	27 6.16%	6 6.32%	22 10.78%	10 4.93%	72
National security/terrorism	0 0.00%	2 1.85%	1 1.92%	34 7.76%	13 13.68%	38 18.63%	24 11.82%	112
Political corruption/leadrshp	27 33.33%	22 20.37%	14 26.92%	70 15.98%	11 11.58%	16 7.84%	8 3.94%	168
Immigration	3 3.70%	11 10.19%	2 3.85%	47 10.73%	7 7.37%	30 14.71%	42 20.69%	142
Health care	5 6.17%	7 6.48%	4 7.69%	46 10.50%	3 3.16%	7 3.43%	17 8.37%	89
Moral decline	1 1.23%	2 1.85%	0 0.00%	13 2.97%	9 9.47%	16 7.84%	39 19.21%	80
Income inequality	10	18	5	5	0	1	0	39

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	12.35%	16.67%	9.62%	1.14%	0.00%	0.49%	0.00%	
Unemployment/jobs	7 8.64%	2 1.85%	1 1.92%	21 4.79%	7 7.37%	14 6.86%	5 2.46%	57
Partisan gridlock	1 1.23%	4 3.70%	4 7.69%	12 2.74%	3 3.16%	1 0.49%	1 0.49%	26
Border security	1 1.23%	0 0.00%	1 1.92%	14 3.20%	6 6.32%	15 7.35%	25 12.32%	62
Education	5 6.17%	1 0.93%	4 7.69%	9 2.05%	6 6.32%	2 0.98%	1 0.49%	28
Middle East instability	0 0.00%	1 0.93%	1 1.92%	5 1.14%	2 2.11%	2 0.98%	3 1.48%	14
Taxes	1 1.23%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	11 2.51%	4 4.21%	2 0.98%	8 3.94%	26
Gun control/gun violence	0 0.00%	3 2.78%	2 3.85%	6 1.37%	0 0.00%	3 1.47%	0 0.00%	14
Crime and drugs	0 0.00%	4 3.70%	0 0.00%	19 4.34%	2 2.11%	3 1.47%	2 0.99%	30
Gay marriage	4 4.94%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	2 0.46%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	6
Environment	4 4.94%	9 8.33%	4 7.69%	10 2.28%	1 1.05%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	28
Social welfare programs	1 1.23%	1 0.93%	2 3.85%	14 3.20%	3 3.16%	1 0.49%	0 0.00%	22
Gas prices	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
Abortion	1 1.23%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1 1.05%	2 0.98%	2 0.99%	2 0.99%	6
Voting system	2 2.47%	2 1.85%	0 0.00%	3 0.68%	0 0.00%	2 0.98%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	9
Police brutality/polc mltrztn	1 1.23%	3 2.78%	0 0.00%	6 1.37%	0 0.00%	1 0.49%	1 0.49%	1 0.49%	12
Race relations	5 6.17%	7 6.48%	1 1.92%	12 2.74%	1 1.05%	1 0.49%	4 1.97%	4 1.97%	31
The media	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	4 0.91%	1 1.05%	6 2.94%	3 1.48%	3 1.48%	14
Government data collection	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1 0.23%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1
Energy	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1 0.23%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1
Housing	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1 1.92%	3 0.68%	1 1.05%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	5
Foreign trade	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1 1.05%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1
Russia	0 0.00%	2 1.85%	0 0.00%	6 1.37%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	8
Gender inequality	0 0.00%	1 0.93%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1
Total	81	108	52	438	95	204	203	203	1181

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

6.86% 9.14% 4.40% 37.09% 8.04% 17.27% 17.19%

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q           IDEOL
           Liberals  Moderates  Conservatives  Total
-----
The economy           5           37           34           76
                    2.10%       8.45%       6.77%
-----
Federal spending/national debt           7           27           38           72
                    2.94%       6.16%       7.57%
-----
National security/terrorism           3           34           76           113
                    1.26%       7.76%      15.14%
-----
Political corruption/leadership           64           70           34           168
                    26.89%      15.98%       6.77%
-----
Immigration           16           47           79           142
                    6.72%      10.73%      15.74%
-----
Health care           16           46           28           90
                    6.72%      10.50%       5.58%
-----
Moral decline           2           13           64           79
                    0.84%       2.97%      12.75%
-----
Income inequality           33           5           1           39
                    13.87%       1.14%       0.20%
-----

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Unemployment/jobs	10 4.20%	21 4.79%	25 4.98%	56

Partisan gridlock	9 3.78%	12 2.74%	5 1.00%	26

Border security	3 1.26%	14 3.20%	46 9.16%	63

Education	10 4.20%	9 2.05%	9 1.79%	28

Middle East instability	2 0.84%	5 1.14%	7 1.39%	14

Taxes	1 0.42%	11 2.51%	14 2.79%	26

Gun control/gun violence	5 2.10%	6 1.37%	4 0.80%	15

Crime and drugs	4 1.68%	19 4.34%	7 1.39%	30

Gay marriage	5 2.10%	2 0.46%	0 0.00%	7

Environment	17 7.14%	10 2.28%	1 0.20%	28

Social welfare programs	3 1.26%	14 3.20%	4 0.80%	21

Gas prices	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Abortion	1 0.42%	0 0.00%	4 0.80%	5

Voting system	3 1.26%	3 0.68%	2 0.40%	8

Police brutality/police militarization	3 1.26%	6 1.37%	3 0.60%	12

Race relations	12 5.04%	12 2.74%	6 1.20%	30

The media	0 0.00%	4 0.91%	9 1.79%	13

Government data collection	0 0.00%	1 0.23%	0 0.00%	1

Energy	0 0.00%	1 0.23%	0 0.00%	1

Housing	1 0.42%	3 0.68%	1 0.20%	5

Foreign trade	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1 0.20%	1

Russia	2 0.84%	6 1.37%	0 0.00%	8

Gender inequality	1 0.42%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1

Total	238 20.20%	438 37.18%	502 42.61%	1178
=====				

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	PID7 StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	Total
The economy	5 2.08%	10 8.06%	11 9.82%	9 6.62%	11 7.24%	16 9.76%	15 6.88%	77
Federal spending/national debt	3 1.25%	3 2.42%	1 0.89%	16 11.76%	24 15.79%	11 6.71%	15 6.88%	73
National security/terrorism	10 4.17%	9 7.26%	0 0.00%	11 8.09%	19 12.50%	16 9.76%	39 17.89%	104
Political corruption/leadership	59 24.58%	16 12.90%	35 31.25%	21 15.44%	6 3.95%	12 7.32%	11 5.05%	160
Immigration	9 3.75%	5 4.03%	8 7.14%	13 9.56%	23 15.13%	37 22.56%	41 18.81%	136
Health care	37 15.42%	8 6.45%	9 8.04%	5 3.68%	2 1.32%	16 9.76%	9 4.13%	86
Moral decline	11 4.58%	7 5.65%	2 1.79%	5 3.68%	13 8.55%	12 7.32%	26 11.93%	76
Income inequality	15 6.25%	6 4.84%	12 10.71%	3 2.21%	0 0.00%	1 0.61%	1 0.46%	38
Unemployment/jobs	21 8.75%	8 6.45%	0 0.00%	10 7.35%	7 4.61%	5 3.05%	4 1.83%	55

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Partisan gridlock	9 3.75%	4 3.23%	1 0.89%	3 2.21%	2 1.32%	5 3.05%	1 0.46%	25
Border security	0 0.00%	1 0.81%	1 0.89%	6 4.41%	17 11.18%	8 4.88%	26 11.93%	59
Education	6 2.50%	5 4.03%	9 8.04%	5 3.68%	3 1.97%	1 0.61%	0 0.00%	29
Middle East instability	6 2.50%	1 0.81%	2 1.79%	0 0.00%	2 1.32%	2 1.22%	1 0.46%	14
Taxes	1 0.42%	0 0.00%	1 0.89%	8 5.88%	0 0.00%	7 4.27%	9 4.13%	26
Gun control/gun violence	4 1.67%	4 3.23%	0 0.00%	2 1.47%	1 0.66%	0 0.00%	2 0.92%	13
Crime and drugs	6 2.50%	15 12.10%	0 0.00%	1 0.74%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	8 3.67%	30
Gay marriage	1 0.42%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	4 2.63%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	5
Environment	8 3.33%	6 4.84%	9 8.04%	2 1.47%	1 0.66%	1 0.61%	0 0.00%	27
Social welfare programs	4 1.67%	7 5.65%	0 0.00%	6 4.41%	1 0.66%	2 1.22%	2 0.92%	22
Gas prices	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0
Abortion	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1 0.66%	2 1.22%	2 0.92%	5

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Voting system	3 1.25%	0 0.00%	2 1.79%	0 0.00%	2 1.32%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	7
Police brutality/police militarization	3 1.25%	4 3.23%	0 0.00%	9 6.62%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1 0.46%	17
Race relations	13 5.42%	5 4.03%	7 6.25%	1 0.74%	3 1.97%	1 0.61%	2 0.92%	32
The media	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	7 4.61%	2 1.22%	3 1.38%	12
Government data collection	1 0.42%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1
Energy	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1 0.89%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1
Housing	1 0.42%	0 0.00%	1 0.89%	0 0.00%	3 1.97%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	5
Foreign trade	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1 0.61%	0 0.00%	1
Russia	3 1.25%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	6 3.66%	0 0.00%	9
Gender inequality	1 0.42%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1
Total	240 20.94%	124 10.82%	112 9.77%	136 11.87%	152 13.26%	164 14.31%	218 19.02%	1146

Cell Contents

|-----|

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	Count
	Column Percent

Q	PID3			Total
	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	
The economy	26 5.49%	9 6.62%	42 7.92%	77
Federal spending/national debt	7 1.48%	16 11.76%	50 9.43%	73
National security/terrorism	19 4.01%	11 8.09%	73 13.77%	103
Political corruption/leadership	110 23.21%	21 15.44%	29 5.47%	160
Immigration	22 4.64%	13 9.56%	101 19.06%	136
Health care	54 11.39%	5 3.68%	27 5.09%	86
Moral decline	20 4.22%	5 3.68%	51 9.62%	76
Income inequality	33 6.96%	3 2.21%	2 0.38%	38
Unemployment/jobs	29 6.12%	10 7.35%	16 3.02%	55
Partisan gridlock	14	3	8	25

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	2.95%	2.21%	1.51%	

Border security	2 0.42%	6 4.41%	52 9.81%	60

Education	20 4.22%	5 3.68%	4 0.75%	29

Middle East instability	8 1.69%	0 0.00%	4 0.75%	12

Taxes	3 0.63%	8 5.88%	16 3.02%	27

Gun control/gun violence	9 1.90%	2 1.47%	3 0.57%	14

Crime and drugs	21 4.43%	1 0.74%	8 1.51%	30

Gay marriage	1 0.21%	0 0.00%	4 0.75%	5

Environment	22 4.64%	2 1.47%	1 0.19%	25

Social welfare programs	10 2.11%	6 4.41%	4 0.75%	20

Gas prices	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0

Abortion	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	4 0.75%	4

Voting system	5	0	2	7

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	1.05%	0.00%	0.38%	
Police brutality/police militarization	7 1.48%	9 6.62%	1 0.19%	17
Race relations	25 5.27%	1 0.74%	6 1.13%	32
The media	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	12 2.26%	12
Government data collection	1 0.21%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1
Energy	1 0.21%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1
Housing	1 0.21%	0 0.00%	3 0.57%	4
Foreign trade	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1 0.19%	1
Russia	3 0.63%	0 0.00%	6 1.13%	9
Gender inequality	1 0.21%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1
Total	474 41.58%	136 11.93%	530 46.49%	1140

=====
Cell Contents

Count	
Column Percent	

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

Q	Q17A				Total
	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	
The economy	22 7.69%	15 3.29%	13 7.26%	28 10.18%	78
Federal spending/national debt	16 5.59%	11 2.41%	25 13.97%	24 8.73%	76
National security/terrorism	41 14.34%	20 4.39%	22 12.29%	30 10.91%	113
Political corruption/leadership	15 5.24%	113 24.78%	10 5.59%	27 9.82%	165
Immigration	66 23.08%	13 2.85%	27 15.08%	36 13.09%	142
Health care	22 7.69%	49 10.75%	4 2.23%	15 5.45%	90
Moral decline	21 7.34%	17 3.73%	18 10.06%	23 8.36%	79
Income inequality	1 0.35%	32 7.02%	1 0.56%	5 1.82%	39
Unemployment/jobs	8 2.80%	32 7.02%	5 2.79%	11 4.00%	56
Partisan gridlock	2 0.70%	18 3.95%	0 0.00%	6 2.18%	26

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Border security	24 8.39%	2 0.44%	26 14.53%	11 4.00%	63
Education	6 2.10%	16 3.51%	0 0.00%	8 2.91%	30
Middle East instability	4 1.40%	5 1.10%	2 1.12%	4 1.45%	15
Taxes	14 4.90%	3 0.66%	2 1.12%	8 2.91%	27
Gun control/gun violence	2 0.70%	7 1.54%	3 1.68%	3 1.09%	15
Crime and drugs	7 2.45%	18 3.95%	1 0.56%	4 1.45%	30
Gay marriage	0 0.00%	3 0.66%	4 2.23%	0 0.00%	7
Environment	1 0.35%	24 5.26%	0 0.00%	3 1.09%	28
Social welfare programs	3 1.05%	14 3.07%	2 1.12%	2 0.73%	21
Gas prices	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0
Abortion	2 0.70%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	3 1.09%	5
Voting system	0 0.00%	5 1.10%	2 1.12%	1 0.36%	8

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Police brutality/police militarization	1 0.35%	9 1.97%	0 0.00%	7 2.55%	17
Race relations	5 1.75%	17 3.73%	4 2.23%	8 2.91%	34
The media	2 0.70%	0 0.00%	5 2.79%	7 2.55%	14
Government data collection	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1 0.36%	1
Energy	0 0.00%	1 0.22%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1
Housing	0 0.00%	2 0.44%	3 1.68%	0 0.00%	5
Foreign trade	1 0.35%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1
Russia	0 0.00%	9 1.97%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	9
Gender inequality	0 0.00%	1 0.22%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1
Total	286 23.91%	456 38.13%	179 14.97%	275 22.99%	1196

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
The economy	0 0.00%	27 7.42%	17 5.78%	10 8.13%	18 7.32%	7 5.74%	79
Federal spending/national debt	9 16.67%	19 5.22%	15 5.10%	10 8.13%	14 5.69%	9 7.38%	76
National security/terrorism	3 5.56%	35 9.62%	28 9.52%	9 7.32%	30 12.20%	8 6.56%	113
Political corruption/leadership	0 0.00%	39 10.71%	54 18.37%	15 12.20%	37 15.04%	23 18.85%	168
Immigration	4 7.41%	58 15.93%	34 11.56%	13 10.57%	21 8.54%	14 11.48%	144
Health care	5 9.26%	29 7.97%	17 5.78%	18 14.63%	15 6.10%	6 4.92%	90
Moral decline	4 7.41%	18 4.95%	29 9.86%	8 6.50%	11 4.47%	9 7.38%	79
Income inequality	0 0.00%	10 2.75%	6 2.04%	2 1.63%	16 6.50%	4 3.28%	38
Unemployment/jobs	8 14.81%	20 5.49%	8 2.72%	5 4.07%	15 6.10%	1 0.82%	57
Partisan gridlock	1 1.85%	4 1.10%	5 1.70%	2 1.63%	7 2.85%	8 6.56%	27
Border security	2 3.70%	24 6.59%	9 3.06%	4 3.25%	16 6.50%	7 5.74%	62

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Education	0 0.00%	6 1.65%	9 3.06%	3 2.44%	9 3.66%	3 2.46%	30
Middle East instability	0 0.00%	2 0.55%	11 3.74%	0 0.00%	1 0.41%	1 0.82%	15
Taxes	0 0.00%	10 2.75%	11 3.74%	0 0.00%	5 2.03%	0 0.00%	26
Gun control/gun violence	2 3.70%	6 1.65%	4 1.36%	1 0.81%	1 0.41%	2 1.64%	16
Crime and drugs	6 11.11%	16 4.40%	1 0.34%	4 3.25%	3 1.22%	1 0.82%	31
Gay marriage	6 11.11%	1 0.27%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	7
Environment	1 1.85%	3 0.82%	6 2.04%	1 0.81%	11 4.47%	6 4.92%	28
Social welfare programs	0 0.00%	9 2.47%	9 3.06%	1 0.81%	1 0.41%	1 0.82%	21
Gas prices	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0
Abortion	0 0.00%	2 0.55%	2 0.68%	0 0.00%	1 0.41%	0 0.00%	5
Voting system	0 0.00%	2 0.55%	1 0.34%	1 0.81%	2 0.81%	2 1.64%	8
Police brutality/police militarization	0 0.00%	8 2.20%	1 0.34%	5 4.07%	1 0.41%	2 1.64%	17

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Race relations	3 5.56%	12 3.30%	3 1.02%	8 6.50%	6 2.44%	2 1.64%	34
The media	0 0.00%	2 0.55%	8 2.72%	3 2.44%	1 0.41%	1 0.82%	15
Government data collection	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1 0.34%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1
Energy	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1 0.82%	1
Housing	0 0.00%	1 0.27%	4 1.36%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	5
Foreign trade	0 0.00%	1 0.27%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1
Russia	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1 0.34%	0 0.00%	4 1.63%	3 2.46%	8
Gender inequality	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1 0.82%	1
Total	54 4.49%	364 30.26%	294 24.44%	123 10.22%	246 20.45%	122 10.14%	1203

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

=====

ATTEND

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
The economy	8 5.06%	15 6.33%	14 9.86%	29 9.54%	13 3.66%	79
Federal spending/national debt	7 4.43%	16 6.75%	18 12.68%	15 4.93%	20 5.63%	76
National security/terrorism	12 7.59%	27 11.39%	13 9.15%	35 11.51%	26 7.32%	113
Political corruption/leadership	17 10.76%	22 9.28%	19 13.38%	47 15.46%	64 18.03%	169
Immigration	17 10.76%	32 13.50%	13 9.15%	35 11.51%	45 12.68%	142
Health care	18 11.39%	24 10.13%	1 0.70%	25 8.22%	21 5.92%	89
Moral decline	26 16.46%	26 10.97%	7 4.93%	12 3.95%	9 2.54%	80
Income inequality	2 1.27%	1 0.42%	2 1.41%	8 2.63%	25 7.04%	38
Unemployment/jobs	3 1.90%	15 6.33%	15 10.56%	9 2.96%	14 3.94%	56
Partisan gridlock	2 1.27%	5 2.11%	3 2.11%	5 1.64%	11 3.10%	26
Border security	4 2.53%	18 7.59%	5 3.52%	15 4.93%	20 5.63%	62
Education	2	2	2	7	17	30

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	1.27%	0.84%	1.41%	2.30%	4.79%	
Middle East instability	2 1.27%	3 1.27%	5 3.52%	4 1.32%	1 0.28%	15
Taxes	8 5.06%	0 0.00%	1 0.70%	12 3.95%	6 1.69%	27
Gun control/gun violence	5 3.16%	3 1.27%	1 0.70%	1 0.33%	5 1.41%	15
Crime and drugs	1 0.63%	9 3.80%	2 1.41%	8 2.63%	10 2.82%	30
Gay marriage	1 0.63%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	5 1.41%	6
Environment	0 0.00%	3 1.27%	5 3.52%	3 0.99%	16 4.51%	27
Social welfare programs	2 1.27%	5 2.11%	6 4.23%	1 0.33%	7 1.97%	21
Gas prices	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0
Abortion	5 3.16%	1 0.42%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	6
Voting system	1 0.63%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	3 0.99%	4 1.13%	8
Police brutality/police militarization	1 0.63%	2 0.84%	7 4.93%	3 0.99%	4 1.13%	17
Race relations	9	5	2	8	9	33

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	5.70%	2.11%	1.41%	2.63%	2.54%	
The media	2 1.27%	1 0.42%	0 0.00%	9 2.96%	1 0.28%	13
Government data collection	1 0.63%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1
Energy	1 0.63%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1
Housing	0 0.00%	1 0.42%	0 0.00%	4 1.32%	0 0.00%	5
Foreign trade	0 0.00%	1 0.42%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1
Russia	1 0.63%	0 0.00%	1 0.70%	6 1.97%	1 0.28%	9
Gender inequality	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1 0.28%	1
Total	158 13.21%	237 19.82%	142 11.87%	304 25.42%	355 29.68%	1196

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	race	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern	Total
---	------	----------------	-------	----------	-------	-----------------	-------	-------	----------------	-------

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

The economy	38 5.36%	14 9.59%	18 6.23%	2 50.00%	1 12.50%	2 6.45%	3 37.50%	0 0.00%	78
Federal spending/natnl dbt	42 5.92%	2 1.37%	26 9.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	6 19.35%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	76
National security/terrorism	82 11.57%	4 2.74%	25 8.65%	1 25.00%	0 0.00%	1 3.23%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	113
Political corruptin/ldrshp	106 14.95%	18 12.33%	37 12.80%	0 0.00%	1 12.50%	5 16.13%	2 25.00%	0 0.00%	169
Immigration	91 12.83%	3 2.05%	45 15.57%	1 25.00%	1 12.50%	1 3.23%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	142
Health care	43 6.06%	21 14.38%	23 7.96%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	2 6.45%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	89
Moral decline	54 7.62%	12 8.22%	13 4.50%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1 12.50%	0 0.00%	80
Income inequality	21 2.96%	4 2.74%	12 4.15%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1 3.23%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	38
Unemployment/jobs	16 2.26%	12 8.22%	20 6.92%	0 0.00%	2 25.00%	7 22.58%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	57
Partisan gridlock	19 2.68%	1 0.68%	6 2.08%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	26
Border security	50 7.05%	1 0.68%	6 2.08%	0 0.00%	3 37.50%	1 3.23%	1 12.50%	0 0.00%	62
Education	19 2.68%	2 1.37%	7 2.42%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	2 6.45%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	30

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Middle East instability	8 1.13%	4 2.74%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1 3.23%	0 0.00%	1 100.00%	14
Taxes	18 2.54%	7 4.79%	2 0.69%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	27
Gun control/gun violence	8 1.13%	4 2.74%	2 0.69%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1 3.23%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	15
Crime and drugs	15 2.12%	10 6.85%	5 1.73%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	30
Gay marriage	1 0.14%	0 0.00%	6 2.08%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	7
Environment	24 3.39%	0 0.00%	3 1.04%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	27
Social welfare programs	6 0.85%	3 2.05%	12 4.15%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	21
Gas prices	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0
Abortion	3 0.42%	0 0.00%	2 0.69%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	5
Voting system	5 0.71%	1 0.68%	1 0.35%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1 12.50%	0 0.00%	8
Police brutality/plc mltrztm	5 0.71%	7 4.79%	4 1.38%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	16
Race relations	12 1.69%	13 8.90%	8 2.77%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1 3.23%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	34

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

The media	13 1.83%	0 0.00%	1 0.35%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	14
Government data collection	0 0.00%	1 0.68%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1
Energy	0 0.00%	1 0.68%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1
Housing	1 0.14%	0 0.00%	4 1.38%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	5
Foreign trade	1 0.14%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1
Russia	7 0.99%	1 0.68%	1 0.35%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	9
Gender inequality	1 0.14%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1
Total	709 59.28%	146 12.21%	289 24.16%	4 0.33%	8 0.67%	31 2.59%	8 0.67%	1 0.08%	1196

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	MARITAL							Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership		
The economy	39 5.88%	3 13.64%	6 5.13%	3 4.84%	20 7.41%	7 10.94%	78	

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Federal spending/national debt	50 7.54%	1 4.55%	5 4.27%	6 9.68%	12 4.44%	3 4.69%	77
National security/terrorism	73 11.01%	1 4.55%	13 11.11%	6 9.68%	17 6.30%	4 6.25%	114
Political corruption/leadership	88 13.27%	1 4.55%	24 20.51%	12 19.35%	36 13.33%	7 10.94%	168
Immigration	90 13.57%	5 22.73%	10 8.55%	4 6.45%	28 10.37%	6 9.38%	143
Health care	42 6.33%	2 9.09%	13 11.11%	0 0.00%	28 10.37%	5 7.81%	90
Moral decline	46 6.94%	0 0.00%	7 5.98%	14 22.58%	8 2.96%	4 6.25%	79
Income inequality	11 1.66%	0 0.00%	4 3.42%	2 3.23%	20 7.41%	1 1.56%	38
Unemployment/jobs	31 4.68%	1 4.55%	4 3.42%	0 0.00%	14 5.19%	6 9.38%	56
Partisan gridlock	19 2.87%	1 4.55%	1 0.85%	0 0.00%	3 1.11%	2 3.12%	26
Border security	45 6.79%	0 0.00%	3 2.56%	5 8.06%	6 2.22%	3 4.69%	62
Education	12 1.81%	1 4.55%	2 1.71%	2 3.23%	5 1.85%	9 14.06%	31
Middle East instability	7 1.06%	0 0.00%	4 3.42%	0 0.00%	4 1.48%	0 0.00%	15

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Taxes	18 2.71%	1 4.55%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	8 2.96%	0 0.00%	27
Gun control/gun violence	8 1.21%	0 0.00%	3 2.56%	1 1.61%	3 1.11%	0 0.00%	15
Crime and drugs	17 2.56%	0 0.00%	6 5.13%	3 4.84%	3 1.11%	0 0.00%	29
Gay marriage	0 0.00%	1 4.55%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	6 2.22%	0 0.00%	7
Environment	14 2.11%	0 0.00%	4 3.42%	0 0.00%	9 3.33%	0 0.00%	27
Social welfare programs	3 0.45%	0 0.00%	1 0.85%	1 1.61%	13 4.81%	2 3.12%	20
Gas prices	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0
Abortion	4 0.60%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1 1.61%	1 0.37%	0 0.00%	6
Voting system	4 0.60%	0 0.00%	2 1.71%	2 3.23%	1 0.37%	0 0.00%	9
Police brutality/police militarization	6 0.90%	2 9.09%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	8 2.96%	1 1.56%	17
Race relations	11 1.66%	0 0.00%	4 3.42%	0 0.00%	15 5.56%	2 3.12%	32
The media	12 1.81%	0 0.00%	1 0.85%	0 0.00%	1 0.37%	0 0.00%	14

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Government data collection	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1 0.37%	0 0.00%	1
Energy	1 0.15%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1
Housing	4 0.60%	1 4.55%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1 1.56%	6
Foreign trade	0 0.00%	1 4.55%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1
Russia	7 1.06%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1 1.56%	8
Gender inequality	1 0.15%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1
Total	663 55.34%	22 1.84%	117 9.77%	62 5.18%	270 22.54%	64 5.34%	1198

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	gender		
	Male	Female	Total
The economy	41 7.30%	38 5.97%	79
Federal spending/national debt	37	39	76

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	6.58%	6.13%	
National security/terrorism	45 8.01%	68 10.69%	113
Political corruption/leadership	78 13.88%	89 13.99%	167
Immigration	72 12.81%	71 11.16%	143
Health care	40 7.12%	49 7.70%	89
Moral decline	27 4.80%	52 8.18%	79
Income inequality	20 3.56%	18 2.83%	38
Unemployment/jobs	33 5.87%	24 3.77%	57
Partisan gridlock	16 2.85%	9 1.42%	25
Border security	33 5.87%	30 4.72%	63
Education	17 3.02%	13 2.04%	30
Middle East instability	8 1.42%	7 1.10%	15
Taxes	11	16	27

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	1.96%	2.52%	

Gun control/gun violence	2	13	15
	0.36%	2.04%	

Crime and drugs	11	19	30
	1.96%	2.99%	

Gay marriage	6	1	7
	1.07%	0.16%	

Environment	11	16	27
	1.96%	2.52%	

Social welfare programs	13	8	21
	2.31%	1.26%	

Gas prices	0	0	0
	0.00%	0.00%	

Abortion	1	5	6
	0.18%	0.79%	

Voting system	5	3	8
	0.89%	0.47%	

Police brutality/police militarization	11	6	17
	1.96%	0.94%	

Race relations	9	24	33
	1.60%	3.77%	

The media	11	4	15
	1.96%	0.63%	

Government data collection	0	1	1

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	0.00%	0.16%	
Energy	1	0	1
	0.18%	0.00%	
Housing	1	4	5
	0.18%	0.63%	
Foreign trade	1	0	1
	0.18%	0.00%	
Russia	1	8	9
	0.18%	1.26%	
Gender inequality	0	1	1
	0.00%	0.16%	
Total	562	636	1198
	46.91%	53.09%	

=====
 Cell Contents
 =====

Count	Column Percent

Q	LOCATE			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
The economy	22	43	13	78
	5.95%	7.43%	5.37%	
Federal spending/national debt	17	39	19	75
	4.59%	6.74%	7.85%	

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

National security/terrorism	18 4.86%	68 11.74%	26 10.74%	112

Political corruption/leadership	49 13.24%	87 15.03%	30 12.40%	166

Immigration	31 8.38%	76 13.13%	34 14.05%	141

Health care	47 12.70%	29 5.01%	13 5.37%	89

Moral decline	23 6.22%	37 6.39%	18 7.44%	78

Income inequality	13 3.51%	20 3.45%	6 2.48%	39

Unemployment/jobs	17 4.59%	20 3.45%	19 7.85%	56

Partisan gridlock	10 2.70%	14 2.42%	2 0.83%	26

Border security	16 4.32%	30 5.18%	16 6.61%	62

Education	10 2.70%	18 3.11%	2 0.83%	30

Middle East instability	4 1.08%	8 1.38%	3 1.24%	15

Taxes	17 4.59%	7 1.21%	3 1.24%	27

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Gun control/gun violence	5 1.35%	6 1.04%	4 1.65%	15
Crime and drugs	16 4.32%	8 1.38%	6 2.48%	30
Gay marriage	1 0.27%	5 0.86%	0 0.00%	6
Environment	12 3.24%	14 2.42%	2 0.83%	28
Social welfare programs	9 2.43%	12 2.07%	1 0.41%	22
Gas prices	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0
Abortion	2 0.54%	2 0.35%	1 0.41%	5
Voting system	3 0.81%	3 0.52%	2 0.83%	8
Police brutality/police militarization	6 1.62%	7 1.21%	4 1.65%	17
Race relations	14 3.78%	11 1.90%	9 3.72%	34
The media	3 0.81%	6 1.04%	6 2.48%	15
Government data collection	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Energy	0 0.00%	1 0.17%	0 0.00%	1

Housing	1 0.27%	4 0.69%	0 0.00%	5

Foreign trade	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1 0.41%	1

Russia	4 1.08%	4 0.69%	1 0.41%	9

Gender inequality	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1 0.41%	1

Total	370 31.07%	579 48.61%	242 20.32%	1191

=====

Cell Contents	

	Count
	Column Percent

Q	metro					Total
	Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	
The economy	16 5.33%	20 6.43%	10 8.62%	16 11.85%	17 5.00%	79
Federal spending/national debt	18 6.00%	28 9.00%	8 6.90%	10 7.41%	13 3.82%	77
National security/terrorism	25 8.33%	23 7.40%	7 6.03%	15 11.11%	44 12.94%	114

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Political corruption/leadership	39 13.00%	50 16.08%	8 6.90%	22 16.30%	49 14.41%	168
Immigration	36 12.00%	34 10.93%	18 15.52%	11 8.15%	44 12.94%	143
Health care	16 5.33%	31 9.97%	15 12.93%	6 4.44%	23 6.76%	91
Moral decline	16 5.33%	16 5.14%	13 11.21%	8 5.93%	27 7.94%	80
Income inequality	11 3.67%	13 4.18%	1 0.86%	7 5.19%	7 2.06%	39
Unemployment/jobs	9 3.00%	21 6.75%	4 3.45%	2 1.48%	21 6.18%	57
Partisan gridlock	6 2.00%	6 1.93%	3 2.59%	7 5.19%	3 0.88%	25
Border security	18 6.00%	10 3.22%	2 1.72%	4 2.96%	28 8.24%	62
Education	9 3.00%	8 2.57%	1 0.86%	5 3.70%	7 2.06%	30
Middle East instability	2 0.67%	9 2.89%	1 0.86%	2 1.48%	2 0.59%	16
Taxes	10 3.33%	5 1.61%	6 5.17%	0 0.00%	6 1.76%	27
Gun control/gun violence	2 0.67%	3 0.96%	1 0.86%	1 0.74%	8 2.35%	15

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Crime and drugs	6 2.00%	4 1.29%	5 4.31%	5 3.70%	10 2.94%	30
Gay marriage	4 1.33%	1 0.32%	1 0.86%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	6
Environment	7 2.33%	9 2.89%	2 1.72%	5 3.70%	4 1.18%	27
Social welfare programs	17 5.67%	1 0.32%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	3 0.88%	21
Gas prices	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0
Abortion	2 0.67%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1 0.74%	3 0.88%	6
Voting system	4 1.33%	1 0.32%	1 0.86%	1 0.74%	1 0.29%	8
Police brutality/police militarizatn	6 2.00%	1 0.32%	3 2.59%	5 3.70%	2 0.59%	17
Race relations	17 5.67%	9 2.89%	4 3.45%	0 0.00%	2 0.59%	32
The media	1 0.33%	3 0.96%	1 0.86%	0 0.00%	9 2.65%	14
Government data collection	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1 0.74%	0 0.00%	1
Energy	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1 0.29%	1

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Housing	0 0.00%	1 0.32%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	4 1.18%	5
Foreign trade	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1 0.29%	1
Russia	3 1.00%	4 1.29%	1 0.86%	1 0.74%	0 0.00%	9
Gender inequality	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1 0.29%	1
Total	300 24.96%	311 25.87%	116 9.65%	135 11.23%	340 28.29%	1202

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
The economy	8 3.77%	27 8.44%	35 8.06%	8 3.57%	78
Federal spending/national debt	9 4.25%	13 4.06%	38 8.76%	16 7.14%	76
National security/terrorism	9 4.25%	30 9.38%	40 9.22%	33 14.73%	112
Political corruption/leadership	32	49	56	31	168

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	15.09%	15.31%	12.90%	13.84%	
Immigration	30 14.15%	23 7.19%	54 12.44%	35 15.62%	142
Health care	26 12.26%	23 7.19%	24 5.53%	16 7.14%	89
Moral decline	9 4.25%	20 6.25%	35 8.06%	15 6.70%	79
Income inequality	10 4.72%	16 5.00%	9 2.07%	3 1.34%	38
Unemployment/jobs	5 2.36%	27 8.44%	17 3.92%	6 2.68%	55
Partisan gridlock	2 0.94%	5 1.56%	12 2.76%	6 2.68%	25
Border security	2 0.94%	14 4.38%	27 6.22%	20 8.93%	63
Education	6 2.83%	14 4.38%	7 1.61%	3 1.34%	30
Middle East instability	3 1.42%	4 1.25%	5 1.15%	3 1.34%	15
Taxes	15 7.08%	5 1.56%	6 1.38%	0 0.00%	26
Gun control/gun violence	0 0.00%	3 0.94%	8 1.84%	4 1.79%	15
Crime and drugs	4	8	9	10	31

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	1.89%	2.50%	2.07%	4.46%	
Gay marriage	2 0.94%	0 0.00%	5 1.15%	0 0.00%	7
Environment	7 3.30%	7 2.19%	12 2.76%	2 0.89%	28
Social welfare programs	12 5.66%	2 0.62%	3 0.69%	3 1.34%	20
Gas prices	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0
Abortion	3 1.42%	1 0.31%	0 0.00%	1 0.45%	5
Voting system	0 0.00%	2 0.62%	4 0.92%	1 0.45%	7
Police brutality/police militarization	2 0.94%	6 1.88%	8 1.84%	0 0.00%	16
Race relations	9 4.25%	12 3.75%	10 2.30%	3 1.34%	34
The media	4 1.89%	1 0.31%	7 1.61%	1 0.45%	13
Government data collection	0 0.00%	1 0.31%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1
Energy	0 0.00%	1 0.31%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1
Housing	3	1	1	0	5

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	1.42%	0.31%	0.23%	0.00%	
Foreign trade	0 0.00%	1 0.31%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1
Russia	0 0.00%	3 0.94%	2 0.46%	4 1.79%	9
Gender inequality	0 0.00%	1 0.31%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1
Total	212 17.82%	320 26.89%	434 36.47%	224 18.82%	1190

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q5. MIP State

Cell Contents

	Count
	Column Percent

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Border security	0 0.00%	1 0.93%	3 5.66%	31 7.19%	20 20.62%	54 26.87%	64 31.68%	173
Immigration	3 3.66%	8 7.48%	1 1.89%	45 10.44%	14 14.43%	57 28.36%	60 29.70%	188
Political corruption/leadrshp	22 26.83%	23 21.50%	14 26.42%	48 11.14%	3 3.09%	3 1.49%	4 1.98%	117
Education	9 10.98%	8 7.48%	6 11.32%	25 5.80%	15 15.46%	7 3.48%	5 2.48%	75
The economy	1 1.22%	3 2.80%	1 1.89%	33 7.66%	3 3.09%	14 6.97%	0 0.00%	55
Health care	7 8.54%	5 4.67%	4 7.55%	30 6.96%	4 4.12%	4 1.99%	12 5.94%	66
Unemployment/jobs	4 4.88%	6 5.61%	2 3.77%	46 10.67%	8 8.25%	3 1.49%	2 0.99%	71
Water supply	2 2.44%	3 2.80%	1 1.89%	4 0.93%	2 2.06%	1 0.50%	1 0.50%	14

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Crime and drugs	0 0.00%	2 1.87%	1 1.89%	22 5.10%	6 6.19%	8 3.98%	5 2.48%	44
Moral decline	0 0.00%	1 0.93%	0 0.00%	8 1.86%	4 4.12%	6 2.99%	15 7.43%	34
Transportation/roads/traffic	0 0.00%	2 1.87%	2 3.77%	9 2.09%	3 3.09%	2 1.00%	1 0.50%	19
Social welfare programs	1 1.22%	3 2.80%	1 1.89%	10 2.32%	1 1.03%	3 1.49%	5 2.48%	24
State government spending	1 1.22%	2 1.87%	1 1.89%	6 1.39%	3 3.09%	1 0.50%	1 0.50%	15
Taxes	1 1.22%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	23 5.34%	3 3.09%	11 5.47%	11 5.45%	49
Gay marriage	6 7.32%	0 0.00%	1 1.89%	6 1.39%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1 0.50%	14
Gun control/gun violence	1 1.22%	6 5.61%	3 5.66%	8 1.86%	1 1.03%	5 2.49%	1 0.50%	25
Abortion	4 4.88%	3 2.80%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1 1.03%	1 0.50%	3 1.49%	12
Redistricting	4 4.88%	8 7.48%	4 7.55%	3 0.70%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	19
State budget cuts	3 3.66%	10 9.35%	3 5.66%	6 1.39%	3 3.09%	1 0.50%	0 0.00%	26
Gas prices	0 0.00%	3 2.80%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1 0.50%	1 0.50%	5

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Environment	3 3.66%	1 0.93%	2 3.77%	8 1.86%	0 0.00%	1 0.50%	4 1.98%	19
Insurance rates	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	5 1.16%	0 0.00%	7 3.48%	0 0.00%	12
Voting system	2 2.44%	3 2.80%	0 0.00%	3 0.70%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	2 0.99%	10
Utility rates	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	9 2.09%	0 0.00%	1 0.50%	0 0.00%	10
Energy	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	4 0.93%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	4
Housing	1 1.22%	1 0.93%	1 1.89%	15 3.48%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	18
Electoral fraud	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1 0.23%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1
The media	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	2 0.46%	0 0.00%	1 0.50%	1 0.50%	4
State courts	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0
Property rights	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	4 0.93%	0 0.00%	2 1.00%	0 0.00%	6
Police brutality/polc mltrzttn	6 7.32%	3 2.80%	1 1.89%	12 2.78%	0 0.00%	1 0.50%	0 0.00%	23
Declining oil prices	1 1.22%	2 1.87%	1 1.89%	5 1.16%	3 3.09%	6 2.99%	3 1.49%	21

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	82	107	53	431	97	201	202	1173
	6.99%	9.12%	4.52%	36.74%	8.27%	17.14%	17.22%	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q           IDEOL
           Liberals Moderates Conservatives Total
-----
Border security      4         31         138      173
                   1.70%      7.19%      27.77%
-----
Immigration          12         45         131      188
                   5.11%     10.44%     26.36%
-----
Political corruption/leadership  58         48         10      116
                   24.68%     11.14%      2.01%
-----
Education            23         25         27       75
                   9.79%      5.80%      5.43%
-----
The economy          4         33         17       54
                   1.70%      7.66%      3.42%
-----
Health care          16         30         19       65
                   6.81%      6.96%      3.82%
-----
Unemployment/jobs   12         46         14       72
                   5.11%     10.67%      2.82%
-----
Water supply         5          4          4       13
                   2.13%      0.93%      0.80%

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Crime and drugs	3 1.28%	22 5.10%	18 3.62%	43
Moral decline	1 0.43%	8 1.86%	25 5.03%	34
Transportation/roads/traffic	3 1.28%	9 2.09%	6 1.21%	18
Social welfare programs	5 2.13%	10 2.32%	8 1.61%	23
State government spending	4 1.70%	6 1.39%	5 1.01%	15
Taxes	1 0.43%	23 5.34%	25 5.03%	49
Gay marriage	6 2.55%	6 1.39%	1 0.20%	13
Gun control/gun violence	10 4.26%	8 1.86%	7 1.41%	25
Abortion	8 3.40%	0 0.00%	5 1.01%	13
Redistricting	15 6.38%	3 0.70%	0 0.00%	18
State budget cuts	16 6.81%	6 1.39%	4 0.80%	26
Gas prices	3 1.28%	0 0.00%	2 0.40%	5

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Environment	6 2.55%	8 1.86%	5 1.01%	19
Insurance rates	0 0.00%	5 1.16%	7 1.41%	12
Voting system	4 1.70%	3 0.70%	2 0.40%	9
Utility rates	0 0.00%	9 2.09%	1 0.20%	10
Energy	0 0.00%	4 0.93%	0 0.00%	4
Housing	3 1.28%	15 3.48%	0 0.00%	18
Electoral fraud	0 0.00%	1 0.23%	0 0.00%	1
The media	0 0.00%	2 0.46%	2 0.40%	4
State courts	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0
Property rights	0 0.00%	4 0.93%	2 0.40%	6
Police brutality/police militarization	10 4.26%	12 2.78%	1 0.20%	23
Declining oil prices	3 1.28%	5 1.16%	11 2.21%	19

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	235	431	497	1163
	20.21%	37.06%	42.73%	

Cell Contents	
Count	Column Percent

Q	PID7							Total
	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	
Border security	6 2.56%	4 3.33%	7 6.25%	12 8.89%	41 27.15%	36 21.95%	65 30.09%	171
Immigration	24 10.26%	8 6.67%	8 7.14%	16 11.85%	44 29.14%	28 17.07%	52 24.07%	180
Political corruption/leadership	49 20.94%	19 15.83%	30 26.79%	13 9.63%	2 1.32%	2 1.22%	2 0.93%	117
Education	19 8.12%	6 5.00%	17 15.18%	11 8.15%	5 3.31%	8 4.88%	8 3.70%	74
The economy	12 5.13%	4 3.33%	3 2.68%	15 11.11%	3 1.99%	15 9.15%	2 0.93%	54
Health care	19 8.12%	12 10.00%	8 7.14%	3 2.22%	5 3.31%	8 4.88%	9 4.17%	64
Unemployment/jobs	9 3.85%	13 10.83%	10 8.93%	15 11.11%	5 3.31%	12 7.32%	4 1.85%	68
Water supply	2	1	1	5	0	1	2	12

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	0.85%	0.83%	0.89%	3.70%	0.00%	0.61%	0.93%	
Crime and drugs	4 1.71%	9 7.50%	0 0.00%	3 2.22%	8 5.30%	7 4.27%	10 4.63%	41
Moral decline	2 0.85%	2 1.67%	4 3.57%	2 1.48%	7 4.64%	7 4.27%	11 5.09%	35
Transportation/roads/traffic	0 0.00%	3 2.50%	0 0.00%	1 0.74%	2 1.32%	7 4.27%	5 2.31%	18
Social welfare programs	12 5.13%	0 0.00%	1 0.89%	1 0.74%	1 0.66%	1 0.61%	3 1.39%	19
State government spending	4 1.71%	0 0.00%	1 0.89%	2 1.48%	0 0.00%	4 2.44%	3 1.39%	14
Taxes	6 2.56%	3 2.50%	0 0.00%	9 6.67%	2 1.32%	10 6.10%	19 8.80%	49
Gay marriage	4 1.71%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	6 3.97%	1 0.61%	2 0.93%	13
Gun control/gun violence	10 4.27%	5 4.17%	1 0.89%	3 2.22%	2 1.32%	0 0.00%	1 0.46%	22
Abortion	5 2.14%	0 0.00%	1 0.89%	0 0.00%	2 1.32%	3 1.83%	2 0.93%	13
Redistricting	14 5.98%	1 0.83%	3 2.68%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	18
State budget cuts	8 3.42%	2 1.67%	7 6.25%	1 0.74%	0 0.00%	4 2.44%	2 0.93%	24
Gas prices	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	4

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	0.00%	2.50%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.46%	
Environment	3 1.28%	5 4.17%	4 3.57%	0 0.00%	1 0.66%	4 2.44%	0 0.00%	17
Insurance rates	1 0.43%	3 2.50%	0 0.00%	7 5.19%	4 2.65%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	15
Voting system	4 1.71%	1 0.83%	1 0.89%	1 0.74%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	2 0.93%	9
Utility rates	0 0.00%	6 5.00%	0 0.00%	3 2.22%	1 0.66%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	10
Energy	0 0.00%	1 0.83%	0 0.00%	2 1.48%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	3
Housing	1 0.43%	5 4.17%	1 0.89%	2 1.48%	3 1.99%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	12
Electoral fraud	3 1.28%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	3
The media	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	2 1.32%	0 0.00%	2 0.93%	4
State courts	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0
Property rights	1 0.43%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	3 2.22%	1 0.66%	0 0.00%	2 0.93%	7
Police brutality/police militarization	10 4.27%	3 2.50%	3 2.68%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	6 3.66%	0 0.00%	22
Declining oil prices	2	1	1	5	4	0	7	20

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	0.85%	0.83%	0.89%	3.70%	2.65%	0.00%	3.24%	
Total	234	120	112	135	151	164	216	1132
	20.67%	10.60%	9.89%	11.93%	13.34%	14.49%	19.08%	

Cell Contents	
Count	Column Percent

Q	PID3			Total
	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	
Border security	16 3.40%	12 8.89%	142 26.89%	170
Immigration	40 8.49%	16 11.85%	124 23.48%	180
Political corruption/leadership	98 20.81%	13 9.63%	6 1.14%	117
Education	42 8.92%	11 8.15%	20 3.79%	73
The economy	20 4.25%	15 11.11%	20 3.79%	55
Health care	39 8.28%	3 2.22%	22 4.17%	64
Unemployment/jobs	32 6.79%	15 11.11%	21 3.98%	68

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Water supply	3 0.64%	5 3.70%	4 0.76%	12
Crime and drugs	13 2.76%	3 2.22%	25 4.73%	41
Moral decline	8 1.70%	2 1.48%	25 4.73%	35
Transportation/roads/traffic	4 0.85%	1 0.74%	14 2.65%	19
Social welfare programs	13 2.76%	1 0.74%	5 0.95%	19
State government spending	5 1.06%	2 1.48%	7 1.33%	14
Taxes	9 1.91%	9 6.67%	31 5.87%	49
Gay marriage	4 0.85%	0 0.00%	9 1.70%	13
Gun control/gun violence	16 3.40%	3 2.22%	3 0.57%	22
Abortion	7 1.49%	0 0.00%	6 1.14%	13
Redistricting	18 3.82%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	18
State budget cuts	18 3.82%	1 0.74%	6 1.14%	25

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Gas prices	3 0.64%	0 0.00%	1 0.19%	4

Environment	13 2.76%	0 0.00%	5 0.95%	18

Insurance rates	4 0.85%	7 5.19%	4 0.76%	15

Voting system	6 1.27%	1 0.74%	2 0.38%	9

Utility rates	6 1.27%	3 2.22%	1 0.19%	10

Energy	1 0.21%	2 1.48%	0 0.00%	3

Housing	8 1.70%	2 1.48%	3 0.57%	13

Electoral fraud	3 0.64%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	3

The media	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	4 0.76%	4

State courts	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0

Property rights	1 0.21%	3 2.22%	2 0.38%	6

Police brutality/police militarization	17 3.61%	0 0.00%	6 1.14%	23

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Declining oil prices	4 0.85%	5 3.70%	10 1.89%	19

Total	471 41.53%	135 11.90%	528 46.56%	1134

=====

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

=====					
	Q17A				
Q	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	Total

Border security	75 26.41%	12 2.66%	57 32.02%	31 11.40%	175
Immigration	61 21.48%	32 7.10%	52 29.21%	49 18.01%	194
Political corruption/leadership	4 1.41%	99 21.95%	3 1.69%	15 5.51%	121
Education	12 4.23%	37 8.20%	9 5.06%	18 6.62%	76
The economy	16 5.63%	19 4.21%	3 1.69%	18 6.62%	56
Health care	8 2.82%	43 9.53%	4 2.25%	11 4.04%	66
Unemployment/jobs	15 5.28%	31 6.87%	3 1.69%	22 8.09%	71

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Water supply	5 1.76%	3 0.67%	0 0.00%	5 1.84%	13
Crime and drugs	13 4.58%	9 2.00%	7 3.93%	15 5.51%	44
Moral decline	11 3.87%	6 1.33%	7 3.93%	11 4.04%	35
Transportation/roads/traffic	10 3.52%	3 0.67%	2 1.12%	5 1.84%	20
Social welfare programs	4 1.41%	11 2.44%	3 1.69%	2 0.74%	20
State government spending	2 0.70%	6 1.33%	1 0.56%	6 2.21%	15
Taxes	22 7.75%	9 2.00%	5 2.81%	13 4.78%	49
Gay marriage	3 1.06%	4 0.89%	4 2.25%	2 0.74%	13
Gun control/gun violence	2 0.70%	12 2.66%	3 1.69%	8 2.94%	25
Abortion	4 1.41%	6 1.33%	1 0.56%	1 0.37%	12
Redistricting	0 0.00%	18 3.99%	0 0.00%	1 0.37%	19
State budget cuts	3 1.06%	21 4.66%	0 0.00%	3 1.10%	27

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Gas prices	1 0.35%	4 0.89%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	5
Environment	0 0.00%	12 2.66%	1 0.56%	6 2.21%	19
Insurance rates	0 0.00%	10 2.22%	0 0.00%	5 1.84%	15
Voting system	0 0.00%	6 1.33%	2 1.12%	1 0.37%	9
Utility rates	0 0.00%	5 1.11%	0 0.00%	5 1.84%	10
Energy	0 0.00%	4 0.89%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	4
Housing	0 0.00%	3 0.67%	3 1.69%	11 4.04%	17
Electoral fraud	0 0.00%	3 0.67%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	3
The media	3 1.06%	0 0.00%	1 0.56%	0 0.00%	4
State courts	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0
Property rights	0 0.00%	1 0.22%	1 0.56%	5 1.84%	7
Police brutality/police militarization	6 2.11%	16 3.55%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	22

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Declining oil prices	4 1.41%	6 1.33%	6 3.37%	3 1.10%	19
Total	284 23.97%	451 38.06%	178 15.02%	272 22.95%	1185

Cell Contents	
Count	
Column Percent	

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Border security	2 3.85%	50 13.85%	53 17.97%	19 15.83%	44 17.96%	7 5.83%	175
Immigration	3 5.77%	69 19.11%	50 16.95%	25 20.83%	29 11.84%	18 15.00%	194
Political corruption/leadership	7 13.46%	20 5.54%	43 14.58%	9 7.50%	24 9.80%	19 15.83%	122
Education	0 0.00%	12 3.32%	18 6.10%	6 5.00%	26 10.61%	13 10.83%	75
The economy	0 0.00%	23 6.37%	10 3.39%	3 2.50%	15 6.12%	5 4.17%	56
Health care	0 0.00%	23 6.37%	14 4.75%	9 7.50%	14 5.71%	7 5.83%	67
Unemployment/jobs	10	21	20	9	9	3	72

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	19.23%	5.82%	6.78%	7.50%	3.67%	2.50%	
Water supply	1 1.92%	3 0.83%	1 0.34%	3 2.50%	4 1.63%	2 1.67%	14
Crime and drugs	3 5.77%	20 5.54%	9 3.05%	3 2.50%	8 3.27%	1 0.83%	44
Moral decline	0 0.00%	7 1.94%	14 4.75%	4 3.33%	5 2.04%	5 4.17%	35
Transportation/roads/traffic	5 9.62%	4 1.11%	4 1.36%	1 0.83%	3 1.22%	2 1.67%	19
Social welfare programs	0 0.00%	15 4.16%	3 1.02%	2 1.67%	2 0.82%	2 1.67%	24
State government spending	0 0.00%	2 0.55%	3 1.02%	0 0.00%	7 2.86%	4 3.33%	16
Taxes	0 0.00%	16 4.43%	8 2.71%	5 4.17%	9 3.67%	11 9.17%	49
Gay marriage	4 7.69%	5 1.39%	2 0.68%	0 0.00%	2 0.82%	0 0.00%	13
Gun control/gun violence	2 3.85%	9 2.49%	5 1.69%	4 3.33%	2 0.82%	3 2.50%	25
Abortion	0 0.00%	2 0.55%	2 0.68%	3 2.50%	4 1.63%	1 0.83%	12
Redistricting	1 1.92%	2 0.55%	3 1.02%	3 2.50%	8 3.27%	2 1.67%	19
State budget cuts	0	10	3	2	8	4	27

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	0.00%	2.77%	1.02%	1.67%	3.27%	3.33%	
Gas prices	0 0.00%	4 1.11%	1 0.34%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	5
Environment	8 15.38%	1 0.28%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	7 2.86%	3 2.50%	19
Insurance rates	6 11.54%	4 1.11%	4 1.36%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	14
Voting system	0 0.00%	2 0.55%	2 0.68%	3 2.50%	0 0.00%	3 2.50%	10
Utility rates	0 0.00%	6 1.66%	4 1.36%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	10
Energy	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	2 0.68%	1 0.83%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	3
Housing	0 0.00%	8 2.22%	5 1.69%	0 0.00%	3 1.22%	2 1.67%	18
Electoral fraud	0 0.00%	2 0.55%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1 0.41%	0 0.00%	3
The media	0 0.00%	2 0.55%	1 0.34%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1 0.83%	4
State courts	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0
Property rights	0 0.00%	1 0.28%	2 0.68%	3 2.50%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	6
Police brutality/police militarization	0	14	5	3	1	0	23

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	0.00%	3.88%	1.69%	2.50%	0.41%	0.00%	
Declining oil prices	0 0.00%	4 1.11%	4 1.36%	0 0.00%	10 4.08%	2 1.67%	20
Total	52 4.36%	361 30.26%	295 24.73%	120 10.06%	245 20.54%	120 10.06%	1193

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

Q	ATTEND					Total
	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	
Border security	27 17.20%	42 17.57%	19 13.77%	38 12.58%	49 14.12%	175
Immigration	22 14.01%	43 17.99%	20 14.49%	66 21.85%	42 12.10%	193
Political corruption/leadership	16 10.19%	9 3.77%	14 10.14%	30 9.93%	52 14.99%	121
Education	13 8.28%	14 5.86%	12 8.70%	12 3.97%	24 6.92%	75
The economy	6 3.82%	7 2.93%	15 10.87%	10 3.31%	17 4.90%	55
Health care	9 5.73%	16 6.69%	4 2.90%	13 4.30%	24 6.92%	66

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Unemployment/jobs	2 1.27%	15 6.28%	16 11.59%	14 4.64%	23 6.63%	70
Water supply	1 0.64%	1 0.42%	0 0.00%	4 1.32%	8 2.31%	14
Crime and drugs	7 4.46%	9 3.77%	6 4.35%	15 4.97%	6 1.73%	43
Moral decline	13 8.28%	11 4.60%	1 0.72%	7 2.32%	3 0.86%	35
Transportation/roads/traffic	2 1.27%	8 3.35%	4 2.90%	4 1.32%	1 0.29%	19
Social welfare programs	3 1.91%	10 4.18%	0 0.00%	3 0.99%	9 2.59%	25
State government spending	2 1.27%	4 1.67%	1 0.72%	1 0.33%	6 1.73%	14
Taxes	11 7.01%	10 4.18%	7 5.07%	12 3.97%	9 2.59%	49
Gay marriage	2 1.27%	2 0.84%	0 0.00%	1 0.33%	7 2.02%	12
Gun control/gun violence	5 3.18%	4 1.67%	2 1.45%	10 3.31%	4 1.15%	25
Abortion	4 2.55%	0 0.00%	1 0.72%	1 0.33%	6 1.73%	12
Redistricting	2 1.27%	1 0.42%	0 0.00%	4 1.32%	11 3.17%	18

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

State budget cuts	0 0.00%	6 2.51%	2 1.45%	7 2.32%	11 3.17%	26
Gas prices	1 0.64%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	4 1.15%	5
Environment	0 0.00%	5 2.09%	2 1.45%	4 1.32%	8 2.31%	19
Insurance rates	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	7 5.07%	5 1.66%	2 0.58%	14
Voting system	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	5 1.66%	4 1.15%	9
Utility rates	0 0.00%	5 2.09%	0 0.00%	4 1.32%	1 0.29%	10
Energy	0 0.00%	1 0.42%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	2 0.58%	3
Housing	2 1.27%	1 0.42%	2 1.45%	10 3.31%	3 0.86%	18
Electoral fraud	0 0.00%	1 0.42%	0 0.00%	2 0.66%	0 0.00%	3
The media	0 0.00%	1 0.42%	0 0.00%	1 0.33%	2 0.58%	4
State courts	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0
Property rights	0 0.00%	2 0.84%	2 1.45%	0 0.00%	3 0.86%	7

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Police brutality/police militarization	5 3.18%	8 3.35%	0 0.00%	9 2.98%	1 0.29%	23
Declining oil prices	2 1.27%	3 1.26%	1 0.72%	10 3.31%	5 1.44%	21
Total	157 13.27%	239 20.20%	138 11.67%	302 25.53%	347 29.33%	1183

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	race								Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern	
Border security	145 20.51%	2 1.38%	18 6.32%	1 16.67%	4 44.44%	4 14.29%	0 0.00%	1 100.00%	175
Immigration	134 18.95%	25 17.24%	31 10.88%	0 0.00%	2 22.22%	1 3.57%	1 16.67%	0 0.00%	194
Political corruptin/ldrshp	68 9.62%	19 13.10%	31 10.88%	0 0.00%	1 11.11%	2 7.14%	1 16.67%	0 0.00%	122
Education	48 6.79%	5 3.45%	16 5.61%	0 0.00%	1 11.11%	4 14.29%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	74
The economy	32 4.53%	6 4.14%	15 5.26%	3 50.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	56
Health care	30 4.24%	15 10.34%	16 5.61%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	3 10.71%	2 33.33%	0 0.00%	66

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Unemployment/jobs	17 2.40%	14 9.66%	35 12.28%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	5 17.86%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	71
Water supply	8 1.13%	0 0.00%	6 2.11%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	14
Crime and drugs	22 3.11%	5 3.45%	11 3.86%	0 0.00%	1 11.11%	5 17.86%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	44
Moral decline	25 3.54%	2 1.38%	5 1.75%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1 3.57%	1 16.67%	0 0.00%	34
Transportation/roads/trffc	15 2.12%	2 1.38%	2 0.70%	1 16.67%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	20
Social welfare programs	10 1.41%	7 4.83%	7 2.46%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	24
State government spending	9 1.27%	2 1.38%	4 1.40%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1 3.57%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	16
Taxes	40 5.66%	4 2.76%	5 1.75%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	49
Gay marriage	6 0.85%	1 0.69%	7 2.46%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	14
Gun control/gun violence	5 0.71%	8 5.52%	12 4.21%	1 16.67%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	26
Abortion	9 1.27%	0 0.00%	3 1.05%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	12
Redistricting	10 1.41%	3 2.07%	4 1.40%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1 3.57%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	18

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

State budget cuts	13 1.84%	1 0.69%	12 4.21%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	26
Gas prices	1 0.14%	3 2.07%	1 0.35%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	5
Environment	13 1.84%	0 0.00%	5 1.75%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	18
Insurance rates	5 0.71%	1 0.69%	9 3.16%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	15
Voting system	7 0.99%	1 0.69%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1 16.67%	0 0.00%	9
Utility rates	4 0.57%	0 0.00%	6 2.11%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	10
Energy	0 0.00%	2 1.38%	1 0.35%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	3
Housing	5 0.71%	3 2.07%	10 3.51%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	18
Electoral fraud	2 0.28%	1 0.69%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	3
The media	3 0.42%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	3
State courts	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0
Property rights	4 0.57%	1 0.69%	2 0.70%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	7

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Police brutality/plc mltrzttn	1 0.14%	12 8.28%	8 2.81%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1 3.57%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	22
Declining oil prices	16 2.26%	0 0.00%	3 1.05%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	19
Total	707 59.56%	145 12.22%	285 24.01%	6 0.51%	9 0.76%	28 2.36%	6 0.51%	1 0.08%	1187

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	MARITAL						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	
Border security	119 17.95%	1 5.00%	14 12.28%	10 17.54%	24 8.89%	6 9.52%	174
Immigration	120 18.10%	4 20.00%	13 11.40%	7 12.28%	41 15.19%	10 15.87%	195
Political corruption/leadership	57 8.60%	1 5.00%	20 17.54%	5 8.77%	29 10.74%	9 14.29%	121
Education	45 6.79%	3 15.00%	5 4.39%	4 7.02%	11 4.07%	8 12.70%	76
The economy	33 4.98%	1 5.00%	10 8.77%	2 3.51%	9 3.33%	1 1.59%	56
Health care	28	1	10	7	16	3	65

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	4.22%	5.00%	8.77%	12.28%	5.93%		4.76%
Unemployment/jobs	22 3.32%	1 5.00%	4 3.51%	1 1.75%	39 14.44%	3 4.76%	70
Water supply	9 1.36%	1 5.00%	1 0.88%	0 0.00%	3 1.11%	1 1.59%	15
Crime and drugs	23 3.47%	2 10.00%	4 3.51%	2 3.51%	13 4.81%	0 0.00%	44
Moral decline	18 2.71%	2 10.00%	6 5.26%	3 5.26%	4 1.48%	2 3.17%	35
Transportation/roads/traffic	17 2.56%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	2 3.51%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	19
Social welfare programs	8 1.21%	1 5.00%	3 2.63%	0 0.00%	7 2.59%	4 6.35%	23
State government spending	9 1.36%	0 0.00%	2 1.75%	1 1.75%	3 1.11%	1 1.59%	16
Taxes	35 5.28%	2 10.00%	2 1.75%	3 5.26%	7 2.59%	1 1.59%	50
Gay marriage	4 0.60%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	9 3.33%	0 0.00%	13
Gun control/gun violence	16 2.41%	0 0.00%	4 3.51%	0 0.00%	4 1.48%	2 3.17%	26
Abortion	5 0.75%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	2 3.51%	4 1.48%	1 1.59%	12
Redistricting	10	0	4	0	4	0	18

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	1.51%	0.00%	3.51%	0.00%	1.48%		0.00%
State budget cuts	13 1.96%	0 0.00%	3 2.63%	1 1.75%	10 3.70%	0 0.00%	27
Gas prices	1 0.15%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	4 1.48%	0 0.00%	5
Environment	11 1.66%	0 0.00%	2 1.75%	0 0.00%	4 1.48%	2 3.17%	19
Insurance rates	13 1.96%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	2 3.17%	15
Voting system	6 0.90%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	2 3.51%	1 0.37%	0 0.00%	9
Utility rates	1 0.15%	0 0.00%	3 2.63%	1 1.75%	5 1.85%	0 0.00%	10
Energy	2 0.30%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1 0.37%	0 0.00%	3
Housing	8 1.21%	0 0.00%	1 0.88%	1 1.75%	7 2.59%	1 1.59%	18
Electoral fraud	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0
The media	1 0.15%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1 1.75%	2 0.74%	0 0.00%	4
State courts	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0
Property rights	6	0	1	0	0	0	7

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	0.90%	0.00%	0.88%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
Police brutality/police militarization	12 1.81%	0 0.00%	2 1.75%	0 0.00%	2 0.74%	6 9.52%	22
Declining oil prices	11 1.66%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	2 3.51%	7 2.59%	0 0.00%	20
Total	663 55.86%	20 1.68%	114 9.60%	57 4.80%	270 22.75%	63 5.31%	1187

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q           gender
           Male   Female   Total
-----
Border security           90     85    175
                        16.10%  13.54%
-----
Immigration              83    111    194
                        14.85%  17.68%
-----
Political corruption/leadership           65     56    121
                        11.63%   8.92%
-----
Education                31     44     75
                        5.55%   7.01%
-----
The economy              30     26     56
                        5.37%   4.14%
-----

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Health care	22 3.94%	44 7.01%	66

Unemployment/jobs	31 5.55%	40 6.37%	71

Water supply	7 1.25%	7 1.11%	14

Crime and drugs	17 3.04%	26 4.14%	43

Moral decline	14 2.50%	21 3.34%	35

Transportation/roads/traffic	11 1.97%	8 1.27%	19

Social welfare programs	4 0.72%	20 3.18%	24

State government spending	5 0.89%	10 1.59%	15

Taxes	33 5.90%	16 2.55%	49

Gay marriage	9 1.61%	4 0.64%	13

Gun control/gun violence	6 1.07%	19 3.03%	25

Abortion	3 0.54%	10 1.59%	13

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Redistricting	10 1.79%	9 1.43%	19

State budget cuts	8 1.43%	18 2.87%	26

Gas prices	3 0.54%	1 0.16%	4

Environment	8 1.43%	11 1.75%	19

Insurance rates	10 1.79%	5 0.80%	15

Voting system	5 0.89%	4 0.64%	9

Utility rates	5 0.89%	5 0.80%	10

Energy	4 0.72%	0 0.00%	4

Housing	8 1.43%	10 1.59%	18

Electoral fraud	0 0.00%	3 0.48%	3

The media	2 0.36%	1 0.16%	3

State courts	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Property rights	6	1	7
	1.07%	0.16%	

Police brutality/police militarization	18	4	22
	3.22%	0.64%	

Declining oil prices	11	9	20
	1.97%	1.43%	

Total	559	628	1187
	47.09%	52.91%	

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
| Count |
Column Percent

=====
LOCATE
Q Urban Suburban Rural Total

Border security 26 100 48 174
 7.07% 17.48% 20.25%

Immigration 61 85 44 190
 16.58% 14.86% 18.57%

Political corruption/leadership 38 59 23 120
 10.33% 10.31% 9.70%

Education 23 41 11 75
 6.25% 7.17% 4.64%

The economy 17 34 5 56
 4.62% 5.94% 2.11%

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Health care	35 9.51%	20 3.50%	11 4.64%	66
Unemployment/jobs	16 4.35%	36 6.29%	17 7.17%	69
Water supply	3 0.82%	7 1.22%	2 0.84%	12
Crime and drugs	21 5.71%	15 2.62%	7 2.95%	43
Moral decline	11 2.99%	15 2.62%	9 3.80%	35
Transportation/roads/traffic	9 2.45%	8 1.40%	3 1.27%	20
Social welfare programs	16 4.35%	7 1.22%	1 0.42%	24
State government spending	3 0.82%	10 1.75%	2 0.84%	15
Taxes	17 4.62%	24 4.20%	7 2.95%	48
Gay marriage	1 0.27%	5 0.87%	7 2.95%	13
Gun control/gun violence	10 2.72%	11 1.92%	5 2.11%	26
Abortion	3 0.82%	6 1.05%	3 1.27%	12

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Redistricting	7 1.90%	9 1.57%	3 1.27%	19
State budget cuts	8 2.17%	17 2.97%	2 0.84%	27
Gas prices	0 0.00%	4 0.70%	0 0.00%	4
Environment	7 1.90%	10 1.75%	2 0.84%	19
Insurance rates	0 0.00%	10 1.75%	4 1.69%	14
Voting system	3 0.82%	5 0.87%	1 0.42%	9
Utility rates	6 1.63%	3 0.52%	1 0.42%	10
Energy	1 0.27%	2 0.35%	0 0.00%	3
Housing	6 1.63%	10 1.75%	1 0.42%	17
Electoral fraud	0 0.00%	1 0.17%	2 0.84%	3
The media	2 0.54%	2 0.35%	0 0.00%	4
State courts	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Property rights	1 0.27%	2 0.35%	3 1.27%	6
Police brutality/police militarization	9 2.45%	7 1.22%	7 2.95%	23
Declining oil prices	8 2.17%	7 1.22%	6 2.53%	21
Total	368 31.27%	572 48.60%	237 20.14%	1177

=====
 Cell Contents
 |-----|
 | Count |
Column Percent

Q	metro Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	Total
Border security	51 17.00%	40 13.03%	12 10.71%	14 10.77%	58 17.21%	175
Immigration	40 13.33%	58 18.89%	18 16.07%	24 18.46%	53 15.73%	193
Political corruption/leadership	26 8.67%	33 10.75%	12 10.71%	16 12.31%	34 10.09%	121
Education	14 4.67%	24 7.82%	6 5.36%	9 6.92%	23 6.82%	76
The economy	14	18	3	7	14	56

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	4.67%	5.86%	2.68%	5.38%	4.15%	
Health care	26 8.67%	10 3.26%	9 8.04%	6 4.62%	14 4.15%	65
Unemployment/jobs	15 5.00%	13 4.23%	13 11.61%	0 0.00%	30 8.90%	71
Water supply	0 0.00%	6 1.95%	0 0.00%	5 3.85%	2 0.59%	13
Crime and drugs	15 5.00%	8 2.61%	4 3.57%	2 1.54%	14 4.15%	43
Moral decline	3 1.00%	12 3.91%	6 5.36%	2 1.54%	13 3.86%	36
Transportation/roads/traffic	10 3.33%	3 0.98%	1 0.89%	2 1.54%	2 0.59%	18
Social welfare programs	7 2.33%	2 0.65%	7 6.25%	0 0.00%	7 2.08%	23
State government spending	5 1.67%	1 0.33%	1 0.89%	5 3.85%	3 0.89%	15
Taxes	11 3.67%	20 6.51%	2 1.79%	7 5.38%	9 2.67%	49
Gay marriage	6 2.00%	2 0.65%	1 0.89%	0 0.00%	5 1.48%	14
Gun control/gun violence	6 2.00%	5 1.63%	4 3.57%	2 1.54%	8 2.37%	25
Abortion	2	3	1	1	6	13

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	0.67%	0.98%	0.89%	0.77%	1.78%	
Redistricting	6 2.00%	1 0.33%	1 0.89%	5 3.85%	5 1.48%	18
State budget cuts	3 1.00%	11 3.58%	1 0.89%	4 3.08%	7 2.08%	26
Gas prices	3 1.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1 0.30%	4
Environment	4 1.33%	6 1.95%	0 0.00%	7 5.38%	2 0.59%	19
Insurance rates	1 0.33%	7 2.28%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	7 2.08%	15
Voting system	2 0.67%	2 0.65%	2 1.79%	1 0.77%	2 0.59%	9
Utility rates	5 1.67%	3 0.98%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	2 0.59%	10
Energy	0 0.00%	2 0.65%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1 0.30%	3
Housing	2 0.67%	1 0.33%	0 0.00%	5 3.85%	11 3.26%	19
Electoral fraud	1 0.33%	2 0.65%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	3
The media	2 0.67%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1 0.30%	3
State courts	0	0	0	0	0	0

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
Property rights	1 0.33%	1 0.33%	0 0.00%	5 3.85%	0 0.00%	7
Police brutality/police militarizatn	5 1.67%	10 3.26%	7 6.25%	1 0.77%	0 0.00%	23
Declining oil prices	14 4.67%	3 0.98%	1 0.89%	0 0.00%	3 0.89%	21
Total	300 25.30%	307 25.89%	112 9.44%	130 10.96%	337 28.41%	1186

Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Border security	11 5.16%	30 9.35%	77 17.82%	58 26.13%	176
Immigration	38 17.84%	36 11.21%	71 16.44%	49 22.07%	194
Political corruption/leadership	12 5.63%	32 9.97%	58 13.43%	20 9.01%	122
Education	16 7.51%	25 7.79%	21 4.86%	14 6.31%	76

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

The economy	7	23	22	4	56
	3.29%	7.17%	5.09%	1.80%	

Health care	10	25	16	15	66
	4.69%	7.79%	3.70%	6.76%	

Unemployment/jobs	29	21	19	1	70
	13.62%	6.54%	4.40%	0.45%	

Water supply	3	3	6	3	15
	1.41%	0.93%	1.39%	1.35%	

Crime and drugs	10	12	12	8	42
	4.69%	3.74%	2.78%	3.60%	

Moral decline	5	7	23	1	36
	2.35%	2.18%	5.32%	0.45%	

Transportation/roads/traffic	3	9	5	2	19
	1.41%	2.80%	1.16%	0.90%	

Social welfare programs	7	8	6	2	23
	3.29%	2.49%	1.39%	0.90%	

State government spending	2	2	10	1	15
	0.94%	0.62%	2.31%	0.45%	

Taxes	11	9	13	16	49
	5.16%	2.80%	3.01%	7.21%	

Gay marriage	0	6	7	0	13
	0.00%	1.87%	1.62%	0.00%	

Gun control/gun violence	6	8	6	5	25
	2.82%	2.49%	1.39%	2.25%	

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Abortion	6	4	0	2	12
	2.82%	1.25%	0.00%	0.90%	
Redistricting	5	2	8	4	19
	2.35%	0.62%	1.85%	1.80%	
State budget cuts	6	9	6	5	26
	2.82%	2.80%	1.39%	2.25%	
Gas prices	0	4	0	0	4
	0.00%	1.25%	0.00%	0.00%	
Environment	4	7	8	0	19
	1.88%	2.18%	1.85%	0.00%	
Insurance rates	6	0	9	0	15
	2.82%	0.00%	2.08%	0.00%	
Voting system	0	4	3	2	9
	0.00%	1.25%	0.69%	0.90%	
Utility rates	5	1	0	4	10
	2.35%	0.31%	0.00%	1.80%	
Energy	0	0	4	0	4
	0.00%	0.00%	0.93%	0.00%	
Housing	5	11	2	0	18
	2.35%	3.43%	0.46%	0.00%	
Electoral fraud	2	0	0	0	2
	0.94%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
The media	0	0	2	1	3
	0.00%	0.00%	0.46%	0.45%	

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

State courts	0	0	0	0	0
	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	

Property rights	0	5	1	1	7
	0.00%	1.56%	0.23%	0.45%	

Police brutality/police militarization	2	9	11	1	23
	0.94%	2.80%	2.55%	0.45%	

Declining oil prices	2	9	6	3	20
	0.94%	2.80%	1.39%	1.35%	

Total	213	321	432	222	1188
	17.93%	27.02%	36.36%	18.69%	
=====					

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q6. Trump Approval

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Approve strongly	7 8.75%	4 3.74%	0 0.00%	67 15.44%	34 35.42%	118 57.84%	146 71.92%	376
Approve somewhat	6 7.50%	0 0.00%	2 3.77%	61 14.06%	17 17.71%	46 22.55%	27 13.30%	159
Neither approve nor disapprove	1 1.25%	7 6.54%	3 5.66%	67 15.44%	13 13.54%	7 3.43%	3 1.48%	101
Disapprove somewhat	1 1.25%	2 1.87%	6 11.32%	15 3.46%	6 6.25%	10 4.90%	3 1.48%	43
Disapprove strongly	63 78.75%	92 85.98%	41 77.36%	205 47.24%	22 22.92%	20 9.80%	24 11.82%	467
Don't know	2 2.50%	2 1.87%	1 1.89%	19 4.38%	4 4.17%	3 1.47%	0 0.00%	31
Total	80 6.80%	107 9.09%	53 4.50%	434 36.87%	96 8.16%	204 17.33%	203 17.25%	1177

Cell Contents

Count

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Column Percent

```

=====
Q                IDEOL
                  Liberals  Moderates  Conservatives  Total
-----
Approve strongly      12      67      298      377
                    5.00%   15.44%   59.24%
-----
Approve somewhat      8      61      90      159
                    3.33%   14.06%   17.89%
-----
Neither approve nor disapprove  10      67      23      100
                    4.17%   15.44%   4.57%
-----
Disapprove somewhat   9      15      18      42
                    3.75%   3.46%   3.58%
-----
Disapprove strongly   196     205     66      467
                    81.67%  47.24%  13.12%
-----
Don't know            5      19      8      32
                    2.08%   4.38%   1.59%
-----
Total                 240     434     503     1177
                    20.39%  36.87%  42.74%
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

PID7

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	Total
Approve strongly	4 1.69%	6 4.80%	6 5.31%	31 22.63%	88 58.28%	65 39.39%	168 77.06%	368
Approve somewhat	10 4.22%	10 8.00%	5 4.42%	22 16.06%	30 19.87%	45 27.27%	36 16.51%	158
Neither approve nor disapprove	11 4.64%	16 12.80%	4 3.54%	25 18.25%	18 11.92%	15 9.09%	7 3.21%	96
Disapprove somewhat	17 7.17%	11 8.80%	4 3.54%	2 1.46%	2 1.32%	5 3.03%	2 0.92%	43
Disapprove strongly	187 78.90%	78 62.40%	93 82.30%	48 35.04%	13 8.61%	29 17.58%	5 2.29%	453
Don't know	8 3.38%	4 3.20%	1 0.88%	9 6.57%	0 0.00%	6 3.64%	0 0.00%	28
Total	237 20.68%	125 10.91%	113 9.86%	137 11.95%	151 13.18%	165 14.40%	218 19.02%	1146

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	PID3			Total
	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	
Approve strongly	16 3.38%	31 22.63%	321 60.23%	368

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Approve somewhat	24 5.07%	22 16.06%	111 20.83%	157

Neither approve nor disapprove	31 6.55%	25 18.25%	40 7.50%	96

Disapprove somewhat	32 6.77%	2 1.46%	8 1.50%	42

Disapprove strongly	358 75.69%	48 35.04%	47 8.82%	453

Don't know	12 2.54%	9 6.57%	6 1.13%	27

Total	473 41.38%	137 11.99%	533 46.63%	1143

=====

Cell Contents	

	Count
	Column Percent

=====						
	Q17A					
Q	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	Total	

Approve strongly	162 56.45%	8 1.76%	135 76.27%	77 28.00%	382	

Approve somewhat	69 24.04%	26 5.73%	25 14.12%	42 15.27%	162	

Neither approve nor disapprove	23 8.01%	29 6.39%	6 3.39%	42 15.27%	100	

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Disapprove somewhat	5 1.74%	25 5.51%	1 0.56%	12 4.36%	43
Disapprove strongly	23 8.01%	356 78.41%	10 5.65%	85 30.91%	474
Don't know	5 1.74%	10 2.20%	0 0.00%	17 6.18%	32
Total	287 24.06%	454 38.06%	177 14.84%	275 23.05%	1193

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Approve strongly	11 21.15%	131 35.99%	96 32.32%	37 30.33%	78 31.84%	29 24.37%	382
Approve somewhat	4 7.69%	51 14.01%	50 16.84%	12 9.84%	29 11.84%	17 14.29%	163
Neither approve nor disapprove	13 25.00%	17 4.67%	29 9.76%	18 14.75%	18 7.35%	6 5.04%	101
Disapprove somewhat	0 0.00%	15 4.12%	16 5.39%	1 0.82%	9 3.67%	2 1.68%	43
Disapprove strongly	24	137	99	49	107	61	477

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	46.15%	37.64%	33.33%	40.16%	43.67%	51.26%	
Don't know	0 0.00%	13 3.57%	7 2.36%	5 4.10%	4 1.63%	4 3.36%	33
Total	52 4.34%	364 30.36%	297 24.77%	122 10.18%	245 20.43%	119 9.92%	1199

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
| Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	ATTEND						Total
	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never		
Approve strongly	71 44.65%	90 37.82%	34 24.64%	103 33.77%	83 23.38%		381
Approve somewhat	24 15.09%	33 13.87%	22 15.94%	45 14.75%	38 10.70%		162
Neither approve nor disapprove	8 5.03%	29 12.18%	11 7.97%	26 8.52%	27 7.61%		101
Disapprove somewhat	6 3.77%	9 3.78%	10 7.25%	10 3.28%	8 2.25%		43
Disapprove strongly	48 30.19%	75 31.51%	59 42.75%	107 35.08%	187 52.68%		476
Don't know	2 1.26%	2 0.84%	2 1.45%	14 4.59%	12 3.38%		32

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	159	238	138	305	355	1195
	13.31%	19.92%	11.55%	25.52%	29.71%	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|               Count |
|       Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====

```

Q	race	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern	Total
Approve strongly		298	9	58	0	4	6	5	1	381
		41.80%	6.16%	20.35%	0.00%	44.44%	20.00%	71.43%	100.00%	
Approve somewhat		115	11	31	1	2	3	0	0	163
		16.13%	7.53%	10.88%	20.00%	22.22%	10.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
Neither approve nor disapprove		49	18	30	1	1	2	0	0	101
		6.87%	12.33%	10.53%	20.00%	11.11%	6.67%	0.00%	0.00%	
Disapprove somewhat		20	4	16	0	1	2	0	0	43
		2.81%	2.74%	5.61%	0.00%	11.11%	6.67%	0.00%	0.00%	
Disapprove strongly		216	99	142	2	1	15	2	0	477
		30.29%	67.81%	49.82%	40.00%	11.11%	50.00%	28.57%	0.00%	
Don't know		15	5	8	1	0	2	0	0	31
		2.10%	3.42%	2.81%	20.00%	0.00%	6.67%	0.00%	0.00%	
Total		713	146	285	5	9	30	7	1	1196
		59.62%	12.21%	23.83%	0.42%	0.75%	2.51%	0.59%	0.08%	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

|           Count |
|   Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q           MARITAL
           Married   Separated   Divorced   Widowed   Single   Domestic Partnership   Total
-----
Approve strongly      263         6         25         24         51         12         381
                    39.67%    30.00%    21.74%    40.00%    18.89%         19.05%
-----
Approve somewhat      98         1         19         11         22         12         163
                    14.78%    5.00%    16.52%    18.33%    8.15%         19.05%
-----
Neither approve nor disapprove  62         3         3         0         26         5         99
                    9.35%    15.00%    2.61%    0.00%    9.63%         7.94%
-----
Disapprove somewhat   25         2         4         0         10         1         42
                    3.77%    10.00%    3.48%    0.00%    3.70%         1.59%
-----
Disapprove strongly   207         7         58         21         150         31         474
                    31.22%   35.00%   50.43%   35.00%   55.56%        49.21%
-----
Don't know            8         1         6         4         11         2         32
                    1.21%    5.00%    5.22%    6.67%    4.07%         3.17%
-----
Total                 663        20        115        60        270         63        1191
                    55.67%    1.68%    9.66%    5.04%   22.67%         5.29%
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|           Count |
|   Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

Q	gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Approve strongly	195 34.70%	187 29.40%	382
Approve somewhat	77 13.70%	86 13.52%	163
Neither approve nor disapprove	39 6.94%	62 9.75%	101
Disapprove somewhat	19 3.38%	24 3.77%	43
Disapprove strongly	221 39.32%	256 40.25%	477
Don't know	11 1.96%	21 3.30%	32
Total	562 46.91%	636 53.09%	1198

=====

Cell Contents	
Count	
Column Percent	

=====

Q	LOCATE			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Approve strongly	87 23.64%	196 33.68%	97 40.59%	380

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Approve somewhat	29 7.88%	93 15.98%	39 16.32%	161
Neither approve nor disapprove	34 9.24%	42 7.22%	24 10.04%	100
Disapprove somewhat	23 6.25%	12 2.06%	7 2.93%	42
Disapprove strongly	188 51.09%	219 37.63%	67 28.03%	474
Don't know	7 1.90%	20 3.44%	5 2.09%	32
Total	368 30.95%	582 48.95%	239 20.10%	1189

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	metro						Total
	Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX		
Approve strongly	101 33.33%	88 28.76%	36 31.58%	44 32.59%	112 32.94%	381	
Approve somewhat	41 13.53%	50 16.34%	19 16.67%	7 5.19%	47 13.82%	164	
Neither approve nor disapprove	22	21	13	15	29	100	

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	7.26%	6.86%	11.40%	11.11%	8.53%	
Disapprove somewhat	12 3.96%	12 3.92%	2 1.75%	2 1.48%	15 4.41%	43
Disapprove strongly	124 40.92%	129 42.16%	39 34.21%	62 45.93%	123 36.18%	477
Don't know	3 0.99%	6 1.96%	5 4.39%	5 3.70%	14 4.12%	33
Total	303 25.29%	306 25.54%	114 9.52%	135 11.27%	340 28.38%	1198

Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Approve strongly	41 19.52%	66 20.37%	164 37.53%	110 48.67%	381
Approve somewhat	15 7.14%	46 14.20%	72 16.48%	29 12.83%	162
Neither approve nor disapprove	37 17.62%	37 11.42%	24 5.49%	3 1.33%	101
Disapprove somewhat	12 5.71%	14 4.32%	10 2.29%	7 3.10%	43

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Disapprove strongly	100 47.62%	145 44.75%	161 36.84%	71 31.42%	477

Don't know	5 2.38%	16 4.94%	6 1.37%	6 2.65%	33

Total	210 17.54%	324 27.07%	437 36.51%	226 18.88%	1197
=====					

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q7. Congress Approval

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Approve strongly	9 11.11%	2 1.87%	0 0.00%	9 2.06%	4 4.17%	9 4.48%	30 14.71%	63
Approve somewhat	1 1.23%	3 2.80%	2 3.77%	66 15.10%	23 23.96%	74 36.82%	70 34.31%	239
Neither approve nor disapprove	3 3.70%	14 13.08%	4 7.55%	112 25.63%	17 17.71%	47 23.38%	39 19.12%	236
Disapprove somewhat	11 13.58%	21 19.63%	15 28.30%	67 15.33%	36 37.50%	28 13.93%	32 15.69%	210
Disapprove strongly	55 67.90%	65 60.75%	30 56.60%	150 34.32%	11 11.46%	36 17.91%	22 10.78%	369
Don't know	2 2.47%	2 1.87%	2 3.77%	33 7.55%	5 5.21%	7 3.48%	11 5.39%	62
Total	81 6.87%	107 9.08%	53 4.50%	437 37.07%	96 8.14%	201 17.05%	204 17.30%	1179

Cell Contents

Count

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Column Percent

```

=====
Q               IDEOL
                Liberals  Moderates  Conservatives  Total
-----
Approve strongly      11         9         42         62
                    4.56%    2.06%    8.38%
-----
Approve somewhat      6         66        167        239
                    2.49%   15.10%   33.33%
-----
Neither approve nor disapprove  21        112        103        236
                    8.71%   25.63%   20.56%
-----
Disapprove somewhat   47         67         96        210
                    19.50%  15.33%   19.16%
-----
Disapprove strongly  150        150         70        370
                    62.24%  34.32%   13.97%
-----
Don't know            6         33         23         62
                    2.49%   7.55%   4.59%
-----
Total                 241        437        501       1179
                    20.44%   37.07%   42.49%
=====

```

```

Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
| Column Percent |
|-----|

```

PID7

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	Total
Approve strongly	8 3.36%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	10 6.62%	8 4.88%	30 13.82%	56
Approve somewhat	9 3.78%	12 9.76%	7 6.14%	25 18.52%	44 29.14%	43 26.22%	95 43.78%	235
Neither approve nor disapprove	39 16.39%	29 23.58%	8 7.02%	31 22.96%	41 27.15%	43 26.22%	36 16.59%	227
Disapprove somewhat	43 18.07%	27 21.95%	31 27.19%	23 17.04%	29 19.21%	27 16.46%	22 10.14%	202
Disapprove strongly	129 54.20%	49 39.84%	66 57.89%	47 34.81%	21 13.91%	36 21.95%	20 9.22%	368
Don't know	10 4.20%	6 4.88%	2 1.75%	9 6.67%	6 3.97%	7 4.27%	14 6.45%	54
Total	238 20.84%	123 10.77%	114 9.98%	135 11.82%	151 13.22%	164 14.36%	217 19.00%	1142

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	PID3			Total
	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	
Approve strongly	8 1.68%	0 0.00%	48 9.04%	56

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Approve somewhat	28 5.89%	25 18.52%	181 34.09%	234
Neither approve nor disapprove	75 15.79%	31 22.96%	119 22.41%	225
Disapprove somewhat	101 21.26%	23 17.04%	78 14.69%	202
Disapprove strongly	244 51.37%	47 34.81%	78 14.69%	369
Don't know	19 4.00%	9 6.67%	27 5.08%	55
Total	475 41.63%	135 11.83%	531 46.54%	1141

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	Q17A				Total
	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	
Approve strongly	31 10.80%	12 2.63%	14 8.00%	6 2.21%	63
Approve somewhat	96 33.45%	34 7.44%	68 38.86%	43 15.81%	241
Neither approve nor disapprove	64 22.30%	68 14.88%	31 17.71%	74 27.21%	237

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Disapprove somewhat	44 15.33%	78 17.07%	34 19.43%	54 19.85%	210
Disapprove strongly	34 11.85%	256 56.02%	25 14.29%	63 23.16%	378
Don't know	18 6.27%	9 1.97%	3 1.71%	32 11.76%	62
Total	287 24.10%	457 38.37%	175 14.69%	272 22.84%	1191

=====
 Cell Contents
 |-----|
 | Count |
Column Percent

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Approve strongly	6 11.54%	31 8.56%	10 3.38%	3 2.48%	9 3.70%	4 3.33%	63
Approve somewhat	4 7.69%	77 21.27%	66 22.30%	19 15.70%	56 23.05%	23 19.17%	245
Neither approve nor disapprove	16 30.77%	69 19.06%	52 17.57%	32 26.45%	44 18.11%	24 20.00%	237
Disapprove somewhat	5 9.62%	72 19.89%	56 18.92%	18 14.88%	42 17.28%	17 14.17%	210
Disapprove strongly	19	90	95	40	87	47	378

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	36.54%	24.86%	32.09%	33.06%	35.80%	39.17%	
Don't know	2 3.85%	23 6.35%	17 5.74%	9 7.44%	5 2.06%	5 4.17%	61
Total	52 4.36%	362 30.32%	296 24.79%	121 10.13%	243 20.35%	120 10.05%	1194

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
| Column Percent |
|-----|

```

	ATTEND						Total
Q	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never		
Approve strongly	23 14.37%	16 6.75%	4 2.88%	11 3.63%	8 2.27%		62
Approve somewhat	40 25.00%	58 24.47%	32 23.02%	62 20.46%	51 14.45%		243
Neither approve nor disapprove	24 15.00%	66 27.85%	30 21.58%	67 22.11%	50 14.16%		237
Disapprove somewhat	29 18.12%	37 15.61%	30 21.58%	56 18.48%	57 16.15%		209
Disapprove strongly	38 23.75%	50 21.10%	36 25.90%	91 30.03%	164 46.46%		379
Don't know	6 3.75%	10 4.22%	7 5.04%	16 5.28%	23 6.52%		62

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	160	237	139	303	353	1192
	13.42%	19.88%	11.66%	25.42%	29.61%	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

Q	race								
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern	Total
Approve strongly	37 5.20%	8 5.52%	16 5.59%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1 3.45%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	62
Approve somewhat	184 25.84%	11 7.59%	43 15.03%	2 40.00%	1 11.11%	1 3.45%	5 71.43%	0 0.00%	247
Neither approve nor disapprove	137 19.24%	33 22.76%	57 19.93%	0 0.00%	4 44.44%	6 20.69%	1 14.29%	0 0.00%	238
Disapprove somewhat	113 15.87%	24 16.55%	61 21.33%	1 20.00%	3 33.33%	6 20.69%	0 0.00%	2 100.00%	210
Disapprove strongly	210 29.49%	59 40.69%	96 33.57%	1 20.00%	1 11.11%	10 34.48%	1 14.29%	0 0.00%	378
Don't know	31 4.35%	10 6.90%	13 4.55%	1 20.00%	0 0.00%	5 17.24%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	60
Total	712 59.58%	145 12.13%	286 23.93%	5 0.42%	9 0.75%	29 2.43%	7 0.59%	2 0.17%	1195

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

|           Count |
|   Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q           MARITAL
           Married   Separated   Divorced   Widowed   Single   Domestic Partnership   Total
-----
Approve strongly           37           1           3           3           18           0           62
                           5.57%       4.76%       2.65%       5.00%       6.67%           0.00%
-----
Approve somewhat          171           3           22           16           26           8           246
                           25.75%      14.29%      19.47%      26.67%       9.63%           12.90%
-----
Neither approve nor disapprove 143           4           18           13           48           10           236
                           21.54%      19.05%      15.93%      21.67%      17.78%           16.13%
-----
Disapprove somewhat        93           4           20           9           70           13           209
                           14.01%      19.05%      17.70%      15.00%      25.93%           20.97%
-----
Disapprove strongly       196           7           43           14           87           29           376
                           29.52%      33.33%      38.05%      23.33%      32.22%           46.77%
-----
Don't know                 24           2           7           5           21           2           61
                           3.61%       9.52%       6.19%       8.33%       7.78%           3.23%
-----
Total                      664           21           113          60           270           62           1190
                           55.80%      1.76%       9.50%       5.04%      22.69%           5.21%
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|           Count |
|   Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

Q	gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Approve strongly	33 5.87%	29 4.57%	62
Approve somewhat	118 21.00%	128 20.16%	246
Neither approve nor disapprove	84 14.95%	154 24.25%	238
Disapprove somewhat	110 19.57%	100 15.75%	210
Disapprove strongly	198 35.23%	181 28.50%	379
Don't know	19 3.38%	43 6.77%	62
Total	562 46.95%	635 53.05%	1197

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|       Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	LOCATE			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Approve strongly	26 7.03%	22 3.82%	12 5.00%	60

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Approve somewhat	59 15.95%	130 22.57%	55 22.92%	244
Neither approve nor disapprove	75 20.27%	113 19.62%	48 20.00%	236
Disapprove somewhat	68 18.38%	103 17.88%	35 14.58%	206
Disapprove strongly	123 33.24%	181 31.42%	74 30.83%	378
Don't know	19 5.14%	27 4.69%	16 6.67%	62
Total	370 31.20%	576 48.57%	240 20.24%	1186

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	metro						Total
	Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX		
Approve strongly	18 5.98%	11 3.57%	11 9.91%	7 5.26%	15 4.41%		62
Approve somewhat	66 21.93%	59 19.16%	17 15.32%	18 13.53%	85 25.00%		245
Neither approve nor disapprove	60	66	26	22	63		237

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	19.93%	21.43%	23.42%	16.54%	18.53%	
Disapprove somewhat	57 18.94%	36 11.69%	23 20.72%	28 21.05%	65 19.12%	209
Disapprove strongly	88 29.24%	128 41.56%	26 23.42%	42 31.58%	94 27.65%	378
Don't know	12 3.99%	8 2.60%	8 7.21%	16 12.03%	18 5.29%	62
Total	301 25.23%	308 25.82%	111 9.30%	133 11.15%	340 28.50%	1193

Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Approve strongly	16 7.55%	21 6.46%	18 4.13%	7 3.14%	62
Approve somewhat	22 10.38%	57 17.54%	99 22.71%	68 30.49%	246
Neither approve nor disapprove	57 26.89%	64 19.69%	80 18.35%	36 16.14%	237
Disapprove somewhat	49 23.11%	56 17.23%	72 16.51%	33 14.80%	210

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Disapprove strongly	52 24.53%	100 30.77%	155 35.55%	72 32.29%	379

Don't know	16 7.55%	27 8.31%	12 2.75%	7 3.14%	62

Total	212 17.73%	325 27.17%	436 36.45%	223 18.65%	1196
=====					

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q8A. Greg Abbott Approval

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Approve strongly	8 10.00%	4 3.88%	1 1.89%	47 10.83%	23 23.71%	94 46.08%	142 70.30%	319
Approve somewhat	2 2.50%	3 2.91%	4 7.55%	85 19.59%	27 27.84%	59 28.92%	34 16.83%	214
Neither approve nor disapprove	7 8.75%	11 10.68%	5 9.43%	113 26.04%	22 22.68%	24 11.76%	11 5.45%	193
Disapprove somewhat	6 7.50%	18 17.48%	15 28.30%	56 12.90%	8 8.25%	9 4.41%	0 0.00%	112
Disapprove strongly	53 66.25%	63 61.17%	23 43.40%	104 23.96%	8 8.25%	11 5.39%	13 6.44%	275
Don't know	4 5.00%	4 3.88%	5 9.43%	29 6.68%	9 9.28%	7 3.43%	2 0.99%	60
Total	80 6.82%	103 8.78%	53 4.52%	434 37.00%	97 8.27%	204 17.39%	202 17.22%	1173

Cell Contents

Count

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Column Percent

```

=====
Q                IDEOL
                  Liberals  Moderates  Conservatives  Total
-----
Approve strongly      13      47      258      318
                    5.53%    10.83%    51.29%
-----
Approve somewhat      9      85     120     214
                    3.83%    19.59%    23.86%
-----
Neither approve nor disapprove  23     113     57     193
                    9.79%    26.04%    11.33%
-----
Disapprove somewhat   39     56     18     113
                    16.60%   12.90%    3.58%
-----
Disapprove strongly  138    104     32     274
                    58.72%   23.96%    6.36%
-----
Don't know            13     29     18     60
                    5.53%    6.68%    3.58%
-----
Total                 235    434    503    1172
                    20.05%   37.03%   42.92%
=====

```

```

Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count          |
|      Column Percent     |
|-----|

```

PID7

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	Total
Approve strongly	4 1.69%	5 4.17%	4 3.57%	15 10.95%	80 53.69%	58 35.37%	141 64.68%	307
Approve somewhat	13 5.49%	18 15.00%	15 13.39%	16 11.68%	37 24.83%	53 32.32%	57 26.15%	209
Neither approve nor disapprove	44 18.57%	33 27.50%	18 16.07%	34 24.82%	17 11.41%	30 18.29%	11 5.05%	187
Disapprove somewhat	21 8.86%	19 15.83%	19 16.96%	32 23.36%	8 5.37%	6 3.66%	1 0.46%	106
Disapprove strongly	140 59.07%	33 27.50%	52 46.43%	35 25.55%	1 0.67%	10 6.10%	2 0.92%	273
Don't know	15 6.33%	12 10.00%	4 3.57%	5 3.65%	6 4.03%	7 4.27%	6 2.75%	55
Total	237 20.84%	120 10.55%	112 9.85%	137 12.05%	149 13.10%	164 14.42%	218 19.17%	1137

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	PID3			Total
	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	
Approve strongly	14 2.97%	15 10.95%	279 52.35%	308

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Approve somewhat	46 9.75%	16 11.68%	148 27.77%	210

Neither approve nor disapprove	95 20.13%	34 24.82%	58 10.88%	187

Disapprove somewhat	60 12.71%	32 23.36%	16 3.00%	108

Disapprove strongly	226 47.88%	35 25.55%	13 2.44%	274

Don't know	31 6.57%	5 3.65%	19 3.56%	55

Total	472 41.33%	137 12.00%	533 46.67%	1142

=====

Cell Contents	

	Count
	Column Percent

=====					
	Q17A				
Q	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	Total

Approve strongly	133 46.18%	12 2.66%	124 70.06%	53 19.27%	322

Approve somewhat	83 28.82%	32 7.10%	32 18.08%	68 24.73%	215

Neither approve nor disapprove	41 14.24%	94 20.84%	10 5.65%	49 17.82%	194

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Disapprove somewhat	13 4.51%	57 12.64%	0 0.00%	43 15.64%	113
Disapprove strongly	5 1.74%	235 52.11%	8 4.52%	35 12.73%	283
Don't know	13 4.51%	21 4.66%	3 1.69%	27 9.82%	64
Total	288 24.18%	451 37.87%	177 14.86%	275 23.09%	1191

=====
 Cell Contents
 |-----|
 | Count |
Column Percent

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Approve strongly	14 26.92%	104 28.73%	72 24.41%	38 31.67%	64 26.23%	30 25.21%	322
Approve somewhat	1 1.92%	56 15.47%	70 23.73%	27 22.50%	44 18.03%	18 15.13%	216
Neither approve nor disapprove	23 44.23%	71 19.61%	40 13.56%	19 15.83%	32 13.11%	12 10.08%	197
Disapprove somewhat	0 0.00%	30 8.29%	36 12.20%	9 7.50%	21 8.61%	15 12.61%	111
Disapprove strongly	12	70	61	19	79	42	283

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	23.08%	19.34%	20.68%	15.83%	32.38%	35.29%	
Don't know	2 3.85%	31 8.56%	16 5.42%	8 6.67%	4 1.64%	2 1.68%	63
Total	52 4.36%	362 30.37%	295 24.75%	120 10.07%	244 20.47%	119 9.98%	1192

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	ATTEND						Total
	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never		
Approve strongly	62 38.75%	85 35.86%	25 17.61%	79 26.16%	70 19.83%		321
Approve somewhat	35 21.88%	48 20.25%	32 22.54%	51 16.89%	50 14.16%		216
Neither approve nor disapprove	22 13.75%	48 20.25%	28 19.72%	50 16.56%	50 14.16%		198
Disapprove somewhat	8 5.00%	9 3.80%	18 12.68%	42 13.91%	36 10.20%		113
Disapprove strongly	28 17.50%	41 17.30%	30 21.13%	61 20.20%	122 34.56%		282
Don't know	5 3.12%	6 2.53%	9 6.34%	19 6.29%	25 7.08%		64

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	160	237	142	302	353	1194
	13.40%	19.85%	11.89%	25.29%	29.56%	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

Q	race									Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern		
Approve strongly	253 35.58%	7 4.93%	48 16.84%	0 0.00%	4 44.44%	5 17.86%	4 50.00%	1 100.00%		322
Approve somewhat	140 19.69%	24 16.90%	44 15.44%	4 66.67%	1 11.11%	2 7.14%	1 12.50%	0 0.00%		216
Neither approve nor disapprove	84 11.81%	32 22.54%	76 26.67%	1 16.67%	1 11.11%	3 10.71%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%		197
Disapprove somewhat	58 8.16%	18 12.68%	30 10.53%	0 0.00%	1 11.11%	4 14.29%	1 12.50%	0 0.00%		112
Disapprove strongly	149 20.96%	46 32.39%	71 24.91%	1 16.67%	2 22.22%	10 35.71%	2 25.00%	0 0.00%		281
Don't know	27 3.80%	15 10.56%	16 5.61%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	4 14.29%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%		62
Total	711 59.75%	142 11.93%	285 23.95%	6 0.50%	9 0.76%	28 2.35%	8 0.67%	1 0.08%		1190

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

|           Count |
|   Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q           MARITAL
           Married   Separated   Divorced   Widowed   Single   Domestic Partnership   Total
-----
Approve strongly           226           5           23           21           40           6           321
           34.09%       23.81%       19.83%       34.43%       15.04%           9.84%
-----
Approve somewhat           128           2           19           12           31           23           215
           19.31%       9.52%       16.38%       19.67%       11.65%           37.70%
-----
Neither approve nor disapprove           104           3           16           16           45           13           197
           15.69%       14.29%       13.79%       26.23%       16.92%           21.31%
-----
Disapprove somewhat           54           6           19           1           30           2           112
           8.14%       28.57%       16.38%       1.64%       11.28%           3.28%
-----
Disapprove strongly           130           4           38           8           86           14           280
           19.61%       19.05%       32.76%       13.11%       32.33%           22.95%
-----
Don't know           21           1           1           3           34           3           63
           3.17%       4.76%       0.86%       4.92%       12.78%           4.92%
-----
Total           663           21           116           61           266           61           1188
           55.81%       1.77%       9.76%       5.13%       22.39%           5.13%
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|           Count |
|   Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

Q	gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Approve strongly	172 30.88%	151 23.74%	323
Approve somewhat	85 15.26%	131 20.60%	216
Neither approve nor disapprove	93 16.70%	104 16.35%	197
Disapprove somewhat	43 7.72%	69 10.85%	112
Disapprove strongly	146 26.21%	136 21.38%	282
Don't know	18 3.23%	45 7.08%	63
Total	557 46.69%	636 53.31%	1193

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|       Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	LOCATE			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Approve strongly	64 17.44%	180 31.14%	77 32.22%	321

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Approve somewhat	68 18.53%	90 15.57%	56 23.43%	214
Neither approve nor disapprove	69 18.80%	87 15.05%	40 16.74%	196
Disapprove somewhat	46 12.53%	46 7.96%	17 7.11%	109
Disapprove strongly	98 26.70%	147 25.43%	36 15.06%	281
Don't know	22 5.99%	28 4.84%	13 5.44%	63
Total	367 31.00%	578 48.82%	239 20.19%	1184

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	metro					Total
	Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	
Approve strongly	97 32.44%	73 23.70%	24 21.05%	34 25.19%	94 27.89%	322
Approve somewhat	51 17.06%	52 16.88%	21 18.42%	22 16.30%	70 20.77%	216
Neither approve nor disapprove	49	45	23	11	69	197

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	16.39%	14.61%	20.18%	8.15%	20.47%	
Disapprove somewhat	26 8.70%	29 9.42%	16 14.04%	23 17.04%	19 5.64%	113
Disapprove strongly	62 20.74%	97 31.49%	19 16.67%	41 30.37%	63 18.69%	282
Don't know	14 4.68%	12 3.90%	11 9.65%	4 2.96%	22 6.53%	63
Total	299 25.06%	308 25.82%	114 9.56%	135 11.32%	337 28.25%	1193

=====
Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Approve strongly	35 16.59%	55 17.19%	139 31.88%	93 41.33%	322
Approve somewhat	28 13.27%	65 20.31%	91 20.87%	31 13.78%	215
Neither approve nor disapprove	38 18.01%	74 23.12%	53 12.16%	33 14.67%	198
Disapprove somewhat	29 13.74%	37 11.56%	22 5.05%	24 10.67%	112

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Disapprove strongly	54 25.59%	67 20.94%	122 27.98%	39 17.33%	282

Don't know	27 12.80%	22 6.88%	9 2.06%	5 2.22%	63

Total	211 17.70%	320 26.85%	436 36.58%	225 18.88%	1192
=====					

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q8B. Dan Patrick Approval

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Approve strongly	3 3.75%	2 1.89%	1 1.89%	18 4.12%	14 14.74%	52 25.74%	98 48.04%	188
Approve somewhat	1 1.25%	4 3.77%	3 5.66%	43 9.84%	16 16.84%	62 30.69%	58 28.43%	187
Neither approve nor disapprove	10 12.50%	15 14.15%	7 13.21%	151 34.55%	26 27.37%	48 23.76%	25 12.25%	282
Disapprove somewhat	7 8.75%	10 9.43%	6 11.32%	44 10.07%	11 11.58%	11 5.45%	1 0.49%	90
Disapprove strongly	54 67.50%	64 60.38%	24 45.28%	103 23.57%	7 7.37%	11 5.45%	7 3.43%	270
Don't know	5 6.25%	11 10.38%	12 22.64%	78 17.85%	21 22.11%	18 8.91%	15 7.35%	160
Total	80 6.80%	106 9.01%	53 4.50%	437 37.13%	95 8.07%	202 17.16%	204 17.33%	1177

Cell Contents

Count

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Column Percent

```

=====
Q                IDEOL
                Liberals  Moderates  Conservatives  Total
-----
Approve strongly      6         18         164         188
                    2.50%    4.12%    32.73%
-----
Approve somewhat      8         43         135         186
                    3.33%    9.84%    26.95%
-----
Neither approve nor disapprove  33        151         99         283
                    13.75%   34.55%   19.76%
-----
Disapprove somewhat   23         44         24          91
                    9.58%   10.07%   4.79%
-----
Disapprove strongly   142        103         25         270
                    59.17%  23.57%   4.99%
-----
Don't know            28         78         54         160
                    11.67%  17.85%  10.78%
-----
Total                 240        437         501        1178
                    20.37%  37.10%  42.53%
=====

```

Cell Contents

|-----|
| Count |
Column Percent

PID7

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	Total
Approve strongly	2 0.84%	2 1.61%	0 0.00%	8 5.84%	53 35.10%	29 17.68%	90 41.67%	184
Approve somewhat	11 4.64%	7 5.65%	7 6.14%	12 8.76%	38 25.17%	40 24.39%	63 29.17%	178
Neither approve nor disapprove	47 19.83%	32 25.81%	31 27.19%	33 24.09%	40 26.49%	58 35.37%	34 15.74%	275
Disapprove somewhat	23 9.70%	11 8.87%	9 7.89%	24 17.52%	5 3.31%	6 3.66%	5 2.31%	83
Disapprove strongly	128 54.01%	36 29.03%	54 47.37%	43 31.39%	4 2.65%	8 4.88%	2 0.93%	275
Don't know	26 10.97%	36 29.03%	13 11.40%	17 12.41%	11 7.28%	23 14.02%	22 10.19%	148
Total	237 20.73%	124 10.85%	114 9.97%	137 11.99%	151 13.21%	164 14.35%	216 18.90%	1143

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	PID3			Total
	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	
Approve strongly	4 0.85%	8 5.84%	172 32.39%	184

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Approve somewhat	25 5.29%	12 8.76%	141 26.55%	178

Neither approve nor disapprove	109 23.04%	33 24.09%	132 24.86%	274

Disapprove somewhat	43 9.09%	24 17.52%	15 2.82%	82

Disapprove strongly	218 46.09%	43 31.39%	14 2.64%	275

Don't know	74 15.64%	17 12.41%	57 10.73%	148

Total	473 41.45%	137 12.01%	531 46.54%	1141

=====

Cell Contents	

	Count
	Column Percent

=====

	Q17A				
Q	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	Total
Approve strongly	72 25.35%	5 1.10%	83 46.89%	33 12.04%	193
Approve somewhat	76 26.76%	23 5.05%	49 27.68%	37 13.50%	185
Neither approve nor disapprove	75 26.41%	95 20.88%	28 15.82%	86 31.39%	284

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Disapprove somewhat	12 4.23%	42 9.23%	6 3.39%	28 10.22%	88
Disapprove strongly	5 1.76%	233 51.21%	4 2.26%	36 13.14%	278
Don't know	44 15.49%	57 12.53%	7 3.95%	54 19.71%	162
Total	284 23.87%	455 38.24%	177 14.87%	274 23.03%	1190

=====
 Cell Contents
 |-----|
 | Count |
Column Percent
 =====

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Approve strongly	8 15.09%	63 17.26%	42 14.19%	17 14.05%	43 17.62%	21 17.50%	194
Approve somewhat	2 3.77%	53 14.52%	55 18.58%	24 19.83%	38 15.57%	15 12.50%	187
Neither approve nor disapprove	20 37.74%	100 27.40%	66 22.30%	28 23.14%	47 19.26%	24 20.00%	285
Disapprove somewhat	0 0.00%	34 9.32%	19 6.42%	13 10.74%	17 6.97%	8 6.67%	91
Disapprove strongly	9	62	70	18	76	43	278

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	16.98%	16.99%	23.65%	14.88%	31.15%	35.83%	
Don't know	14 26.42%	53 14.52%	44 14.86%	21 17.36%	23 9.43%	9 7.50%	164
Total	53 4.42%	365 30.44%	296 24.69%	121 10.09%	244 20.35%	120 10.01%	1199

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	ATTEND						Total
	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never		
Approve strongly	38 23.75%	49 20.76%	17 12.14%	45 14.85%	44 12.39%	193	
Approve somewhat	28 17.50%	57 24.15%	21 15.00%	43 14.19%	36 10.14%	185	
Neither approve nor disapprove	36 22.50%	64 27.12%	43 30.71%	77 25.41%	64 18.03%	284	
Disapprove somewhat	11 6.88%	19 8.05%	11 7.86%	29 9.57%	20 5.63%	90	
Disapprove strongly	25 15.62%	27 11.44%	32 22.86%	62 20.46%	133 37.46%	279	
Don't know	22 13.75%	20 8.47%	16 11.43%	47 15.51%	58 16.34%	163	

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	160	236	140	303	355	1194
	13.40%	19.77%	11.73%	25.38%	29.73%	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

Q	race								
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern	Total
Approve strongly	151 21.33%	2 1.38%	27 9.38%	0 0.00%	4 50.00%	4 13.33%	3 37.50%	1 100.00%	192
Approve somewhat	133 18.79%	17 11.72%	28 9.72%	2 50.00%	0 0.00%	3 10.00%	2 25.00%	0 0.00%	185
Neither approve nor disapprove	145 20.48%	38 26.21%	96 33.33%	1 25.00%	0 0.00%	3 10.00%	1 12.50%	0 0.00%	284
Disapprove somewhat	40 5.65%	21 14.48%	25 8.68%	0 0.00%	2 25.00%	3 10.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	91
Disapprove strongly	163 23.02%	48 33.10%	57 19.79%	0 0.00%	2 25.00%	6 20.00%	2 25.00%	0 0.00%	278
Don't know	76 10.73%	19 13.10%	55 19.10%	1 25.00%	0 0.00%	11 36.67%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	162
Total	708 59.40%	145 12.16%	288 24.16%	4 0.34%	8 0.67%	30 2.52%	8 0.67%	1 0.08%	1192

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

|           Count |
|   Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q           MARITAL
           Married   Separated   Divorced   Widowed   Single   Domestic Partnership   Total
-----
Approve strongly           145           3           13           9           16           5           191
                           21.77%        15.00%        11.30%        14.75%        5.97%           7.94%
-----
Approve somewhat           114           1           19           17           29           7           187
                           17.12%        5.00%        16.52%        27.87%        10.82%          11.11%
-----
Neither approve nor disapprove           153           5           20           20           64           21           283
                           22.97%        25.00%        17.39%        32.79%        23.88%          33.33%
-----
Disapprove somewhat           52           3           6           0           24           7           92
                           7.81%        15.00%        5.22%        0.00%        8.96%          11.11%
-----
Disapprove strongly           129           3           47           9           74           15           277
                           19.37%        15.00%        40.87%        14.75%        27.61%          23.81%
-----
Don't know           73           5           10           6           61           8           163
                           10.96%        25.00%        8.70%        9.84%        22.76%          12.70%
-----
Total           666           20           115           61           268           63           1193
                           55.83%        1.68%        9.64%        5.11%        22.46%          5.28%
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|           Count |
|   Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

Q	gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Approve strongly	111 19.86%	82 12.87%	193
Approve somewhat	90 16.10%	96 15.07%	186
Neither approve nor disapprove	116 20.75%	168 26.37%	284
Disapprove somewhat	39 6.98%	53 8.32%	92
Disapprove strongly	148 26.48%	130 20.41%	278
Don't know	55 9.84%	108 16.95%	163
Total	559 46.74%	637 53.26%	1196

=====

Cell Contents	
Count	
Column Percent	

=====

Q	LOCATE			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Approve strongly	37 9.97%	113 19.55%	43 17.92%	193

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Approve somewhat	44 11.86%	95 16.44%	47 19.58%	186
Neither approve nor disapprove	106 28.57%	117 20.24%	59 24.58%	282
Disapprove somewhat	33 8.89%	40 6.92%	18 7.50%	91
Disapprove strongly	101 27.22%	141 24.39%	35 14.58%	277
Don't know	50 13.48%	72 12.46%	38 15.83%	160
Total	371 31.20%	578 48.61%	240 20.19%	1189

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	metro						
	Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	Total	
Approve strongly	60 19.93%	45 14.66%	15 13.16%	22 16.42%	51 15.04%	193	
Approve somewhat	44 14.62%	54 17.59%	13 11.40%	16 11.94%	59 17.40%	186	
Neither approve nor disapprove	83	56	34	18	92	283	

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	27.57%	18.24%	29.82%	13.43%	27.14%	
Disapprove somewhat	24 7.97%	29 9.45%	10 8.77%	13 9.70%	15 4.42%	91
Disapprove strongly	65 21.59%	84 27.36%	24 21.05%	44 32.84%	61 17.99%	278
Don't know	25 8.31%	39 12.70%	18 15.79%	21 15.67%	61 17.99%	164
Total	301 25.19%	307 25.69%	114 9.54%	134 11.21%	339 28.37%	1195

Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Approve strongly	21 9.86%	32 9.85%	80 18.39%	60 27.03%	193
Approve somewhat	16 7.51%	41 12.62%	86 19.77%	43 19.37%	186
Neither approve nor disapprove	63 29.58%	82 25.23%	93 21.38%	46 20.72%	284
Disapprove somewhat	17 7.98%	35 10.77%	27 6.21%	12 5.41%	91

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Disapprove strongly	41 19.25%	71 21.85%	119 27.36%	47 21.17%	278

Don't know	55 25.82%	64 19.69%	30 6.90%	14 6.31%	163

Total	213 17.82%	325 27.20%	435 36.40%	222 18.58%	1195
=====					

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q8C. Joe Straus Approval

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Approve strongly	3 3.70%	2 1.85%	0 0.00%	15 3.42%	4 4.17%	8 3.94%	48 23.76%	80
Approve somewhat	12 14.81%	9 8.33%	5 9.43%	53 12.10%	20 20.83%	52 25.62%	40 19.80%	191
Neither approve nor disapprove	11 13.58%	34 31.48%	10 18.87%	165 37.67%	27 28.12%	67 33.00%	55 27.23%	369
Disapprove somewhat	15 18.52%	13 12.04%	11 20.75%	48 10.96%	3 3.12%	16 7.88%	6 2.97%	112
Disapprove strongly	25 30.86%	31 28.70%	8 15.09%	54 12.33%	7 7.29%	15 7.39%	24 11.88%	164
Don't know	15 18.52%	19 17.59%	19 35.85%	103 23.52%	35 36.46%	45 22.17%	29 14.36%	265
Total	81 6.86%	108 9.14%	53 4.49%	438 37.09%	96 8.13%	203 17.19%	202 17.10%	1181

Cell Contents

Count

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Column Percent

```

=====
Q                IDEOL
                Liberals  Moderates  Conservatives  Total
-----
Approve strongly      5         15         59         79
                    2.08%    3.42%    11.75%
-----
Approve somewhat     26         53        113        192
                    10.83%   12.10%   22.51%
-----
Neither approve nor disapprove  55        165        149        369
                    22.92%   37.67%   29.68%
-----
Disapprove somewhat   38         48         26        112
                    15.83%   10.96%    5.18%
-----
Disapprove strongly   63         54         46        163
                    26.25%   12.33%    9.16%
-----
Don't know           53        103        109        265
                    22.08%   23.52%   21.71%
-----
Total                240        438        502       1180
                    20.34%   37.12%   42.54%
=====

```

```

Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count          |
|      Column Percent     |
|-----|

```

PID7

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	Total
Approve strongly	8 3.40%	8 6.40%	0 0.00%	6 4.38%	10 6.62%	7 4.24%	41 18.89%	80
Approve somewhat	16 6.81%	14 11.20%	14 12.28%	7 5.11%	31 20.53%	39 23.64%	62 28.57%	183
Neither approve nor disapprove	73 31.06%	28 22.40%	43 37.72%	58 42.34%	50 33.11%	57 34.55%	54 24.88%	363
Disapprove somewhat	35 14.89%	12 9.60%	18 15.79%	10 7.30%	10 6.62%	10 6.06%	11 5.07%	106
Disapprove strongly	60 25.53%	20 16.00%	19 16.67%	34 24.82%	20 13.25%	5 3.03%	9 4.15%	167
Don't know	43 18.30%	43 34.40%	20 17.54%	22 16.06%	30 19.87%	47 28.48%	40 18.43%	245
Total	235 20.54%	125 10.93%	114 9.97%	137 11.98%	151 13.20%	165 14.42%	217 18.97%	1144

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	PID3			Total
	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	
Approve strongly	16 3.38%	6 4.38%	59 11.09%	81

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Approve somewhat	44 9.28%	7 5.11%	132 24.81%	183

Neither approve nor disapprove	144 30.38%	58 42.34%	161 30.26%	363

Disapprove somewhat	65 13.71%	10 7.30%	30 5.64%	105

Disapprove strongly	99 20.89%	34 24.82%	34 6.39%	167

Don't know	106 22.36%	22 16.06%	116 21.80%	244

Total	474 41.47%	137 11.99%	532 46.54%	1143

=====

Cell Contents	

	Count
	Column Percent

=====

Q	Q17A				Total
	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	
Approve strongly	35 12.20%	17 3.74%	25 14.12%	9 3.28%	86
Approve somewhat	81 28.22%	42 9.23%	48 27.12%	20 7.30%	191
Neither approve nor disapprove	90 31.36%	126 27.69%	45 25.42%	108 39.42%	369

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Disapprove somewhat	15 5.23%	70 15.38%	9 5.08%	15 5.47%	109
Disapprove strongly	10 3.48%	109 23.96%	26 14.69%	25 9.12%	170
Don't know	56 19.51%	91 20.00%	24 13.56%	97 35.40%	268
Total	287 24.06%	455 38.14%	177 14.84%	274 22.97%	1193

=====
 Cell Contents
 |-----|
 | Count |
Column Percent

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Approve strongly	2 3.85%	39 10.68%	13 4.35%	10 8.33%	14 5.76%	8 6.78%	86
Approve somewhat	4 7.69%	53 14.52%	55 18.39%	18 15.00%	44 18.11%	17 14.41%	191
Neither approve nor disapprove	19 36.54%	127 34.79%	83 27.76%	37 30.83%	61 25.10%	43 36.44%	370
Disapprove somewhat	0 0.00%	26 7.12%	26 8.70%	11 9.17%	32 13.17%	16 13.56%	111
Disapprove strongly	12	41	46	19	40	13	171

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	23.08%	11.23%	15.38%	15.83%	16.46%	11.02%	
Don't know	15 28.85%	79 21.64%	76 25.42%	25 20.83%	52 21.40%	21 17.80%	268
Total	52 4.34%	365 30.49%	299 24.98%	120 10.03%	243 20.30%	118 9.86%	1197

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

Q	ATTEND						Total
	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never		
Approve strongly	21 13.21%	31 13.08%	8 5.67%	12 3.95%	12 3.39%		84
Approve somewhat	22 13.84%	38 16.03%	23 16.31%	66 21.71%	42 11.86%		191
Neither approve nor disapprove	43 27.04%	90 37.97%	46 32.62%	84 27.63%	107 30.23%		370
Disapprove somewhat	19 11.95%	17 7.17%	11 7.80%	34 11.18%	31 8.76%		112
Disapprove strongly	25 15.72%	17 7.17%	23 16.31%	32 10.53%	74 20.90%		171
Don't know	29 18.24%	44 18.57%	30 21.28%	76 25.00%	88 24.86%		267

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	159	237	141	304	354	1195
	13.31%	19.83%	11.80%	25.44%	29.62%	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|      Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q          race
          White / Blanco   Black   Hispanic   Asian   Native American   Mixed   Other   Middle Eastern   Total
-----
Approve strongly          58      7      16      1      0      0      2      0      84
                        8.16%  4.83%  5.56% 25.00%  0.00%  0.00% 28.57%  0.00%
-----
Approve somewhat         138     13     34     0     0     4     0     1     190
                        19.41%  8.97% 11.81% 0.00%  0.00% 12.90% 0.00% 100.00%
-----
Neither approve nor disapprove 221     41     96     1     2     7     2     0     370
                        31.08% 28.28% 33.33% 25.00% 25.00% 22.58% 28.57%  0.00%
-----
Disapprove somewhat       63     11     35     0     0     3     0     0     112
                        8.86%  7.59% 12.15% 0.00%  0.00%  9.68%  0.00%  0.00%
-----
Disapprove strongly       95     33     34     0     1     7     0     0     170
                        13.36% 22.76% 11.81% 0.00% 12.50% 22.58%  0.00%  0.00%
-----
Don't know                136     40     73     2     5    10     3     0     269
                        19.13% 27.59% 25.35% 50.00% 62.50% 32.26% 42.86%  0.00%
-----
Total                     711     145     288     4     8    31     7     1    1195
                        59.50% 12.13% 24.10% 0.33%  0.67%  2.59%  0.59%  0.08%
=====

```

```

Cell Contents
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

|           Count |
|   Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q           MARITAL
           Married   Separated   Divorced   Widowed   Single   Domestic Partnership   Total
-----
Approve strongly           48           3           8           5           18           3           85
                          7.21%      13.64%      6.84%      8.20%      6.72%           4.84%
-----
Approve somewhat           118          1           20          16          22           15           192
                          17.72%      4.55%      17.09%     26.23%      8.21%           24.19%
-----
Neither approve nor disapprove       214          9           28          17          87           15           370
                          32.13%     40.91%     23.93%     27.87%     32.46%           24.19%
-----
Disapprove somewhat           67           2           13           6           17           6           111
                          10.06%      9.09%      11.11%      9.84%      6.34%           9.68%
-----
Disapprove strongly           80           4           16           9           53           7           169
                          12.01%     18.18%     13.68%     14.75%     19.78%           11.29%
-----
Don't know           139           3           32           8           71           16           269
                          20.87%     13.64%     27.35%     13.11%     26.49%           25.81%
-----
Total           666           22           117          61          268           62           1196
                          55.69%      1.84%      9.78%      5.10%     22.41%           5.18%
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|           Count |
|   Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

Q	gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Approve strongly	45 8.02%	40 6.28%	85
Approve somewhat	98 17.47%	93 14.60%	191
Neither approve nor disapprove	173 30.84%	198 31.08%	371
Disapprove somewhat	48 8.56%	64 10.05%	112
Disapprove strongly	100 17.83%	70 10.99%	170
Don't know	97 17.29%	172 27.00%	269
Total	561 46.83%	637 53.17%	1198

=====
 Cell Contents
 |-----|
 | Count |
Column Percent

Q	LOCATE			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Approve strongly	35 9.43%	35 6.03%	14 5.83%	84

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Approve somewhat	43	92	54	189
	11.59%	15.86%	22.50%	
Neither approve nor disapprove	114	184	72	370
	30.73%	31.72%	30.00%	
Disapprove somewhat	46	51	15	112
	12.40%	8.79%	6.25%	
Disapprove strongly	54	92	24	170
	14.56%	15.86%	10.00%	
Don't know	79	126	61	266
	21.29%	21.72%	25.42%	
Total	371	580	240	1191
	31.15%	48.70%	20.15%	

=====
 Cell Contents
 =====

Count
Column Percent

Q	metro						Total
	Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX		
Approve strongly	24	24	14	9	14	85	
	7.97%	7.79%	12.17%	6.82%	4.13%		
Approve somewhat	38	47	22	22	62	191	
	12.62%	15.26%	19.13%	16.67%	18.29%		
Neither approve nor disapprove	101	92	40	35	102	370	

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	33.55%	29.87%	34.78%	26.52%	30.09%	
Disapprove somewhat	23 7.64%	26 8.44%	6 5.22%	18 13.64%	38 11.21%	111
Disapprove strongly	49 16.28%	58 18.83%	13 11.30%	12 9.09%	37 10.91%	169
Don't know	66 21.93%	61 19.81%	20 17.39%	36 27.27%	86 25.37%	269
Total	301 25.19%	308 25.77%	115 9.62%	132 11.05%	339 28.37%	1195

Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent
-------	----------------

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Approve strongly	21 9.77%	16 4.94%	32 7.37%	15 6.70%	84
Approve somewhat	19 8.84%	44 13.58%	81 18.66%	48 21.43%	192
Neither approve nor disapprove	78 36.28%	89 27.47%	138 31.80%	66 29.46%	371
Disapprove somewhat	11 5.12%	35 10.80%	31 7.14%	34 15.18%	111

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Disapprove strongly	24	46	75	25	170
	11.16%	14.20%	17.28%	11.16%	

Don't know	62	94	77	36	269
	28.84%	29.01%	17.74%	16.07%	

Total	215	324	434	224	1197
	17.96%	27.07%	36.26%	18.71%	
=====					

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q9A. Ted Cruz Approval

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Approve strongly	4 5.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	30 6.83%	11 11.58%	68 34.00%	121 59.31%	234
Approve somewhat	4 5.00%	5 4.63%	4 7.55%	67 15.26%	33 34.74%	53 26.50%	51 25.00%	217
Neither approve nor disapprove	1 1.25%	13 12.04%	4 7.55%	78 17.77%	15 15.79%	32 16.00%	19 9.31%	162
Disapprove somewhat	2 2.50%	9 8.33%	9 16.98%	59 13.44%	18 18.95%	22 11.00%	3 1.47%	122
Disapprove strongly	65 81.25%	78 72.22%	34 64.15%	142 32.35%	8 8.42%	16 8.00%	3 1.47%	346
Don't know	4 5.00%	3 2.78%	2 3.77%	63 14.35%	10 10.53%	9 4.50%	7 3.43%	98
Total	80 6.79%	108 9.16%	53 4.50%	439 37.23%	95 8.06%	200 16.96%	204 17.30%	1179

Cell Contents

Count

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Column Percent

```

=====
Q                IDEOL
                Liberals  Moderates  Conservatives  Total
-----
Approve strongly      4         30         200         234
                    1.67%     6.83%     40.08%
-----
Approve somewhat     13         67         137         217
                    5.42%     15.26%     27.45%
-----
Neither approve nor  18         78         66         162
disapprove            7.50%     17.77%     13.23%
-----
Disapprove somewhat  20         59         43         122
                    8.33%     13.44%     8.62%
-----
Disapprove strongly  177        142         26         345
                    73.75%     32.35%     5.21%
-----
Don't know           8          63         27         98
                    3.33%     14.35%     5.41%
-----
Total                240        439         499        1178
                    20.37%     37.27%     42.36%
=====

```

```

Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count          |
|      Column Percent    |
|-----|

```

PID7

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	Total
Approve strongly	7 2.94%	4 3.23%	6 5.31%	14 10.37%	57 38.00%	32 19.51%	107 49.54%	227
Approve somewhat	14 5.88%	16 12.90%	3 2.65%	14 10.37%	45 30.00%	52 31.71%	62 28.70%	206
Neither approve nor disapprove	20 8.40%	17 13.71%	19 16.81%	21 15.56%	24 16.00%	35 21.34%	19 8.80%	155
Disapprove somewhat	24 10.08%	19 15.32%	12 10.62%	16 11.85%	16 10.67%	20 12.20%	13 6.02%	120
Disapprove strongly	149 62.61%	49 39.52%	70 61.95%	55 40.74%	5 3.33%	11 6.71%	6 2.78%	345
Don't know	24 10.08%	19 15.32%	3 2.65%	15 11.11%	3 2.00%	14 8.54%	9 4.17%	87
Total	238 20.88%	124 10.88%	113 9.91%	135 11.84%	150 13.16%	164 14.39%	216 18.95%	1140

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	PID3			Total
	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	
Approve strongly	18 3.78%	14 10.37%	196 36.91%	228

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Approve somewhat	33 6.93%	14 10.37%	159 29.94%	206

Neither approve nor disapprove	56 11.76%	21 15.56%	78 14.69%	155

Disapprove somewhat	55 11.55%	16 11.85%	49 9.23%	120

Disapprove strongly	268 56.30%	55 40.74%	23 4.33%	346

Don't know	46 9.66%	15 11.11%	26 4.90%	87

Total	476 41.68%	135 11.82%	531 46.50%	1142

=====

Cell Contents	

	Count
	Column Percent

=====					
	Q17A				
Q	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	Total

Approve strongly	75 26.22%	16 3.51%	106 59.55%	40 14.65%	237

Approve somewhat	105 36.71%	29 6.36%	40 22.47%	42 15.38%	216

Neither approve nor disapprove	44 15.38%	39 8.55%	23 12.92%	59 21.61%	165

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Disapprove somewhat	32 11.19%	55 12.06%	4 2.25%	31 11.36%	122
Disapprove strongly	14 4.90%	283 62.06%	2 1.12%	52 19.05%	351
Don't know	16 5.59%	34 7.46%	3 1.69%	49 17.95%	102
Total	286 23.97%	456 38.22%	178 14.92%	273 22.88%	1193

=====
 Cell Contents
 |-----|
 | Count |
Column Percent
 =====

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Approve strongly	4 7.69%	69 18.96%	62 21.02%	25 20.66%	53 21.63%	24 20.17%	237
Approve somewhat	7 13.46%	75 20.60%	50 16.95%	23 19.01%	47 19.18%	16 13.45%	218
Neither approve nor disapprove	16 30.77%	68 18.68%	33 11.19%	14 11.57%	21 8.57%	14 11.76%	166
Disapprove somewhat	3 5.77%	39 10.71%	33 11.19%	11 9.09%	23 9.39%	12 10.08%	121
Disapprove strongly	16	77	85	31	91	51	351

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	30.77%	21.15%	28.81%	25.62%	37.14%	42.86%	
Don't know	6 11.54%	36 9.89%	32 10.85%	17 14.05%	10 4.08%	2 1.68%	103
Total	52 4.35%	364 30.43%	295 24.67%	121 10.12%	245 20.48%	119 9.95%	1196

Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	ATTEND						Total
	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never		
Approve strongly	47 29.38%	73 31.06%	22 15.83%	58 18.95%	36 10.14%		236
Approve somewhat	30 18.75%	56 23.83%	26 18.71%	50 16.34%	57 16.06%		219
Neither approve nor disapprove	27 16.88%	45 19.15%	22 15.83%	38 12.42%	33 9.30%		165
Disapprove somewhat	15 9.38%	17 7.23%	20 14.39%	44 14.38%	26 7.32%		122
Disapprove strongly	33 20.62%	27 11.49%	38 27.34%	85 27.78%	168 47.32%		351
Don't know	8 5.00%	17 7.23%	11 7.91%	31 10.13%	35 9.86%		102

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	160	235	139	306	355	1195
	13.39%	19.67%	11.63%	25.61%	29.71%	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q           race
           White / Blanco   Black   Hispanic   Asian   Native American   Mixed   Other   Middle Eastern   Total
-----
Approve strongly           188     11     25     2           4     3     4           0     237
                           26.48%  7.53%  8.77%  40.00%      44.44% 10.00% 57.14%           0.00%
-----
Approve somewhat           143     14     51     1           1     7     1           0     218
                           20.14%  9.59% 17.89%  20.00%      11.11% 23.33% 14.29%           0.00%
-----
Neither approve nor disapprove      81     26     53     0           1     4     0           0     165
                           11.41% 17.81% 18.60%  0.00%      11.11% 13.33%  0.00%           0.00%
-----
Disapprove somewhat           59     19     40     0           1     2     0           0     121
                           8.31% 13.01% 14.04%  0.00%      11.11%  6.67%  0.00%           0.00%
-----
Disapprove strongly           204     54     79     1           1     8     2           2     351
                           28.73% 36.99% 27.72%  20.00%      11.11% 26.67% 28.57%          100.00%
-----
Don't know           35     22     37     1           1     6     0           0     102
                           4.93% 15.07% 12.98%  20.00%      11.11% 20.00%  0.00%           0.00%
-----
Total           710     146     285     5           9     30     7           2     1194
                           59.46% 12.23% 23.87%  0.42%      0.75%  2.51%  0.59%           0.17%
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

|           Count |
|   Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q           MARITAL
           Married   Separated   Divorced   Widowed   Single   Domestic Partnership   Total
-----
Approve strongly           160           4           16           17           34           6           237
                           24.17%       18.18%       13.79%       28.33%       12.59%           9.68%
-----
Approve somewhat           143           2           14           8           40           11           218
                           21.60%       9.09%        12.07%       13.33%       14.81%           17.74%
-----
Neither approve nor disapprove           87           7           12           12           38           8           164
                           13.14%       31.82%       10.34%       20.00%       14.07%           12.90%
-----
Disapprove somewhat           66           1           17           9           21           9           123
                           9.97%        4.55%       14.66%       15.00%       7.78%           14.52%
-----
Disapprove strongly           160           5           46           11           103           23           348
                           24.17%       22.73%       39.66%       18.33%       38.15%           37.10%
-----
Don't know           46           3           11           3           34           5           102
                           6.95%       13.64%       9.48%        5.00%       12.59%           8.06%
-----
Total           662           22           116           60           270           62           1192
                           55.54%       1.85%        9.73%        5.03%       22.65%           5.20%
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|           Count |
|   Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

Q	gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Approve strongly	133 23.75%	104 16.35%	237
Approve somewhat	90 16.07%	129 20.28%	219
Neither approve nor disapprove	77 13.75%	88 13.84%	165
Disapprove somewhat	51 9.11%	71 11.16%	122
Disapprove strongly	194 34.64%	157 24.69%	351
Don't know	15 2.68%	87 13.68%	102
Total	560 46.82%	636 53.18%	1196

=====
Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	LOCATE			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Approve strongly	53 14.25%	133 23.09%	48 20.00%	234

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Approve somewhat	66 17.74%	98 17.01%	55 22.92%	219
Neither approve nor disapprove	60 16.13%	72 12.50%	32 13.33%	164
Disapprove somewhat	47 12.63%	45 7.81%	30 12.50%	122
Disapprove strongly	115 30.91%	177 30.73%	58 24.17%	350
Don't know	31 8.33%	51 8.85%	17 7.08%	99
Total	372 31.31%	576 48.48%	240 20.20%	1188

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	metro Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	Total
Approve strongly	66 21.85%	53 17.26%	19 17.12%	27 20.00%	72 21.18%	237
Approve somewhat	57 18.87%	54 17.59%	16 14.41%	12 8.89%	80 23.53%	219
Neither approve nor disapprove	48	35	29	19	33	164

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	15.89%	11.40%	26.13%	14.07%	9.71%	
Disapprove somewhat	33 10.93%	23 7.49%	16 14.41%	12 8.89%	38 11.18%	122
Disapprove strongly	78 25.83%	121 39.41%	20 18.02%	50 37.04%	81 23.82%	350
Don't know	20 6.62%	21 6.84%	11 9.91%	15 11.11%	36 10.59%	103
Total	302 25.27%	307 25.69%	111 9.29%	135 11.30%	340 28.45%	1195

Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Approve strongly	26 12.32%	48 14.86%	95 21.79%	67 30.04%	236
Approve somewhat	42 19.91%	51 15.79%	88 20.18%	38 17.04%	219
Neither approve nor disapprove	32 15.17%	48 14.86%	57 13.07%	29 13.00%	166
Disapprove somewhat	22 10.43%	30 9.29%	44 10.09%	25 11.21%	121

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Disapprove strongly	52 24.64%	107 33.13%	135 30.96%	56 25.11%	350

Don't know	37 17.54%	39 12.07%	17 3.90%	8 3.59%	101

Total	211 17.69%	323 27.07%	436 36.55%	223 18.69%	1193
=====					

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q9B. John Cornyn Approval

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Approve strongly	2 2.50%	0 0.00%	1 1.89%	26 5.96%	7 7.45%	40 19.61%	52 25.74%	128
Approve somewhat	6 7.50%	3 2.86%	7 13.21%	64 14.68%	24 25.53%	61 29.90%	57 28.22%	222
Neither approve nor disapprove	2 2.50%	16 15.24%	6 11.32%	118 27.06%	26 27.66%	55 26.96%	44 21.78%	267
Disapprove somewhat	14 17.50%	21 20.00%	11 20.75%	48 11.01%	10 10.64%	19 9.31%	12 5.94%	135
Disapprove strongly	51 63.75%	54 51.43%	20 37.74%	92 21.10%	5 5.32%	15 7.35%	17 8.42%	254
Don't know	5 6.25%	11 10.48%	8 15.09%	88 20.18%	22 23.40%	14 6.86%	20 9.90%	168
Total	80 6.81%	105 8.94%	53 4.51%	436 37.14%	94 8.01%	204 17.38%	202 17.21%	1174

Cell Contents

Count

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Column Percent

```

=====
Q                IDEOL
                Liberals  Moderates  Conservatives  Total
-----
Approve strongly      3         26         99         128
                    1.26%    5.96%    19.80%
-----
Approve somewhat     17         64        141         222
                    7.11%   14.68%   28.20%
-----
Neither approve nor disapprove  24        118        125         267
                    10.04%  27.06%  25.00%
-----
Disapprove somewhat   46         48         42         136
                    19.25%  11.01%   8.40%
-----
Disapprove strongly  125         92         37         254
                    52.30%  21.10%   7.40%
-----
Don't know           24         88         56         168
                    10.04%  20.18%  11.20%
-----
Total                 239        436        500        1175
                    20.34%  37.11%  42.55%
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

PID7

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	Total
Approve strongly	7 2.94%	8 6.50%	1 0.88%	5 3.60%	19 12.67%	17 10.49%	69 31.65%	126
Approve somewhat	7 2.94%	10 8.13%	15 13.16%	16 11.51%	53 35.33%	50 30.86%	67 30.73%	218
Neither approve nor disapprove	54 22.69%	26 21.14%	20 17.54%	32 23.02%	43 28.67%	49 30.25%	40 18.35%	264
Disapprove somewhat	27 11.34%	9 7.32%	28 24.56%	25 17.99%	15 10.00%	16 9.88%	10 4.59%	130
Disapprove strongly	111 46.64%	31 25.20%	46 40.35%	42 30.22%	9 6.00%	7 4.32%	9 4.13%	255
Don't know	32 13.45%	39 31.71%	4 3.51%	19 13.67%	11 7.33%	23 14.20%	23 10.55%	151
Total	238 20.80%	123 10.75%	114 9.97%	139 12.15%	150 13.11%	162 14.16%	218 19.06%	1144

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	PID3			Total
	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	
Approve strongly	15 3.17%	5 3.60%	105 19.74%	125

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Approve somewhat	32 6.77%	16 11.51%	170 31.95%	218
Neither approve nor disapprove	100 21.14%	32 23.02%	132 24.81%	264
Disapprove somewhat	64 13.53%	25 17.99%	42 7.89%	131
Disapprove strongly	187 39.53%	42 30.22%	25 4.70%	254
Don't know	75 15.86%	19 13.67%	58 10.90%	152
Total	473 41.35%	139 12.15%	532 46.50%	1144

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q17A
Q      Republican candidate  Democratic candidate  Tea Party candidate  Don't know  Total
-----
Approve strongly           64                15                32                18        129
                          22.38%           3.30%            18.18%           6.52%
-----
Approve somewhat           93                24                62                47        226
                          32.52%           5.29%            35.23%          17.03%
-----
Neither approve nor disapprove  69                93                36                72        270
                          24.13%           20.48%           20.45%          26.09%

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Disapprove somewhat	17 5.94%	70 15.42%	20 11.36%	27 9.78%	134
Disapprove strongly	4 1.40%	193 42.51%	20 11.36%	44 15.94%	261
Don't know	39 13.64%	59 13.00%	6 3.41%	68 24.64%	172
Total	286 23.99%	454 38.09%	176 14.77%	276 23.15%	1192

=====
 Cell Contents
 |-----|
 | Count |
Column Percent

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Approve strongly	1 1.92%	43 11.78%	31 10.47%	12 10.08%	30 12.35%	12 10.08%	129
Approve somewhat	8 15.38%	67 18.36%	52 17.57%	23 19.33%	55 22.63%	21 17.65%	226
Neither approve nor disapprove	13 25.00%	92 25.21%	69 23.31%	31 26.05%	36 14.81%	27 22.69%	268
Disapprove somewhat	0 0.00%	46 12.60%	26 8.78%	13 10.92%	37 15.23%	15 12.61%	137
Disapprove strongly	13	62	70	18	60	39	262

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	25.00%	16.99%	23.65%	15.13%	24.69%	32.77%	
Don't know	17 32.69%	55 15.07%	48 16.22%	22 18.49%	25 10.29%	5 4.20%	172
Total	52 4.36%	365 30.57%	296 24.79%	119 9.97%	243 20.35%	119 9.97%	1194

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
| Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	ATTEND						Total
	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never		
Approve strongly	28 17.83%	39 16.32%	10 7.14%	26 8.55%	27 7.58%	130	
Approve somewhat	28 17.83%	51 21.34%	28 20.00%	67 22.04%	52 14.61%	226	
Neither approve nor disapprove	37 23.57%	68 28.45%	36 25.71%	73 24.01%	54 15.17%	268	
Disapprove somewhat	20 12.74%	31 12.97%	16 11.43%	36 11.84%	34 9.55%	137	
Disapprove strongly	27 17.20%	24 10.04%	31 22.14%	57 18.75%	123 34.55%	262	
Don't know	17 10.83%	26 10.88%	19 13.57%	45 14.80%	66 18.54%	173	

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	157	239	140	304	356	1196
	13.13%	19.98%	11.71%	25.42%	29.77%	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q           race
           White / Blanco   Black   Hispanic   Asian   Native American   Mixed   Other   Middle Eastern   Total
-----
Approve strongly
           103      7      14      1      0      1      3      0      129
           14.49%  4.83%  4.91%  20.00%  0.00%  3.45%  37.50%  0.00%
-----
Approve somewhat
           163     15     41     0     0     4     1     1     225
           22.93% 10.34% 14.39%  0.00%  0.00% 13.79% 12.50% 100.00%
-----
Neither approve nor disapprove
           152     31     78     0     2     5     2     0     270
           21.38% 21.38% 27.37%  0.00% 22.22% 17.24% 25.00%  0.00%
-----
Disapprove somewhat
           73      22     34     1     5     2     0     0     137
           10.27% 15.17% 11.93% 20.00% 55.56%  6.90%  0.00%  0.00%
-----
Disapprove strongly
           145     43     61     1     2     7     2     0     261
           20.39% 29.66% 21.40% 20.00% 22.22% 24.14% 25.00%  0.00%
-----
Don't know
           75      27     57     2     0    10     0     0     171
           10.55% 18.62% 20.00% 40.00%  0.00% 34.48%  0.00%  0.00%
-----
Total
           711     145     285     5     9     29     8     1     1193
           59.60% 12.15% 23.89%  0.42%  0.75%  2.43%  0.67%  0.08%
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

|           Count |
|   Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q           MARITAL
           Married   Separated   Divorced   Widowed   Single   Domestic Partnership   Total
-----
Approve strongly           82           3           9           11           20           5           130
           12.31%    15.00%    7.76%    18.03%    7.43%           8.33%
-----
Approve somewhat           147           1           19           18           27           14           226
           22.07%    5.00%    16.38%    29.51%    10.04%           23.33%
-----
Neither approve nor disapprove           162           7           22           10           61           6           268
           24.32%    35.00%    18.97%    16.39%    22.68%           10.00%
-----
Disapprove somewhat           78           3           16           4           26           10           137
           11.71%    15.00%    13.79%    6.56%    9.67%           16.67%
-----
Disapprove strongly           122           3           33           14           74           14           260
           18.32%    15.00%    28.45%    22.95%    27.51%           23.33%
-----
Don't know           75           3           17           4           61           11           171
           11.26%    15.00%    14.66%    6.56%    22.68%           18.33%
-----
Total           666           20           116           61           269           60           1192
           55.87%    1.68%    9.73%    5.12%    22.57%           5.03%
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|           Count |
|   Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

Q	gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Approve strongly	73 12.97%	57 8.98%	130
Approve somewhat	106 18.83%	121 19.06%	227
Neither approve nor disapprove	127 22.56%	142 22.36%	269
Disapprove somewhat	69 12.26%	69 10.87%	138
Disapprove strongly	141 25.04%	121 19.06%	262
Don't know	47 8.35%	125 19.69%	172
Total	563 46.99%	635 53.01%	1198

=====
 Cell Contents
 |-----|
 | Count |
Column Percent

Q	LOCATE			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Approve strongly	36 9.76%	60 10.33%	32 13.33%	128

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Approve somewhat	61 16.53%	111 19.10%	53 22.08%	225
Neither approve nor disapprove	94 25.47%	128 22.03%	46 19.17%	268
Disapprove somewhat	45 12.20%	63 10.84%	29 12.08%	137
Disapprove strongly	85 23.04%	139 23.92%	38 15.83%	262
Don't know	48 13.01%	80 13.77%	42 17.50%	170
Total	369 31.01%	581 48.82%	240 20.17%	1190

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	metro					Total
	Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	
Approve strongly	42 13.86%	28 9.03%	14 12.73%	14 10.45%	32 9.44%	130
Approve somewhat	50 16.50%	53 17.10%	21 19.09%	25 18.66%	78 23.01%	227
Neither approve nor disapprove	71	58	28	23	87	267

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	23.43%	18.71%	25.45%	17.16%	25.66%	
Disapprove somewhat	36 11.88%	52 16.77%	7 6.36%	12 8.96%	31 9.14%	138
Disapprove strongly	66 21.78%	82 26.45%	23 20.91%	35 26.12%	56 16.52%	262
Don't know	38 12.54%	37 11.94%	17 15.45%	25 18.66%	55 16.22%	172
Total	303 25.33%	310 25.92%	110 9.20%	134 11.20%	339 28.34%	1196

Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Approve strongly	23 10.85%	19 5.90%	49 11.24%	38 16.96%	129
Approve somewhat	9 4.25%	50 15.53%	116 26.61%	52 23.21%	227
Neither approve nor disapprove	60 28.30%	75 23.29%	85 19.50%	48 21.43%	268
Disapprove somewhat	23 10.85%	41 12.73%	41 9.40%	32 14.29%	137

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Disapprove strongly	38 17.92%	69 21.43%	114 26.15%	40 17.86%	261

Don't know	59 27.83%	68 21.12%	31 7.11%	14 6.25%	172

Total	212 17.76%	322 26.97%	436 36.52%	224 18.76%	1194
=====					

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q10. Right Direction/Wrong Track, Country

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

LIBCON7								
Q	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	Total
Right direction	8 9.88%	6 5.66%	3 5.56%	115 26.38%	44 45.36%	136 67.00%	148 72.91%	460
Wrong track	70 86.42%	94 88.68%	48 88.89%	259 59.40%	37 38.14%	40 19.70%	34 16.75%	582
Don't know	3 3.70%	6 5.66%	3 5.56%	62 14.22%	16 16.49%	27 13.30%	21 10.34%	138
Total	81 6.86%	106 8.98%	54 4.58%	436 36.95%	97 8.22%	203 17.20%	203 17.20%	1180

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

IDEOL				
Q	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives	Total
Right direction	17 7.08%	115 26.38%	328 65.34%	460

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Wrong track	211 87.92%	259 59.40%	110 21.91%	580
Don't know	12 5.00%	62 14.22%	64 12.75%	138
Total	240 20.37%	436 37.01%	502 42.61%	1178

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	PID7							Total
	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	
Right direction	21 8.94%	17 13.71%	9 7.96%	42 30.43%	104 69.33%	88 53.33%	169 77.52%	450
Wrong track	188 80.00%	97 78.23%	101 89.38%	75 54.35%	30 20.00%	43 26.06%	28 12.84%	562
Don't know	26 11.06%	10 8.06%	3 2.65%	21 15.22%	16 10.67%	34 20.61%	21 9.63%	131
Total	235 20.56%	124 10.85%	113 9.89%	138 12.07%	150 13.12%	165 14.44%	218 19.07%	1143

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

```
=====
```

PID3				
Q	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	Total
Right direction	47 9.96%	42 30.43%	360 67.67%	449
Wrong track	386 81.78%	75 54.35%	101 18.98%	562
Don't know	39 8.26%	21 15.22%	71 13.35%	131
Total	472 41.33%	138 12.08%	532 46.58%	1142

```
=====
```

Cell Contents

```
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|
```

```
=====
```

Q17A					
Q	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	Total
Right direction	195 67.71%	42 9.25%	136 77.27%	93 33.82%	466
Wrong track	52 18.06%	382 84.14%	25 14.20%	129 46.91%	588
Don't know	41 14.24%	30 6.61%	15 8.52%	53 19.27%	139

```
=====
```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	288	454	176	275	1193
	24.14%	38.06%	14.75%	23.05%	

Cell Contents	
Count	Column Percent

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Right direction	15 28.30%	147 40.50%	122 41.08%	42 34.43%	99 40.74%	42 35.00%	467
Wrong track	24 45.28%	156 42.98%	150 50.51%	64 52.46%	126 51.85%	71 59.17%	591
Don't know	14 26.42%	60 16.53%	25 8.42%	16 13.11%	18 7.41%	7 5.83%	140
Total	53 4.42%	363 30.30%	297 24.79%	122 10.18%	243 20.28%	120 10.02%	1198

Cell Contents	
Count	Column Percent

Q	ATTEND					Total
	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Right direction	79 49.38%	117 49.37%	40 28.99%	117 38.61%	112 31.55%	465
Wrong track	70 43.75%	94 39.66%	78 56.52%	146 48.18%	202 56.90%	590
Don't know	11 6.88%	26 10.97%	20 14.49%	40 13.20%	41 11.55%	138
Total	160 13.41%	237 19.87%	138 11.57%	303 25.40%	355 29.76%	1193

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	race								Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern	
Right direction	351 49.30%	26 17.81%	69 24.13%	0 0.00%	6 66.67%	8 26.67%	6 75.00%	1 100.00%	467
Wrong track	287 40.31%	101 69.18%	174 60.84%	4 80.00%	2 22.22%	20 66.67%	2 25.00%	0 0.00%	590
Don't know	74 10.39%	19 13.01%	43 15.03%	1 20.00%	1 11.11%	2 6.67%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	140
Total	712 59.48%	146 12.20%	286 23.89%	5 0.42%	9 0.75%	30 2.51%	8 0.67%	1 0.08%	1197

=====
Cell Contents

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

|           Count |
|       Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
MARITAL
Q      Married   Separated   Divorced   Widowed   Single   Domestic Partnership   Total
-----
Right direction   303         5         35         27         74         20         464
                  45.77%    23.81%    30.43%    44.26%    27.41%         32.26%
-----
Wrong track       279         13         75         27         164        32         590
                  42.15%    61.90%    65.22%    44.26%    60.74%    51.61%
-----
Don't know        80          3          5          7          32         10         137
                  12.08%    14.29%    4.35%    11.48%    11.85%    16.13%
-----
Total             662         21         115        61         270         62         1191
                  55.58%    1.76%    9.66%    5.12%    22.67%    5.21%
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|           Count |
|       Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
gender
Q      Male   Female   Total
-----
Right direction   235     232     467
                  41.81%  36.54%
-----
Wrong track       257     334     591
                  45.73%  52.60%
-----

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know	70	69	139
	12.46%	10.87%	

Total	562	635	1197
	46.95%	53.05%	

=====
 Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

=====
 LOCATE

Q	Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Right direction	116	235	111	462
	31.52%	40.59%	46.44%	
Wrong track	212	280	93	585
	57.61%	48.36%	38.91%	
Don't know	40	64	35	139
	10.87%	11.05%	14.64%	
Total	368	579	239	1186
	31.03%	48.82%	20.15%	

=====
 Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

=====
 metro

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	Total
Right direction	125 41.39%	113 37.05%	50 43.48%	49 36.57%	130 38.12%	467
Wrong track	149 49.34%	169 55.41%	48 41.74%	72 53.73%	153 44.87%	591
Don't know	28 9.27%	23 7.54%	17 14.78%	13 9.70%	58 17.01%	139
Total	302 25.23%	305 25.48%	115 9.61%	134 11.19%	341 28.49%	1197

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Right direction	65 30.81%	94 29.19%	182 41.74%	125 55.56%	466
Wrong track	119 56.40%	180 55.90%	205 47.02%	86 38.22%	590
Don't know	27 12.80%	48 14.91%	49 11.24%	14 6.22%	138
Total	211 17.67%	322 26.97%	436 36.52%	225 18.84%	1194

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q11. National Economy: Better off/Worse Off

```

Cell Contents
|-----|
|               |
|               |
|               |
|               |
|-----|
  
```

```

=====
LIBCON7
Q      Extremely lib.  Somewhat lib.  Lean lib.  Moderate  Lean con.  Somewhat con.  Extremely con.  Total
-----
A lot better off      6             12             3           37          6           28             43            135
                       7.41%         11.32%         5.66%       8.49%       6.32%        13.73%         21.08%
-----
Somewhat better off  10            22            15          98          29          84             75            333
                       12.35%        20.75%        28.30%      22.48%      30.53%       41.18%         36.76%
-----
About the same       25            38            19          187         47          57             53            426
                       30.86%        35.85%        35.85%      42.89%      49.47%       27.94%         25.98%
-----
Somewhat worse off  12            16            13           49           8           24             13            135
                       14.81%        15.09%        24.53%      11.24%       8.42%        11.76%         6.37%
-----
A lot worse off     24            10             2           42           4            9             12            103
                       29.63%        9.43%         3.77%       9.63%       4.21%        4.41%         5.88%
-----
Don't know           4             8             1           23           1            2             8             47
                       4.94%         7.55%         1.89%       5.28%       1.05%        0.98%         3.92%
-----
Total                81            106            53          436          95          204            204           1179
                       6.87%         8.99%         4.50%      36.98%       8.06%       17.30%         17.30%
=====
  
```

```

Cell Contents
|-----|
|               |
|               |
|               |
|               |
|-----|
  
```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Column Percent

```

=====
                IDEOL
Q             Liberals  Moderates  Conservatives  Total
-----
A lot better off      21         37         78         136
                    8.75%      8.49%      15.51%
-----
Somewhat better off  47         98        187        332
                    19.58%     22.48%     37.18%
-----
About the same       82        187        157        426
                    34.17%     42.89%     31.21%
-----
Somewhat worse off  41         49         45         135
                    17.08%     11.24%      8.95%
-----
A lot worse off     36         42         26         104
                    15.00%      9.63%      5.17%
-----
Don't know          13         23         10         46
                    5.42%      5.28%      1.99%
-----
Total                240        436        503        1179
                    20.36%     36.98%     42.66%
=====

```

Cell Contents

|-----|
| Count |
Column Percent

PID7

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	Total
A lot better off	29 12.13%	8 6.45%	6 5.31%	16 11.68%	18 12.00%	17 10.43%	43 19.82%	137
Somewhat better off	33 13.81%	27 21.77%	33 29.20%	36 26.28%	62 41.33%	44 26.99%	86 39.63%	321
About the same	89 37.24%	46 37.10%	35 30.97%	47 34.31%	43 28.67%	79 48.47%	63 29.03%	402
Somewhat worse off	36 15.06%	20 16.13%	19 16.81%	18 13.14%	13 8.67%	10 6.13%	15 6.91%	131
A lot worse off	41 17.15%	15 12.10%	11 9.73%	16 11.68%	11 7.33%	6 3.68%	6 2.76%	106
Don't know	11 4.60%	8 6.45%	9 7.96%	4 2.92%	3 2.00%	7 4.29%	4 1.84%	46
Total	239 20.91%	124 10.85%	113 9.89%	137 11.99%	150 13.12%	163 14.26%	217 18.99%	1143

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	PID3			Total
	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	
A lot better off	42 8.84%	16 11.68%	78 14.69%	136

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Somewhat better off	92 19.37%	36 26.28%	192 36.16%	320
About the same	170 35.79%	47 34.31%	185 34.84%	402
Somewhat worse off	75 15.79%	18 13.14%	38 7.16%	131
A lot worse off	68 14.32%	16 11.68%	24 4.52%	108
Don't know	28 5.89%	4 2.92%	14 2.64%	46
Total	475 41.56%	137 11.99%	531 46.46%	1143

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

=====
Q17A

Q	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	Total
A lot better off	43 14.93%	55 12.06%	30 16.85%	12 4.38%	140
Somewhat better off	98 34.03%	87 19.08%	77 43.26%	74 27.01%	336
About the same	109 37.85%	161 35.31%	44 24.72%	112 40.88%	426

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Somewhat worse off	23 7.99%	67 14.69%	13 7.30%	33 12.04%	136
A lot worse off	12 4.17%	69 15.13%	11 6.18%	18 6.57%	110
Don't know	3 1.04%	17 3.73%	3 1.69%	25 9.12%	48
Total	288 24.08%	456 38.13%	178 14.88%	274 22.91%	1196

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
A lot better off	1 1.96%	39 10.66%	41 13.85%	17 14.05%	21 8.61%	21 17.36%	140
Somewhat better off	4 7.84%	79 21.58%	83 28.04%	41 33.88%	88 36.07%	42 34.71%	337
About the same	15 29.41%	151 41.26%	101 34.12%	35 28.93%	88 36.07%	39 32.23%	429
Somewhat worse off	9 17.65%	35 9.56%	45 15.20%	9 7.44%	32 13.11%	6 4.96%	136
A lot worse off	16	37	18	18	13	8	110

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	31.37%	10.11%	6.08%	14.88%	5.33%	6.61%	
Don't know	6 11.76%	25 6.83%	8 2.70%	1 0.83%	2 0.82%	5 4.13%	47
Total	51 4.25%	366 30.53%	296 24.69%	121 10.09%	244 20.35%	121 10.09%	1199

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

```

=====
ATTEND
Q           More than once a week  Once a week  A few times a month  Once or twice a year  Never  Total
-----
A lot better off           31           38           13           28           30      140
                          19.62%      15.97%           9.15%           9.18%      8.47%
-----
Somewhat better off       39           75           44           87           91      336
                          24.68%      31.51%           30.99%           28.52%     25.71%
-----
About the same            49           86           36           130          128     429
                          31.01%      36.13%           25.35%           42.62%     36.16%
-----
Somewhat worse off       19           16           23           25           52      135
                          12.03%      6.72%           16.20%           8.20%     14.69%
-----
A lot worse off           17           14           24           27           27      109
                          10.76%      5.88%           16.90%           8.85%      7.63%
-----
Don't know                 3           9           2           8           26      48
                          1.90%      3.78%           1.41%           2.62%      7.34%
-----

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	158	238	142	305	354	1197
	13.20%	19.88%	11.86%	25.48%	29.57%	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

Q	race									Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern		
A lot better off	81 11.38%	20 13.79%	31 10.84%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	5 16.13%	1 12.50%		1 100.00%	139
Somewhat better off	254 35.67%	22 15.17%	48 16.78%	2 40.00%	2 25.00%	3 9.68%	4 50.00%		0 0.00%	335
About the same	249 34.97%	51 35.17%	114 39.86%	2 40.00%	2 25.00%	7 22.58%	3 37.50%		0 0.00%	428
Somewhat worse off	74 10.39%	17 11.72%	37 12.94%	0 0.00%	3 37.50%	4 12.90%	0 0.00%		0 0.00%	135
A lot worse off	36 5.06%	25 17.24%	40 13.99%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	9 29.03%	0 0.00%		0 0.00%	110
Don't know	18 2.53%	10 6.90%	16 5.59%	1 20.00%	1 12.50%	3 9.68%	0 0.00%		0 0.00%	49
Total	712 59.53%	145 12.12%	286 23.91%	5 0.42%	8 0.67%	31 2.59%	8 0.67%		1 0.08%	1196

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

|           Count |
|   Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q           MARITAL
           Married   Separated   Divorced   Widowed   Single   Domestic Partnership   Total
-----
A lot better off           79           0           7           7           43           3           139
                           11.90%        0.00%        6.09%       11.48%      15.93%           4.84%
-----
Somewhat better off        212           5           32          13           49           25           336
                           31.93%       23.81%       27.83%      21.31%      18.15%          40.32%
-----
About the same             250           9           39           27           89           14           428
                           37.65%       42.86%       33.91%      44.26%      32.96%          22.58%
-----
Somewhat worse off         67           2           15           10           32           8           134
                           10.09%        9.52%       13.04%      16.39%      11.85%          12.90%
-----
A lot worse off            41           4           14           3           40           8           110
                           6.17%        19.05%      12.17%       4.92%      14.81%          12.90%
-----
Don't know                 15           1           8           1           17           4           46
                           2.26%        4.76%       6.96%       1.64%       6.30%          6.45%
-----
Total                      664           21          115           61          270           62          1193
                           55.66%       1.76%       9.64%       5.11%      22.63%          5.20%
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|           Count |
|   Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

Q	gender		Total
	Male	Female	
A lot better off	75 13.37%	65 10.22%	140
Somewhat better off	186 33.16%	150 23.58%	336
About the same	182 32.44%	247 38.84%	429
Somewhat worse off	55 9.80%	80 12.58%	135
A lot worse off	49 8.73%	60 9.43%	109
Don't know	14 2.50%	34 5.35%	48
Total	561 46.87%	636 53.13%	1197

=====
 Cell Contents
 |-----|
 | Count |
Column Percent

=====
 LOCATE

Q	LOCATE			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
A lot better off	51 13.82%	64 11.03%	24 10.04%	139

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Somewhat better off	84 22.76%	162 27.93%	88 36.82%	334
About the same	131 35.50%	225 38.79%	68 28.45%	424
Somewhat worse off	48 13.01%	56 9.66%	31 12.97%	135
A lot worse off	31 8.40%	52 8.97%	25 10.46%	108
Don't know	24 6.50%	21 3.62%	3 1.26%	48
Total	369 31.06%	580 48.82%	239 20.12%	1188

=====
 Cell Contents
 |-----|
 | Count |
Column Percent

Q	metro					Total
	Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	
A lot better off	33 10.96%	54 17.48%	16 13.91%	11 8.21%	26 7.65%	140
Somewhat better off	87 28.90%	75 24.27%	26 22.61%	43 32.09%	107 31.47%	338
About the same	105	105	39	48	132	429

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	34.88%	33.98%	33.91%	35.82%	38.82%	
Somewhat worse off	31 10.30%	33 10.68%	18 15.65%	14 10.45%	39 11.47%	135
A lot worse off	33 10.96%	33 10.68%	8 6.96%	12 8.96%	24 7.06%	110
Don't know	12 3.99%	9 2.91%	8 6.96%	6 4.48%	12 3.53%	47
Total	301 25.10%	309 25.77%	115 9.59%	134 11.18%	340 28.36%	1199

=====
Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
A lot better off	39 18.22%	27 8.41%	40 9.15%	33 14.60%	139
Somewhat better off	54 25.23%	67 20.87%	128 29.29%	87 38.50%	336
About the same	63 29.44%	130 40.50%	158 36.16%	79 34.96%	430
Somewhat worse off	25 11.68%	51 15.89%	41 9.38%	18 7.96%	135

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

A lot worse off	18 8.41%	31 9.66%	54 12.36%	7 3.10%	110

Don't know	15 7.01%	15 4.67%	16 3.66%	2 0.88%	48

Total	214 17.86%	321 26.79%	437 36.48%	226 18.86%	1198
=====					

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q12. Right Direction/Wrong Track, Texas

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

LIBCON7								
Q	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	Total
Right direction	4 5.00%	19 17.92%	7 12.96%	155 35.39%	56 58.33%	149 73.40%	157 77.72%	547
Wrong track	71 88.75%	74 69.81%	36 66.67%	159 36.30%	17 17.71%	32 15.76%	31 15.35%	420
Don't know	5 6.25%	13 12.26%	11 20.37%	124 28.31%	23 23.96%	22 10.84%	14 6.93%	212
Total	80 6.79%	106 8.99%	54 4.58%	438 37.15%	96 8.14%	203 17.22%	202 17.13%	1179

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

IDEOL				
Q	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives	Total
Right direction	30 12.55%	155 35.39%	362 72.26%	547

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Wrong track	181	159	80	420
	75.73%	36.30%	15.97%	
Don't know	28	124	59	211
	11.72%	28.31%	11.78%	
Total	239	438	501	1178
	20.29%	37.18%	42.53%	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|          Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	PID7							Total
	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	
Right direction	18	33	24	56	112	106	183	532
	7.56%	26.61%	21.24%	40.58%	74.67%	65.03%	83.94%	
Wrong track	178	53	68	56	17	21	17	410
	74.79%	42.74%	60.18%	40.58%	11.33%	12.88%	7.80%	
Don't know	42	38	21	26	21	36	18	202
	17.65%	30.65%	18.58%	18.84%	14.00%	22.09%	8.26%	
Total	238	124	113	138	150	163	218	1144
	20.80%	10.84%	9.88%	12.06%	13.11%	14.25%	19.06%	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|          Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

```

=====
PID3
Q      Democrats  Independents  Republicans  Total
-----
Right direction      75           56           400         531
                   15.79%      40.58%      75.47%
-----
Wrong track          299           56           55          410
                   62.95%      40.58%      10.38%
-----
Don't know           101           26           75          202
                   21.26%      18.84%      14.15%
-----
Total                475           138          530         1143
                   41.56%      12.07%      46.37%
=====

```

```

Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|       Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q17A
Q      Republican candidate  Democratic candidate  Tea Party candidate  Don't know  Total
-----
Right direction              214                   67                   146           128         555
                          74.83%              14.69%              83.43%        46.38%
-----
Wrong track                   23                   305                   20            76         424
                          8.04%               66.89%              11.43%        27.54%
-----
Don't know                     49                   84                    9            72         214
                          17.13%              18.42%              5.14%         26.09%
=====

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	286	456	175	276	1193
	23.97%	38.22%	14.67%	23.13%	

Cell Contents	
Count	Column Percent

Q	educ	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Right direction	20	165	139	58	119	54	555	
	38.46%	45.33%	47.12%	47.93%	48.77%	45.00%		
Wrong track	21	121	109	37	94	45	427	
	40.38%	33.24%	36.95%	30.58%	38.52%	37.50%		
Don't know	11	78	47	26	31	21	214	
	21.15%	21.43%	15.93%	21.49%	12.70%	17.50%		
Total	52	364	295	121	244	120	1196	
	4.35%	30.43%	24.67%	10.12%	20.40%	10.03%		

Cell Contents	
Count	Column Percent

Q	ATTEND	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
---	--------	-----------------------	-------------	---------------------	----------------------	-------	-------

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Right direction	91 57.23%	125 52.97%	61 43.26%	142 46.71%	135 38.03%	554
Wrong track	45 28.30%	66 27.97%	57 40.43%	96 31.58%	164 46.20%	428
Don't know	23 14.47%	45 19.07%	23 16.31%	66 21.71%	56 15.77%	213
Total	159 13.31%	236 19.75%	141 11.80%	304 25.44%	355 29.71%	1195

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	race								Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern	
Right direction	399 56.04%	35 23.97%	97 33.92%	4 80.00%	6 66.67%	8 26.67%	4 50.00%	1 100.00%	554
Wrong track	198 27.81%	79 54.11%	132 46.15%	1 20.00%	3 33.33%	14 46.67%	2 25.00%	0 0.00%	429
Don't know	115 16.15%	32 21.92%	57 19.93%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	8 26.67%	2 25.00%	0 0.00%	214
Total	712 59.48%	146 12.20%	286 23.89%	5 0.42%	9 0.75%	30 2.51%	8 0.67%	1 0.08%	1197

=====
Cell Contents

--

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	Count
	Column Percent

Q	MARITAL						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	
Right direction	352 52.85%	9 42.86%	43 37.39%	32 53.33%	95 35.45%	23 36.51%	554
Wrong track	204 30.63%	8 38.10%	47 40.87%	18 30.00%	120 44.78%	32 50.79%	429
Don't know	110 16.52%	4 19.05%	25 21.74%	10 16.67%	53 19.78%	8 12.70%	210
Total	666 55.83%	21 1.76%	115 9.64%	60 5.03%	268 22.46%	63 5.28%	1193

Cell Contents

	Count
	Column Percent

Q	gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Right direction	291 51.96%	265 41.54%	556
Wrong track	187 33.39%	242 37.93%	429

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know	82	131	213
	14.64%	20.53%	

Total	560	638	1198
	46.74%	53.26%	

=====

Cell Contents	

	Count
	Column Percent

=====

Q	LOCATE			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Right direction	134	283	135	552
	36.02%	48.96%	56.49%	
Wrong track	167	198	61	426
	44.89%	34.26%	25.52%	
Don't know	71	97	43	211
	19.09%	16.78%	17.99%	
Total	372	578	239	1189
	31.29%	48.61%	20.10%	

=====

Cell Contents	

	Count
	Column Percent

=====

metro

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	Total
Right direction	147 48.51%	120 38.83%	55 48.25%	60 45.11%	174 51.33%	556
Wrong track	102 33.66%	137 44.34%	32 28.07%	52 39.10%	106 31.27%	429
Don't know	54 17.82%	52 16.83%	27 23.68%	21 15.79%	59 17.40%	213
Total	303 25.29%	309 25.79%	114 9.52%	133 11.10%	339 28.30%	1198

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Right direction	82 38.32%	127 39.32%	213 48.85%	133 59.38%	555
Wrong track	85 39.72%	123 38.08%	164 37.61%	57 25.45%	429
Don't know	47 21.96%	73 22.60%	59 13.53%	34 15.18%	213
Total	214 17.88%	323 26.98%	436 36.42%	224 18.71%	1197

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q13. Personal Economy: Better off/Worse off

Cell Contents								

	Count							
	Column Percent							

=====								
	LIBCON7							
Q	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	Total

A lot better off	5 6.17%	4 3.81%	0 0.00%	16 3.64%	6 6.32%	13 6.34%	25 12.25%	69

Somewhat better off	9 11.11%	21 20.00%	15 27.78%	88 20.05%	15 15.79%	52 25.37%	44 21.57%	244

About the same	38 46.91%	50 47.62%	27 50.00%	232 52.85%	54 56.84%	95 46.34%	99 48.53%	595

Somewhat worse off	11 13.58%	20 19.05%	11 20.37%	61 13.90%	17 17.89%	40 19.51%	27 13.24%	187

A lot worse off	18 22.22%	9 8.57%	1 1.85%	33 7.52%	3 3.16%	3 1.46%	8 3.92%	75

Don't know	0 0.00%	1 0.95%	0 0.00%	9 2.05%	0 0.00%	2 0.98%	1 0.49%	13

Total	81 6.85%	105 8.88%	54 4.56%	439 37.11%	95 8.03%	205 17.33%	204 17.24%	1183
=====								

Cell Contents	

	Count

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Column Percent

```

=====
                IDEOL
Q             Liberals  Moderates  Conservatives  Total
-----
A lot better off           10           16           44           70
                        4.18%       3.64%       8.73%
-----
Somewhat better off        44           88          112          244
                        18.41%      20.05%      22.22%
-----
About the same            114          232          247          593
                        47.70%      52.85%      49.01%
-----
Somewhat worse off        42           61           84           187
                        17.57%      13.90%      16.67%
-----
A lot worse off           28           33           14           75
                        11.72%       7.52%       2.78%
-----
Don't know                 1            9            3            13
                        0.42%       2.05%       0.60%
-----
Total                     239          439          504          1182
                        20.22%      37.14%      42.64%
=====

```

Cell Contents

|-----|
| Count |
Column Percent

PID7

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	Total
A lot better off	12 5.02%	2 1.63%	5 4.42%	9 6.52%	6 4.00%	6 3.64%	26 11.93%	66
Somewhat better off	40 16.74%	22 17.89%	26 23.01%	28 20.29%	29 19.33%	37 22.42%	59 27.06%	241
About the same	113 47.28%	62 50.41%	58 51.33%	60 43.48%	81 54.00%	98 59.39%	96 44.04%	568
Somewhat worse off	42 17.57%	18 14.63%	18 15.93%	27 19.57%	26 17.33%	18 10.91%	31 14.22%	180
A lot worse off	23 9.62%	17 13.82%	6 5.31%	13 9.42%	7 4.67%	6 3.64%	5 2.29%	77
Don't know	9 3.77%	2 1.63%	0 0.00%	1 0.72%	1 0.67%	0 0.00%	1 0.46%	14
Total	239 20.86%	123 10.73%	113 9.86%	138 12.04%	150 13.09%	165 14.40%	218 19.02%	1146

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

=====
PID3

Q	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	Total
A lot better off	18 3.79%	9 6.52%	38 7.14%	65

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Somewhat better off	88 18.53%	28 20.29%	124 23.31%	240
About the same	233 49.05%	60 43.48%	276 51.88%	569
Somewhat worse off	78 16.42%	27 19.57%	74 13.91%	179
A lot worse off	47 9.89%	13 9.42%	18 3.38%	78
Don't know	11 2.32%	1 0.72%	2 0.38%	14
Total	475 41.48%	138 12.05%	532 46.46%	1145

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

=====
Q17A

Q	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	Total
A lot better off	31 10.84%	17 3.74%	9 5.08%	11 4.00%	68
Somewhat better off	69 24.13%	86 18.90%	46 25.99%	47 17.09%	248
About the same	133 46.50%	211 46.37%	89 50.28%	163 59.27%	596

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Somewhat worse off	48 16.78%	79 17.36%	23 12.99%	36 13.09%	186
A lot worse off	4 1.40%	54 11.87%	8 4.52%	14 5.09%	80
Don't know	1 0.35%	8 1.76%	2 1.13%	4 1.45%	15
Total	286 23.97%	455 38.14%	177 14.84%	275 23.05%	1193

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	educ							Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad		
A lot better off	1 1.92%	20 5.48%	11 3.69%	11 9.02%	12 4.94%	13 10.92%	68	
Somewhat better off	4 7.69%	73 20.00%	54 18.12%	32 26.23%	59 24.28%	27 22.69%	249	
About the same	22 42.31%	191 52.33%	159 53.36%	39 31.97%	128 52.67%	61 51.26%	600	
Somewhat worse off	12 23.08%	49 13.42%	55 18.46%	23 18.85%	33 13.58%	15 12.61%	187	
A lot worse off	13	26	15	13	11	3	81	

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	25.00%	7.12%	5.03%	10.66%	4.53%	2.52%	
Don't know	0 0.00%	6 1.64%	4 1.34%	4 3.28%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	14
Total	52 4.34%	365 30.44%	298 24.85%	122 10.18%	243 20.27%	119 9.92%	1199

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
ATTEND
Q           More than once a week  Once a week  A few times a month  Once or twice a year  Never  Total
-----
A lot better off           18           19                7                8           17       69
                          11.25%       8.02%              4.96%          2.62%       4.79%
-----
Somewhat better off       32           62                31               71           53       249
                          20.00%       26.16%             21.99%         23.28%      14.93%
-----
About the same            70           115               59               164          189       597
                          43.75%       48.52%             41.84%         53.77%      53.24%
-----
Somewhat worse off       26           31                29               44           57       187
                          16.25%       13.08%             20.57%         14.43%      16.06%
-----
A lot worse off           12           6                 15               14           33       80
                          7.50%        2.53%             10.64%          4.59%       9.30%
-----
Don't know                2            4                 0                4            6        16
                          1.25%        1.69%             0.00%           1.31%       1.69%
-----

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	160	237	141	305	355	1198
	13.36%	19.78%	11.77%	25.46%	29.63%	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

```

=====

```

Q	race									Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern		
A lot better off	38 5.32%	13 8.90%	15 5.23%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1 3.33%	0 0.00%		1 100.00%	68
Somewhat better off	153 21.43%	29 19.86%	59 20.56%	3 50.00%	0 0.00%	4 13.33%	2 25.00%		0 0.00%	250
About the same	389 54.48%	59 40.41%	130 45.30%	2 33.33%	2 25.00%	11 36.67%	5 62.50%		0 0.00%	598
Somewhat worse off	99 13.87%	16 10.96%	54 18.82%	1 16.67%	5 62.50%	11 36.67%	1 12.50%		0 0.00%	187
A lot worse off	30 4.20%	21 14.38%	28 9.76%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	2 6.67%	0 0.00%		0 0.00%	81
Don't know	5 0.70%	8 5.48%	1 0.35%	0 0.00%	1 12.50%	1 3.33%	0 0.00%		0 0.00%	16
Total	714 59.50%	146 12.17%	287 23.92%	6 0.50%	8 0.67%	30 2.50%	8 0.67%		1 0.08%	1200

```

=====

```

```

Cell Contents
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q           MARITAL
           Married   Separated   Divorced   Widowed   Single   Domestic Partnership   Total
-----
A lot better off           41           4           4           1           17           2           69
                        6.15%       20.00%       3.45%       1.64%       6.34%           3.12%
-----
Somewhat better off       156           1           18           8           51           15           249
                        23.39%       5.00%       15.52%      13.11%      19.03%           23.44%
-----
About the same            344           6           60           43          122           23           598
                        51.57%       30.00%       51.72%      70.49%      45.52%           35.94%
-----
Somewhat worse off        100           6           25           5           36           15           187
                        14.99%       30.00%       21.55%       8.20%      13.43%           23.44%
-----
A lot worse off           24           2           7           4           35           7           79
                        3.60%       10.00%       6.03%       6.56%      13.06%           10.94%
-----
Don't know                 2           1           2           0           7           2           14
                        0.30%       5.00%       1.72%       0.00%       2.61%           3.12%
-----
Total                     667           20          116           61          268           64          1196
                        55.77%       1.67%       9.70%       5.10%      22.41%           5.35%
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

Q	gender		Total
	Male	Female	
A lot better off	29 5.17%	39 6.12%	68
Somewhat better off	123 21.93%	126 19.78%	249
About the same	282 50.27%	317 49.76%	599
Somewhat worse off	82 14.62%	105 16.48%	187
A lot worse off	43 7.66%	37 5.81%	80
Don't know	2 0.36%	13 2.04%	15
Total	561 46.83%	637 53.17%	1198

=====
 Cell Contents
 |-----|
 | Count |
Column Percent

Q	LOCATE			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
A lot better off	26 7.03%	29 4.99%	12 5.02%	67

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Somewhat better off	64 17.30%	126 21.69%	58 24.27%	248
About the same	180 48.65%	309 53.18%	106 44.35%	595
Somewhat worse off	69 18.65%	78 13.43%	40 16.74%	187
A lot worse off	27 7.30%	31 5.34%	21 8.79%	79
Don't know	4 1.08%	8 1.38%	2 0.84%	14
Total	370 31.09%	581 48.82%	239 20.08%	1190

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	metro Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	Total
A lot better off	21 6.93%	15 4.87%	9 7.89%	9 6.72%	15 4.42%	69
Somewhat better off	49 16.17%	77 25.00%	25 21.93%	39 29.10%	59 17.40%	249
About the same	155	157	51	58	178	599

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	51.16%	50.97%	44.74%	43.28%	52.51%	
Somewhat worse off	45 14.85%	37 12.01%	25 21.93%	22 16.42%	57 16.81%	186
A lot worse off	25 8.25%	20 6.49%	4 3.51%	4 2.99%	27 7.96%	80
Don't know	8 2.64%	2 0.65%	0 0.00%	2 1.49%	3 0.88%	15
Total	303 25.29%	308 25.71%	114 9.52%	134 11.19%	339 28.30%	1198

=====
Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
A lot better off	21 9.81%	27 8.36%	15 3.43%	6 2.67%	69
Somewhat better off	61 28.50%	53 16.41%	90 20.59%	44 19.56%	248
About the same	83 38.79%	165 51.08%	215 49.20%	136 60.44%	599
Somewhat worse off	32 14.95%	42 13.00%	81 18.54%	32 14.22%	187

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

A lot worse off	9 4.21%	33 10.22%	33 7.55%	5 2.22%	80

Don't know	8 3.74%	3 0.93%	3 0.69%	2 0.89%	16

Total	214 17.85%	323 26.94%	437 36.45%	225 18.77%	1199
=====					

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q14. Texas Economy: Better off/Worse Off

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
A lot better off	10 12.50%	0 0.00%	1 1.85%	14 3.23%	3 3.23%	11 5.42%	33 16.42%	72
Somewhat better off	6 7.50%	16 15.24%	10 18.52%	70 16.17%	22 23.66%	69 33.99%	69 34.33%	262
About the same	28 35.00%	51 48.57%	22 40.74%	251 57.97%	37 39.78%	106 52.22%	77 38.31%	572
Somewhat worse off	15 18.75%	24 22.86%	14 25.93%	44 10.16%	19 20.43%	11 5.42%	9 4.48%	136
A lot worse off	17 21.25%	8 7.62%	3 5.56%	18 4.16%	2 2.15%	1 0.49%	2 1.00%	51
Don't know	4 5.00%	6 5.71%	4 7.41%	36 8.31%	10 10.75%	5 2.46%	11 5.47%	76
Total	80 6.84%	105 8.98%	54 4.62%	433 37.04%	93 7.96%	203 17.37%	201 17.19%	1169

Cell Contents

Count

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Column Percent

```

=====
                IDEOL
Q             Liberals  Moderates  Conservatives  Total
-----
A lot better off      12         14         47         73
                    4.98%      3.23%      9.42%
-----
Somewhat better off   32         70        161        263
                    13.28%     16.17%     32.26%
-----
About the same       101        251        221        573
                    41.91%     57.97%     44.29%
-----
Somewhat worse off   53         44         39        136
                    21.99%     10.16%      7.82%
-----
A lot worse off      29         18         5         52
                    12.03%      4.16%      1.00%
-----
Don't know           14         36         26         76
                    5.81%      8.31%      5.21%
-----
Total                241        433        499       1173
                    20.55%     36.91%     42.54%
=====

```

Cell Contents

|-----|
| Count |
Column Percent

PID7

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	Total
A lot better off	15 6.33%	1 0.81%	0 0.00%	12 8.63%	3 2.01%	6 3.70%	36 16.59%	73
Somewhat better off	30 12.66%	15 12.10%	20 17.70%	23 16.55%	50 33.56%	44 27.16%	75 34.56%	257
About the same	102 43.04%	73 58.87%	65 57.52%	73 52.52%	69 46.31%	85 52.47%	82 37.79%	549
Somewhat worse off	45 18.99%	20 16.13%	14 12.39%	12 8.63%	18 12.08%	13 8.02%	12 5.53%	134
A lot worse off	21 8.86%	8 6.45%	9 7.96%	9 6.47%	4 2.68%	3 1.85%	3 1.38%	57
Don't know	24 10.13%	7 5.65%	5 4.42%	10 7.19%	5 3.36%	11 6.79%	9 4.15%	71
Total	237 20.77%	124 10.87%	113 9.90%	139 12.18%	149 13.06%	162 14.20%	217 19.02%	1141

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

=====
PID3

Q	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	Total
A lot better off	16 3.39%	12 8.63%	45 8.51%	73

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Somewhat better off	65 13.77%	23 16.55%	169 31.95%	257
About the same	240 50.85%	73 52.52%	236 44.61%	549
Somewhat worse off	78 16.53%	12 8.63%	43 8.13%	133
A lot worse off	37 7.84%	9 6.47%	11 2.08%	57
Don't know	36 7.63%	10 7.19%	25 4.73%	71
Total	472 41.40%	139 12.19%	529 46.40%	1140

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

=====
Q17A

Q	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	Total
A lot better off	27 9.47%	20 4.44%	21 11.86%	7 2.55%	75
Somewhat better off	87 30.53%	67 14.89%	60 33.90%	52 18.98%	266
About the same	128 44.91%	223 49.56%	72 40.68%	152 55.47%	575

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Somewhat worse off	27 9.47%	76 16.89%	9 5.08%	24 8.76%	136
A lot worse off	3 1.05%	39 8.67%	6 3.39%	9 3.28%	57
Don't know	13 4.56%	25 5.56%	9 5.08%	30 10.95%	77
Total	285 24.03%	450 37.94%	177 14.92%	274 23.10%	1186

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	educ							Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad		
A lot better off	1 1.89%	28 7.67%	14 4.83%	8 6.72%	10 4.10%	14 11.86%	75	
Somewhat better off	4 7.55%	66 18.08%	75 25.86%	28 23.53%	61 25.00%	32 27.12%	266	
About the same	36 67.92%	199 54.52%	131 45.17%	52 43.70%	114 46.72%	46 38.98%	578	
Somewhat worse off	1 1.89%	30 8.22%	38 13.10%	10 8.40%	40 16.39%	18 15.25%	137	
A lot worse off	5	11	12	11	11	6	56	

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	9.43%	3.01%	4.14%	9.24%	4.51%	5.08%	
Don't know	6 11.32%	31 8.49%	20 6.90%	10 8.40%	8 3.28%	2 1.69%	77
Total	53 4.46%	365 30.70%	290 24.39%	119 10.01%	244 20.52%	118 9.92%	1189

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

```

=====
ATTEND
Q           More than once a week  Once a week  A few times a month  Once or twice a year  Never  Total
-----
A lot better off           21           20                   6                   19           8           74
                          13.21%      8.44%                4.32%           6.38%      2.26%
-----
Somewhat better off       33           78                   27                   64           63          265
                          20.75%      32.91%               19.42%          21.48%     17.80%
-----
About the same            75           101                   70                   144          188          578
                          47.17%      42.62%               50.36%          48.32%     53.11%
-----
Somewhat worse off        15           18                   19                   45           39           136
                          9.43%       7.59%                13.67%          15.10%     11.02%
-----
A lot worse off           5            8                   8                   8            28           57
                          3.14%       3.38%                5.76%           2.68%       7.91%
-----
Don't know                10           12                   9                   18           28           77
                          6.29%       5.06%                6.47%           6.04%       7.91%
-----

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	159	237	139	298	354	1187
	13.40%	19.97%	11.71%	25.11%	29.82%	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

Q	race									Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern		
A lot better off	48 6.76%	17 11.72%	8 2.87%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1 3.33%	0 0.00%		0 0.00%	74
Somewhat better off	189 26.62%	20 13.79%	40 14.34%	4 80.00%	2 20.00%	6 20.00%	4 44.44%		1 100.00%	266
About the same	339 47.75%	62 42.76%	158 56.63%	1 20.00%	5 50.00%	9 30.00%	4 44.44%		0 0.00%	578
Somewhat worse off	79 11.13%	15 10.34%	39 13.98%	0 0.00%	1 10.00%	2 6.67%	0 0.00%		0 0.00%	136
A lot worse off	20 2.82%	13 8.97%	16 5.73%	0 0.00%	1 10.00%	7 23.33%	1 11.11%		0 0.00%	58
Don't know	35 4.93%	18 12.41%	18 6.45%	0 0.00%	1 10.00%	5 16.67%	0 0.00%		0 0.00%	77
Total	710 59.71%	145 12.20%	279 23.47%	5 0.42%	10 0.84%	30 2.52%	9 0.76%		1 0.08%	1189

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q           MARITAL
           Married   Separated   Divorced   Widowed   Single   Domestic Partnership   Total
-----
A lot better off           47           2           2           2           16           6           75
                           7.14%        9.52%        1.74%        3.23%        6.02%           9.68%
-----
Somewhat better off        167           4           29          14          43           8           265
                           25.38%       19.05%       25.22%       22.58%       16.17%          12.90%
-----
About the same             331           8           53          33          122          30           577
                           50.30%       38.10%       46.09%       53.23%       45.86%          48.39%
-----
Somewhat worse off         68           4           14           7           32          10           135
                           10.33%       19.05%       12.17%       11.29%       12.03%          16.13%
-----
A lot worse off            21           1           6           2           23           4           57
                           3.19%        4.76%        5.22%        3.23%        8.65%           6.45%
-----
Don't know                 24           2           11           4           30           4           75
                           3.65%        9.52%        9.57%        6.45%       11.28%          6.45%
-----
Total                      658           21          115           62          266           62          1184
                           55.57%       1.77%        9.71%        5.24%       22.47%          5.24%
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

Q	gender		Total
	Male	Female	
A lot better off	33 5.91%	41 6.51%	74
Somewhat better off	149 26.70%	117 18.57%	266
About the same	290 51.97%	288 45.71%	578
Somewhat worse off	47 8.42%	89 14.13%	136
A lot worse off	26 4.66%	31 4.92%	57
Don't know	13 2.33%	64 10.16%	77
Total	558 46.97%	630 53.03%	1188

=====
 Cell Contents
 |-----|
 | Count |
Column Percent

Q	LOCATE			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
A lot better off	22 6.01%	32 5.54%	18 7.50%	72

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Somewhat better off	69 18.85%	141 24.39%	56 23.33%	266
About the same	179 48.91%	276 47.75%	121 50.42%	576
Somewhat worse off	50 13.66%	63 10.90%	23 9.58%	136
A lot worse off	17 4.64%	36 6.23%	4 1.67%	57
Don't know	29 7.92%	30 5.19%	18 7.50%	77
Total	366 30.91%	578 48.82%	240 20.27%	1184

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	metro Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	Total
A lot better off	20 6.67%	27 8.77%	12 10.53%	5 3.73%	11 3.30%	75
Somewhat better off	61 20.33%	81 26.30%	22 19.30%	31 23.13%	71 21.32%	266
About the same	141	141	50	65	181	578

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	47.00%	45.78%	43.86%	48.51%	54.35%	
Somewhat worse off	36 12.00%	27 8.77%	14 12.28%	19 14.18%	40 12.01%	136
A lot worse off	19 6.33%	16 5.19%	4 3.51%	6 4.48%	12 3.60%	57
Don't know	23 7.67%	16 5.19%	12 10.53%	8 5.97%	18 5.41%	77
Total	300 25.23%	308 25.90%	114 9.59%	134 11.27%	333 28.01%	1189

=====
Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
A lot better off	14 6.67%	28 8.67%	18 4.16%	15 6.73%	75
Somewhat better off	48 22.86%	46 14.24%	98 22.63%	73 32.74%	265
About the same	85 40.48%	179 55.42%	220 50.81%	95 42.60%	579
Somewhat worse off	31 14.76%	34 10.53%	45 10.39%	26 11.66%	136

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

A lot worse off	7 3.33%	15 4.64%	33 7.62%	3 1.35%	58

Don't know	25 11.90%	21 6.50%	19 4.39%	11 4.93%	76

Total	210 17.66%	323 27.17%	433 36.42%	223 18.76%	1189
=====					

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q15. Do you feel like you've been left behind by changes in the economy?

Cell Contents

	Count
	Column Percent

		LIBCON7							
Q		Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	Total
Yes		32 40.00%	32 30.19%	16 29.63%	163 37.13%	24 25.00%	62 30.39%	62 30.69%	391
No		40 50.00%	57 53.77%	25 46.30%	192 43.74%	56 58.33%	105 51.47%	99 49.01%	574
Don't know/No opinion		8 10.00%	17 16.04%	13 24.07%	84 19.13%	16 16.67%	37 18.14%	41 20.30%	216
Total		80 6.77%	106 8.98%	54 4.57%	439 37.17%	96 8.13%	204 17.27%	202 17.10%	1181

Cell Contents

	Count
	Column Percent

		IDEOL			
Q		Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives	Total
Yes		80 33.20%	163 37.13%	148 29.48%	391

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

No	122 50.62%	192 43.74%	260 51.79%	574
Don't know/No opinion	39 16.18%	84 19.13%	94 18.73%	217
Total	241 20.39%	439 37.14%	502 42.47%	1182

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	PID7							Total
	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	
Yes	77 32.35%	58 46.77%	31 27.43%	55 40.15%	50 33.11%	51 31.29%	62 28.44%	384
No	122 51.26%	43 34.68%	56 49.56%	62 45.26%	75 49.67%	73 44.79%	114 52.29%	545
Don't know/No opinion	39 16.39%	23 18.55%	26 23.01%	20 14.60%	26 17.22%	39 23.93%	42 19.27%	215
Total	238 20.80%	124 10.84%	113 9.88%	137 11.98%	151 13.20%	163 14.25%	218 19.06%	1144

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

```

=====
Q          PID3
          Democrats  Independents  Republicans  Total
-----
Yes          166          55          163          384
           34.95%       40.15%       30.64%
-----
No           221          62          262          545
           46.53%       45.26%       49.25%
-----
Don't know/No opinion  88          20          107          215
           18.53%       14.60%       20.11%
-----
Total        475          137          532          1144
           41.52%       11.98%       46.50%
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|          Count |
|      Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q          Q17A
          Republican candidate  Democratic candidate  Tea Party candidate  Don't know  Total
-----
Yes          103          173          50          71          397
           35.89%       37.86%       28.41%       25.82%
-----
No           132          213          96          135          576
           45.99%       46.61%       54.55%       49.09%
-----
Don't know/No opinion  52          71          30          69          222
           18.12%       15.54%       17.05%       25.09%
=====

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	287 24.02%	457 38.24%	176 14.73%	275 23.01%	1195
-------	---------------	---------------	---------------	---------------	------

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

	educ							Total
Q	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad		
Yes	27 51.92%	141 38.52%	111 37.37%	41 34.17%	57 23.27%	21 17.50%		398
No	10 19.23%	142 38.80%	136 45.79%	56 46.67%	150 61.22%	85 70.83%		579
Don't know/No opinion	15 28.85%	83 22.68%	50 16.84%	23 19.17%	38 15.51%	14 11.67%		223
Total	52 4.33%	366 30.50%	297 24.75%	120 10.00%	245 20.42%	120 10.00%		1200

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

	ATTEND					Total
Q	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Yes	52 32.70%	90 37.97%	63 44.37%	84 27.54%	109 30.79%	398
No	74 46.54%	108 45.57%	56 39.44%	159 52.13%	181 51.13%	578
Don't know/No opinion	33 20.75%	39 16.46%	23 16.20%	62 20.33%	64 18.08%	221
Total	159 13.28%	237 19.80%	142 11.86%	305 25.48%	354 29.57%	1197

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	race									Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern		
Yes	194 27.25%	46 31.51%	137 47.74%	0 0.00%	3 33.33%	12 40.00%	4 50.00%		2 100.00%	398
No	380 53.37%	75 51.37%	102 35.54%	4 80.00%	1 11.11%	14 46.67%	4 50.00%		0 0.00%	580
Don't know/No opinion	138 19.38%	25 17.12%	48 16.72%	1 20.00%	5 55.56%	4 13.33%	0 0.00%		0 0.00%	221
Total	712 59.38%	146 12.18%	287 23.94%	5 0.42%	9 0.75%	30 2.50%	8 0.67%		2 0.17%	1199

=====
Cell Contents

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	Count
	Column Percent

Q	MARITAL						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	
Yes	205 30.83%	4 20.00%	45 39.13%	25 40.98%	109 40.37%	9 14.52%	397
No	336 50.53%	10 50.00%	51 44.35%	18 29.51%	123 45.56%	36 58.06%	574
Don't know/No opinion	124 18.65%	6 30.00%	19 16.52%	18 29.51%	38 14.07%	17 27.42%	222
Total	665 55.74%	20 1.68%	115 9.64%	61 5.11%	270 22.63%	62 5.20%	1193

Cell Contents

	Count
	Column Percent

Q	gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Yes	194 34.64%	203 31.77%	397
No	296 52.86%	284 44.44%	580

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know/No opinion	70	152	222
	12.50%	23.79%	

Total	560	639	1199
	46.71%	53.29%	

=====

```

Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

=====

Q	LOCATE			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	

Yes	143	169	82	394
	38.54%	29.19%	34.31%	

No	159	304	113	576
	42.86%	52.50%	47.28%	

Don't know/No opinion	69	106	44	219
	18.60%	18.31%	18.41%	

Total	371	579	239	1189
	31.2%	48.7%	20.1%	

=====

```

Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

=====

metro

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	Total
Yes	109 36.09%	91 29.45%	47 40.87%	34 25.19%	117 34.51%	398
No	141 46.69%	163 52.75%	47 40.87%	68 50.37%	161 47.49%	580
Don't know/No opinion	52 17.22%	55 17.80%	21 18.26%	33 24.44%	61 17.99%	222
Total	302 25.17%	309 25.75%	115 9.58%	135 11.25%	339 28.25%	1200

=====
Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Yes	67 31.46%	100 30.86%	154 35.24%	77 34.22%	398
No	120 56.34%	160 49.38%	195 44.62%	104 46.22%	579
Don't know/No opinion	26 12.21%	64 19.75%	88 20.14%	44 19.56%	222
Total	213 17.76%	324 27.02%	437 36.45%	225 18.77%	1199

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q16. Most Trusted Branch of Government

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Th U.S. Cngrss, th lgsltv brn	7 8.75%	2 1.87%	2 3.77%	38 8.78%	4 4.21%	9 4.46%	16 7.92%	78
The President, th exctv brnch	7 8.75%	4 3.74%	3 5.66%	77 17.78%	24 25.26%	96 47.52%	114 56.44%	325
Th U.S. Sprm Crt, th jdcl brn	48 60.00%	70 65.42%	38 71.70%	158 36.49%	40 42.11%	42 20.79%	20 9.90%	416
Don't know	18 22.50%	31 28.97%	10 18.87%	160 36.95%	27 28.42%	55 27.23%	52 25.74%	353
Total	80 6.83%	107 9.13%	53 4.52%	433 36.95%	95 8.11%	202 17.24%	202 17.24%	1172

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	IDEOL			Total
	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives	

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

The U.S. Congress, the legislative branch	12 4.98%	38 8.78%	29 5.82%	79
The President, the executive branch	14 5.81%	77 17.78%	234 46.99%	325
The U.S. Supreme Court, the judicial branch	156 64.73%	158 36.49%	102 20.48%	416
Don't know	59 24.48%	160 36.95%	133 26.71%	352
Total	241 20.56%	433 36.95%	498 42.49%	1172

=====
 Cell Contents
 |-----|
 | Count |
Column Percent

Q	PID7							Total
	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	
The U.S. Congress, the legislative branch	11 4.60%	14 11.76%	12 10.53%	4 2.92%	6 4.00%	9 5.45%	20 9.26%	76
The President, the executive branch	18 7.53%	5 4.20%	4 3.51%	30 21.90%	70 46.67%	61 36.97%	127 58.80%	315
The U.S. Supreme Court, the judicial branch	122 51.05%	58 48.74%	70 61.40%	48 35.04%	37 24.67%	44 26.67%	27 12.50%	406
Don't know	88	42	28	55	37	51	42	343

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	36.82%	35.29%	24.56%	40.15%	24.67%	30.91%	19.44%	
Total	239	119	114	137	150	165	216	1140
	20.96%	10.44%	10.00%	12.02%	13.16%	14.47%	18.95%	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	PID3			Total
	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	
The U.S. Congress, the legislative branch	36 7.64%	4 2.92%	34 6.40%	74
The President, the executive branch	27 5.73%	30 21.90%	258 48.59%	315
The U.S. Supreme Court, the judicial branch	250 53.08%	48 35.04%	108 20.34%	406
Don't know	158 33.55%	55 40.15%	131 24.67%	344
Total	471 41.35%	137 12.03%	531 46.62%	1139

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	Q17A				Total
	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	
The U.S. Congress, the legislative branch	21 7.37%	39 8.65%	11 6.25%	10 3.64%	81
The President, the executive branch	137 48.07%	30 6.65%	100 56.82%	63 22.91%	330
The U.S. Supreme Court, the judicial branch	64 22.46%	246 54.55%	25 14.20%	89 32.36%	424
Don't know	63 22.11%	136 30.16%	40 22.73%	113 41.09%	352
Total	285 24.01%	451 37.99%	176 14.83%	275 23.17%	1187

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
The U.S. Congress, the legislative branch	5 9.62%	24 6.70%	16 5.41%	16 13.22%	16 6.53%	5 4.17%	82
The President, the executive branch	8 15.38%	110 30.73%	93 31.42%	31 25.62%	65 26.53%	23 19.17%	330
The U.S. Supreme Court, the judicial branch	18	101	95	38	113	60	425

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	34.62%	28.21%	32.09%	31.40%	46.12%	50.00%	
Don't know	21 40.38%	123 34.36%	92 31.08%	36 29.75%	51 20.82%	32 26.67%	355
Total	52 4.36%	358 30.03%	296 24.83%	121 10.15%	245 20.55%	120 10.07%	1192

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

Q	ATTEND					Total
	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	
The U.S. Congress, the legislatv brnch	22 13.75%	16 6.90%	19 13.48%	15 4.93%	10 2.82%	82
The President, the executive branch	51 31.87%	81 34.91%	36 25.53%	94 30.92%	70 19.77%	332
The U.S. Supreme Court, the jdcl brnch	36 22.50%	66 28.45%	55 39.01%	105 34.54%	160 45.20%	422
Don't know	51 31.87%	69 29.74%	31 21.99%	90 29.61%	114 32.20%	355
Total	160 13.43%	232 19.48%	141 11.84%	304 25.52%	354 29.72%	1191

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Column Percent

Q	race	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern	Total
Th U.S. Cngrss, th lgslt b		45 6.35%	12 8.22%	22 7.77%	0 0.00%	1 11.11%	1 3.33%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	81
The Prsdnt, th exctv brnch		244 34.41%	15 10.27%	56 19.79%	0 0.00%	4 44.44%	6 20.00%	4 50.00%	1 100.00%	330
Th U.S. Sprm Crt, th jdc b		245 34.56%	55 37.67%	106 37.46%	3 60.00%	4 44.44%	10 33.33%	2 25.00%	0 0.00%	425
Don't know		175 24.68%	64 43.84%	99 34.98%	2 40.00%	0 0.00%	13 43.33%	2 25.00%	0 0.00%	355
Total		709 59.53%	146 12.26%	283 23.76%	5 0.42%	9 0.76%	30 2.52%	8 0.67%	1 0.08%	1191

Cell Contents

|-----|
| Count |
Column Percent

Q	MARITAL	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	Total
The U.S. Congress, the legislative branch		52 7.82%	3 14.29%	10 8.62%	2 3.33%	10 3.80%	3 4.84%	80
The President, the executive branch		205	5	27	22	56	16	331

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	30.83%	23.81%	23.28%	36.67%	21.29%	25.81%	
The U.S. Supreme Court, the judicial branch	229 34.44%	7 33.33%	37 31.90%	15 25.00%	109 41.44%	27 43.55%	424
Don't know	179 26.92%	6 28.57%	42 36.21%	21 35.00%	88 33.46%	16 25.81%	352
Total	665 56.02%	21 1.77%	116 9.77%	60 5.05%	263 22.16%	62 5.22%	1187

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	gender		Total
	Male	Female	
The U.S. Congress, the legislative branch	38 6.83%	43 6.75%	81
The President, the executive branch	171 30.76%	161 25.27%	332
The U.S. Supreme Court, the judicial branch	216 38.85%	208 32.65%	424
Don't know	131 23.56%	225 35.32%	356
Total	556 46.61%	637 53.39%	1193

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	LOCATE			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
The U.S. Congress, the legislative branch	45 12.30%	28 4.81%	7 2.95%	80
The President, the executive branch	73 19.95%	163 28.01%	93 39.24%	329
The U.S. Supreme Court, the judicial branch	128 34.97%	221 37.97%	73 30.80%	422
Don't know	120 32.79%	170 29.21%	64 27.00%	354
Total	366 30.89%	582 49.11%	237 20.00%	1185

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	metro					Total
	Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	
The U.S. Congress, the legsltv brnch	26	11	7	9	27	80

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	8.81%	3.57%	6.14%	6.72%	7.96%	
The President, the executive branch	74 25.08%	87 28.25%	34 29.82%	27 20.15%	109 32.15%	331
The U.S. Supreme Cort, th jdcl brnch	116 39.32%	112 36.36%	28 24.56%	67 50.00%	101 29.79%	424
Don't know	79 26.78%	98 31.82%	45 39.47%	31 23.13%	102 30.09%	355
Total	295 24.79%	308 25.88%	114 9.58%	134 11.26%	339 28.49%	1190

Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent
-------	----------------

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
The U.S. Congress, the legislative branch	23 11.00%	38 11.80%	15 3.44%	5 2.22%	81
The President, the executive branch	47 22.49%	56 17.39%	127 29.13%	101 44.89%	331
The U.S. Supreme Court, the judicial branch	79 37.80%	133 41.30%	154 35.32%	58 25.78%	424
Don't know	60 28.71%	95 29.50%	140 32.11%	61 27.11%	356

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	209	322	436	225	1192
	17.53%	27.01%	36.58%	18.88%	

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q16A.A. Fav/Unfav: The Military

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Very favorable	25 31.65%	34 31.78%	19 35.19%	172 39.45%	51 53.68%	140 69.31%	155 76.35%	596
Somewhat favorable	18 22.78%	36 33.64%	23 42.59%	125 28.67%	28 29.47%	41 20.30%	33 16.26%	304
Neither favorable nor unfvrbl	16 20.25%	20 18.69%	7 12.96%	84 19.27%	7 7.37%	14 6.93%	8 3.94%	156
Somewhat unfavorable	15 18.99%	7 6.54%	4 7.41%	19 4.36%	4 4.21%	3 1.49%	1 0.49%	53
Very unfavorable	3 3.80%	5 4.67%	0 0.00%	17 3.90%	3 3.16%	2 0.99%	2 0.99%	32
Don't know/no opinion	2 2.53%	5 4.67%	1 1.85%	19 4.36%	2 2.11%	2 0.99%	4 1.97%	35
Total	79 6.72%	107 9.10%	54 4.59%	436 37.07%	95 8.08%	202 17.18%	203 17.26%	1176

Cell Contents

Count

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Column Percent

```

=====
Q               IDEOL
                Liberals  Moderates  Conservatives  Total
-----
Very favorable      78      172      346      596
                   32.50%   39.45%   69.20%
-----
Somewhat favorable  77      125      102      304
                   32.08%   28.67%   20.40%
-----
Neither favorable nor unfavorable  43      84      29      156
                   17.92%   19.27%   5.80%
-----
Somewhat unfavorable  26      19      8      53
                   10.83%   4.36%   1.60%
-----
Very unfavorable    9      17      7      33
                   3.75%   3.90%   1.40%
-----
Don't know/no opinion  7      19      8      34
                   2.92%   4.36%   1.60%
-----
Total              240      436      500      1176
                   20.41%   37.07%   42.52%
=====

```

```

Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

=====

PID7

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	Total
Very favorable	105 44.30%	33 26.40%	30 26.55%	53 38.69%	98 65.77%	88 53.01%	167 76.61%	574
Somewhat favorable	62 26.16%	49 39.20%	40 35.40%	30 21.90%	33 22.15%	50 30.12%	40 18.35%	304
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	41 17.30%	28 22.40%	22 19.47%	24 17.52%	13 8.72%	15 9.04%	7 3.21%	150
Somewhat unfavorable	12 5.06%	8 6.40%	18 15.93%	7 5.11%	2 1.34%	2 1.20%	2 0.92%	51
Very unfavorable	5 2.11%	6 4.80%	3 2.65%	13 9.49%	1 0.67%	1 0.60%	1 0.46%	30
Don't know/no opinion	12 5.06%	1 0.80%	0 0.00%	10 7.30%	2 1.34%	10 6.02%	1 0.46%	36
Total	237 20.70%	125 10.92%	113 9.87%	137 11.97%	149 13.01%	166 14.50%	218 19.04%	1145

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	PID3			Total
	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	
Very favorable	168 35.37%	53 38.69%	353 66.35%	574

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Somewhat favorable	152 32.00%	30 21.90%	123 23.12%	305

Neither favorable nor unfavorable	91 19.16%	24 17.52%	36 6.77%	151

Somewhat unfavorable	38 8.00%	7 5.11%	5 0.94%	50

Very unfavorable	13 2.74%	13 9.49%	3 0.56%	29

Don't know/no opinion	13 2.74%	10 7.30%	12 2.26%	35

Total	475 41.52%	137 11.98%	532 46.50%	1144

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|       Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q17A
Q      Republican candidate   Democratic candidate   Tea Party candidate   Don't know   Total
-----
Very favorable                184                164                136                118        602
                             64.11%            35.89%            76.84%            43.38%
-----
Somewhat favorable           75                152                27                 59        313
                             26.13%            33.26%            15.25%            21.69%
-----
Neither favorable nor unfavorable  18                91                 5                 44        158
                             6.27%            19.91%            2.82%            16.18%

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Somewhat unfavorable	4 1.39%	27 5.91%	5 2.82%	15 5.51%	51
Very unfavorable	1 0.35%	11 2.41%	1 0.56%	20 7.35%	33
Don't know/no opinion	5 1.74%	12 2.63%	3 1.69%	16 5.88%	36
Total	287 24.06%	457 38.31%	177 14.84%	272 22.80%	1193

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Very favorable	13 24.53%	218 59.89%	137 46.28%	59 49.17%	122 50.41%	53 44.17%	602
Somewhat favorable	15 28.30%	75 20.60%	89 30.07%	21 17.50%	70 28.93%	42 35.00%	312
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	19 35.85%	36 9.89%	37 12.50%	27 22.50%	30 12.40%	9 7.50%	158
Somewhat unfavorable	0 0.00%	15 4.12%	13 4.39%	8 6.67%	10 4.13%	7 5.83%	53
Very unfavorable	0	9	10	5	6	4	34

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	0.00%	2.47%	3.38%	4.17%	2.48%	3.33%	
Don't know/no opinion	6 11.32%	11 3.02%	10 3.38%	0 0.00%	4 1.65%	5 4.17%	36
Total	53 4.44%	364 30.46%	296 24.77%	120 10.04%	242 20.25%	120 10.04%	1195

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
| Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	ATTEND					Total
	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	
Very favorable	85 53.80%	152 64.41%	71 50.35%	144 47.06%	148 41.93%	600
Somewhat favorable	46 29.11%	50 21.19%	37 26.24%	100 32.68%	80 22.66%	313
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	15 9.49%	21 8.90%	23 16.31%	24 7.84%	75 21.25%	158
Somewhat unfavorable	4 2.53%	8 3.39%	4 2.84%	11 3.59%	27 7.65%	54
Very unfavorable	3 1.90%	5 2.12%	4 2.84%	12 3.92%	9 2.55%	33
Don't know/no opinion	5 3.16%	0 0.00%	2 1.42%	15 4.90%	14 3.97%	36

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	158 13.23%	236 19.77%	141 11.81%	306 25.63%	353 29.56%	1194
-------	---------------	---------------	---------------	---------------	---------------	------

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

Q	race									Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern		
Very favorable	390 54.85%	64 44.14%	118 41.11%	1 20.00%	6 66.67%	17 56.67%	4 57.14%	1 100.00%		601
Somewhat favorable	203 28.55%	36 24.83%	68 23.69%	1 20.00%	0 0.00%	4 13.33%	1 14.29%	0 0.00%		313
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	62 8.72%	27 18.62%	60 20.91%	2 40.00%	2 22.22%	5 16.67%	1 14.29%	0 0.00%		159
Somewhat unfavorable	25 3.52%	4 2.76%	20 6.97%	0 0.00%	1 11.11%	3 10.00%	1 14.29%	0 0.00%		54
Very unfavorable	14 1.97%	13 8.97%	6 2.09%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1 3.33%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%		34
Don't know/no opinion	17 2.39%	1 0.69%	15 5.23%	1 20.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%		34
Total	711 59.50%	145 12.13%	287 24.02%	5 0.42%	9 0.75%	30 2.51%	7 0.59%	1 0.08%		1195

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	Count
	Column Percent

Q	MARITAL						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	
Very favorable	355 53.46%	9 42.86%	51 44.35%	45 75.00%	109 40.52%	33 53.23%	602
Somewhat favorable	183 27.56%	7 33.33%	41 35.65%	7 11.67%	59 21.93%	15 24.19%	312
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	75 11.30%	2 9.52%	8 6.96%	4 6.67%	56 20.82%	12 19.35%	157
Somewhat unfavorable	24 3.61%	2 9.52%	7 6.09%	1 1.67%	19 7.06%	1 1.61%	54
Very unfavorable	16 2.41%	1 4.76%	1 0.87%	0 0.00%	15 5.58%	0 0.00%	33
Don't know/no opinion	11 1.66%	0 0.00%	7 6.09%	3 5.00%	11 4.09%	1 1.61%	33
Total	664 55.75%	21 1.76%	115 9.66%	60 5.04%	269 22.59%	62 5.21%	1191

Cell Contents

	Count
	Column Percent

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Very favorable	295 52.77%	307 48.35%	602
Somewhat favorable	147 26.30%	165 25.98%	312
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	76 13.60%	82 12.91%	158
Somewhat unfavorable	21 3.76%	32 5.04%	53
Very unfavorable	14 2.50%	19 2.99%	33
Don't know/no opinion	6 1.07%	30 4.72%	36
Total	559 46.82%	635 53.18%	1194

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	LOCATE			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Very favorable	161 43.51%	296 51.12%	141 59.49%	598

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Somewhat favorable	122 32.97%	153 26.42%	37 15.61%	312
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	42 11.35%	70 12.09%	42 17.72%	154
Somewhat unfavorable	20 5.41%	27 4.66%	6 2.53%	53
Very unfavorable	11 2.97%	17 2.94%	5 2.11%	33
Don't know/no opinion	14 3.78%	16 2.76%	6 2.53%	36
Total	370 31.20%	579 48.82%	237 19.98%	1186

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	metro					Total
	Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	
Very favorable	143 47.51%	163 53.27%	61 53.51%	58 43.28%	177 52.37%	602
Somewhat favorable	97 32.23%	65 21.24%	29 25.44%	46 34.33%	74 21.89%	311
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	34	47	6	18	53	158

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	11.30%	15.36%	5.26%	13.43%	15.68%	
Somewhat unfavorable	9 2.99%	15 4.90%	3 2.63%	6 4.48%	20 5.92%	53
Very unfavorable	8 2.66%	6 1.96%	8 7.02%	2 1.49%	9 2.66%	33
Don't know/no opinion	10 3.32%	10 3.27%	7 6.14%	4 2.99%	5 1.48%	36
Total	301 25.23%	306 25.65%	114 9.56%	134 11.23%	338 28.33%	1193

Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent
-------	----------------

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Very favorable	82 38.32%	134 41.88%	245 56.45%	140 61.95%	601
Somewhat favorable	53 24.77%	86 26.88%	115 26.50%	58 25.66%	312
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	41 19.16%	53 16.56%	51 11.75%	13 5.75%	158
Somewhat unfavorable	20 9.35%	19 5.94%	9 2.07%	6 2.65%	54

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Very unfavorable	13 6.07%	13 4.06%	5 1.15%	2 0.88%	33

Don't know/no opinion	5 2.34%	15 4.69%	9 2.07%	7 3.10%	36

Total	214 17.92%	320 26.80%	434 36.35%	226 18.93%	1194
=====					

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q16A.B. Fav/Unfav: The Police

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Very favorable	7 8.75%	10 9.52%	12 22.64%	104 23.85%	33 35.11%	99 48.53%	126 62.38%	391
Somewhat favorable	15 18.75%	38 36.19%	14 26.42%	150 34.40%	31 32.98%	69 33.82%	48 23.76%	365
Neither favorable nor unfvrbl	13 16.25%	24 22.86%	12 22.64%	80 18.35%	18 19.15%	16 7.84%	18 8.91%	181
Somewhat unfavorable	19 23.75%	17 16.19%	12 22.64%	34 7.80%	8 8.51%	12 5.88%	1 0.50%	103
Very unfavorable	24 30.00%	12 11.43%	3 5.66%	49 11.24%	2 2.13%	7 3.43%	5 2.48%	102
Don't know/no opinion	2 2.50%	4 3.81%	0 0.00%	19 4.36%	2 2.13%	1 0.49%	4 1.98%	32
Total	80 6.81%	105 8.94%	53 4.51%	436 37.14%	94 8.01%	204 17.38%	202 17.21%	1174

Cell Contents

Count

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Column Percent

```

=====
Q               IDEOL
                Liberals  Moderates  Conservatives  Total
-----
Very favorable          29      104      258      391
                    12.13%   23.85%   51.70%
-----
Somewhat favorable     66      150      148      364
                    27.62%   34.40%   29.66%
-----
Neither favorable nor  50      80      51      181
unfavorable           20.92%  18.35%  10.22%
-----
Somewhat unfavorable   49      34      21      104
                    20.50%   7.80%   4.21%
-----
Very unfavorable       39      49      14      102
                    16.32%  11.24%   2.81%
-----
Don't know/no opinion   6      19      7      32
                    2.51%   4.36%   1.40%
-----
Total                  239     436     499    1174
                    20.36%  37.14%  42.50%
=====

```

```

Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

PID7

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	Total
Very favorable	49 20.68%	15 12.20%	5 4.42%	27 19.57%	69 46.00%	78 47.85%	135 62.79%	378
Somewhat favorable	73 30.80%	53 43.09%	29 25.66%	41 29.71%	45 30.00%	52 31.90%	55 25.58%	348
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	47 19.83%	23 18.70%	37 32.74%	25 18.12%	17 11.33%	6 3.68%	16 7.44%	171
Somewhat unfavorable	28 11.81%	12 9.76%	27 23.89%	17 12.32%	12 8.00%	7 4.29%	2 0.93%	105
Very unfavorable	31 13.08%	15 12.20%	14 12.39%	23 16.67%	7 4.67%	12 7.36%	4 1.86%	106
Don't know/no opinion	9 3.80%	5 4.07%	1 0.88%	5 3.62%	0 0.00%	8 4.91%	3 1.40%	31
Total	237 20.81%	123 10.80%	113 9.92%	138 12.12%	150 13.17%	163 14.31%	215 18.88%	1139

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	PID3			Total
	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	
Very favorable	69 14.59%	27 19.57%	283 53.40%	379

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Somewhat favorable	156 32.98%	41 29.71%	153 28.87%	350
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	106 22.41%	25 18.12%	39 7.36%	170
Somewhat unfavorable	67 14.16%	17 12.32%	21 3.96%	105
Very unfavorable	60 12.68%	23 16.67%	23 4.34%	106
Don't know/no opinion	15 3.17%	5 3.62%	11 2.08%	31
Total	473 41.45%	138 12.09%	530 46.45%	1141

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q17A					
Q	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	Total
Very favorable	151 52.80%	72 15.75%	95 54.60%	78 28.47%	396
Somewhat favorable	79 27.62%	153 33.48%	53 30.46%	82 29.93%	367
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	23 8.04%	84 18.38%	11 6.32%	64 23.36%	182

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Somewhat unfavorable	11 3.85%	75 16.41%	3 1.72%	18 6.57%	107
Very unfavorable	15 5.24%	61 13.35%	9 5.17%	23 8.39%	108
Don't know/no opinion	7 2.45%	12 2.63%	3 1.72%	9 3.28%	31
Total	286 24.01%	457 38.37%	174 14.61%	274 23.01%	1191

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Very favorable	5 9.62%	134 36.81%	90 30.51%	41 34.45%	95 38.93%	30 25.86%	395
Somewhat favorable	21 40.38%	101 27.75%	98 33.22%	31 26.05%	68 27.87%	51 43.97%	370
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	5 9.62%	62 17.03%	42 14.24%	22 18.49%	38 15.57%	12 10.34%	181
Somewhat unfavorable	6 11.54%	12 3.30%	33 11.19%	17 14.29%	27 11.07%	11 9.48%	106
Very unfavorable	5	46	26	8	13	9	107

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	9.62%	12.64%	8.81%	6.72%	5.33%	7.76%	
Don't know/no opinion	10 19.23%	9 2.47%	6 2.03%	0 0.00%	3 1.23%	3 2.59%	31
Total	52 4.37%	364 30.59%	295 24.79%	119 10.00%	244 20.50%	116 9.75%	1190

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

	ATTEND						
Q	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total	
Very favorable	71 44.65%	110 46.81%	36 25.71%	96 31.58%	83 23.58%	396	
Somewhat favorable	45 28.30%	67 28.51%	50 35.71%	100 32.89%	106 30.11%	368	
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	21 13.21%	25 10.64%	20 14.29%	40 13.16%	75 21.31%	181	
Somewhat unfavorable	9 5.66%	10 4.26%	18 12.86%	28 9.21%	41 11.65%	106	
Very unfavorable	8 5.03%	17 7.23%	16 11.43%	32 10.53%	35 9.94%	108	
Don't know/no opinion	5 3.14%	6 2.55%	0 0.00%	8 2.63%	12 3.41%	31	

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	159 13.36%	235 19.75%	140 11.76%	304 25.55%	352 29.58%	1190
-------	---------------	---------------	---------------	---------------	---------------	------

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

Q	race								Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern	
Very favorable	298 41.91%	20 13.99%	60 20.91%	0 0.00%	6 66.67%	12 40.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	396
Somewhat favorable	222 31.22%	23 16.08%	113 39.37%	2 40.00%	0 0.00%	7 23.33%	2 33.33%	1 100.00%	370
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	82 11.53%	44 30.77%	44 15.33%	1 20.00%	2 22.22%	6 20.00%	3 50.00%	0 0.00%	182
Somewhat unfavorable	59 8.30%	14 9.79%	30 10.45%	1 20.00%	0 0.00%	2 6.67%	1 16.67%	0 0.00%	107
Very unfavorable	37 5.20%	41 28.67%	25 8.71%	0 0.00%	1 11.11%	3 10.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	107
Don't know/no opinion	13 1.83%	1 0.70%	15 5.23%	1 20.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	30
Total	711 59.65%	143 12.00%	287 24.08%	5 0.42%	9 0.76%	30 2.52%	6 0.50%	1 0.08%	1192

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

|           Count |
|   Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q           MARITAL
Married   Separated   Divorced   Widowed   Single   Domestic Partnership   Total
-----
Very favorable      262         4         28         25         65         11       395
                   39.28%    20.00%    25.00%    40.98%    24.16%         18.03%
-----
Somewhat favorable  225         5         33         18         66         23       370
                   33.73%    25.00%    29.46%    29.51%    24.54%         37.70%
-----
Neither favorable nor unfavorable  70         6         18         13         62         12       181
                   10.49%    30.00%    16.07%    21.31%    23.05%         19.67%
-----
Somewhat unfavorable  55         3         16         0         27         5       106
                   8.25%    15.00%    14.29%    0.00%    10.04%         8.20%
-----
Very unfavorable     42         2         14         1         38         9       106
                   6.30%    10.00%    12.50%    1.64%    14.13%         14.75%
-----
Don't know/no opinion  13         0         3         4         11         1       32
                   1.95%    0.00%    2.68%    6.56%    4.09%         1.64%
-----
Total                667         20        112         61        269         61      1190
                   56.05%    1.68%    9.41%    5.13%    22.61%         5.13%
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|           Count |
|   Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

Q	gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Very favorable	186 33.39%	210 33.02%	396
Somewhat favorable	164 29.44%	206 32.39%	370
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	79 14.18%	103 16.19%	182
Somewhat unfavorable	61 10.95%	45 7.08%	106
Very unfavorable	58 10.41%	50 7.86%	108
Don't know/no opinion	9 1.62%	22 3.46%	31
Total	557 46.69%	636 53.31%	1193

=====
 Cell Contents
 |-----|
 | Count |
Column Percent

Q	LOCATE			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Very favorable	95 26.03%	206 35.46%	91 38.40%	392

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Somewhat favorable	122 33.42%	179 30.81%	67 28.27%	368
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	61 16.71%	84 14.46%	33 13.92%	178
Somewhat unfavorable	34 9.32%	54 9.29%	18 7.59%	106
Very unfavorable	39 10.68%	45 7.75%	24 10.13%	108
Don't know/no opinion	14 3.84%	13 2.24%	4 1.69%	31
Total	365 30.85%	581 49.11%	237 20.03%	1183

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	metro					Total
	Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	
Very favorable	96 32.32%	108 35.06%	39 34.51%	33 24.44%	119 35.21%	395
Somewhat favorable	103 34.68%	93 30.19%	26 23.01%	42 31.11%	106 31.36%	370
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	41	45	16	24	57	183

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	13.80%	14.61%	14.16%	17.78%	16.86%	
Somewhat unfavorable	23 7.74%	32 10.39%	7 6.19%	15 11.11%	28 8.28%	105
Very unfavorable	28 9.43%	28 9.09%	19 16.81%	12 8.89%	21 6.21%	108
Don't know/no opinion	6 2.02%	2 0.65%	6 5.31%	9 6.67%	7 2.07%	30
Total	297 24.94%	308 25.86%	113 9.49%	135 11.34%	338 28.38%	1191

Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Very favorable	55 25.70%	77 23.91%	165 38.02%	99 44.00%	396
Somewhat favorable	59 27.57%	113 35.09%	127 29.26%	71 31.56%	370
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	44 20.56%	50 15.53%	52 11.98%	37 16.44%	183
Somewhat unfavorable	33 15.42%	29 9.01%	36 8.29%	8 3.56%	106

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Very unfavorable	18	36	47	7	108
	8.41%	11.18%	10.83%	3.11%	

Don't know/no opinion	5	17	7	3	32
	2.34%	5.28%	1.61%	1.33%	

Total	214	322	434	225	1195
	17.91%	26.95%	36.32%	18.83%	
=====					

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q16A.C. Fav/Unfav: The courts and the criminal Justice System

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Very favorable	4 5.00%	4 3.81%	7 13.21%	25 5.72%	5 5.21%	17 8.37%	23 11.50%	85
Somewhat favorable	16 20.00%	37 35.24%	15 28.30%	142 32.49%	33 34.38%	74 36.45%	67 33.50%	384
Neither favorable nor unfvrbl	14 17.50%	25 23.81%	17 32.08%	130 29.75%	21 21.88%	41 20.20%	48 24.00%	296
Somewhat unfavorable	30 37.50%	19 18.10%	9 16.98%	63 14.42%	24 25.00%	43 21.18%	39 19.50%	227
Very unfavorable	14 17.50%	17 16.19%	4 7.55%	62 14.19%	11 11.46%	27 13.30%	22 11.00%	157
Don't know/no opinion	2 2.50%	3 2.86%	1 1.89%	15 3.43%	2 2.08%	1 0.49%	1 0.50%	25
Total	80 6.81%	105 8.94%	53 4.51%	437 37.22%	96 8.18%	203 17.29%	200 17.04%	1174

Cell Contents

Count

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Column Percent

```

=====
Q               IDEOL
                Liberals  Moderates  Conservatives  Total
-----
Very favorable          15         25         44         84
                       6.28%    5.72%    8.78%
-----
Somewhat favorable     68        142        175        385
                       28.45%   32.49%   34.93%
-----
Neither favorable nor  56         130        110        296
unfavorable            23.43%   29.75%   21.96%
-----
Somewhat unfavorable   58         63         106        227
                       24.27%   14.42%   21.16%
-----
Very unfavorable       36         62         61         159
                       15.06%   14.19%   12.18%
-----
Don't know/no opinion   6          15         5          26
                       2.51%    3.43%    1.00%
-----
Total                  239        437        501       1177
                       20.31%   37.13%   42.57%
=====

```

```

Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

PID7

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	Total
Very favorable	26 10.92%	6 4.84%	2 1.79%	2 1.46%	5 3.31%	10 6.06%	23 10.70%	74
Somewhat favorable	68 28.57%	46 37.10%	31 27.68%	34 24.82%	62 41.06%	59 35.76%	80 37.21%	380
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	58 24.37%	38 30.65%	36 32.14%	28 20.44%	40 26.49%	41 24.85%	47 21.86%	288
Somewhat unfavorable	46 19.33%	16 12.90%	26 23.21%	34 24.82%	31 20.53%	27 16.36%	44 20.47%	224
Very unfavorable	34 14.29%	18 14.52%	16 14.29%	34 24.82%	13 8.61%	20 12.12%	17 7.91%	152
Don't know/no opinion	6 2.52%	0 0.00%	1 0.89%	5 3.65%	0 0.00%	8 4.85%	4 1.86%	24
Total	238 20.84%	124 10.86%	112 9.81%	137 12.00%	151 13.22%	165 14.45%	215 18.83%	1142

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	PID3			Total
	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	
Very favorable	34 7.17%	2 1.46%	38 7.17%	74

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Somewhat favorable	145 30.59%	34 24.82%	201 37.92%	380
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	132 27.85%	28 20.44%	127 23.96%	287
Somewhat unfavorable	88 18.57%	34 24.82%	102 19.25%	224
Very unfavorable	68 14.35%	34 24.82%	50 9.43%	152
Don't know/no opinion	7 1.48%	5 3.65%	12 2.26%	24
Total	474 41.54%	137 12.01%	530 46.45%	1141

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q17A

Q	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	Total
Very favorable	32 11.15%	38 8.33%	4 2.29%	11 4.03%	85
Somewhat favorable	115 40.07%	127 27.85%	54 30.86%	95 34.80%	391
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	58 20.21%	126 27.63%	44 25.14%	69 25.27%	297

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Somewhat unfavorable	49 17.07%	92 20.18%	46 26.29%	46 16.85%	233
Very unfavorable	26 9.06%	65 14.25%	27 15.43%	42 15.38%	160
Don't know/no opinion	7 2.44%	8 1.75%	0 0.00%	10 3.66%	25
Total	287 24.10%	456 38.29%	175 14.69%	273 22.92%	1191

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	educ							Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad		
Very favorable	0 0.00%	40 10.93%	13 4.41%	6 5.04%	18 7.35%	9 7.69%	86	
Somewhat favorable	11 21.15%	114 31.15%	94 31.86%	42 35.29%	83 33.88%	46 39.32%	390	
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	17 32.69%	91 24.86%	71 24.07%	30 25.21%	58 23.67%	33 28.21%	300	
Somewhat unfavorable	1 1.92%	65 17.76%	59 20.00%	23 19.33%	65 26.53%	20 17.09%	233	
Very unfavorable	19	47	52	17	19	6	160	

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	36.54%	12.84%	17.63%	14.29%	7.76%	5.13%	
Don't know/no opinion	4 7.69%	9 2.46%	6 2.03%	1 0.84%	2 0.82%	3 2.56%	25
Total	52 4.36%	366 30.65%	295 24.71%	119 9.97%	245 20.52%	117 9.80%	1194

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
| Column Percent |
|-----|

```

	ATTEND						
Q	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total	
Very favorable	8 5.03%	31 13.25%	13 9.29%	20 6.58%	11 3.12%	83	
Somewhat favorable	66 41.51%	73 31.20%	32 22.86%	101 33.22%	119 33.71%	391	
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	39 24.53%	66 28.21%	38 27.14%	71 23.36%	85 24.08%	299	
Somewhat unfavorable	23 14.47%	39 16.67%	43 30.71%	66 21.71%	61 17.28%	232	
Very unfavorable	20 12.58%	24 10.26%	14 10.00%	38 12.50%	64 18.13%	160	
Don't know/no opinion	3 1.89%	1 0.43%	0 0.00%	8 2.63%	13 3.68%	25	

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	159 13.36%	234 19.66%	140 11.76%	304 25.55%	353 29.66%	1190
-------	---------------	---------------	---------------	---------------	---------------	------

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q           race
           White / Blanco   Black   Hispanic   Asian   Native American   Mixed   Other   Middle Eastern   Total
-----
Very favorable           46      14      22      1           0      1      0           0      84
                        6.46%   9.72%   7.67%  25.00%           0.00%   3.45%   0.00%           0.00%
-----
Somewhat favorable      258      26      90      2           3      11     2           0     392
                        36.24%  18.06%  31.36%  50.00%           33.33%  37.93%  33.33%           0.00%
-----
Neither favorable nor unfavorable      168      32      90      0           1      7      0           2     300
                        23.60%  22.22%  31.36%  0.00%           11.11%  24.14%   0.00%           100.00%
-----
Somewhat unfavorable    153      31      35      0           3      9      1           0     232
                        21.49%  21.53%  12.20%  0.00%           33.33%  31.03%  16.67%           0.00%
-----
Very unfavorable        78      40      36      0           2      1      3           0     160
                        10.96%  27.78%  12.54%  0.00%           22.22%   3.45%  50.00%           0.00%
-----
Don't know/no opinion     9        1      14      1           0      0      0           0     25
                        1.26%   0.69%   4.88%  25.00%           0.00%   0.00%   0.00%           0.00%
-----
Total                    712      144      287      4           9      29      6           2    1193
                        59.68%  12.07%  24.06%  0.34%           0.75%   2.43%   0.50%           0.17%
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	Count
	Column Percent

Q	MARITAL						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	
Very favorable	41 6.18%	3 14.29%	6 5.31%	5 8.20%	29 10.78%	2 3.12%	86
Somewhat favorable	244 36.80%	4 19.05%	33 29.20%	18 29.51%	61 22.68%	30 46.88%	390
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	165 24.89%	5 23.81%	24 21.24%	17 27.87%	78 29.00%	10 15.62%	299
Somewhat unfavorable	123 18.55%	6 28.57%	24 21.24%	11 18.03%	55 20.45%	13 20.31%	232
Very unfavorable	81 12.22%	3 14.29%	23 20.35%	6 9.84%	38 14.13%	8 12.50%	159
Don't know/no opinion	9 1.36%	0 0.00%	3 2.65%	4 6.56%	8 2.97%	1 1.56%	25
Total	663 55.67%	21 1.76%	113 9.49%	61 5.12%	269 22.59%	64 5.37%	1191

Cell Contents

	Count
	Column Percent

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Very favorable	43 7.69%	42 6.60%	85
Somewhat favorable	189 33.81%	202 31.76%	391
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	134 23.97%	166 26.10%	300
Somewhat unfavorable	116 20.75%	117 18.40%	233
Very unfavorable	71 12.70%	90 14.15%	161
Don't know/no opinion	6 1.07%	19 2.99%	25
Total	559 46.78%	636 53.22%	1195

=====
Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	LOCATE			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Very favorable	41 11.20%	27 4.66%	16 6.67%	84

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Somewhat favorable	122 33.33%	181 31.21%	83 34.58%	386
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	83 22.68%	155 26.72%	60 25.00%	298
Somewhat unfavorable	62 16.94%	127 21.90%	44 18.33%	233
Very unfavorable	47 12.84%	78 13.45%	35 14.58%	160
Don't know/no opinion	11 3.01%	12 2.07%	2 0.83%	25
Total	366 30.86%	580 48.90%	240 20.24%	1186

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	metro					Total
	Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	
Very favorable	16 5.35%	24 7.77%	9 7.89%	11 8.33%	25 7.33%	85
Somewhat favorable	104 34.78%	96 31.07%	30 26.32%	48 36.36%	112 32.84%	390
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	65	69	32	30	105	301

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	21.74%	22.33%	28.07%	22.73%	30.79%	
Somewhat unfavorable	68 22.74%	69 22.33%	16 14.04%	22 16.67%	57 16.72%	232
Very unfavorable	41 13.71%	49 15.86%	19 16.67%	17 12.88%	35 10.26%	161
Don't know/no opinion	5 1.67%	2 0.65%	8 7.02%	4 3.03%	7 2.05%	26
Total	299 25.02%	309 25.86%	114 9.54%	132 11.05%	341 28.54%	1195

Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Very favorable	26 12.15%	24 7.43%	21 4.83%	14 6.22%	85
Somewhat favorable	62 28.97%	101 31.27%	149 34.25%	80 35.56%	392
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	55 25.70%	83 25.70%	114 26.21%	49 21.78%	301
Somewhat unfavorable	38 17.76%	55 17.03%	82 18.85%	58 25.78%	233

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Very unfavorable	27 12.62%	47 14.55%	65 14.94%	21 9.33%	160

Don't know/no opinion	6 2.80%	13 4.02%	4 0.92%	3 1.33%	26

Total	214 17.88%	323 26.98%	435 36.34%	225 18.80%	1197
=====					

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q16A.D. Fav/Unfav: The federal government

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Very favorable	4 4.94%	4 3.81%	1 1.85%	13 2.98%	4 4.21%	8 3.90%	22 10.95%	56
Somewhat favorable	14 17.28%	14 13.33%	12 22.22%	69 15.83%	16 16.84%	53 25.85%	41 20.40%	219
Neither favorable nor unfvrbl	16 19.75%	18 17.14%	10 18.52%	130 29.82%	22 23.16%	38 18.54%	43 21.39%	277
Somewhat unfavorable	14 17.28%	31 29.52%	14 25.93%	96 22.02%	32 33.68%	54 26.34%	36 17.91%	277
Very unfavorable	32 39.51%	34 32.38%	16 29.63%	108 24.77%	17 17.89%	51 24.88%	52 25.87%	310
Don't know/no opinion	1 1.23%	4 3.81%	1 1.85%	20 4.59%	4 4.21%	1 0.49%	7 3.48%	38
Total	81 6.88%	105 8.92%	54 4.59%	436 37.04%	95 8.07%	205 17.42%	201 17.08%	1177

Cell Contents

Count

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Column Percent

```

=====
                                IDEOL
Q                                Liberals  Moderates  Conservatives  Total
-----
Very favorable                    8           13           34           55
                                3.36%      2.98%      6.80%
-----
Somewhat favorable                40           69           110          219
                                16.81%     15.83%     22.00%
-----
Neither favorable nor unfavorable  44           130          102          276
                                18.49%     29.82%     20.40%
-----
Somewhat unfavorable              58           96           122          276
                                24.37%     22.02%     24.40%
-----
Very unfavorable                  82           108          119          309
                                34.45%     24.77%     23.80%
-----
Don't know/no opinion              6            20           13           39
                                2.52%      4.59%      2.60%
-----
Total                             238          436          500          1174
                                20.27%     37.14%     42.59%
=====

```

```

Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

PID7

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	Total
Very favorable	19 8.02%	3 2.42%	0 0.00%	2 1.45%	4 2.67%	10 6.10%	14 6.48%	52
Somewhat favorable	48 20.25%	23 18.55%	12 10.71%	14 10.14%	17 11.33%	31 18.90%	67 31.02%	212
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	45 18.99%	47 37.90%	27 24.11%	31 22.46%	40 26.67%	38 23.17%	44 20.37%	272
Somewhat unfavorable	50 21.10%	27 21.77%	33 29.46%	26 18.84%	40 26.67%	49 29.88%	49 22.69%	274
Very unfavorable	67 28.27%	23 18.55%	40 35.71%	55 39.86%	47 31.33%	25 15.24%	36 16.67%	293
Don't know/no opinion	8 3.38%	1 0.81%	0 0.00%	10 7.25%	2 1.33%	11 6.71%	6 2.78%	38
Total	237 20.77%	124 10.87%	112 9.82%	138 12.09%	150 13.15%	164 14.37%	216 18.93%	1141

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	PID3			Total
	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	
Very favorable	22 4.64%	2 1.45%	28 5.28%	52

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Somewhat favorable	83 17.51%	14 10.14%	115 21.70%	212

Neither favorable nor unfavorable	120 25.32%	31 22.46%	122 23.02%	273

Somewhat unfavorable	110 23.21%	26 18.84%	138 26.04%	274

Very unfavorable	130 27.43%	55 39.86%	108 20.38%	293

Don't know/no opinion	9 1.90%	10 7.25%	19 3.58%	38

Total	474 41.51%	138 12.08%	530 46.41%	1142

=====

Cell Contents	

	Count
	Column Percent

=====						
	Q17A					
Q	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	Total	

Very favorable	23 7.99%	23 5.05%	5 2.89%	8 2.93%	59	

Somewhat favorable	82 28.47%	78 17.14%	23 13.29%	37 13.55%	220	

Neither favorable nor unfavorable	68 23.61%	109 23.96%	27 15.61%	74 27.11%	278	

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Somewhat unfavorable	67 23.26%	94 20.66%	53 30.64%	67 24.54%	281
Very unfavorable	37 12.85%	143 31.43%	62 35.84%	71 26.01%	313
Don't know/no opinion	11 3.82%	8 1.76%	3 1.73%	16 5.86%	38
Total	288 24.22%	455 38.27%	173 14.55%	273 22.96%	1189

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
| Count |
Column Percent

Q	educ							Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad		
Very favorable	0 0.00%	30 8.24%	8 2.70%	7 5.88%	8 3.29%	6 5.13%	59	
Somewhat favorable	1 1.89%	79 21.70%	47 15.88%	22 18.49%	47 19.34%	23 19.66%	219	
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	19 35.85%	93 25.55%	60 20.27%	30 25.21%	56 23.05%	20 17.09%	278	
Somewhat unfavorable	5 9.43%	74 20.33%	74 25.00%	28 23.53%	63 25.93%	37 31.62%	281	
Very unfavorable	22	79	93	31	64	28	317	

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	41.51%	21.70%	31.42%	26.05%	26.34%	23.93%	
Don't know/no opinion	6 11.32%	9 2.47%	14 4.73%	1 0.84%	5 2.06%	3 2.56%	38
Total	53 4.45%	364 30.54%	296 24.83%	119 9.98%	243 20.39%	117 9.82%	1192

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

```

=====
ATTEND
Q           More than once a week  Once a week  A few times a month  Once or twice a year  Never  Total
-----
Very favorable           9           22           2           14           12           59
                        5.62%       9.40%           1.42%       4.62%       3.38%
-----
Somewhat favorable      44           39           32           51           52           218
                        27.50%      16.67%       22.70%      16.83%      14.65%
-----
Neither favorable nor unfavorable  33           72           29           71           74           279
                        20.62%      30.77%       20.57%      23.43%      20.85%
-----
Somewhat unfavorable    39           47           32           73           90           281
                        24.38%      20.09%       22.70%      24.09%      25.35%
-----
Very unfavorable        30           52           44           78           113          317
                        18.75%      22.22%       31.21%      25.74%      31.83%
-----
Don't know/no opinion    5           2           2           16           14           39
                        3.12%       0.85%       1.42%       5.28%       3.94%
-----

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	160 13.41%	234 19.61%	141 11.82%	303 25.40%	355 29.76%	1193
-------	---------------	---------------	---------------	---------------	---------------	------

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

Q	race									Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern		
Very favorable	27 3.80%	15 10.42%	14 4.88%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	2 6.90%	0 0.00%		1 100.00%	59
Somewhat favorable	140 19.72%	29 20.14%	43 14.98%	1 20.00%	0 0.00%	2 6.90%	3 60.00%		0 0.00%	218
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	150 21.13%	44 30.56%	66 23.00%	2 40.00%	2 22.22%	14 48.28%	1 20.00%		0 0.00%	279
Somewhat unfavorable	187 26.34%	13 9.03%	71 24.74%	1 20.00%	1 11.11%	5 17.24%	1 20.00%		0 0.00%	279
Very unfavorable	185 26.06%	42 29.17%	78 27.18%	0 0.00%	6 66.67%	6 20.69%	0 0.00%		0 0.00%	317
Don't know/no opinion	21 2.96%	1 0.69%	15 5.23%	1 20.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%		0 0.00%	38
Total	710 59.66%	144 12.10%	287 24.12%	5 0.42%	9 0.76%	29 2.44%	5 0.42%		1 0.08%	1190

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	Count
	Column Percent

Q	MARITAL						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	
Very favorable	21 3.16%	1 5.00%	3 2.65%	2 3.33%	29 10.78%	3 4.84%	59
Somewhat favorable	140 21.05%	3 15.00%	23 20.35%	10 16.67%	32 11.90%	11 17.74%	219
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	146 21.95%	8 40.00%	23 20.35%	16 26.67%	73 27.14%	12 19.35%	278
Somewhat unfavorable	171 25.71%	6 30.00%	20 17.70%	8 13.33%	55 20.45%	21 33.87%	281
Very unfavorable	175 26.32%	2 10.00%	35 30.97%	19 31.67%	69 25.65%	14 22.58%	314
Don't know/no opinion	12 1.80%	0 0.00%	9 7.96%	5 8.33%	11 4.09%	1 1.61%	38
Total	665 55.93%	20 1.68%	113 9.50%	60 5.05%	269 22.62%	62 5.21%	1189

Cell Contents

	Count
	Column Percent

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Very favorable	26 4.66%	33 5.19%	59
Somewhat favorable	100 17.92%	120 18.87%	220
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	121 21.68%	158 24.84%	279
Somewhat unfavorable	143 25.63%	138 21.70%	281
Very unfavorable	162 29.03%	155 24.37%	317
Don't know/no opinion	6 1.08%	32 5.03%	38
Total	558 46.73%	636 53.27%	1194

=====
Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	LOCATE			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Very favorable	27 7.40%	23 3.97%	8 3.35%	58

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Somewhat favorable	65 17.81%	99 17.10%	53 22.18%	217
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	101 27.67%	119 20.55%	55 23.01%	275
Somewhat unfavorable	73 20.00%	151 26.08%	55 23.01%	279
Very unfavorable	88 24.11%	168 29.02%	60 25.10%	316
Don't know/no opinion	11 3.01%	19 3.28%	8 3.35%	38
Total	365 30.85%	579 48.94%	239 20.20%	1183

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	metro					Total
	Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	
Very favorable	10 3.34%	16 5.21%	12 10.53%	3 2.26%	18 5.29%	59
Somewhat favorable	61 20.40%	60 19.54%	18 15.79%	32 24.06%	49 14.41%	220
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	74	64	17	30	94	279

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	24.75%	20.85%	14.91%	22.56%	27.65%	
Somewhat unfavorable	69 23.08%	73 23.78%	32 28.07%	30 22.56%	76 22.35%	280
Very unfavorable	75 25.08%	86 28.01%	29 25.44%	34 25.56%	93 27.35%	317
Don't know/no opinion	10 3.34%	8 2.61%	6 5.26%	4 3.01%	10 2.94%	38
Total	299 25.06%	307 25.73%	114 9.56%	133 11.15%	340 28.50%	1193

Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Very favorable	16 7.51%	21 6.52%	12 2.77%	10 4.46%	59
Somewhat favorable	34 15.96%	63 19.57%	76 17.55%	46 20.54%	219
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	63 29.58%	77 23.91%	80 18.48%	59 26.34%	279
Somewhat unfavorable	44 20.66%	72 22.36%	115 26.56%	48 21.43%	279

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Very unfavorable	50 23.47%	75 23.29%	140 32.33%	52 23.21%	317

Don't know/no opinion	6 2.82%	14 4.35%	10 2.31%	9 4.02%	39

Total	213 17.87%	322 27.01%	433 36.33%	224 18.79%	1192
=====					

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q16A.E. Fav/Unfav: The Texas state government

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Very favorable	3 3.85%	3 2.86%	2 3.85%	33 7.59%	14 14.58%	48 23.53%	98 49.49%	201
Somewhat favorable	6 7.69%	10 9.52%	12 23.08%	113 25.98%	37 38.54%	100 49.02%	75 37.88%	353
Neither favorable nor unfvrbl	11 14.10%	19 18.10%	8 15.38%	151 34.71%	26 27.08%	32 15.69%	14 7.07%	261
Somewhat unfavorable	10 12.82%	26 24.76%	12 23.08%	57 13.10%	12 12.50%	13 6.37%	5 2.53%	135
Very unfavorable	47 60.26%	42 40.00%	18 34.62%	63 14.48%	5 5.21%	10 4.90%	5 2.53%	190
Don't know/no opinion	1 1.28%	5 4.76%	0 0.00%	18 4.14%	2 2.08%	1 0.49%	1 0.51%	28
Total	78 6.68%	105 8.99%	52 4.45%	435 37.24%	96 8.22%	204 17.47%	198 16.95%	1168

Cell Contents

Count

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Column Percent

```

=====
Q               IDEOL
                Liberals  Moderates  Conservatives  Total
-----
Very favorable          9         33         160         202
                       3.77%    7.59%    32.06%
-----
Somewhat favorable    29        113        212        354
                       12.13%   25.98%   42.48%
-----
Neither favorable nor  39        151         72        262
unfavorable           16.32%   34.71%   14.43%
-----
Somewhat unfavorable  49         57         31        137
                       20.50%   13.10%    6.21%
-----
Very unfavorable     108        63         20        191
                       45.19%   14.48%    4.01%
-----
Don't know/no opinion   5          18          4         27
                       2.09%    4.14%    0.80%
-----
Total                 239        435        499       1173
                       20.38%   37.08%   42.54%
=====

```

```

Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

PID7

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	Total
Very favorable	16 6.78%	6 4.84%	5 4.42%	12 8.76%	34 22.67%	32 19.63%	86 40.19%	191
Somewhat favorable	32 13.56%	25 20.16%	20 17.70%	28 20.44%	78 52.00%	65 39.88%	99 46.26%	347
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	45 19.07%	53 42.74%	27 23.89%	35 25.55%	31 20.67%	39 23.93%	16 7.48%	246
Somewhat unfavorable	45 19.07%	21 16.94%	26 23.01%	19 13.87%	6 4.00%	12 7.36%	7 3.27%	136
Very unfavorable	92 38.98%	18 14.52%	34 30.09%	33 24.09%	1 0.67%	7 4.29%	3 1.40%	188
Don't know/no opinion	6 2.54%	1 0.81%	1 0.88%	10 7.30%	0 0.00%	8 4.91%	3 1.40%	29
Total	236 20.76%	124 10.91%	113 9.94%	137 12.05%	150 13.19%	163 14.34%	214 18.82%	1137

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	PID3			Total
	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	
Very favorable	27 5.71%	12 8.76%	151 28.76%	190

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Somewhat favorable	77 16.28%	28 20.44%	242 46.10%	347

Neither favorable nor unfavorable	125 26.43%	35 25.55%	86 16.38%	246

Somewhat unfavorable	92 19.45%	19 13.87%	24 4.57%	135

Very unfavorable	144 30.44%	33 24.09%	11 2.10%	188

Don't know/no opinion	8 1.69%	10 7.30%	11 2.10%	29

Total	473 41.67%	137 12.07%	525 46.26%	1135

=====

Cell Contents	

	Count
	Column Percent

=====					
	Q17A				
Q	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	Total

Very favorable	79 27.62%	30 6.58%	60 34.68%	37 13.60%	206

Somewhat favorable	125 43.71%	64 14.04%	82 47.40%	85 31.25%	356

Neither favorable nor unfavorable	59 20.63%	110 24.12%	20 11.56%	75 27.57%	264

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Somewhat unfavorable	13 4.55%	86 18.86%	8 4.62%	30 11.03%	137
Very unfavorable	3 1.05%	157 34.43%	3 1.73%	31 11.40%	194
Don't know/no opinion	7 2.45%	9 1.97%	0 0.00%	14 5.15%	30
Total	286 24.09%	456 38.42%	173 14.57%	272 22.91%	1187

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Very favorable	5 9.43%	71 19.51%	58 19.66%	22 18.49%	34 13.99%	16 13.68%	206
Somewhat favorable	10 18.87%	116 31.87%	87 29.49%	37 31.09%	76 31.28%	32 27.35%	358
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	24 45.28%	100 27.47%	48 16.27%	30 25.21%	44 18.11%	18 15.38%	264
Somewhat unfavorable	4 7.55%	25 6.87%	43 14.58%	20 16.81%	26 10.70%	18 15.38%	136
Very unfavorable	7	41	50	10	60	29	197

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	13.21%	11.26%	16.95%	8.40%	24.69%	24.79%	
Don't know/no opinion	3 5.66%	11 3.02%	9 3.05%	0 0.00%	3 1.23%	4 3.42%	30
Total	53 4.45%	364 30.56%	295 24.77%	119 9.99%	243 20.40%	117 9.82%	1191

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

Q	ATTEND						Total
	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never		
Very favorable	41 25.79%	60 25.53%	18 12.86%	56 18.54%	31 8.81%		206
Somewhat favorable	45 28.30%	69 29.36%	58 41.43%	82 27.15%	101 28.69%		355
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	31 19.50%	67 28.51%	27 19.29%	63 20.86%	76 21.59%		264
Somewhat unfavorable	27 16.98%	16 6.81%	12 8.57%	40 13.25%	42 11.93%		137
Very unfavorable	13 8.18%	22 9.36%	25 17.86%	48 15.89%	89 25.28%		197
Don't know/no opinion	2 1.26%	1 0.43%	0 0.00%	13 4.30%	13 3.69%		29

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	159 13.38%	235 19.78%	140 11.78%	302 25.42%	352 29.63%	1188
-------	---------------	---------------	---------------	---------------	---------------	------

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

Q	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern	Total
Very favorable	137 19.35%	21 14.48%	37 12.94%	2 50.00%	0 0.00%	6 20.00%	1 16.67%	1 100.00%	205
Somewhat favorable	245 34.60%	23 15.86%	77 26.92%	0 0.00%	6 66.67%	5 16.67%	1 16.67%	0 0.00%	357
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	121 17.09%	44 30.34%	87 30.42%	0 0.00%	1 11.11%	9 30.00%	2 33.33%	0 0.00%	264
Somewhat unfavorable	81 11.44%	18 12.41%	33 11.54%	1 25.00%	0 0.00%	4 13.33%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	137
Very unfavorable	109 15.40%	37 25.52%	41 14.34%	0 0.00%	2 22.22%	6 20.00%	2 33.33%	0 0.00%	197
Don't know/no opinion	15 2.12%	2 1.38%	11 3.85%	1 25.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	29
Total	708 59.55%	145 12.20%	286 24.05%	4 0.34%	9 0.76%	30 2.52%	6 0.50%	1 0.08%	1189

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	Count
	Column Percent

Q	MARITAL						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	
Very favorable	130 19.61%	2 9.52%	16 14.55%	17 27.87%	36 13.48%	3 4.76%	204
Somewhat favorable	220 33.18%	9 42.86%	21 19.09%	21 34.43%	65 24.34%	21 33.33%	357
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	133 20.06%	6 28.57%	23 20.91%	10 16.39%	69 25.84%	22 34.92%	263
Somewhat unfavorable	72 10.86%	2 9.52%	18 16.36%	3 4.92%	33 12.36%	8 12.70%	136
Very unfavorable	98 14.78%	2 9.52%	26 23.64%	7 11.48%	56 20.97%	9 14.29%	198
Don't know/no opinion	10 1.51%	0 0.00%	6 5.45%	3 4.92%	8 3.00%	0 0.00%	27
Total	663 55.95%	21 1.77%	110 9.28%	61 5.15%	267 22.53%	63 5.32%	1185

Cell Contents

	Count
	Column Percent

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Very favorable	108 19.39%	98 15.51%	206
Somewhat favorable	173 31.06%	184 29.11%	357
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	117 21.01%	147 23.26%	264
Somewhat unfavorable	63 11.31%	73 11.55%	136
Very unfavorable	90 16.16%	107 16.93%	197
Don't know/no opinion	6 1.08%	23 3.64%	29
Total	557 46.85%	632 53.15%	1189

=====
Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	LOCATE			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Very favorable	55 15.03%	108 18.72%	41 17.08%	204

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Somewhat favorable	85 23.22%	181 31.37%	87 36.25%	353
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	95 25.96%	103 17.85%	64 26.67%	262
Somewhat unfavorable	54 14.75%	64 11.09%	19 7.92%	137
Very unfavorable	64 17.49%	106 18.37%	26 10.83%	196
Don't know/no opinion	13 3.55%	15 2.60%	3 1.25%	31
Total	366 30.94%	577 48.77%	240 20.29%	1183

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	metro					Total
	Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	
Very favorable	52 17.45%	61 19.81%	27 23.89%	16 11.94%	50 14.79%	206
Somewhat favorable	90 30.20%	79 25.65%	24 21.24%	42 31.34%	121 35.80%	356
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	69	65	23	24	84	265

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	23.15%	21.10%	20.35%	17.91%	24.85%	
Somewhat unfavorable	39 13.09%	40 12.99%	16 14.16%	15 11.19%	27 7.99%	137
Very unfavorable	43 14.43%	55 17.86%	17 15.04%	32 23.88%	50 14.79%	197
Don't know/no opinion	5 1.68%	8 2.60%	6 5.31%	5 3.73%	6 1.78%	30
Total	298 25.02%	308 25.86%	113 9.49%	134 11.25%	338 28.38%	1191

Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Very favorable	32 14.95%	49 15.36%	67 15.51%	58 25.89%	206
Somewhat favorable	58 27.10%	78 24.45%	153 35.42%	68 30.36%	357
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	59 27.57%	91 28.53%	65 15.05%	49 21.88%	264
Somewhat unfavorable	28 13.08%	34 10.66%	57 13.19%	17 7.59%	136

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Very unfavorable	31	54	86	26	197
	14.49%	16.93%	19.91%	11.61%	

Don't know/no opinion	6	13	4	6	29
	2.80%	4.08%	0.93%	2.68%	

Total	214	319	432	224	1189
	18.00%	26.83%	36.33%	18.84%	
=====					

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q16A.F. Fav/Unfav: The municipal or local government where you live

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

=====								
Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	

Very favorable	9 11.25%	22 20.95%	11 20.37%	38 8.68%	9 9.47%	24 11.76%	49 24.38%	162
Somewhat favorable	22 27.50%	39 37.14%	21 38.89%	146 33.33%	30 31.58%	76 37.25%	60 29.85%	394
Neither favorable nor unfvrbl	18 22.50%	21 20.00%	12 22.22%	133 30.37%	32 33.68%	36 17.65%	41 20.40%	293
Somewhat unfavorable	20 25.00%	10 9.52%	6 11.11%	63 14.38%	12 12.63%	40 19.61%	26 12.94%	177
Very unfavorable	9 11.25%	10 9.52%	3 5.56%	35 7.99%	6 6.32%	25 12.25%	18 8.96%	106
Don't know/no opinion	2 2.50%	3 2.86%	1 1.85%	23 5.25%	6 6.32%	3 1.47%	7 3.48%	45
Total	80 6.80%	105 8.92%	54 4.59%	438 37.21%	95 8.07%	204 17.33%	201 17.08%	1177
=====								

Cell Contents

Count

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Column Percent

```

=====
Q               IDEOL
                Liberals  Moderates  Conservatives  Total
-----
Very favorable      42      38      81      161
                   17.65%   8.68%   16.23%
-----
Somewhat favorable  81     146     166     393
                   34.03%  33.33%  33.27%
-----
Neither favorable nor unfavorable  51     133     109     293
                   21.43%  30.37%  21.84%
-----
Somewhat unfavorable  36     63     78     177
                   15.13%  14.38%  15.63%
-----
Very unfavorable    22     35     49     106
                   9.24%   7.99%   9.82%
-----
Don't know/no opinion  6     23     16     45
                   2.52%   5.25%   3.21%
-----
Total               238    438    499    1175
                   20.26%  37.28%  42.47%
=====

```

```

Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

PID7

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	Total
Very favorable	43 18.07%	8 6.45%	13 11.50%	5 3.65%	18 12.08%	22 13.50%	46 21.40%	155
Somewhat favorable	75 31.51%	41 33.06%	30 26.55%	41 29.93%	53 35.57%	66 40.49%	72 33.49%	378
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	60 25.21%	42 33.87%	41 36.28%	29 21.17%	31 20.81%	33 20.25%	44 20.47%	280
Somewhat unfavorable	29 12.18%	20 16.13%	22 19.47%	23 16.79%	30 20.13%	16 9.82%	31 14.42%	171
Very unfavorable	26 10.92%	8 6.45%	4 3.54%	33 24.09%	14 9.40%	10 6.13%	15 6.98%	110
Don't know/no opinion	5 2.10%	5 4.03%	3 2.65%	6 4.38%	3 2.01%	16 9.82%	7 3.26%	45
Total	238 20.90%	124 10.89%	113 9.92%	137 12.03%	149 13.08%	163 14.31%	215 18.88%	1139

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	PID3			Total
	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	
Very favorable	64 13.53%	5 3.65%	87 16.42%	156

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Somewhat favorable	146 30.87%	41 29.93%	190 35.85%	377
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	143 30.23%	29 21.17%	109 20.57%	281
Somewhat unfavorable	71 15.01%	23 16.79%	78 14.72%	172
Very unfavorable	37 7.82%	33 24.09%	40 7.55%	110
Don't know/no opinion	12 2.54%	6 4.38%	26 4.91%	44
Total	473 41.49%	137 12.02%	530 46.49%	1140

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

=====
Q17A

Q	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	Total
Very favorable	54 18.95%	68 14.91%	18 10.29%	25 9.19%	165
Somewhat favorable	106 37.19%	143 31.36%	60 34.29%	84 30.88%	393
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	57 20.00%	123 26.97%	35 20.00%	82 30.15%	297

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Somewhat unfavorable	40 14.04%	68 14.91%	37 21.14%	32 11.76%	177
Very unfavorable	17 5.96%	47 10.31%	24 13.71%	24 8.82%	112
Don't know/no opinion	11 3.86%	7 1.54%	1 0.57%	25 9.19%	44
Total	285 23.99%	456 38.38%	175 14.73%	272 22.90%	1188

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Very favorable	1 1.89%	67 18.36%	26 8.78%	13 10.83%	40 16.39%	18 15.38%	165
Somewhat favorable	15 28.30%	98 26.85%	108 36.49%	45 37.50%	84 34.43%	47 40.17%	397
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	16 30.19%	102 27.95%	68 22.97%	32 26.67%	60 24.59%	20 17.09%	298
Somewhat unfavorable	6 11.32%	53 14.52%	47 15.88%	20 16.67%	35 14.34%	17 14.53%	178
Very unfavorable	8	29	38	8	20	10	113

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	15.09%	7.95%	12.84%	6.67%	8.20%	8.55%	
Don't know/no opinion	7 13.21%	16 4.38%	9 3.04%	2 1.67%	5 2.05%	5 4.27%	44
Total	53 4.44%	365 30.54%	296 24.77%	120 10.04%	244 20.42%	117 9.79%	1195

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
| Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	ATTEND					Total
	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	
Very favorable	31 19.62%	43 18.45%	13 9.29%	38 12.58%	39 11.02%	164
Somewhat favorable	49 31.01%	85 36.48%	59 42.14%	93 30.79%	110 31.07%	396
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	40 25.32%	44 18.88%	26 18.57%	79 26.16%	107 30.23%	296
Somewhat unfavorable	23 14.56%	39 16.74%	20 14.29%	47 15.56%	47 13.28%	176
Very unfavorable	12 7.59%	18 7.73%	18 12.86%	31 10.26%	33 9.32%	112
Don't know/no opinion	3 1.90%	4 1.72%	4 2.86%	14 4.64%	18 5.08%	43

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	158 13.31%	233 19.63%	140 11.79%	302 25.44%	354 29.82%	1187
-------	---------------	---------------	---------------	---------------	---------------	------

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

Q	race								Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern	
Very favorable	107 15.07%	18 12.41%	35 12.24%	1 25.00%	0 0.00%	5 16.67%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	166
Somewhat favorable	267 37.61%	26 17.93%	93 32.52%	1 25.00%	1 11.11%	5 16.67%	2 33.33%	0 0.00%	395
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	152 21.41%	47 32.41%	82 28.67%	0 0.00%	1 11.11%	12 40.00%	1 16.67%	2 100.00%	297
Somewhat unfavorable	103 14.51%	23 15.86%	38 13.29%	1 25.00%	7 77.78%	3 10.00%	2 33.33%	0 0.00%	177
Very unfavorable	61 8.59%	28 19.31%	21 7.34%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	2 6.67%	1 16.67%	0 0.00%	113
Don't know/no opinion	20 2.82%	3 2.07%	17 5.94%	1 25.00%	0 0.00%	3 10.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	44
Total	710 59.56%	145 12.16%	286 23.99%	4 0.34%	9 0.76%	30 2.52%	6 0.50%	2 0.17%	1192

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Count
Column Percent

Q	MARITAL						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	
Very favorable	91 13.73%	5 23.81%	6 5.31%	13 21.67%	49 18.15%	2 3.23%	166
Somewhat favorable	239 36.05%	7 33.33%	47 41.59%	16 26.67%	62 22.96%	24 38.71%	395
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	151 22.78%	5 23.81%	22 19.47%	17 28.33%	78 28.89%	23 37.10%	296
Somewhat unfavorable	97 14.63%	4 19.05%	19 16.81%	10 16.67%	38 14.07%	9 14.52%	177
Very unfavorable	64 9.65%	0 0.00%	15 13.27%	1 1.67%	27 10.00%	3 4.84%	110
Don't know/no opinion	21 3.17%	0 0.00%	4 3.54%	3 5.00%	16 5.93%	1 1.61%	45
Total	663 55.76%	21 1.77%	113 9.50%	60 5.05%	270 22.71%	62 5.21%	1189

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Very favorable	71 12.70%	95 14.94%	166
Somewhat favorable	192 34.35%	205 32.23%	397
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	127 22.72%	170 26.73%	297
Somewhat unfavorable	99 17.71%	79 12.42%	178
Very unfavorable	59 10.55%	54 8.49%	113
Don't know/no opinion	11 1.97%	33 5.19%	44
Total	559 46.78%	636 53.22%	1195

=====
Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	LOCATE			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Very favorable	57 15.57%	72 12.39%	35 14.71%	164

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Somewhat favorable	112 30.60%	203 34.94%	79 33.19%	394
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	89 24.32%	151 25.99%	54 22.69%	294
Somewhat unfavorable	58 15.85%	77 13.25%	42 17.65%	177
Very unfavorable	35 9.56%	55 9.47%	22 9.24%	112
Don't know/no opinion	15 4.10%	23 3.96%	6 2.52%	44
Total	366 30.89%	581 49.03%	238 20.08%	1185

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	metro					Total
	Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	
Very favorable	39 13.09%	44 14.29%	21 18.42%	22 16.30%	41 12.09%	167
Somewhat favorable	105 35.23%	104 33.77%	26 22.81%	42 31.11%	120 35.40%	397
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	71	76	32	26	91	296

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	23.83%	24.68%	28.07%	19.26%	26.84%	
Somewhat unfavorable	51 17.11%	42 13.64%	11 9.65%	27 20.00%	45 13.27%	176
Very unfavorable	25 8.39%	30 9.74%	17 14.91%	10 7.41%	31 9.14%	113
Don't know/no opinion	7 2.35%	12 3.90%	7 6.14%	8 5.93%	11 3.24%	45
Total	298 24.96%	308 25.80%	114 9.55%	135 11.31%	339 28.39%	1194

Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Very favorable	37 17.29%	39 12.15%	51 11.81%	39 17.33%	166
Somewhat favorable	66 30.84%	107 33.33%	148 34.26%	74 32.89%	395
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	60 28.04%	86 26.79%	100 23.15%	51 22.67%	297
Somewhat unfavorable	20 9.35%	47 14.64%	75 17.36%	35 15.56%	177

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Very unfavorable	17 7.94%	25 7.79%	49 11.34%	22 9.78%	113

Don't know/no opinion	14 6.54%	17 5.30%	9 2.08%	4 1.78%	44

Total	214 17.95%	321 26.93%	432 36.24%	225 18.88%	1192
=====					

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q17A. Tea Party Support

Cell Contents

	Count
	Column Percent

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Republican candidate	3 3.70%	4 3.74%	2 3.70%	80 18.43%	45 46.88%	85 41.67%	68 33.50%	287
Democratic candidate	68 83.95%	87 81.31%	40 74.07%	196 45.16%	9 9.38%	22 10.78%	22 10.84%	444
Tea Party candidate	5 6.17%	2 1.87%	0 0.00%	18 4.15%	13 13.54%	58 28.43%	77 37.93%	173
Don't know	5 6.17%	14 13.08%	12 22.22%	140 32.26%	29 30.21%	39 19.12%	36 17.73%	275
Total	81 6.87%	107 9.08%	54 4.58%	434 36.81%	96 8.14%	204 17.30%	203 17.22%	1179

Cell Contents

	Count
	Column Percent

Q	IDEOL			
	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives	Total

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Republican candidate	9 3.75%	80 18.43%	198 39.44%	287
Democratic candidate	194 80.83%	196 45.16%	53 10.56%	443
Tea Party candidate	6 2.50%	18 4.15%	148 29.48%	172
Don't know	31 12.92%	140 32.26%	103 20.52%	274
Total	240 20.41%	434 36.90%	502 42.69%	1176

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	PID7							Total
	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	
Republican candidate	0 0.00%	3 2.40%	2 1.75%	7 5.07%	38 25.33%	98 59.76%	131 60.37%	279
Democratic candidate	222 93.28%	99 79.20%	77 67.54%	33 23.91%	3 2.00%	9 5.49%	4 1.84%	447
Tea Party candidate	6 2.52%	1 0.80%	1 0.88%	17 12.32%	68 45.33%	24 14.63%	51 23.50%	168
Don't know	10	22	34	81	41	33	31	252

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	4.20%	17.60%	29.82%	58.70%	27.33%	20.12%	14.29%	
Total	238	125	114	138	150	164	217	1146
	20.77%	10.91%	9.95%	12.04%	13.09%	14.31%	18.94%	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q           PID3
           Democrats  Independents  Republicans  Total
-----
Republican candidate      5             7             268         280
                        1.05%          5.07%          50.38%
-----
Democratic candidate     398            33             16         447
                        83.61%          23.91%          3.01%
-----
Tea Party candidate      7             17            143         167
                        1.47%          12.32%          26.88%
-----
Don't know                66             81            105         252
                        13.87%          58.70%          19.74%
-----
Total                    476            138            532        1146
                        41.54%          12.04%          46.42%
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

=====
Q          Q17A
          Republican candidate  Democratic candidate  Tea Party candidate  Don't know  Total
-----
Republican candidate      287          0          0          0      287
                          100%         0%         0%         0%
-----
Democratic candidate      0          457          0          0      457
                          0%         100%        0%         0%
-----
Tea Party candidate       0          0          177          0      177
                          0%         0%         100%        0%
-----
Don't know                0          0          0          275     275
                          0%         0%         0%         100%
-----
Total                    287          457          177          275     1196
                          24.00%      38.21%      14.80%      22.99%
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|          Count |
|      Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q          educ
          No HS  High school graduate  Some college  2-year  4-year  Post-grad  Total
-----
Republican candidate      9          98          66          32          60          22      287
                          16.98%      27.15%      22.22%      26.45%      24.59%      18.33%
-----
Democratic candidate      24          147          101          44          93          49      458
                          45.28%      40.72%      34.01%      36.36%      38.11%      40.83%
-----
Tea Party candidate       10          43          46          13          43          22      177

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	18.87%	11.91%	15.49%	10.74%	17.62%	18.33%	
Don't know	10 18.87%	73 20.22%	84 28.28%	32 26.45%	48 19.67%	27 22.50%	274
Total	53 4.43%	361 30.18%	297 24.83%	121 10.12%	244 20.40%	120 10.03%	1196

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	ATTEND					Total
	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	
Republican candidate	52 32.50%	69 29.36%	29 20.57%	60 19.74%	78 22.03%	288
Democratic candidate	43 26.88%	75 31.91%	69 48.94%	100 32.89%	169 47.74%	456
Tea Party candidate	31 19.38%	43 18.30%	14 9.93%	55 18.09%	33 9.32%	176
Don't know	34 21.25%	48 20.43%	29 20.57%	89 29.28%	74 20.90%	274
Total	160 13.40%	235 19.68%	141 11.81%	304 25.46%	354 29.65%	1194

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Column Percent

```

=====
Q          race
          White / Blanco  Black  Hispanic  Asian  Native American  Mixed  Other  Middle Eastern  Total
-----
Republican candidate      229      2      49      0          1      3      2          1      287
                          32.16%  1.37%  17.25%  0.00%      11.11%  10.71%  25.00%      100.00%
-----
Democratic candidate      198     104     138      2          1     12      1          0     456
                          27.81%  71.23%  48.59%  33.33%      11.11%  42.86%  12.50%      0.00%
-----
Tea Party candidate       126      4      33      1          6      4      3          0     177
                          17.70%  2.74%  11.62%  16.67%      66.67%  14.29%  37.50%      0.00%
-----
Don't know                 159      36      64      3          1      9      2          0     274
                          22.33%  24.66%  22.54%  50.00%      11.11%  32.14%  25.00%      0.00%
-----
Total                       712     146     284      6          9     28      8          1    1194
                          59.63%  12.23%  23.79%  0.50%      0.75%  2.35%  0.67%      0.08%
=====

```

Cell Contents

|-----|
| Count |
Column Percent

```

=====
Q          MARITAL
          Married  Separated  Divorced  Widowed  Single  Domestic Partnership  Total
-----
Republican candidate      194      4      15      16      45          13      287
                          29.22%  19.05%  12.93%  26.23%  16.67%      20.63%
-----
Democratic candidate      206      11      58      27     131          24     457
-----

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	31.02%	52.38%	50.00%	44.26%	48.52%	38.10%	
Tea Party candidate	118 17.77%	2 9.52%	14 12.07%	8 13.11%	25 9.26%	8 12.70%	175
Don't know	146 21.99%	4 19.05%	29 25.00%	10 16.39%	69 25.56%	18 28.57%	276
Total	664 55.56%	21 1.76%	116 9.71%	61 5.10%	270 22.59%	63 5.27%	1195

=====
Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

=====
Q

	gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Republican candidate	130 23.21%	157 24.72%	287
Democratic candidate	217 38.75%	240 37.80%	457
Tea Party candidate	105 18.75%	71 11.18%	176
Don't know	108 19.29%	167 26.30%	275
Total	560 46.86%	635 53.14%	1195

=====

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

```

=====
Q          LOCATE
          Urban   Suburban   Rural   Total
-----
Republican candidate    78      137      69     284
                        21.31%   23.58%  28.87%
-----
Democratic candidate   195      200      60     455
                        53.28%   34.42%  25.10%
-----
Tea Party candidate    26      109      41     176
                        7.10%   18.76%  17.15%
-----
Don't know              67      135      69     271
                        18.31%   23.24%  28.87%
-----
Total                  366      581      239    1186
                        30.86%   48.99%  20.15%
=====

```

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

```

=====
Q          metro
          Houston Area   Dallas-Fort Worth Area   San Antonio Area   Austin Area   Another Part of TX   Total
-----
Republican candidate          79                   57                   32                   26                   93                   287
=====

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	26.07%	18.51%	28.07%	19.40%	27.60%	
Democratic candidate	121 39.93%	127 41.23%	43 37.72%	54 40.30%	113 33.53%	458
Tea Party candidate	53 17.49%	46 14.94%	13 11.40%	14 10.45%	51 15.13%	177
Don't know	50 16.50%	78 25.32%	26 22.81%	40 29.85%	80 23.74%	274
Total	303 25.33%	308 25.75%	114 9.53%	134 11.20%	337 28.18%	1196

Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent
-------	----------------

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Republican candidate	57 26.76%	65 20.25%	103 23.62%	62 27.56%	287
Democratic candidate	93 43.66%	134 41.74%	153 35.09%	77 34.22%	457
Tea Party candidate	15 7.04%	32 9.97%	78 17.89%	51 22.67%	176
Don't know	48 22.54%	90 28.04%	102 23.39%	35 15.56%	275

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	213	321	436	225	1195
	17.82%	26.86%	36.49%	18.83%	

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q17B. Tea Party Influence

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Too much influence	57 71.25%	69 64.49%	33 62.26%	147 33.64%	18 18.95%	26 12.75%	16 7.88%	366
Too little influence	4 5.00%	9 8.41%	2 3.77%	69 15.79%	24 25.26%	82 40.20%	75 36.95%	265
The right amount of influence	8 10.00%	6 5.61%	4 7.55%	78 17.85%	24 25.26%	56 27.45%	67 33.00%	243
Don't know	11 13.75%	23 21.50%	14 26.42%	143 32.72%	29 30.53%	40 19.61%	45 22.17%	305
Total	80 6.79%	107 9.08%	53 4.50%	437 37.07%	95 8.06%	204 17.30%	203 17.22%	1179

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	IDEOLOG			Total
	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives	

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Too much influence	160 66.67%	147 33.64%	60 11.95%	367
Too little influence	15 6.25%	69 15.79%	181 36.06%	265
The right amount of influence	17 7.08%	78 17.85%	147 29.28%	242
Don't know	48 20.00%	143 32.72%	114 22.71%	305
Total	240 20.36%	437 37.07%	502 42.58%	1179

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	PID7							Total
	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	
Too much influence	137 57.32%	51 41.13%	81 71.68%	41 29.93%	19 12.67%	22 13.41%	18 8.26%	369
Too little influence	10 4.18%	24 19.35%	5 4.42%	36 26.28%	75 50.00%	46 28.05%	63 28.90%	259
The right amount of influence	27 11.30%	11 8.87%	12 10.62%	20 14.60%	33 22.00%	34 20.73%	89 40.83%	226
Don't know	65	38	15	40	23	62	48	291

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

27.20% 30.65% 13.27% 29.20% 15.33% 37.80% 22.02%

Total	239	124	113	137	150	164	218	1145
	20.87%	10.83%	9.87%	11.97%	13.10%	14.32%	19.04%	

Cell Contents

	Count
	Column Percent

PID3
Q Democrats Independents Republicans Total

Too much influence	269	41	58	368
	56.51%	29.93%	10.90%	

Too little influence	38	36	184	258
	7.98%	26.28%	34.59%	

The right amount of influence	51	20	156	227
	10.71%	14.60%	29.32%	

Don't know	118	40	134	292
	24.79%	29.20%	25.19%	

Total	476	137	532	1145
	41.57%	11.97%	46.46%	

Cell Contents

	Count
	Column Percent

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

=====						
	Q17A					
Q	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	Total	

Too much influence	46 15.97%	286 62.72%	0 0.00%	40 14.55%	372	
Too little influence	51 17.71%	44 9.65%	131 74.01%	44 16.00%	270	
The right amount of influence	107 37.15%	39 8.55%	33 18.64%	65 23.64%	244	
Don't know	84 29.17%	87 19.08%	13 7.34%	126 45.82%	310	
Total	288 24.08%	456 38.13%	177 14.80%	275 22.99%	1196	
=====						

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

=====							
	educ						
Q	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total

Too much influence	13 25.00%	105 28.69%	78 26.17%	33 27.27%	92 37.70%	53 44.17%	374
Too little influence	14 26.92%	70 19.13%	82 27.52%	23 19.01%	54 22.13%	27 22.50%	270
The right amount of influence	8	78	56	31	56	19	248

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	15.38%	21.31%	18.79%	25.62%	22.95%	15.83%	
Don't know	17 32.69%	113 30.87%	82 27.52%	34 28.10%	42 17.21%	21 17.50%	309
Total	52 4.33%	366 30.47%	298 24.81%	121 10.07%	244 20.32%	120 9.99%	1201

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
| Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	ATTEND					Total
	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	
Too much influence	38 23.90%	45 18.91%	49 34.75%	89 29.18%	151 42.66%	372
Too little influence	35 22.01%	84 35.29%	33 23.40%	78 25.57%	40 11.30%	270
The right amount of influence	32 20.13%	52 21.85%	34 24.11%	52 17.05%	76 21.47%	246
Don't know	54 33.96%	57 23.95%	25 17.73%	86 28.20%	87 24.58%	309
Total	159 13.28%	238 19.88%	141 11.78%	305 25.48%	354 29.57%	1197

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Column Percent

```

=====
Q          race
          White / Blanco   Black   Hispanic   Asian   Native American   Mixed   Other   Middle Eastern   Total
-----
Too much influence          221     57     79     1         3     10     2         0     373
                          31.04%  39.04%  27.34%  16.67%         30.00%  33.33%  28.57%         0.00%
-----
Too little influence        163     22     64     3         6     6     4         1     269
                          22.89%  15.07%  22.15%  50.00%         60.00%  20.00%  57.14%        100.00%
-----
The right amount of influence  162     21     59     0         1     5     0         0     248
                          22.75%  14.38%  20.42%  0.00%         10.00%  16.67%  0.00%         0.00%
-----
Don't know                  166     46     87     2         0     9     1         0     311
                          23.31%  31.51%  30.10%  33.33%         0.00%  30.00%  14.29%         0.00%
-----
Total                       712     146     289     6         10     30     7         1    1201
                          59.28%  12.16%  24.06%  0.50%         0.83%  2.50%  0.58%         0.08%
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|          Count |
|          Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q          MARITAL
          Married   Separated   Divorced   Widowed   Single   Domestic Partnership   Total
-----
Too much influence          190         8         50         13         93         18         372
                          28.53%   38.10%   43.48%   21.31%   34.44%         29.03%
-----
Too little influence          170         3         19         10         58         10         270
-----

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	25.53%	14.29%	16.52%	16.39%	21.48%	16.13%	
The right amount of influence	156 23.42%	4 19.05%	13 11.30%	14 22.95%	50 18.52%	10 16.13%	247
Don't know	150 22.52%	6 28.57%	33 28.70%	24 39.34%	69 25.56%	24 38.71%	306
Total	666 55.73%	21 1.76%	115 9.62%	61 5.10%	270 22.59%	62 5.19%	1195

=====
Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Too much influence	207 36.90%	165 25.86%	372
Too little influence	168 29.95%	102 15.99%	270
The right amount of influence	108 19.25%	139 21.79%	247
Don't know	78 13.90%	232 36.36%	310
Total	561 46.79%	638 53.21%	1199

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	LOCATE			
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Too much influence	136 36.56%	173 29.83%	62 25.94%	371
Too little influence	65 17.47%	145 25.00%	59 24.69%	269
The right amount of influence	65 17.47%	127 21.90%	51 21.34%	243
Don't know	106 28.49%	135 23.28%	67 28.03%	308
Total	372 31.23%	580 48.70%	239 20.07%	1191

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	metro					Total
	Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	
Too much influence	99	101	28	53	91	372

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	32.78%	32.69%	24.56%	39.55%	26.76%	
Too little influence	72 23.84%	56 18.12%	39 34.21%	18 13.43%	86 25.29%	271
The right amount of influence	65 21.52%	65 21.04%	14 12.28%	22 16.42%	81 23.82%	247
Don't know	66 21.85%	87 28.16%	33 28.95%	41 30.60%	82 24.12%	309
Total	302 25.19%	309 25.77%	114 9.51%	134 11.18%	340 28.36%	1199

Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Too much influence	61 28.50%	113 34.77%	138 31.51%	62 27.56%	374
Too little influence	47 21.96%	62 19.08%	100 22.83%	61 27.11%	270
The right amount of influence	42 19.63%	59 18.15%	103 23.52%	43 19.11%	247
Don't know	64 29.91%	91 28.00%	97 22.15%	59 26.22%	311

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	214	325	438	225	1202
	17.80%	27.04%	36.44%	18.72%	

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Political Figure Favorability Ratings

Q18. Donald Trump Favorability

Cell Contents
Count
Column Percent

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Very favorable	7 8.86%	5 4.67%	1 1.89%	47 10.73%	31 32.63%	98 48.28%	128 64.00%	317
Somewhat favorable	0 0.00%	2 1.87%	3 5.66%	83 18.95%	23 24.21%	59 29.06%	43 21.50%	213
Neither favorable nor unfvrbl	0 0.00%	5 4.67%	2 3.77%	45 10.27%	11 11.58%	15 7.39%	2 1.00%	80
Somewhat unfavorable	7 8.86%	3 2.80%	2 3.77%	25 5.71%	7 7.37%	9 4.43%	4 2.00%	57
Very unfavorable	65 82.28%	90 84.11%	44 83.02%	212 48.40%	20 21.05%	22 10.84%	22 11.00%	475
Don't know/no opinion	0 0.00%	2 1.87%	1 1.89%	26 5.94%	3 3.16%	0 0.00%	1 0.50%	33
Total	79 6.72%	107 9.11%	53 4.51%	438 37.28%	95 8.09%	203 17.28%	200 17.02%	1175

Cell Contents

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

|-----|
|           Count |
|       Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q               IDEOL
                Liberals  Moderates  Conservatives  Total
-----
Very favorable          13         47         257         317
                    5.42%    10.73%    51.50%
-----
Somewhat favorable     5         83         125         213
                    2.08%    18.95%    25.05%
-----
Neither favorable nor unfavorable  7         45         29         81
                    2.92%    10.27%    5.81%
-----
Somewhat unfavorable   13         25         20         58
                    5.42%    5.71%    4.01%
-----
Very unfavorable      199        212         65         476
                    82.92%   48.40%   13.03%
-----
Don't know/no opinion   3          26          3          32
                    1.25%    5.94%    0.60%
-----
Total                  240        438         499        1177
                    20.39%   37.21%   42.40%
=====

```

```

Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|       Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	PID7							Total
	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	
Very favorable	5 2.13%	1 0.80%	2 1.77%	23 16.79%	71 47.33%	56 34.15%	153 70.18%	311
Somewhat favorable	4 1.70%	12 9.60%	8 7.08%	34 24.82%	51 34.00%	49 29.88%	49 22.48%	207
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	12 5.11%	10 8.00%	5 4.42%	17 12.41%	9 6.00%	18 10.98%	4 1.83%	75
Somewhat unfavorable	12 5.11%	13 10.40%	6 5.31%	11 8.03%	5 3.33%	6 3.66%	4 1.83%	57
Very unfavorable	193 82.13%	83 66.40%	92 81.42%	50 36.50%	14 9.33%	28 17.07%	6 2.75%	466
Don't know/no opinion	9 3.83%	6 4.80%	0 0.00%	2 1.46%	0 0.00%	7 4.27%	2 0.92%	26
Total	235 20.58%	125 10.95%	113 9.89%	137 12.00%	150 13.13%	164 14.36%	218 19.09%	1142

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	PID3			Total
	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	
Very favorable	8	23	280	311

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	1.69%	16.79%	52.63%	
Somewhat favorable	24 5.07%	34 24.82%	149 28.01%	207
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	27 5.71%	17 12.41%	30 5.64%	74
Somewhat unfavorable	31 6.55%	11 8.03%	16 3.01%	58
Very unfavorable	368 77.80%	50 36.50%	48 9.02%	466
Don't know/no opinion	15 3.17%	2 1.46%	9 1.69%	26
Total	473 41.42%	137 12.00%	532 46.58%	1142

Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q17A					
Q	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	Total
Very favorable	148 51.57%	4 0.88%	112 64.37%	59 21.38%	323
Somewhat favorable	82 28.57%	23 5.03%	43 24.71%	65 23.55%	213

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Neither favorable nor unfavorable	21 7.32%	22 4.81%	6 3.45%	32 11.59%	81
Somewhat unfavorable	7 2.44%	27 5.91%	5 2.87%	22 7.97%	61
Very unfavorable	24 8.36%	375 82.06%	6 3.45%	78 28.26%	483
Don't know/no opinion	5 1.74%	6 1.31%	2 1.15%	20 7.25%	33
Total	287 24.04%	457 38.27%	174 14.57%	276 23.12%	1194

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	educ							Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad		
Very favorable	12 24.49%	106 29.04%	81 27.18%	34 27.87%	65 26.64%	25 20.83%	323	
Somewhat favorable	5 10.20%	59 16.16%	69 23.15%	20 16.39%	38 15.57%	24 20.00%	215	
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	13 26.53%	24 6.58%	20 6.71%	8 6.56%	12 4.92%	4 3.33%	81	
Somewhat unfavorable	0 0.00%	16 4.38%	19 6.38%	5 4.10%	15 6.15%	6 5.00%	61	

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Very unfavorable	17 34.69%	140 38.36%	104 34.90%	53 43.44%	111 45.49%	61 50.83%	486
Don't know/no opinion	2 4.08%	20 5.48%	5 1.68%	2 1.64%	3 1.23%	0 0.00%	32
Total	49 4.09%	365 30.47%	298 24.87%	122 10.18%	244 20.37%	120 10.02%	1198

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	ATTEND						Total
	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never		
Very favorable	62 39.49%	75 31.51%	33 23.40%	77 25.25%	76 21.41%		323
Somewhat favorable	28 17.83%	45 18.91%	21 14.89%	67 21.97%	51 14.37%		212
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	12 7.64%	27 11.34%	17 12.06%	13 4.26%	13 3.66%		82
Somewhat unfavorable	9 5.73%	10 4.20%	8 5.67%	21 6.89%	13 3.66%		61
Very unfavorable	41 26.11%	75 31.51%	62 43.97%	112 36.72%	195 54.93%		485
Don't know/no opinion	5	6	0	15	7		33

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	3.18%	2.52%	0.00%	4.92%	1.97%	
Total	157 13.13%	238 19.90%	141 11.79%	305 25.50%	355 29.68%	1196

Cell Contents
Count
Column Percent

Q	race									Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern		
Very favorable	256 35.90%	4 2.72%	49 17.19%	0 0.00%	4 44.44%	5 16.13%	4 57.14%	1 100.00%		323
Somewhat favorable	163 22.86%	11 7.48%	34 11.93%	1 20.00%	2 22.22%	4 12.90%	1 14.29%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	216
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	35 4.91%	8 5.44%	35 12.28%	1 20.00%	1 11.11%	2 6.45%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	82
Somewhat unfavorable	25 3.51%	18 12.24%	13 4.56%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	4 12.90%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	60
Very unfavorable	228 31.98%	96 65.31%	139 48.77%	2 40.00%	2 22.22%	16 51.61%	2 28.57%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	485
Don't know/no opinion	6 0.84%	10 6.80%	15 5.26%	1 20.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	32
Total	713 59.52%	147 12.27%	285 23.79%	5 0.42%	9 0.75%	31 2.59%	7 0.58%	1 0.08%	1 0.08%	1198

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	MARITAL Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	Total
Very favorable	222 33.23%	6 28.57%	24 20.69%	21 34.43%	44 16.48%	5 7.94%	322
Somewhat favorable	123 18.41%	1 4.76%	23 19.83%	13 21.31%	36 13.48%	18 28.57%	214
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	59 8.83%	4 19.05%	2 1.72%	1 1.64%	15 5.62%	1 1.59%	82
Somewhat unfavorable	30 4.49%	3 14.29%	5 4.31%	1 1.64%	13 4.87%	8 12.70%	60
Very unfavorable	221 33.08%	6 28.57%	61 52.59%	22 36.07%	147 55.06%	28 44.44%	485
Don't know/no opinion	13 1.95%	1 4.76%	1 0.86%	3 4.92%	12 4.49%	3 4.76%	33
Total	668 55.85%	21 1.76%	116 9.70%	61 5.10%	267 22.32%	63 5.27%	1196

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

=====
Q                gender
                Male   Female   Total
-----
Very favorable          162     161     323
                    28.88%  25.31%
-----
Somewhat favorable     101     114     215
                    18.00%  17.92%
-----
Neither favorable nor unfavorable  45     36     81
                    8.02%   5.66%
-----
Somewhat unfavorable    20     40     60
                    3.57%   6.29%
-----
Very unfavorable       225     261     486
                    40.11%  41.04%
-----
Don't know/no opinion    8      24     32
                    1.43%   3.77%
-----
Total                 561     636    1197
                    46.87%  53.13%
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|                Count |
|          Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q                LOCATE
                Urban   Suburban   Rural   Total
-----

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Very favorable	56 15.14%	171 29.38%	93 39.41%	320

Somewhat favorable	53 14.32%	112 19.24%	47 19.92%	212

Neither favorable nor unfavorable	32 8.65%	31 5.33%	17 7.20%	80

Somewhat unfavorable	25 6.76%	23 3.95%	11 4.66%	59

Very unfavorable	191 51.62%	229 39.35%	64 27.12%	484

Don't know/no opinion	13 3.51%	16 2.75%	4 1.69%	33

Total	370 31.14%	582 48.99%	236 19.87%	1188

=====

Cell Contents	

	Count
	Column Percent

Q	metro					Total
	Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	
Very favorable	83 27.67%	80 25.97%	31 27.19%	29 21.64%	100 29.33%	323
Somewhat favorable	56 18.67%	51 16.56%	19 16.67%	24 17.91%	65 19.06%	215

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Neither favorable nor unfavorable	18 6.00%	15 4.87%	14 12.28%	13 9.70%	22 6.45%	82
Somewhat unfavorable	7 2.33%	22 7.14%	9 7.89%	6 4.48%	16 4.69%	60
Very unfavorable	128 42.67%	137 44.48%	37 32.46%	61 45.52%	122 35.78%	485
Don't know/no opinion	8 2.67%	3 0.97%	4 3.51%	1 0.75%	16 4.69%	32
Total	300 25.06%	308 25.73%	114 9.52%	134 11.19%	341 28.49%	1197

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Very favorable	34 15.89%	54 16.62%	140 32.26%	95 42.22%	323
Somewhat favorable	40 18.69%	42 12.92%	89 20.51%	45 20.00%	216
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	15 7.01%	42 12.92%	21 4.84%	3 1.33%	81
Somewhat unfavorable	15	28	16	1	60

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	7.01%	8.62%	3.69%	0.44%	

Very unfavorable	108	138	162	78	486
	50.47%	42.46%	37.33%	34.67%	

Don't know/no opinion	2	21	6	3	32
	0.93%	6.46%	1.38%	1.33%	

Total	214	325	434	225	1198
	17.86%	27.13%	36.23%	18.78%	
=====					

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q19. Mike Pence Favorability

Cell Contents
Count
Column Percent

Q	LIBCON7							
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	Total
Very favorable	3 3.75%	3 2.80%	1 1.82%	59 13.66%	26 27.08%	122 59.51%	141 69.46%	355
Somewhat favorable	5 6.25%	2 1.87%	4 7.27%	38 8.80%	27 28.12%	40 19.51%	30 14.78%	146
Neither favorable nor unfvrbl	3 3.75%	7 6.54%	6 10.91%	78 18.06%	22 22.92%	17 8.29%	6 2.96%	139
Somewhat unfavorable	8 10.00%	12 11.21%	12 21.82%	80 18.52%	8 8.33%	10 4.88%	8 3.94%	138
Very unfavorable	60 75.00%	79 73.83%	30 54.55%	131 30.32%	6 6.25%	12 5.85%	9 4.43%	327
Don't know/no opinion	1 1.25%	4 3.74%	2 3.64%	46 10.65%	7 7.29%	4 1.95%	9 4.43%	73
Total	80 6.79%	107 9.08%	55 4.67%	432 36.67%	96 8.15%	205 17.40%	203 17.23%	1178

Cell Contents
Count

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Column Percent

```

=====
                                IDEOL
Q                                Liberals  Moderates  Conservatives  Total
-----
Very favorable                    6           59           290           355
                                2.50%      13.66%      57.54%
-----
Somewhat favorable                 11           38           97           146
                                4.58%      8.80%      19.25%
-----
Neither favorable nor unfavorable  16           78           44           138
                                6.67%      18.06%      8.73%
-----
Somewhat unfavorable              32           80           25           137
                                13.33%     18.52%      4.96%
-----
Very unfavorable                  169          131           28           328
                                70.42%     30.32%      5.56%
-----
Don't know/no opinion              6            46           20           72
                                2.50%      10.65%      3.97%
-----
Total                             240          432           504          1176
                                20.41%     36.73%     42.86%
=====

```

```

Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

PID7

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	Total
Very favorable	8 3.36%	6 4.96%	3 2.61%	23 16.67%	79 52.32%	71 44.38%	159 72.94%	349
Somewhat favorable	13 5.46%	7 5.79%	2 1.74%	17 12.32%	38 25.17%	24 15.00%	41 18.81%	142
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	18 7.56%	19 15.70%	16 13.91%	27 19.57%	12 7.95%	30 18.75%	7 3.21%	129
Somewhat unfavorable	30 12.61%	30 24.79%	20 17.39%	27 19.57%	13 8.61%	11 6.88%	1 0.46%	132
Very unfavorable	152 63.87%	48 39.67%	72 62.61%	33 23.91%	5 3.31%	10 6.25%	4 1.83%	324
Don't know/no opinion	17 7.14%	11 9.09%	2 1.74%	11 7.97%	4 2.65%	14 8.75%	6 2.75%	65
Total	238 20.86%	121 10.60%	115 10.08%	138 12.09%	151 13.23%	160 14.02%	218 19.11%	1141

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	PID3			Total
	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	
Very favorable	16 3.38%	23 16.67%	309 58.63%	348

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Somewhat favorable	22 4.64%	17 12.32%	103 19.54%	142
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	53 11.18%	27 19.57%	48 9.11%	128
Somewhat unfavorable	81 17.09%	27 19.57%	25 4.74%	133
Very unfavorable	272 57.38%	33 23.91%	18 3.42%	323
Don't know/no opinion	30 6.33%	11 7.97%	24 4.55%	65
Total	474 41.62%	138 12.12%	527 46.27%	1139

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

=====
Q17A

Q	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	Total
Very favorable	157 55.87%	12 2.63%	125 70.62%	66 24.00%	360
Somewhat favorable	65 23.13%	19 4.17%	29 16.38%	33 12.00%	146
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	31 11.03%	46 10.09%	13 7.34%	50 18.18%	140

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Somewhat unfavorable	8 2.85%	82 17.98%	5 2.82%	40 14.55%	135
Very unfavorable	11 3.91%	275 60.31%	1 0.56%	49 17.82%	336
Don't know/no opinion	9 3.20%	22 4.82%	4 2.26%	37 13.45%	72
Total	281 23.63%	456 38.35%	177 14.89%	275 23.13%	1189

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Very favorable	7 13.21%	116 32.40%	85 28.52%	41 34.17%	77 31.56%	34 28.57%	360
Somewhat favorable	5 9.43%	49 13.69%	32 10.74%	10 8.33%	33 13.52%	16 13.45%	145
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	16 30.19%	35 9.78%	52 17.45%	12 10.00%	18 7.38%	8 6.72%	141
Somewhat unfavorable	7 13.21%	38 10.61%	34 11.41%	12 10.00%	27 11.07%	19 15.97%	137
Very unfavorable	12	84	75	39	84	42	336

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	22.64%	23.46%	25.17%	32.50%	34.43%	35.29%	
Don't know/no opinion	6 11.32%	36 10.06%	20 6.71%	6 5.00%	5 2.05%	0 0.00%	73
Total	53 4.45%	358 30.03%	298 25.00%	120 10.07%	244 20.47%	119 9.98%	1192

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|       Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	ATTEND					Total
	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	
Very favorable	67 41.88%	97 41.63%	36 25.71%	94 30.82%	66 18.59%	360
Somewhat favorable	27 16.88%	30 12.88%	22 15.71%	27 8.85%	39 10.99%	145
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	19 11.88%	29 12.45%	26 18.57%	41 13.44%	25 7.04%	140
Somewhat unfavorable	9 5.62%	27 11.59%	16 11.43%	51 16.72%	35 9.86%	138
Very unfavorable	28 17.50%	35 15.02%	34 24.29%	73 23.93%	166 46.76%	336
Don't know/no opinion	10 6.25%	15 6.44%	6 4.29%	19 6.23%	24 6.76%	74

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	160 13.41%	233 19.53%	140 11.74%	305 25.57%	355 29.76%	1193
-------	---------------	---------------	---------------	---------------	---------------	------

=====

Cell Contents
Count
Column Percent

Q	race									Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern		
Very favorable	285 39.97%	6 4.11%	51 18.21%	0 0.00%	6 66.67%	6 20.00%	5 62.50%	1 100.00%		360
Somewhat favorable	110 15.43%	8 5.48%	23 8.21%	2 40.00%	0 0.00%	2 6.67%	1 12.50%	0 0.00%		146
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	67 9.40%	20 13.70%	50 17.86%	0 0.00%	1 11.11%	2 6.67%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%		140
Somewhat unfavorable	64 8.98%	35 23.97%	35 12.50%	1 20.00%	0 0.00%	3 10.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%		138
Very unfavorable	163 22.86%	62 42.47%	92 32.86%	1 20.00%	1 11.11%	14 46.67%	2 25.00%	0 0.00%		335
Don't know/no opinion	24 3.37%	15 10.27%	29 10.36%	1 20.00%	1 11.11%	3 10.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%		73
Total	713 59.82%	146 12.25%	280 23.49%	5 0.42%	9 0.76%	30 2.52%	8 0.67%	1 0.08%		1192

Cell Contents

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Count
Column Percent

Q	MARITAL						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	
Very favorable	253 38.39%	6 28.57%	26 22.41%	28 45.90%	43 15.93%	3 4.76%	359
Somewhat favorable	92 13.96%	0 0.00%	10 8.62%	6 9.84%	30 11.11%	7 11.11%	145
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	76 11.53%	6 28.57%	12 10.34%	2 3.28%	33 12.22%	12 19.05%	141
Somewhat unfavorable	68 10.32%	0 0.00%	25 21.55%	8 13.11%	27 10.00%	10 15.87%	138
Very unfavorable	142 21.55%	7 33.33%	35 30.17%	13 21.31%	115 42.59%	22 34.92%	334
Don't know/no opinion	28 4.25%	2 9.52%	8 6.90%	4 6.56%	22 8.15%	9 14.29%	73
Total	659 55.38%	21 1.76%	116 9.75%	61 5.13%	270 22.69%	63 5.29%	1190

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Very favorable	177 31.95%	183 28.73%	360
Somewhat favorable	71 12.82%	75 11.77%	146
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	67 12.09%	73 11.46%	140
Somewhat unfavorable	65 11.73%	72 11.30%	137
Very unfavorable	157 28.34%	178 27.94%	335
Don't know/no opinion	17 3.07%	56 8.79%	73
Total	554 46.52%	637 53.48%	1191

=====
Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	LOCATE			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Very favorable	68 18.48%	197 34.26%	92 38.49%	357

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Somewhat favorable	49 13.32%	68 11.83%	28 11.72%	145
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	53 14.40%	58 10.09%	26 10.88%	137
Somewhat unfavorable	54 14.67%	49 8.52%	34 14.23%	137
Very unfavorable	116 31.52%	175 30.43%	42 17.57%	333
Don't know/no opinion	28 7.61%	28 4.87%	17 7.11%	73
Total	368 31.13%	575 48.65%	239 20.22%	1182

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	metro					Total
	Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	
Very favorable	88 29.24%	96 31.07%	36 33.03%	34 25.37%	106 31.45%	360
Somewhat favorable	44 14.62%	27 8.74%	18 16.51%	9 6.72%	47 13.95%	145
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	42	30	11	20	37	140

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	13.95%	9.71%	10.09%	14.93%	10.98%	
Somewhat unfavorable	27 8.97%	32 10.36%	15 13.76%	9 6.72%	54 16.02%	137
Very unfavorable	84 27.91%	104 33.66%	24 22.02%	52 38.81%	71 21.07%	335
Don't know/no opinion	16 5.32%	20 6.47%	5 4.59%	10 7.46%	22 6.53%	73
Total	301 25.29%	309 25.97%	109 9.16%	134 11.26%	337 28.32%	1190

Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Very favorable	30 14.08%	59 18.32%	154 35.81%	117 52.00%	360
Somewhat favorable	28 13.15%	41 12.73%	58 13.49%	19 8.44%	146
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	35 16.43%	48 14.91%	46 10.70%	11 4.89%	140
Somewhat unfavorable	25 11.74%	51 15.84%	33 7.67%	28 12.44%	137

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Very unfavorable	79	95	120	41	335
	37.09%	29.50%	27.91%	18.22%	

Don't know/no opinion	16	28	19	9	72
	7.51%	8.70%	4.42%	4.00%	

Total	213	322	430	225	1190
	17.90%	27.06%	36.13%	18.91%	
=====					

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q20. Vladimir Putin Favorability

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Very favorable	8 10.00%	1 0.93%	1 1.92%	6 1.37%	0 0.00%	1 0.50%	11 5.47%	28
Somewhat favorable	6 7.50%	2 1.87%	3 5.77%	33 7.53%	8 8.33%	26 12.87%	18 8.96%	96
Neither favorable nor unfvrbl	2 2.50%	7 6.54%	2 3.85%	81 18.49%	25 26.04%	57 28.22%	49 24.38%	223
Somewhat unfavorable	4 5.00%	14 13.08%	6 11.54%	66 15.07%	21 21.88%	46 22.77%	35 17.41%	192
Very unfavorable	58 72.50%	78 72.90%	39 75.00%	194 44.29%	30 31.25%	64 31.68%	79 39.30%	542
Don't know/no opinion	2 2.50%	5 4.67%	1 1.92%	58 13.24%	12 12.50%	8 3.96%	9 4.48%	95
Total	80 6.80%	107 9.10%	52 4.42%	438 37.24%	96 8.16%	202 17.18%	201 17.09%	1176

Cell Contents

Count

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Column Percent

```

=====
                                IDEOL
Q                                Liberals  Moderates  Conservatives  Total
-----
Very favorable                    9           6           12           27
                                3.80%      1.37%      2.41%
-----
Somewhat favorable                11          33          52           96
                                4.64%      7.53%     10.46%
-----
Neither favorable nor unfavorable  11          81         131          223
                                4.64%     18.49%     26.36%
-----
Somewhat unfavorable              24          66         101          191
                                10.13%    15.07%    20.32%
-----
Very unfavorable                  175         194         172          541
                                73.84%    44.29%    34.61%
-----
Don't know/no opinion              7           58          29           94
                                2.95%     13.24%     5.84%
-----
Total                             237         438         497          1172
                                20.22%    37.37%    42.41%
=====

```

Cell Contents
|-----|
| Count |
Column Percent

PID7

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	Total
Very favorable	7 2.97%	1 0.80%	1 0.90%	5 3.62%	4 2.67%	0 0.00%	5 2.29%	23
Somewhat favorable	8 3.39%	10 8.00%	6 5.41%	7 5.07%	14 9.33%	20 12.42%	27 12.39%	92
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	7 2.97%	19 15.20%	9 8.11%	32 23.19%	49 32.67%	43 26.71%	58 26.61%	217
Somewhat unfavorable	15 6.36%	8 6.40%	18 16.22%	26 18.84%	39 26.00%	32 19.88%	49 22.48%	187
Very unfavorable	186 78.81%	70 56.00%	74 66.67%	53 38.41%	42 28.00%	48 29.81%	59 27.06%	532
Don't know/no opinion	13 5.51%	17 13.60%	3 2.70%	15 10.87%	2 1.33%	18 11.18%	20 9.17%	88
Total	236 20.72%	125 10.97%	111 9.75%	138 12.12%	150 13.17%	161 14.14%	218 19.14%	1139

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	PID3			Total
	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	
Very favorable	9 1.91%	5 3.62%	9 1.70%	23

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Somewhat favorable	24 5.11%	7 5.07%	61 11.53%	92
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	34 7.23%	32 23.19%	150 28.36%	216
Somewhat unfavorable	40 8.51%	26 18.84%	120 22.68%	186
Very unfavorable	330 70.21%	53 38.41%	149 28.17%	532
Don't know/no opinion	33 7.02%	15 10.87%	40 7.56%	88
Total	470 41.34%	138 12.14%	529 46.53%	1137

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

===== Q17A						
Q	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	Total	
Very favorable	6 2.11%	8 1.76%	5 2.89%	8 2.91%	27	
Somewhat favorable	44 15.49%	18 3.96%	15 8.67%	19 6.91%	96	
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	74 26.06%	35 7.71%	54 31.21%	64 23.27%	227	

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Somewhat unfavorable	60 21.13%	39 8.59%	40 23.12%	54 19.64%	193
Very unfavorable	71 25.00%	326 71.81%	56 32.37%	93 33.82%	546
Don't know/no opinion	29 10.21%	28 6.17%	3 1.73%	37 13.45%	97
Total	284 23.95%	454 38.28%	173 14.59%	275 23.19%	1186

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Very favorable	5 10.20%	9 2.48%	6 2.03%	5 4.13%	1 0.41%	1 0.84%	27
Somewhat favorable	2 4.08%	45 12.40%	25 8.47%	10 8.26%	13 5.35%	3 2.52%	98
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	16 32.65%	59 16.25%	61 20.68%	31 25.62%	36 14.81%	26 21.85%	229
Somewhat unfavorable	2 4.08%	57 15.70%	45 15.25%	16 13.22%	53 21.81%	19 15.97%	192
Very unfavorable	22	148	129	47	133	69	548

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	44.90%	40.77%	43.73%	38.84%	54.73%	57.98%	
Don't know/no opinion	2 4.08%	45 12.40%	29 9.83%	12 9.92%	7 2.88%	1 0.84%	96
Total	49 4.12%	363 30.50%	295 24.79%	121 10.17%	243 20.42%	119 10.00%	1190

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

	ATTEND						
Q	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total	
Very favorable	4 2.56%	8 3.36%		4 2.84%	1 0.33%	9 2.56%	26
Somewhat favorable	17 10.90%	14 5.88%		12 8.51%	30 10.00%	24 6.82%	97
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	27 17.31%	58 24.37%		29 20.57%	57 19.00%	56 15.91%	227
Somewhat unfavorable	14 8.97%	39 16.39%		24 17.02%	73 24.33%	42 11.93%	192
Very unfavorable	80 51.28%	108 45.38%		56 39.72%	112 37.33%	193 54.83%	549
Don't know/no opinion	14 8.97%	11 4.62%		16 11.35%	27 9.00%	28 7.95%	96

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	156 13.14%	238 20.05%	141 11.88%	300 25.27%	352 29.65%	1187
-------	---------------	---------------	---------------	---------------	---------------	------

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

Q	race									Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern		
Very favorable	15 2.10%	6 4.08%	7 2.50%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	28
Somewhat favorable	56 7.84%	13 8.84%	24 8.57%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	3 10.34%	1 12.50%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	97
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	160 22.41%	16 10.88%	42 15.00%	0 0.00%	2 22.22%	6 20.69%	0 0.00%	1 100.00%	1 100.00%	227
Somewhat unfavorable	135 18.91%	12 8.16%	39 13.93%	3 60.00%	1 11.11%	3 10.34%	1 12.50%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	194
Very unfavorable	310 43.42%	81 55.10%	131 46.79%	1 20.00%	6 66.67%	15 51.72%	6 75.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	550
Don't know/no opinion	38 5.32%	19 12.93%	37 13.21%	1 20.00%	0 0.00%	2 6.90%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	97
Total	714 59.85%	147 12.32%	280 23.47%	5 0.42%	9 0.75%	29 2.43%	8 0.67%	1 0.08%	1 0.08%	1193

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

|           Count |
|   Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q           MARITAL
Married   Separated   Divorced   Widowed   Single   Domestic Partnership   Total
-----
Very favorable           9           0           2           1          15           0          27
1.36%       0.00%       1.72%       1.64%       5.62%           0.00%
-----
Somewhat favorable      50           3           5           2          19           18          97
7.55%      15.00%       4.31%       3.28%       7.12%           29.03%
-----
Neither favorable nor unfavorable  151           3           19          9          41           4          227
22.81%     15.00%      16.38%     14.75%     15.36%           6.45%
-----
Somewhat unfavorable   135           1           17          7          30           3          193
20.39%     5.00%      14.66%     11.48%     11.24%           4.84%
-----
Very unfavorable       269           8           64          35         141           30          547
40.63%     40.00%     55.17%     57.38%     52.81%           48.39%
-----
Don't know/no opinion   48           5           9           7          21           7          97
7.25%      25.00%       7.76%     11.48%       7.87%           11.29%
-----
Total                  662           20          116          61         267           62          1188
55.72%     1.68%       9.76%       5.13%     22.47%           5.22%
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|           Count |
|   Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

Q	gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Very favorable	17 3.05%	10 1.58%	27
Somewhat favorable	53 9.50%	44 6.95%	97
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	118 21.15%	109 17.22%	227
Somewhat unfavorable	106 19.00%	87 13.74%	193
Very unfavorable	253 45.34%	297 46.92%	550
Don't know/no opinion	11 1.97%	86 13.59%	97
Total	558 46.85%	633 53.15%	1191

=====
Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	LOCATE			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Very favorable	8 2.19%	7 1.21%	10 4.24%	25

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Somewhat favorable	39 10.66%	40 6.91%	15 6.36%	94
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	57 15.57%	117 20.21%	52 22.03%	226
Somewhat unfavorable	45 12.30%	102 17.62%	45 19.07%	192
Very unfavorable	182 49.73%	275 47.50%	91 38.56%	548
Don't know/no opinion	35 9.56%	38 6.56%	23 9.75%	96
Total	366 30.99%	579 49.03%	236 19.98%	1181

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	metro					Total
	Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	
Very favorable	9 3.02%	5 1.63%	6 5.17%	5 3.73%	3 0.88%	28
Somewhat favorable	28 9.40%	17 5.56%	10 8.62%	9 6.72%	33 9.71%	97
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	61	64	21	23	59	228

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	20.47%	20.92%	18.10%	17.16%	17.35%	
Somewhat unfavorable	36 12.08%	45 14.71%	27 23.28%	21 15.67%	64 18.82%	193
Very unfavorable	149 50.00%	150 49.02%	40 34.48%	66 49.25%	145 42.65%	550
Don't know/no opinion	15 5.03%	25 8.17%	12 10.34%	10 7.46%	36 10.59%	98
Total	298 24.96%	306 25.63%	116 9.72%	134 11.22%	340 28.48%	1194

Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Very favorable	7 3.29%	8 2.49%	10 2.31%	2 0.89%	27
Somewhat favorable	28 13.15%	23 7.17%	37 8.55%	9 4.02%	97
Neither favorable nor unfavorable	22 10.33%	72 22.43%	76 17.55%	58 25.89%	228
Somewhat unfavorable	36 16.90%	50 15.58%	71 16.40%	36 16.07%	193

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Very unfavorable	91 42.72%	130 40.50%	222 51.27%	106 47.32%	549

Don't know/no opinion	29 13.62%	38 11.84%	17 3.93%	13 5.80%	97

Total	213 17.88%	321 26.95%	433 36.36%	224 18.81%	1191
=====					

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q21. Future Performance as President: Donald Trump

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

```

=====
LIBCON7
Q      Extremely lib.  Somewhat lib.  Lean lib.  Moderate  Lean con.  Somewhat con.  Extremely con.  Total
-----
Great      7           2           1           38          23          85           121          277
           8.64%       1.87%       1.85%       8.68%      24.21%     41.67%      60.20%
-----
Good       6           5           1           84          24          59           46          225
           7.41%       4.67%       1.85%      19.18%     25.26%     28.92%      22.89%
-----
Average    1           4           3           52          12          20           3           95
           1.23%       3.74%       5.56%      11.87%     12.63%     9.80%       1.49%
-----
Poor       3           9          10           40          11           3            5           81
           3.70%       8.41%      18.52%       9.13%     11.58%     1.47%       2.49%
-----
Terrible   62          85          36           186         11           26           15          421
           76.54%      79.44%     66.67%      42.47%     11.58%     12.75%      7.46%
-----
Don't know 2           2           3           38          14          11           11           81
           2.47%       1.87%       5.56%       8.68%     14.74%     5.39%       5.47%
-----
Total     81          107         54           438         95          204          201          1180
           6.86%       9.07%       4.58%      37.12%     8.05%     17.29%     17.03%
=====

```

Cell Contents

Count

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Column Percent

```

=====
                IDEOL
Q           Liberals  Moderates  Conservatives  Total
-----
Great           10           38           230           278
                4.17%           8.68%           45.91%
-----
Good            12           84           128           224
                5.00%           19.18%           25.55%
-----
Average          7           52           36           95
                2.92%           11.87%           7.19%
-----
Poor            22           40           19           81
                9.17%           9.13%           3.79%
-----
Terrible       183           186           52           421
                76.25%           42.47%           10.38%
-----
Don't know      6           38           36           80
                2.50%           8.68%           7.19%
-----
Total          240           438           501           1179
                20.36%           37.15%           42.49%
=====

```

```

Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

=====

PID7

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	Total
Great	9 3.78%	1 0.81%	2 1.77%	19 13.67%	53 35.81%	54 33.13%	134 61.19%	272
Good	8 3.36%	17 13.71%	6 5.31%	31 22.30%	53 35.81%	42 25.77%	61 27.85%	218
Average	18 7.56%	6 4.84%	4 3.54%	16 11.51%	19 12.84%	19 11.66%	6 2.74%	88
Poor	15 6.30%	18 14.52%	17 15.04%	17 12.23%	6 4.05%	1 0.61%	2 0.91%	76
Terrible	172 72.27%	75 60.48%	81 71.68%	43 30.94%	10 6.76%	26 15.95%	6 2.74%	413
Don't know	16 6.72%	7 5.65%	3 2.65%	13 9.35%	7 4.73%	21 12.88%	10 4.57%	77
Total	238 20.80%	124 10.84%	113 9.88%	139 12.15%	148 12.94%	163 14.25%	219 19.14%	1144

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

=====
PID3

Q	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	Total
Great	12 2.52%	19 13.67%	241 45.47%	272

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Good	31 6.51%	31 22.30%	156 29.43%	218
Average	28 5.88%	16 11.51%	43 8.11%	87
Poor	51 10.71%	17 12.23%	10 1.89%	78
Terrible	328 68.91%	43 30.94%	42 7.92%	413
Don't know	26 5.46%	13 9.35%	38 7.17%	77
Total	476 41.57%	139 12.14%	530 46.29%	1145

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

=====
Q17A

Q	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	Total
Great	131 45.80%	10 2.19%	99 56.25%	41 15.02%	281
Good	86 30.07%	27 5.92%	49 27.84%	64 23.44%	226
Average	27 9.44%	28 6.14%	7 3.98%	33 12.09%	95

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Poor	5 1.75%	41 8.99%	7 3.98%	27 9.89%	80
Terrible	18 6.29%	332 72.81%	3 1.70%	72 26.37%	425
Don't know	19 6.64%	18 3.95%	11 6.25%	36 13.19%	84
Total	286 24.01%	456 38.29%	176 14.78%	273 22.92%	1191

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Great	10 19.23%	97 26.58%	66 22.15%	33 27.50%	55 22.63%	20 16.81%	281
Good	8 15.38%	76 20.82%	62 20.81%	16 13.33%	44 18.11%	21 17.65%	227
Average	7 13.46%	15 4.11%	41 13.76%	12 10.00%	12 4.94%	8 6.72%	95
Poor	3 5.77%	18 4.93%	21 7.05%	7 5.83%	19 7.82%	13 10.92%	81
Terrible	18	122	94	45	101	50	430

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	34.62%	33.42%	31.54%	37.50%	41.56%	42.02%	
Don't know	6 11.54%	37 10.14%	14 4.70%	7 5.83%	12 4.94%	7 5.88%	83
Total	52 4.34%	365 30.49%	298 24.90%	120 10.03%	243 20.30%	119 9.94%	1197

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

```

=====
ATTEND
Q      More than once a week  Once a week  A few times a month  Once or twice a year  Never  Total
-----
Great      50           71           30           60           71      282
           31.25%      30.21%           21.28%           19.67%      20.00%
-----
Good       35           52           21           75           43      226
           21.88%      22.13%           14.89%           24.59%      12.11%
-----
Average    13           19           19           29           15       95
           8.12%       8.09%           13.48%           9.51%       4.23%
-----
Poor       10           12           12           22           24       80
           6.25%       5.11%           8.51%           7.21%       6.76%
-----
Terrible   41           65           53           95          175     429
           25.62%      27.66%           37.59%           31.15%      49.30%
-----
Don't know 11           16           6           24           27       84
           6.88%       6.81%           4.26%           7.87%       7.61%
-----

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	160	235	141	305	355	1196
	13.38%	19.65%	11.79%	25.50%	29.68%	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

```

=====

```

Q	race	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern	Total
Great		221	9	39	0	4	5	3	1	282
		31.08%	6.16%	13.54%	0.00%	44.44%	16.67%	37.50%	100.00%	
Good		164	13	44	0	2	3	2	0	228
		23.07%	8.90%	15.28%	0.00%	22.22%	10.00%	25.00%	0.00%	
Average		47	17	26	2	1	2	0	0	95
		6.61%	11.64%	9.03%	40.00%	11.11%	6.67%	0.00%	0.00%	
Poor		36	14	26	0	0	4	1	0	81
		5.06%	9.59%	9.03%	0.00%	0.00%	13.33%	12.50%	0.00%	
Terrible		199	84	127	2	2	14	1	0	429
		27.99%	57.53%	44.10%	40.00%	22.22%	46.67%	12.50%	0.00%	
Don't know		44	9	26	1	0	2	1	0	83
		6.19%	6.16%	9.03%	20.00%	0.00%	6.67%	12.50%	0.00%	
Total		711	146	288	5	9	30	8	1	1198
		59.35%	12.19%	24.04%	0.42%	0.75%	2.50%	0.67%	0.08%	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

|           Count |
|   Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
MARITAL
Q      Married   Separated   Divorced   Widowed   Single   Domestic Partnership   Total
-----
Great      186         6         20         16         50         4         282
          27.97%    28.57%    17.39%    26.67%    18.52%         6.35%
-----
Good       136         2         17         17         30         24         226
          20.45%    9.52%    14.78%    28.33%    11.11%        38.10%
-----
Average    61          0         7          0         23         4         95
          9.17%    0.00%    6.09%    0.00%    8.52%         6.35%
-----
Poor       41          4         8          1         23         3         80
          6.17%    19.05%    6.96%    1.67%    8.52%         4.76%
-----
Terrible   193         6         56         22         125        25         427
          29.02%    28.57%    48.70%    36.67%    46.30%        39.68%
-----
Don't know  48          3         7          4         19         3         84
          7.22%    14.29%    6.09%    6.67%    7.04%         4.76%
-----
Total     665         21        115         60         270         63        1194
          55.70%    1.76%    9.63%    5.03%    22.61%         5.28%
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|           Count |
|   Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```


Q	gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Great	136 24.24%	146 22.92%	282
Good	128 22.82%	100 15.70%	228
Average	31 5.53%	64 10.05%	95
Poor	35 6.24%	45 7.06%	80
Terrible	191 34.05%	238 37.36%	429
Don't know	40 7.13%	44 6.91%	84
Total	561 46.83%	637 53.17%	1198

=====
Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	LOCATE			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Great	57 15.36%	150 25.95%	72 30.13%	279

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Good	67 18.06%	96 16.61%	61 25.52%	224
Average	33 8.89%	45 7.79%	17 7.11%	95
Poor	30 8.09%	35 6.06%	15 6.28%	80
Terrible	166 44.74%	200 34.60%	61 25.52%	427
Don't know	18 4.85%	52 9.00%	13 5.44%	83
Total	371 31.23%	578 48.65%	239 20.12%	1188

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	metro Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	Total
Great	70 23.10%	78 25.49%	26 22.61%	28 20.90%	80 23.53%	282
Good	64 21.12%	45 14.71%	24 20.87%	29 21.64%	67 19.71%	229
Average	23	26	10	5	31	95

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	7.59%	8.50%	8.70%	3.73%	9.12%	
Poor	29 9.57%	23 7.52%	5 4.35%	6 4.48%	17 5.00%	80
Terrible	103 33.99%	115 37.58%	36 31.30%	60 44.78%	114 33.53%	428
Don't know	14 4.62%	19 6.21%	14 12.17%	6 4.48%	31 9.12%	84
Total	303 25.29%	306 25.54%	115 9.60%	134 11.19%	340 28.38%	1198

=====
Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Great	33 15.49%	52 16.05%	116 26.54%	80 36.04%	281
Good	35 16.43%	47 14.51%	93 21.28%	52 23.42%	227
Average	36 16.90%	35 10.80%	23 5.26%	1 0.45%	95
Poor	17 7.98%	24 7.41%	26 5.95%	14 6.31%	81

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Terrible	84	132	149	64	429
	39.44%	40.74%	34.10%	28.83%	

Don't know	8	34	30	11	83
	3.76%	10.49%	6.86%	4.95%	

Total	213	324	437	222	1196
	17.81%	27.09%	36.54%	18.56%	
=====					

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q22. Do you think Donald Trump has the temperament to serve effectively as president?

Cell Contents

	Count
	Column Percent

LIBCON7								
Q	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	Total
Yes	10 12.50%	7 6.60%	2 3.70%	122 27.85%	37 38.54%	132 64.71%	150 73.89%	460
No	70 87.50%	97 91.51%	49 90.74%	250 57.08%	37 38.54%	32 15.69%	35 17.24%	570
Don't know	0 0.00%	2 1.89%	3 5.56%	66 15.07%	22 22.92%	40 19.61%	18 8.87%	151
Total	80 6.77%	106 8.98%	54 4.57%	438 37.09%	96 8.13%	204 17.27%	203 17.19%	1181

Cell Contents

	Count
	Column Percent

IDEOL				
Q	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives	Total
Yes	19 7.88%	122 27.85%	319 63.42%	460

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

No	216 89.63%	250 57.08%	105 20.87%	571
Don't know	6 2.49%	66 15.07%	79 15.71%	151
Total	241 20.39%	438 37.06%	503 42.55%	1182

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	PID7							Total
	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	
Yes	17 7.11%	16 12.90%	10 8.85%	45 32.61%	92 61.33%	91 55.49%	178 81.65%	449
No	201 84.10%	101 81.45%	98 86.73%	66 47.83%	30 20.00%	39 23.78%	17 7.80%	552
Don't know	21 8.79%	7 5.65%	5 4.42%	27 19.57%	28 18.67%	34 20.73%	23 10.55%	145
Total	239 20.86%	124 10.82%	113 9.86%	138 12.04%	150 13.09%	164 14.31%	218 19.02%	1146

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

```

=====
Q          PID3
          Democrats  Independents  Republicans  Total
-----
Yes          42          45          362          449
            8.84%       32.61%       67.92%
-----
No          400          66          85          551
            84.21%       47.83%       15.95%
-----
Don't know  33          27          86          146
            6.95%       19.57%       16.14%
-----
Total       475          138          533          1146
            41.45%       12.04%       46.51%
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|          Count |
|      Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q          Q17A
          Republican candidate  Democratic candidate  Tea Party candidate  Don't know  Total
-----
Yes          186          38          142          101          467
            64.81%       8.32%       80.23%       36.73%
-----
No          44          393          20          118          575
            15.33%       86.00%       11.30%       42.91%
-----
Don't know  57          26          15          56          154
            19.86%       5.69%       8.47%       20.36%
=====

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	287	457	177	275	1196
	24.00%	38.21%	14.80%	22.99%	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
| Column Percent |
|-----|

```

	educ						Total
Q	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Yes	15	154	125	45	88	41	468
	29.41%	42.08%	42.09%	37.19%	36.07%	34.17%	
No	27	151	139	64	129	67	577
	52.94%	41.26%	46.80%	52.89%	52.87%	55.83%	
Don't know	9	61	33	12	27	12	154
	17.65%	16.67%	11.11%	9.92%	11.07%	10.00%	
Total	51	366	297	121	244	120	1199
	4.25%	30.53%	24.77%	10.09%	20.35%	10.01%	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
| Column Percent |
|-----|

```

	ATTEND					Total
Q	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Yes	83 51.88%	105 43.93%	50 35.21%	130 42.76%	100 28.17%	468
No	58 36.25%	107 44.77%	67 47.18%	131 43.09%	215 60.56%	578
Don't know	19 11.88%	27 11.30%	25 17.61%	43 14.14%	40 11.27%	154
Total	160 13.33%	239 19.92%	142 11.83%	304 25.33%	355 29.58%	1200

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	race								Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern	
Yes	354 49.72%	16 10.96%	76 26.30%	2 40.00%	7 70.00%	7 23.33%	5 62.50%	1 100.00%	468
No	264 37.08%	120 82.19%	168 58.13%	2 40.00%	2 20.00%	21 70.00%	2 25.00%	0 0.00%	579
Don't know	94 13.20%	10 6.85%	45 15.57%	1 20.00%	1 10.00%	2 6.67%	1 12.50%	0 0.00%	154
Total	712 59.28%	146 12.16%	289 24.06%	5 0.42%	10 0.83%	30 2.50%	8 0.67%	1 0.08%	1201

=====
Cell Contents

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

|           Count |
|   Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
MARITAL
Q      Married   Separated   Divorced   Widowed   Single   Domestic Partnership   Total
-----
Yes      310         8         35         25         62         25         465
        46.55%    40.00%    30.43%    41.67%    23.05%         39.68%
-----
No       257         10         68         29         180        31         575
        38.59%    50.00%    59.13%    48.33%    66.91%         49.21%
-----
Don't know  99         2         12         6         27         7         153
        14.86%    10.00%    10.43%    10.00%    10.04%         11.11%
-----
Total    666         20         115        60         269        63         1193
        55.83%    1.68%    9.64%    5.03%    22.55%         5.28%
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|           Count |
|   Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
gender
Q      Male   Female   Total
-----
Yes      248     219     467
        44.21%  34.27%
-----
No       249     330     579
        44.39%  51.64%
-----

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know	64	90	154
	11.41%	14.08%	

Total	561	639	1200
	46.75%	53.25%	

=====

Cell Contents			

	Count		
	Column Percent		

=====

	LOCATE			
Q	Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total

Yes	106	238	119	463
	28.57%	40.96%	49.58%	

No	222	264	88	574
	59.84%	45.44%	36.67%	

Don't know	43	79	33	155
	11.59%	13.60%	13.75%	

Total	371	581	240	1192
	31.12%	48.74%	20.13%	

=====

Cell Contents			

	Count		
	Column Percent		

=====

metro

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	Total
Yes	109 36.09%	115 37.22%	51 44.35%	56 41.79%	138 40.59%	469
No	158 52.32%	154 49.84%	49 42.61%	69 51.49%	148 43.53%	578
Don't know	35 11.59%	40 12.94%	15 13.04%	9 6.72%	54 15.88%	153
Total	302 25.17%	309 25.75%	115 9.58%	134 11.17%	340 28.33%	1200

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Yes	59 27.57%	102 31.38%	186 42.56%	121 53.78%	468
No	134 62.62%	168 51.69%	195 44.62%	81 36.00%	578
Don't know	21 9.81%	55 16.92%	56 12.81%	23 10.22%	155
Total	214 17.82%	325 27.06%	437 36.39%	225 18.73%	1201

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q23. Do you think that Donald Trump is honest and trustworthy?

Cell Contents

	Count
	Column Percent

```

=====
LIBCON7
Q      Extremely lib.  Somewhat lib.  Lean lib.  Moderate  Lean con.  Somewhat con.  Extremely con.  Total
-----
Yes      7              4              1          114       40         135            148            449
          8.75%          3.85%          1.89%       26.33%    42.11%     66.18%        72.55%
-----
No      73              98              49         258       35         37             35            585
          91.25%          94.23%          92.45%     59.58%    36.84%     18.14%        17.16%
-----
Don't know  0              2              3          61        20         32             21            139
          0.00%          1.92%          5.66%       14.09%    21.05%     15.69%        10.29%
-----
Total    80              104             53         433       95         204            204           1173
          6.82%          8.87%          4.52%       36.91%    8.10%     17.39%        17.39%
=====

```

Cell Contents

	Count
	Column Percent

```

=====
IDEOL
Q      Liberals  Moderates  Conservatives  Total
-----
Yes      13          114          323          450
          5.46%      26.33%      64.34%

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

No	220 92.44%	258 59.58%	106 21.12%	584
Don't know	5 2.10%	61 14.09%	73 14.54%	139
Total	238 20.29%	433 36.91%	502 42.80%	1173

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	PID7							Total
	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	
Yes	6 2.54%	16 13.11%	5 4.46%	46 33.58%	94 63.09%	93 56.71%	183 83.94%	443
No	212 89.83%	92 75.41%	95 84.82%	71 51.82%	31 20.81%	43 26.22%	14 6.42%	558
Don't know	18 7.63%	14 11.48%	12 10.71%	20 14.60%	24 16.11%	28 17.07%	21 9.63%	137
Total	236 20.74%	122 10.72%	112 9.84%	137 12.04%	149 13.09%	164 14.41%	218 19.16%	1138

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

```

=====
Q          PID3
          Democrats  Independents  Republicans  Total
-----
Yes          26          46          371          443
           5.53%       33.58%       69.74%
-----
No          400          71          88          559
           85.11%       51.82%       16.54%
-----
Don't know  44          20          73          137
           9.36%       14.60%       13.72%
-----
Total       470          137          532          1139
           41.26%       12.03%       46.71%
=====

```

```

Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|    Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q          Q17A
          Republican candidate  Democratic candidate  Tea Party candidate  Don't know  Total
-----
Yes          209          28          134          84          455
           73.08%       6.19%       76.14%       30.77%
-----
No          43          391          22          133          589
           15.03%       86.50%       12.50%       48.72%
-----
Don't know  34          33          20          56          143
           11.89%       7.30%       11.36%       20.51%
=====

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	286	452	176	273	1187
	24.09%	38.08%	14.83%	23.00%	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
| Column Percent |
|-----|

```

	educ						Total
Q	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Yes	16	156	108	47	90	40	457
	30.77%	43.09%	36.49%	39.17%	37.34%	33.33%	
No	27	161	149	57	128	70	592
	51.92%	44.48%	50.34%	47.50%	53.11%	58.33%	
Don't know	9	45	39	16	23	10	142
	17.31%	12.43%	13.18%	13.33%	9.54%	8.33%	
Total	52	362	296	120	241	120	1191
	4.37%	30.39%	24.85%	10.08%	20.24%	10.08%	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
| Column Percent |
|-----|

```

	ATTEND					Total
Q	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Yes	75 46.88%	118 49.58%	44 32.59%	111 36.51%	108 30.51%	456
No	65 40.62%	97 40.76%	70 51.85%	150 49.34%	210 59.32%	592
Don't know	20 12.50%	23 9.66%	21 15.56%	43 14.14%	36 10.17%	143
Total	160 13.43%	238 19.98%	135 11.34%	304 25.52%	354 29.72%	1191

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	race								Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern	
Yes	355 50.00%	5 3.42%	75 26.41%	2 40.00%	6 75.00%	8 26.67%	5 62.50%	1 100.00%	457
No	270 38.03%	123 84.25%	173 60.92%	2 40.00%	2 25.00%	20 66.67%	2 25.00%	0 0.00%	592
Don't know	85 11.97%	18 12.33%	36 12.68%	1 20.00%	0 0.00%	2 6.67%	1 12.50%	0 0.00%	143
Total	710 59.56%	146 12.25%	284 23.83%	5 0.42%	8 0.67%	30 2.52%	8 0.67%	1 0.08%	1192

=====
Cell Contents

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

|           Count |
|   Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
MARITAL
Q      Married   Separated   Divorced   Widowed   Single   Domestic Partnership   Total
-----
Yes      305         7         37         29         63         15         456
         46.00%     36.84%    32.17%    48.33%    23.42%         23.81%
-----
No       277         10         65         22         179         37         590
         41.78%     52.63%    56.52%    36.67%    66.54%         58.73%
-----
Don't know  81         2         13         9         27         11         143
         12.22%     10.53%    11.30%    15.00%    10.04%         17.46%
-----
Total    663         19         115        60         269         63         1189
         55.76%     1.60%     9.67%     5.05%    22.62%         5.30%
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|           Count |
|   Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
gender
Q      Male   Female   Total
-----
Yes      230     227     457
         41.14%  35.80%
-----
No       261     332     593
         46.69%  52.37%
-----

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know	68	75	143
	12.16%	11.83%	

Total	559	634	1193
	46.86%	53.14%	

=====

Cell Contents			

	Count		
	Column Percent		

=====

	LOCATE			
Q	Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total

Yes	97	243	113	453
	26.43%	41.97%	47.48%	

No	220	272	96	588
	59.95%	46.98%	40.34%	

Don't know	50	64	29	143
	13.62%	11.05%	12.18%	

Total	367	579	238	1184
	31.0%	48.9%	20.1%	

=====

Cell Contents			

	Count		
	Column Percent		

=====

metro

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	Total
Yes	124 41.20%	105 34.31%	46 40.71%	49 36.84%	133 39.23%	457
No	147 48.84%	167 54.58%	52 46.02%	67 50.38%	159 46.90%	592
Don't know	30 9.97%	34 11.11%	15 13.27%	17 12.78%	47 13.86%	143
Total	301 25.25%	306 25.67%	113 9.48%	133 11.16%	339 28.44%	1192

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Yes	53 24.65%	83 26.18%	194 44.39%	127 56.44%	457
No	139 64.65%	180 56.78%	196 44.85%	78 34.67%	593
Don't know	23 10.70%	54 17.03%	47 10.76%	20 8.89%	144
Total	215 18.01%	317 26.55%	437 36.60%	225 18.84%	1194

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Policy Questions

Q24A. Has the U.S. Constitution held up well, or is it time for a constitutional convention?

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Held up well	27 64.29%	29 56.86%	16 55.17%	116 63.04%	27 48.21%	78 75.00%	75 72.12%	368
Hold a new constitutnl cnvntn	7 16.67%	12 23.53%	9 31.03%	26 14.13%	17 30.36%	16 15.38%	17 16.35%	104
Don't know/No opinion	8 19.05%	10 19.61%	4 13.79%	42 22.83%	12 21.43%	10 9.62%	12 11.54%	98
Total	42 7.37%	51 8.95%	29 5.09%	184 32.28%	56 9.82%	104 18.25%	104 18.25%	570

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	IDEOL			Total
	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives	

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Held up well	71 59.17%	116 63.04%	179 67.80%	366
Hold a new constitutional convention	28 23.33%	26 14.13%	50 18.94%	104
Don't know/No opinion	21 17.50%	42 22.83%	35 13.26%	98
Total	120 21.13%	184 32.39%	264 46.48%	568

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	PID7							Total
	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	
Held up well	72 54.55%	37 60.66%	28 63.64%	30 71.43%	52 64.20%	60 68.97%	81 77.14%	360
Hold a new constitutional convention	24 18.18%	13 21.31%	7 15.91%	9 21.43%	23 28.40%	11 12.64%	9 8.57%	96
Don't know/No opinion	36 27.27%	11 18.03%	9 20.45%	3 7.14%	6 7.41%	16 18.39%	15 14.29%	96
Total	132 23.91%	61 11.05%	44 7.97%	42 7.61%	81 14.67%	87 15.76%	105 19.02%	552

=====
Cell Contents

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	Count
	Column Percent

Q	PID3			Total
	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	
Held up well	137 58.05%	30 71.43%	193 70.70%	360
Hold a new constitutional convention	44 18.64%	9 21.43%	42 15.38%	95
Don't know/No opinion	55 23.31%	3 7.14%	38 13.92%	96
Total	236 42.83%	42 7.62%	273 49.55%	551

Cell Contents

	Count
	Column Percent

Q	Q17A				Total
	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	
Held up well	100 71.43%	137 61.16%	65 78.31%	68 51.91%	370
Hold a new constitutional convention	13 9.29%	46 20.54%	14 16.87%	35 26.72%	108

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know/No opinion	27 19.29%	41 18.30%	4 4.82%	28 21.37%	100
Total	140 24.22%	224 38.75%	83 14.36%	131 22.66%	578

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	educ							Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad		
Held up well	7 58.33%	101 59.06%	103 64.38%	29 60.42%	84 66.67%	47 77.05%	371	
Hold a new constitutional convention	0 0.00%	28 16.37%	36 22.50%	10 20.83%	22 17.46%	11 18.03%	107	
Don't know/No opinion	5 41.67%	42 24.56%	21 13.12%	9 18.75%	20 15.87%	3 4.92%	100	
Total	12 2.08%	171 29.58%	160 27.68%	48 8.30%	126 21.80%	61 10.55%	578	

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

ATTEND

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
Held up well	44 58.67%	88 68.22%	34 64.15%	90 58.44%	114 68.67%	370
Hold a new constitutional convention	15 20.00%	22 17.05%	9 16.98%	26 16.88%	35 21.08%	107
Don't know/No opinion	16 21.33%	19 14.73%	10 18.87%	38 24.68%	17 10.24%	100
Total	75 13.00%	129 22.36%	53 9.19%	154 26.69%	166 28.77%	577

Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	race								Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern	
Held up well	250 70.42%	27 36.00%	71 58.68%	1 50.00%	5 83.33%	13 81.25%	3 100.00%	0 NaN%	370
Hold a new constitutional convention	56 15.77%	21 28.00%	28 23.14%	1 50.00%	0 0.00%	2 12.50%	0 0.00%	0 NaN%	108
Don't know/No opinion	49 13.80%	27 36.00%	22 18.18%	0 0.00%	1 16.67%	1 6.25%	0 0.00%	0 NaN%	100
Total	355 61.42%	75 12.98%	121 20.93%	2 0.35%	6 1.04%	16 2.77%	3 0.52%	0 0.00%	578

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q           MARITAL
           Married   Separated   Divorced   Widowed   Single   Domestic Partnership   Total
-----
Held up well           209           6           33           23           72           26           369
                   68.52%       60.00%       66.00%       65.71%       57.14%           56.52%
-----
Hold a new constitutional convention           47           1           11           3           35           9           106
                   15.41%       10.00%       22.00%       8.57%       27.78%           19.57%
-----
Don't know/No opinion           49           3           6           9           19           11           97
                   16.07%       30.00%       12.00%       25.71%       15.08%           23.91%
-----
Total           305           10           50           35           126           46           572
                   53.32%       1.75%       8.74%       6.12%       22.03%           8.04%
=====

```

```

Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q           gender
           Male   Female   Total
-----
Held up well           181           189           370
                   69.35%       59.81%
-----
Hold a new constitutional convention           58           49           107

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	22.22%	15.51%	

Don't know/No opinion	22	78	100
	8.43%	24.68%	

Total	261	316	577
	45.23%	54.77%	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|   Column Percent |
|-----|

```

	LOCATE			
Q	Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Held up well	109	169	91	369
	55.05%	66.80%	73.98%	
Hold a new constitutional convention	43	47	14	104
	21.72%	18.58%	11.38%	
Don't know/No opinion	46	37	18	101
	23.23%	14.62%	14.63%	
Total	198	253	123	574
	34.49%	44.08%	21.43%	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|   Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	metro					Total
	Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	
Held up well	100 69.44%	98 61.64%	26 53.06%	44 61.97%	102 66.23%	370
Hold a new constitutional convention	16 11.11%	36 22.64%	12 24.49%	14 19.72%	29 18.83%	107
Don't know/No opinion	28 19.44%	25 15.72%	11 22.45%	13 18.31%	23 14.94%	100
Total	144 24.96%	159 27.56%	49 8.49%	71 12.31%	154 26.69%	577

Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent
-------	----------------

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Held up well	61 50.83%	92 60.93%	136 69.39%	81 72.97%	370
Hold a new constitutional convention	35 29.17%	33 21.85%	24 12.24%	15 13.51%	107
Don't know/No opinion	24 20.00%	26 17.22%	36 18.37%	15 13.51%	101
Total	120	151	196	111	578

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

20.76% 26.12% 33.91% 19.20%

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q24B. Has the U.S. Constitution held up well, or is it time for a convention of the states?

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Held up well	19 50.00%	26 46.43%	13 52.00%	102 40.32%	27 67.50%	68 68.00%	64 64.00%	319
Hold a convention of the stts	12 31.58%	22 39.29%	7 28.00%	70 27.67%	11 27.50%	22 22.00%	26 26.00%	170
Don't know/No opinion	7 18.42%	8 14.29%	5 20.00%	81 32.02%	2 5.00%	10 10.00%	10 10.00%	123
Total	38 6.21%	56 9.15%	25 4.08%	253 41.34%	40 6.54%	100 16.34%	100 16.34%	612

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	IDEOLOG			Total
	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives	
Held up well	59 49.17%	102 40.32%	159 66.53%	320

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Hold a convention of the states	41 34.17%	70 27.67%	59 24.69%	170
Don't know/No opinion	20 16.67%	81 32.02%	21 8.79%	122
Total	120 19.61%	253 41.34%	239 39.05%	612

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	PID7							Total
	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	
Held up well	53 50.00%	14 22.58%	25 36.23%	54 56.84%	39 55.71%	44 57.14%	86 76.11%	315
Hold a convention of the states	27 25.47%	24 38.71%	22 31.88%	21 22.11%	20 28.57%	23 29.87%	20 17.70%	157
Don't know/No opinion	26 24.53%	24 38.71%	22 31.88%	20 21.05%	11 15.71%	10 12.99%	7 6.19%	120
Total	106 17.91%	62 10.47%	69 11.66%	95 16.05%	70 11.82%	77 13.01%	113 19.09%	592

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

```

=====
Q                PID3
                Democrats  Independents  Republicans  Total
-----
Held up well    93         54         168         315
                39.08%    56.84%    64.86%
-----
Hold a convention of the states  73         21         63         157
                30.67%    22.11%    24.32%
-----
Don't know/No opinion  72         20         28         120
                30.25%    21.05%    10.81%
-----
Total           238         95         259         592
                40.20%    16.05%    43.75%
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|           Count |
|       Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q                Q17A
                Republican candidate  Democratic candidate  Tea Party candidate  Don't know  Total
-----
Held up well    102         107         55         63         327
                69.39%    46.12%    58.51%    43.45%
-----
Hold a convention of the states  32         69         27         39         167
                21.77%    29.74%    28.72%    26.90%
-----
Don't know/No opinion  13         56         12         43         124
                8.84%    24.14%    12.77%    29.66%
=====

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	147 23.79%	232 37.54%	94 15.21%	145 23.46%	618
-------	---------------	---------------	--------------	---------------	-----

Cell Contents	
	Count
	Column Percent

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Held up well	5 12.50%	102 52.58%	78 56.93%	33 45.21%	72 60.50%	38 64.41%	328
Hold a convention of the states	19 47.50%	52 26.80%	35 25.55%	23 31.51%	28 23.53%	12 20.34%	169
Don't know/No opinion	16 40.00%	40 20.62%	24 17.52%	17 23.29%	19 15.97%	9 15.25%	125
Total	40 6.43%	194 31.19%	137 22.03%	73 11.74%	119 19.13%	59 9.49%	622

Cell Contents	
	Count
	Column Percent

Q	ATTEND					Total
	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Held up well	55 64.71%	61 57.01%	47 52.81%	80 52.98%	84 44.68%	327
Hold a convention of the states	17 20.00%	31 28.97%	25 28.09%	43 28.48%	54 28.72%	170
Don't know/No opinion	13 15.29%	15 14.02%	17 19.10%	28 18.54%	50 26.60%	123
Total	85 13.71%	107 17.26%	89 14.35%	151 24.35%	188 30.32%	620

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	race								Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern	
Held up well	231 64.53%	19 26.76%	63 37.72%	1 33.33%	3 100.00%	5 35.71%	3 75.00%	1 100.00%	326
Hold a convention of the states	80 22.35%	25 35.21%	63 37.72%	1 33.33%	0 0.00%	2 14.29%	1 25.00%	0 0.00%	172
Don't know/No opinion	47 13.13%	27 38.03%	41 24.55%	1 33.33%	0 0.00%	7 50.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	123
Total	358 57.65%	71 11.43%	167 26.89%	3 0.48%	3 0.48%	14 2.25%	4 0.64%	1 0.16%	621

=====
Cell Contents

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

|           Count |
|   Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q           MARITAL
           Married   Separated   Divorced   Widowed   Single   Domestic Partnership   Total
-----
Held up well           221           4           33           15           43           10           326
                    61.05%       36.36%       52.38%       60.00%       30.07%           58.82%
-----
Hold a convention of the states           97           5           15           3           45           5           170
                    26.80%       45.45%       23.81%       12.00%       31.47%       29.41%
-----
Don't know/No opinion           44           2           15           7           55           2           125
                    12.15%       18.18%       23.81%       28.00%       38.46%       11.76%
-----
Total           362           11           63           25           143           17           621
                    58.29%       1.77%       10.14%       4.03%       23.03%       2.74%
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|           Count |
|   Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q           gender
           Male   Female   Total
-----
Held up well           170       157       327
                    56.86%   48.76%
-----
Hold a convention of the states           80       90       170
                    26.76%   27.95%
-----

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know/No opinion	49	75	124
	16.39%	23.29%	

Total	299	322	621
	48.15%	51.85%	

=====
 Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

=====
 LOCATE

Q	Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Held up well	79 46.20%	170 51.83%	75 64.10%	324
Hold a convention of the states	50 29.24%	103 31.40%	16 13.68%	169
Don't know/No opinion	42 24.56%	55 16.77%	26 22.22%	123
Total	171 27.76%	328 53.25%	117 18.99%	616

=====
 Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

=====
 metro

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	Total
Held up well	100 62.89%	66 44.00%	36 54.55%	36 57.14%	89 48.37%	327
Hold a convention of the states	36 22.64%	57 38.00%	12 18.18%	14 22.22%	52 28.26%	171
Don't know/No opinion	23 14.47%	27 18.00%	18 27.27%	13 20.63%	43 23.37%	124
Total	159 25.56%	150 24.12%	66 10.61%	63 10.13%	184 29.58%	622

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Held up well	37 39.36%	64 36.78%	148 61.67%	78 69.03%	327
Hold a convention of the states	31 32.98%	60 34.48%	61 25.42%	19 16.81%	171
Don't know/No opinion	26 27.66%	50 28.74%	31 12.92%	16 14.16%	123
Total	94 15.14%	174 28.02%	240 38.65%	113 18.20%	621

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q25A. Do you support or oppose the U.S. banning Syrian refugees from entering the United States?

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

=====								
	LIBCON7							
Q	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	Total

Strongly support	10 12.50%	4 3.77%	4 7.55%	100 22.78%	39 40.62%	125 61.27%	156 76.85%	438
Somewhat support	0 0.00%	10 9.43%	7 13.21%	96 21.87%	20 20.83%	44 21.57%	24 11.82%	201
Somewhat oppose	5 6.25%	22 20.75%	14 26.42%	88 20.05%	17 17.71%	25 12.25%	1 0.49%	172
Strongly oppose	64 80.00%	65 61.32%	27 50.94%	101 23.01%	16 16.67%	7 3.43%	19 9.36%	299
Don't know	1 1.25%	5 4.72%	1 1.89%	54 12.30%	4 4.17%	3 1.47%	3 1.48%	71
Total	80 6.77%	106 8.98%	53 4.49%	439 37.17%	96 8.13%	204 17.27%	203 17.19%	1181
=====								

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

=====
                IDEOL
Q              Liberals  Moderates  Conservatives  Total
-----
Strongly support    18      100      320      438
                   7.50%    22.78%    63.62%
-----
Somewhat support   17      96      88      201
                   7.08%    21.87%    17.50%
-----
Somewhat oppose    41      88      42      171
                   17.08%   20.05%    8.35%
-----
Strongly oppose    156     101      43      300
                   65.00%   23.01%    8.55%
-----
Don't know         8       54      10      72
                   3.33%   12.30%    1.99%
-----
Total              240     439     503     1182
                   20.30%   37.14%   42.55%
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|              Count |
|      Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
                PID7
Q              StrDem  WeakDem  LeanDem    Ind  LeanRep  WeakRep  StrRep  Total
-----
Strongly support    16      19      4      46      95      85      165      430
                   6.72%   15.20%   3.54%   33.58%  63.76%  51.52%  75.69%
-----
Somewhat support    30      29      14      30      25      31      37      196

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	12.61%	23.20%	12.39%	21.90%	16.78%	18.79%	16.97%	
Somewhat oppose	36 15.13%	26 20.80%	23 20.35%	29 21.17%	18 12.08%	22 13.33%	6 2.75%	160
Strongly oppose	130 54.62%	42 33.60%	69 61.06%	15 10.95%	11 7.38%	15 9.09%	7 3.21%	289
Don't know	26 10.92%	9 7.20%	3 2.65%	17 12.41%	0 0.00%	12 7.27%	3 1.38%	70
Total	238 20.79%	125 10.92%	113 9.87%	137 11.97%	149 13.01%	165 14.41%	218 19.04%	1145

=====
Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	PID3			
	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	Total
Strongly support	39 8.21%	46 33.58%	345 64.85%	430
Somewhat support	73 15.37%	30 21.90%	93 17.48%	196
Somewhat oppose	85 17.89%	29 21.17%	46 8.65%	160
Strongly oppose	241 50.74%	15 10.95%	33 6.20%	289

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know	37 7.79%	17 12.41%	15 2.82%	69
Total	475 41.52%	137 11.98%	532 46.50%	1144

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|       Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q17A
Q      Republican candidate  Democratic candidate  Tea Party candidate  Don't know  Total
-----
Strongly support      179          39          134          91          443
                      61.94%      8.55%      75.71%      33.21%
-----
Somewhat support      63          73          17          49          202
                      21.80%     16.01%     9.60%      17.88%
-----
Somewhat oppose       21          79          10          63          173
                      7.27%     17.32%     5.65%     22.99%
-----
Strongly oppose       18          235         13          37          303
                      6.23%     51.54%     7.34%     13.50%
-----
Don't know            8           30           3           34          75
                      2.77%     6.58%     1.69%     12.41%
-----
Total                 289         456         177         274         1196
                      24.16%     38.13%     14.80%     22.91%
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

|           Count |
|   Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
educ
Q      No HS   High school graduate   Some college   2-year   4-year   Post-grad   Total
-----
Strongly support   21           159           103           44           84           33           444
                   40.38%       43.44%       34.68%       36.36%       34.43%       27.73%
-----
Somewhat support   10           45           63           26           42           16           202
                   19.23%       12.30%       21.21%       21.49%       17.21%       13.45%
-----
Somewhat oppose    5           58           34           21           33           22           173
                   9.62%       15.85%       11.45%       17.36%       13.52%       18.49%
-----
Strongly oppose    10           78           78           23           74           43           306
                   19.23%       21.31%       26.26%       19.01%       30.33%       36.13%
-----
Don't know         6           26           19           7           11           5           74
                   11.54%       7.10%       6.40%       5.79%       4.51%       4.20%
-----
Total              52           366           297           121          244           119          1199
                   4.34%       30.53%       24.77%       10.09%       20.35%       9.92%
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|           Count |
|   Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
ATTEND
Q      More than once a week   Once a week   A few times a month   Once or twice a year   Never   Total
-----

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Strongly support	73 45.91%	108 45.38%	50 35.21%	113 37.17%	99 27.89%	443
Somewhat support	28 17.61%	52 21.85%	26 18.31%	49 16.12%	46 12.96%	201
Somewhat oppose	16 10.06%	24 10.08%	26 18.31%	53 17.43%	54 15.21%	173
Strongly oppose	28 17.61%	41 17.23%	37 26.06%	75 24.67%	126 35.49%	307
Don't know	14 8.81%	13 5.46%	3 2.11%	14 4.61%	30 8.45%	74
Total	159 13.27%	238 19.87%	142 11.85%	304 25.38%	355 29.63%	1198

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	race									Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern		
Strongly support	326 45.79%	13 8.84%	88 30.45%	0 0.00%	5 55.56%	6 20.00%	5 62.50%	1 100.00%		444
Somewhat support	121 16.99%	34 23.13%	40 13.84%	1 25.00%	1 11.11%	5 16.67%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%		202
Somewhat oppose	71 9.97%	15 10.20%	80 27.68%	1 25.00%	1 11.11%	4 13.33%	1 12.50%	0 0.00%		173

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Strongly oppose	163 22.89%	58 39.46%	66 22.84%	1 25.00%	2 22.22%	14 46.67%	2 25.00%	0 0.00%	306
Don't know	31 4.35%	27 18.37%	15 5.19%	1 25.00%	0 0.00%	1 3.33%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	75
Total	712 59.33%	147 12.25%	289 24.08%	4 0.33%	9 0.75%	30 2.50%	8 0.67%	1 0.08%	1200

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	MARITAL Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	Total
Strongly support	304 45.58%	8 40.00%	37 31.90%	23 37.70%	62 23.05%	10 15.87%	444
Somewhat support	121 18.14%	0 0.00%	13 11.21%	11 18.03%	43 15.99%	13 20.63%	201
Somewhat oppose	89 13.34%	5 25.00%	15 12.93%	7 11.48%	44 16.36%	12 19.05%	172
Strongly oppose	125 18.74%	6 30.00%	41 35.34%	15 24.59%	95 35.32%	25 39.68%	307
Don't know	28 4.20%	1 5.00%	10 8.62%	5 8.20%	25 9.29%	3 4.76%	72
Total	667	20	116	61	269	63	1196

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

55.77% 1.67% 9.70% 5.10% 22.49% 5.27%

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|          Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q          gender
          Male   Female   Total
-----
Strongly support    229     215     444
                   40.82%  33.65%
-----
Somewhat support    91     112     203
                   16.22%  17.53%
-----
Somewhat oppose     75     98     173
                   13.37%  15.34%
-----
Strongly oppose     139    167     306
                   24.78%  26.13%
-----
Don't know          27     47     74
                   4.81%   7.36%
-----
Total               561    639    1200
                   46.75%  53.25%
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|          Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

=====
LOCATE
Q      Urban      Suburban      Rural      Total
-----
Strongly support      94          237          109          440
                    25.27%      40.86%      45.61%
-----
Somewhat support      95           73           33          201
                    25.54%      12.59%      13.81%
-----
Somewhat oppose      42           98           31          171
                    11.29%      16.90%      12.97%
-----
Strongly oppose      113          147           46          306
                    30.38%      25.34%      19.25%
-----
Don't know           28           25           20           73
                    7.53%       4.31%       8.37%
-----
Total                372          580          239         1191
                    31.23%      48.70%      20.07%
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|      Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
metro
Q      Houston Area      Dallas-Fort Worth Area      San Antonio Area      Austin Area      Another Part of TX      Total
-----
Strongly support      103          120          54          36          131          444
                    34.11%      38.83%      46.96%      27.07%      38.64%
-----
Somewhat support      63           41           17           24          57          202

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	20.86%	13.27%	14.78%	18.05%	16.81%	
Somewhat oppose	33 10.93%	44 14.24%	13 11.30%	18 13.53%	65 19.17%	173
Strongly oppose	85 28.15%	87 28.16%	21 18.26%	41 30.83%	72 21.24%	306
Don't know	18 5.96%	17 5.50%	10 8.70%	14 10.53%	14 4.13%	73
Total	302 25.21%	309 25.79%	115 9.60%	133 11.10%	339 28.30%	1198

=====
Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Strongly support	46 21.60%	86 26.63%	193 44.16%	120 53.10%	445
Somewhat support	45 21.13%	58 17.96%	72 16.48%	27 11.95%	202
Somewhat oppose	42 19.72%	48 14.86%	51 11.67%	31 13.72%	172
Strongly oppose	68 31.92%	105 32.51%	97 22.20%	36 15.93%	306

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know	12 5.63%	26 8.05%	24 5.49%	12 5.31%	74

Total	213 17.76%	323 26.94%	437 36.45%	226 18.85%	1199
=====					

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q25B. Do you support or oppose temporarily blocking entry into the U.S. for individuals travelling from Syria, Sudan, Somalia, Libya, Iran, Iraq, and Yemen?

Cell Contents								

	Count							
	Column Percent							

=====								
	LIBCON7							
Q	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	Total

Strongly support	10 12.35%	6 5.66%	4 7.55%	95 21.74%	44 45.83%	143 70.10%	171 84.24%	473

Somewhat support	1 1.23%	6 5.66%	7 13.21%	113 25.86%	16 16.67%	33 16.18%	15 7.39%	191

Somewhat oppose	5 6.17%	21 19.81%	12 22.64%	83 18.99%	15 15.62%	14 6.86%	8 3.94%	158

Strongly oppose	64 79.01%	66 62.26%	28 52.83%	102 23.34%	15 15.62%	11 5.39%	8 3.94%	294

Don't know	1 1.23%	7 6.60%	2 3.77%	44 10.07%	6 6.25%	3 1.47%	1 0.49%	64

Total	81 6.86%	106 8.98%	53 4.49%	437 37.03%	96 8.14%	204 17.29%	203 17.20%	1180
=====								

Cell Contents	

	Count
	Column Percent

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

=====
                IDEOL
Q              Liberals  Moderates  Conservatives  Total
-----
Strongly support    19         95         358         472
                   7.95%    21.74%    71.31%
-----
Somewhat support   14        113         64         191
                   5.86%    25.86%    12.75%
-----
Somewhat oppose    38         83          36         157
                   15.90%   18.99%    7.17%
-----
Strongly oppose    158        102          35         295
                   66.11%   23.34%    6.97%
-----
Don't know         10         44           9          63
                   4.18%    10.07%    1.79%
-----
Total              239        437         502        1178
                   20.29%   37.10%   42.61%
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|      Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
                PID7
Q              StrDem  WeakDem  LeanDem  Ind  LeanRep  WeakRep  StrRep  Total
-----
Strongly support    21         13         6         37         108         92         181         458
                   8.82%    10.57%    5.36%   27.01%   71.52%   56.10%   83.03%
-----

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Somewhat support	21 8.82%	24 19.51%	14 12.50%	42 30.66%	27 17.88%	30 18.29%	28 12.84%	186
Somewhat oppose	45 18.91%	41 33.33%	15 13.39%	28 20.44%	6 3.97%	15 9.15%	5 2.29%	155
Strongly oppose	125 52.52%	39 31.71%	71 63.39%	19 13.87%	9 5.96%	15 9.15%	4 1.83%	282
Don't know	26 10.92%	6 4.88%	6 5.36%	11 8.03%	1 0.66%	12 7.32%	0 0.00%	62
Total	238 20.82%	123 10.76%	112 9.80%	137 11.99%	151 13.21%	164 14.35%	218 19.07%	1143

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

=====
Q

	PID3			Total
	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	
Strongly support	40 8.44%	37 27.01%	382 71.67%	459
Somewhat support	59 12.45%	42 30.66%	84 15.76%	185
Somewhat oppose	101 21.31%	28 20.44%	26 4.88%	155
Strongly oppose	235 49.58%	19 13.87%	28 5.25%	282

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know	39 8.23%	11 8.03%	13 2.44%	63
Total	474 41.43%	137 11.98%	533 46.59%	1144

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

Q17A						
Q	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	Total	
Strongly support	194 67.36%	36 7.89%	147 82.58%	102 37.23%	479	
Somewhat support	61 21.18%	57 12.50%	15 8.43%	59 21.53%	192	
Somewhat oppose	14 4.86%	103 22.59%	4 2.25%	39 14.23%	160	
Strongly oppose	14 4.86%	234 51.32%	10 5.62%	39 14.23%	297	
Don't know	5 1.74%	26 5.70%	2 1.12%	35 12.77%	68	
Total	288 24.08%	456 38.13%	178 14.88%	274 22.91%	1196	

Cell Contents

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Count
Column Percent

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Strongly support	15 28.85%	175 47.68%	116 39.06%	51 42.50%	92 37.86%	32 26.45%	481
Somewhat support	16 30.77%	48 13.08%	60 20.20%	21 17.50%	27 11.11%	19 15.70%	191
Somewhat oppose	11 21.15%	44 11.99%	34 11.45%	20 16.67%	33 13.58%	17 14.05%	159
Strongly oppose	4 7.69%	73 19.89%	75 25.25%	20 16.67%	80 32.92%	49 40.50%	301
Don't know	6 11.54%	27 7.36%	12 4.04%	8 6.67%	11 4.53%	4 3.31%	68
Total	52 4.33%	367 30.58%	297 24.75%	120 10.00%	243 20.25%	121 10.08%	1200

Cell Contents	
Count	Column Percent

Q	ATTEND					Total
	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Strongly support	80 50.00%	123 51.68%	49 34.51%	126 41.31%	102 28.90%	480
Somewhat support	29 18.12%	39 16.39%	32 22.54%	54 17.70%	38 10.76%	192
Somewhat oppose	15 9.38%	28 11.76%	17 11.97%	38 12.46%	61 17.28%	159
Strongly oppose	28 17.50%	37 15.55%	41 28.87%	72 23.61%	122 34.56%	300
Don't know	8 5.00%	11 4.62%	3 2.11%	15 4.92%	30 8.50%	67
Total	160 13.36%	238 19.87%	142 11.85%	305 25.46%	353 29.47%	1198

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	race									Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern		
Strongly support	351 49.37%	20 13.70%	90 31.25%	0 0.00%	6 66.67%	8 27.59%	5 71.43%	1 100.00%		481
Somewhat support	111 15.61%	28 19.18%	47 16.32%	2 33.33%	1 11.11%	3 10.34%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%		192
Somewhat oppose	63	32	59	0	0	4	1	0		159

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	8.86%	21.92%	20.49%	0.00%	0.00%	13.79%	14.29%	0.00%	
Strongly oppose	160 22.50%	47 32.19%	72 25.00%	3 50.00%	2 22.22%	14 48.28%	1 14.29%	0 0.00%	299
Don't know	26 3.66%	19 13.01%	20 6.94%	1 16.67%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	66
Total	711 59.40%	146 12.20%	288 24.06%	6 0.50%	9 0.75%	29 2.42%	7 0.58%	1 0.08%	1197

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	MARITAL	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	Total
Strongly support	322 48.35%	11 50.00%	33 28.95%	27 44.26%	79 29.15%	8 12.70%	480	
Somewhat support	111 16.67%	1 4.55%	19 16.67%	9 14.75%	38 14.02%	13 20.63%	191	
Somewhat oppose	85 12.76%	4 18.18%	11 9.65%	12 19.67%	37 13.65%	11 17.46%	160	
Strongly oppose	129 19.37%	4 18.18%	42 36.84%	9 14.75%	91 33.58%	26 41.27%	301	
Don't know	19 2.85%	2 9.09%	9 7.89%	4 6.56%	26 9.59%	5 7.94%	65	

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	666	22	114	61	271	63	1197
	55.64%	1.84%	9.52%	5.10%	22.64%	5.26%	

=====

Cell Contents	

	Count
	Column Percent

=====

Q	gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Strongly support	246 43.85%	235 36.83%	481
Somewhat support	86 15.33%	106 16.61%	192
Somewhat oppose	64 11.41%	95 14.89%	159
Strongly oppose	141 25.13%	159 24.92%	300
Don't know	24 4.28%	43 6.74%	67
Total	561 46.79%	638 53.21%	1199

=====

Cell Contents	

	Count
	Column Percent

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample


```

=====
LOCATE
Q      Urban      Suburban      Rural      Total
-----
Strongly support      108          247          122          477
                    29.11%       42.51%       50.83%
-----
Somewhat support      69           83           40           192
                    18.60%       14.29%       16.67%
-----
Somewhat oppose      54           79           24           157
                    14.56%       13.60%       10.00%
-----
Strongly oppose      120          145           35           300
                    32.35%       24.96%       14.58%
-----
Don't know            20           27           19           66
                    5.39%        4.65%        7.92%
-----
Total                 371          581          240          1192
                    31.12%       48.74%       20.13%
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|       Column Percent |
|-----|
=====

```

```

=====
metro
Q      Houston Area      Dallas-Fort Worth Area      San Antonio Area      Austin Area      Another Part of TX      Total
-----
Strongly support      115          119          55          39          152          480
                    38.21%       38.51%       47.83%       29.32%       44.71%
-----

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Somewhat support	59 19.60%	44 14.24%	15 13.04%	22 16.54%	52 15.29%	192
Somewhat oppose	42 13.95%	48 15.53%	13 11.30%	16 12.03%	40 11.76%	159
Strongly oppose	74 24.58%	87 28.16%	22 19.13%	44 33.08%	73 21.47%	300
Don't know	11 3.65%	11 3.56%	10 8.70%	12 9.02%	23 6.76%	67
Total	301 25.13%	309 25.79%	115 9.60%	133 11.10%	340 28.38%	1198

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Strongly support	57 26.64%	91 28.17%	205 47.02%	127 56.44%	480
Somewhat support	42 19.63%	58 17.96%	65 14.91%	26 11.56%	191
Somewhat oppose	31 14.49%	42 13.00%	50 11.47%	36 16.00%	159
Strongly oppose	68 31.78%	105 32.51%	100 22.94%	28 12.44%	301

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know	16	27	16	8	67
	7.48%	8.36%	3.67%	3.56%	
Total	214	323	436	225	1198
	17.86%	26.96%	36.39%	18.78%	

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q25C. Would you support or oppose banning Muslims who are not U.S. citizens from entering the United States?

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

=====								
	LIBCON7							
Q	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	Total

Strongly support	10 12.50%	7 6.54%	2 3.70%	89 20.37%	32 33.33%	87 42.44%	124 62.00%	351
Somewhat support	0 0.00%	7 6.54%	7 12.96%	82 18.76%	15 15.62%	37 18.05%	30 15.00%	178
Somewhat oppose	8 10.00%	17 15.89%	8 14.81%	81 18.54%	13 13.54%	40 19.51%	13 6.50%	180
Strongly oppose	61 76.25%	70 65.42%	34 62.96%	134 30.66%	26 27.08%	27 13.17%	23 11.50%	375
Don't know	1 1.25%	6 5.61%	3 5.56%	51 11.67%	10 10.42%	14 6.83%	10 5.00%	95
Total	80 6.79%	107 9.08%	54 4.58%	437 37.07%	96 8.14%	205 17.39%	200 16.96%	1179
=====								

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

=====
                IDEOL
Q              Liberals  Moderates  Conservatives  Total
-----
Strongly support    19         89         242         350
                   7.92%    20.37%    48.59%
-----
Somewhat support   14         82         81         177
                   5.83%    18.76%    16.27%
-----
Somewhat oppose    32         81         66         179
                   13.33%   18.54%    13.25%
-----
Strongly oppose    165        134         75         374
                   68.75%   30.66%    15.06%
-----
Don't know         10         51         34         95
                   4.17%    11.67%    6.83%
-----
Total              240        437         498        1175
                   20.43%   37.19%    42.38%
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|              Count |
|      Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
                PID7
Q              StrDem  WeakDem  LeanDem    Ind  LeanRep  WeakRep  StrRep  Total
-----
Strongly support    13         16         4         36         68         69         135         341
                   5.56%    12.90%    3.57%    26.28%    45.33%    42.07%    61.64%
-----
Somewhat support    25         17         15         30         23         27         33         170

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	10.68%	13.71%	13.39%	21.90%	15.33%	16.46%	15.07%	
Somewhat oppose	42 17.95%	27 21.77%	5 4.46%	25 18.25%	23 15.33%	27 16.46%	28 12.79%	177
Strongly oppose	133 56.84%	52 41.94%	80 71.43%	32 23.36%	25 16.67%	25 15.24%	13 5.94%	360
Don't know	21 8.97%	12 9.68%	8 7.14%	14 10.22%	11 7.33%	16 9.76%	10 4.57%	92
Total	234 20.53%	124 10.88%	112 9.82%	137 12.02%	150 13.16%	164 14.39%	219 19.21%	1140

=====
Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	PID3			
	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	Total
Strongly support	33 7.01%	36 26.28%	272 51.03%	341
Somewhat support	57 12.10%	30 21.90%	83 15.57%	170
Somewhat oppose	74 15.71%	25 18.25%	78 14.63%	177
Strongly oppose	266 56.48%	32 23.36%	63 11.82%	361

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know	41 8.70%	14 10.22%	37 6.94%	92
Total	471 41.28%	137 12.01%	533 46.71%	1141

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q17A
Q      Republican candidate  Democratic candidate  Tea Party candidate  Don't know  Total
-----
Strongly support      152          36          99          66      353
                    52.96%      7.95%      55.93%      24.18%
-----
Somewhat support      43          54          23          62      182
                    14.98%     11.92%     12.99%     22.71%
-----
Somewhat oppose       41          76          23          41      181
                    14.29%     16.78%     12.99%     15.02%
-----
Strongly oppose       34          259         23          61      377
                    11.85%     57.17%     12.99%     22.34%
-----
Don't know            17          28           9          43      97
                    5.92%      6.18%      5.08%     15.75%
-----
Total                 287         453         177         273     1190
                    24.12%     38.07%     14.87%     22.94%
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

|           Count |
|   Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
educ
Q      No HS   High school graduate   Some college   2-year   4-year   Post-grad   Total
-----
Strongly support   21           122           89           41           59           21           353
                   40.38%       33.89%       29.97%       33.61%       24.18%       17.80%
-----
Somewhat support   5           69           51           18           27           12           182
                   9.62%       19.17%       17.17%       14.75%       11.07%       10.17%
-----
Somewhat oppose   9           51           43           17           40           21           181
                   17.31%     14.17%     14.48%     13.93%     16.39%     17.80%
-----
Strongly oppose   11           81           93           40           102          54           381
                   21.15%     22.50%     31.31%     32.79%     41.80%     45.76%
-----
Don't know        6           37           21           6           16           10           96
                   11.54%     10.28%     7.07%      4.92%      6.56%      8.47%
-----
Total              52           360           297           122           244           118          1193
                   4.36%     30.18%     24.90%     10.23%     20.45%     9.89%
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|           Count |
|   Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
ATTEND
Q      More than once a week   Once a week   A few times a month   Once or twice a year   Never   Total
-----

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Strongly support	63 39.38%	80 34.19%	43 30.50%	85 27.96%	83 23.45%	354
Somewhat support	27 16.88%	47 20.09%	23 16.31%	56 18.42%	29 8.19%	182
Somewhat oppose	18 11.25%	35 14.96%	30 21.28%	55 18.09%	42 11.86%	180
Strongly oppose	40 25.00%	55 23.50%	39 27.66%	82 26.97%	164 46.33%	380
Don't know	12 7.50%	17 7.26%	6 4.26%	26 8.55%	36 10.17%	97
Total	160 13.41%	234 19.61%	141 11.82%	304 25.48%	354 29.67%	1193

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	race									Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern		
Strongly support	261 36.61%	9 6.38%	75 26.04%	0 0.00%	3 33.33%	3 10.34%	0 0.00%		1 100.00%	352
Somewhat support	99 13.88%	30 21.28%	50 17.36%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1 3.45%	2 25.00%		0 0.00%	182
Somewhat oppose	102 14.31%	13 9.22%	56 19.44%	1 20.00%	1 11.11%	6 20.69%	3 37.50%		0 0.00%	182

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Strongly oppose	203 28.47%	71 50.35%	78 27.08%	3 60.00%	5 55.56%	18 62.07%	2 25.00%	0 0.00%	380
Don't know	48 6.73%	18 12.77%	29 10.07%	1 20.00%	0 0.00%	1 3.45%	1 12.50%	0 0.00%	98
Total	713 59.72%	141 11.81%	288 24.12%	5 0.42%	9 0.75%	29 2.43%	8 0.67%	1 0.08%	1194

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	MARITAL	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	Total
Strongly support	226 33.93%	11 52.38%	27 23.68%	27 44.26%	55 20.75%	6 9.68%	352	
Somewhat support	106 15.92%	1 4.76%	17 14.91%	7 11.48%	37 13.96%	14 22.58%	182	
Somewhat oppose	125 18.77%	4 19.05%	10 8.77%	5 8.20%	27 10.19%	9 14.52%	180	
Strongly oppose	171 25.68%	4 19.05%	45 39.47%	16 26.23%	112 42.26%	32 51.61%	380	
Don't know	38 5.71%	1 4.76%	15 13.16%	6 9.84%	34 12.83%	1 1.61%	95	
Total	666	21	114	61	265	62	1189	

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

56.01% 1.77% 9.59% 5.13% 22.29% 5.21%

```
=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|          Column Percent |
|-----|
```

```
=====
Q          gender
          Male   Female   Total
-----
Strongly support   166     187     353
                  29.64%  29.59%
-----
Somewhat support   82      100     182
                  14.64%  15.82%
-----
Somewhat oppose    86      94      180
                  15.36%  14.87%
-----
Strongly oppose    191     189     380
                  34.11%  29.91%
-----
Don't know         35      62      97
                  6.25%   9.81%
-----
Total              560     632    1192
                  46.98%  53.02%
=====
```

```
=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|          Column Percent |
|-----|
```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

=====
LOCATE
Q      Urban      Suburban      Rural      Total
-----
Strongly support      73          181          96          350
                    19.95%      31.15%      40.00%
-----
Somewhat support      66          74          43          183
                    18.03%      12.74%      17.92%
-----
Somewhat oppose      57          98          25          180
                    15.57%      16.87%      10.42%
-----
Strongly oppose      141         185          52          378
                    38.52%      31.84%      21.67%
-----
Don't know            29          43          24          96
                    7.92%       7.40%      10.00%
-----
Total                 366         581          240         1187
                    30.83%      48.95%      20.22%
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|           Count |
|       Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
metro
Q      Houston Area      Dallas-Fort Worth Area      San Antonio Area      Austin Area      Another Part of TX      Total
-----
Strongly support      80          98          40          19          116          353
                    26.58%      31.92%      36.04%      14.18%      34.02%
-----
Somewhat support      43          44          19          22          55          183

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	14.29%	14.33%	17.12%	16.42%	16.13%	
Somewhat oppose	45 14.95%	50 16.29%	14 12.61%	16 11.94%	55 16.13%	180
Strongly oppose	114 37.87%	103 33.55%	27 24.32%	58 43.28%	79 23.17%	381
Don't know	19 6.31%	12 3.91%	11 9.91%	19 14.18%	36 10.56%	97
Total	301 25.21%	307 25.71%	111 9.30%	134 11.22%	341 28.56%	1194

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Strongly support	32 15.31%	76 23.46%	155 35.55%	90 40.00%	353
Somewhat support	43 20.57%	47 14.51%	58 13.30%	34 15.11%	182
Somewhat oppose	31 14.83%	50 15.43%	65 14.91%	35 15.56%	181
Strongly oppose	84 40.19%	124 38.27%	125 28.67%	48 21.33%	381

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know	19	27	33	18	97
	9.09%	8.33%	7.57%	8.00%	

Total	209	324	436	225	1194
	17.50%	27.14%	36.52%	18.84%	
=====					

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q26A. What would you like to see happen to the Affordable Care Act, also known as "Obamacare"?

Cell Contents

	Count	Column Percent						
=====								
	LIBCON7							
Q	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	Total

Repeal it	11 13.75%	8 7.55%	5 9.26%	173 39.77%	67 69.79%	160 78.82%	192 94.58%	616

Don't Repeal it	66 82.50%	84 79.25%	44 81.48%	172 39.54%	17 17.71%	14 6.90%	4 1.97%	401

Don't know	3 3.75%	14 13.21%	5 9.26%	90 20.69%	12 12.50%	29 14.29%	7 3.45%	160

Total	80 6.80%	106 9.01%	54 4.59%	435 36.96%	96 8.16%	203 17.25%	203 17.25%	1177
=====								

Cell Contents

	Count	Column Percent		
=====				
	IDEOL			
Q	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives	Total

Repeal it	24 9.96%	173 39.77%	420 83.50%	617

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't Repeal it	194	172	35	401
	80.50%	39.54%	6.96%	
Don't know	23	90	48	161
	9.54%	20.69%	9.54%	
Total	241	435	503	1179
	20.44%	36.90%	42.66%	

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	PID7							Total
	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	
Repeal it	41	24	20	73	129	112	200	599
	17.30%	19.35%	17.54%	52.90%	86.00%	68.71%	91.74%	
Don't Repeal it	157	80	83	35	11	17	9	392
	66.24%	64.52%	72.81%	25.36%	7.33%	10.43%	4.13%	
Don't know	39	20	11	30	10	34	9	153
	16.46%	16.13%	9.65%	21.74%	6.67%	20.86%	4.13%	
Total	237	124	114	138	150	163	218	1144
	20.72%	10.84%	9.97%	12.06%	13.11%	14.25%	19.06%	

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

```

=====
Q          PID3
          Democrats  Independents  Republicans  Total
-----
Repeal it          85           73           442         600
                  17.93%        52.90%        83.08%
-----
Don't Repeal it   319           35           37          391
                  67.30%        25.36%         6.95%
-----
Don't know        70            30           53          153
                  14.77%        21.74%         9.96%
-----
Total             474           138           532        1144
                  41.43%        12.06%        46.50%
=====

```

```

Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|    Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q          Q17A
          Republican candidate  Democratic candidate  Tea Party candidate  Don't know  Total
-----
Repeal it          233           79           164           148         624
                  81.47%        17.29%        92.66%        54.21%
-----
Don't Repeal it    22            318           4             62         406
                  7.69%        69.58%        2.26%        22.71%
-----
Don't know         31            60           9             63         163
                  10.84%       13.13%        5.08%        23.08%
=====

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	286	457	177	273	1193
	23.97%	38.31%	14.84%	22.88%	

Cell Contents	
	Count
	Column Percent

Q	educ	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Repeal it	14	197	168	76	119	49	623	
	28.00%	53.97%	56.57%	62.81%	48.57%	41.53%		
Don't Repeal it	17	105	100	26	102	59	409	
	34.00%	28.77%	33.67%	21.49%	41.63%	50.00%		
Don't know	19	63	29	19	24	10	164	
	38.00%	17.26%	9.76%	15.70%	9.80%	8.47%		
Total	50	365	297	121	245	118	1196	
	4.18%	30.52%	24.83%	10.12%	20.48%	9.87%		

Cell Contents	
	Count
	Column Percent

Q	ATTEND	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
---	--------	-----------------------	-------------	---------------------	----------------------	-------	-------

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Repeal it	99 62.66%	154 64.98%	75 52.82%	142 46.56%	155 43.79%	625
Don't Repeal it	42 26.58%	60 25.32%	39 27.46%	109 35.74%	159 44.92%	409
Don't know	17 10.76%	23 9.70%	28 19.72%	54 17.70%	40 11.30%	162
Total	158 13.21%	237 19.82%	142 11.87%	305 25.50%	354 29.60%	1196

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	race								Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern	
Repeal it	435 61.10%	38 26.39%	126 43.90%	1 20.00%	6 66.67%	11 36.67%	6 75.00%	1 100.00%	624
Don't Repeal it	197 27.67%	82 56.94%	110 38.33%	3 60.00%	2 22.22%	14 46.67%	2 25.00%	0 0.00%	410
Don't know	80 11.24%	24 16.67%	51 17.77%	1 20.00%	1 11.11%	5 16.67%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	162
Total	712 59.53%	144 12.04%	287 24.00%	5 0.42%	9 0.75%	30 2.51%	8 0.67%	1 0.08%	1196

=====
Cell Contents

--

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	Count
	Column Percent

Q	MARITAL						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	
Repeal it	382 57.62%	7 33.33%	51 43.97%	41 68.33%	115 42.59%	28 45.16%	624
Don't Repeal it	188 28.36%	13 61.90%	58 50.00%	13 21.67%	111 41.11%	26 41.94%	409
Don't know	93 14.03%	1 4.76%	7 6.03%	6 10.00%	44 16.30%	8 12.90%	159
Total	663 55.62%	21 1.76%	116 9.73%	60 5.03%	270 22.65%	62 5.20%	1192

Cell Contents

	Count
	Column Percent

Q	gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Repeal it	309 55.08%	315 49.61%	624
Don't Repeal it	195 34.76%	214 33.70%	409

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know	57	106	163
	10.16%	16.69%	

Total	561	635	1196
	46.91%	53.09%	

=====
 Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

=====
 LOCATE

Q	Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Repeal it	154	306	161	621
	41.62%	52.76%	67.93%	
Don't Repeal it	153	196	57	406
	41.35%	33.79%	24.05%	
Don't know	63	78	19	160
	17.03%	13.45%	8.02%	
Total	370	580	237	1187
	31.17%	48.86%	19.97%	

=====
 Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

=====
 metro

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	Total
Repeal it	159 52.65%	142 45.95%	61 53.51%	66 49.25%	197 58.28%	625
Don't Repeal it	100 33.11%	112 36.25%	37 32.46%	56 41.79%	104 30.77%	409
Don't know	43 14.24%	55 17.80%	16 14.04%	12 8.96%	37 10.95%	163
Total	302 25.23%	309 25.81%	114 9.52%	134 11.19%	338 28.24%	1197

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Repeal it	117 54.67%	130 40.12%	239 55.07%	139 61.50%	625
Don't Repeal it	60 28.04%	137 42.28%	151 34.79%	61 26.99%	409
Don't know	37 17.29%	57 17.59%	44 10.14%	26 11.50%	164
Total	214 17.86%	324 27.05%	434 36.23%	226 18.86%	1198

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q26B. Do you think lawmakers should...

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Rpl th lw and rplc it wth a a	4 36.36%	6 75.00%	4 80.00%	133 76.88%	47 70.15%	108 67.08%	106 55.21%	408
Repeal th lw and dn't rplc it	7 63.64%	2 25.00%	1 20.00%	38 21.97%	18 26.87%	42 26.09%	76 39.58%	184
Don't know	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	2 1.16%	2 2.99%	11 6.83%	10 5.21%	25
Total	11 1.78%	8 1.30%	5 0.81%	173 28.04%	67 10.86%	161 26.09%	192 31.12%	617

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	IDEOL			Total
	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives	
Repeal the law and replace it with an alternative	14 58.33%	133 76.88%	261 62.29%	408

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Repeal the law and don't replace it	9 37.50%	38 21.97%	136 32.46%	183
Don't know	1 4.17%	2 1.16%	22 5.25%	25
Total	24 3.90%	173 28.08%	419 68.02%	616

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|       Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	PID7							Total
	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	
Repeal the law and replace it with an alternative	23 57.50%	16 66.67%	14 70.00%	57 77.03%	76 58.91%	80 71.43%	132 66.00%	398
Repeal the law and don't replace it	16 40.00%	8 33.33%	6 30.00%	15 20.27%	46 35.66%	27 24.11%	59 29.50%	177
Don't know	1 2.50%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	2 2.70%	7 5.43%	5 4.46%	9 4.50%	24
Total	40 6.68%	24 4.01%	20 3.34%	74 12.35%	129 21.54%	112 18.70%	200 33.39%	599

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|       Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

Q	PID3			Total
	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	
Repeal the law and replace it with an alternative	54 63.53%	57 77.03%	289 65.38%	400
Repeal the law and don't replace it	30 35.29%	15 20.27%	132 29.86%	177
Don't know	1 1.18%	2 2.70%	21 4.75%	24
Total	85 14.14%	74 12.31%	442 73.54%	601

Cell Contents
 |-----|
 | Count |
Column Percent

Q	Q17A				Total
	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	
Repeal the law and replace it with an alternative	163 70.26%	55 69.62%	88 53.99%	106 71.62%	412
Repeal the law and don't replace it	60 25.86%	23 29.11%	69 42.33%	34 22.97%	186
Don't know	9 3.88%	1 1.27%	6 3.68%	8 5.41%	24

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	232 37.30%	79 12.70%	163 26.21%	148 23.79%	622
-------	---------------	--------------	---------------	---------------	-----

Cell Contents	
	Count
	Column Percent

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Repeal the law and replace it with an alternative	3 21.43%	139 70.56%	98 58.33%	54 71.05%	83 70.34%	36 72.00%	413
Repeal the law and don't replace it	11 78.57%	49 24.87%	60 35.71%	20 26.32%	35 29.66%	10 20.00%	185
Don't know	0 0.00%	9 4.57%	10 5.95%	2 2.63%	0 0.00%	4 8.00%	25
Total	14 2.25%	197 31.62%	168 26.97%	76 12.20%	118 18.94%	50 8.03%	623

Cell Contents	
	Count
	Column Percent

Q	ATTEND					Total
	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Repel th lw and rplc it wth an altrntv	49 49.49%	112 72.73%	48 64.00%	95 66.90%	110 70.97%	414
Repeal the law and don't replace it	47 47.47%	37 24.03%	22 29.33%	42 29.58%	37 23.87%	185
Don't know	3 3.03%	5 3.25%	5 6.67%	5 3.52%	8 5.16%	26
Total	99 15.84%	154 24.64%	75 12.00%	142 22.72%	155 24.80%	625

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern	Total
Rpl th lw and rplc i w a a	288 66.21%	22 57.89%	87 69.60%	1 50.00%	2 33.33%	7 63.64%	5 83.33%	1 100.00%	413
Rpl th lw and dn't rplc it	125 28.74%	16 42.11%	35 28.00%	1 50.00%	4 66.67%	4 36.36%	1 16.67%	0 0.00%	186
Don't know	22 5.06%	0 0.00%	3 2.40%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	25
Total	435 69.71%	38 6.09%	125 20.03%	2 0.32%	6 0.96%	11 1.76%	6 0.96%	1 0.16%	624

Cell Contents

--

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Count
Column Percent

Q	MARITAL						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	
Repeal the law and replace it with an alternative	248 64.75%	2 28.57%	38 73.08%	28 68.29%	75 65.79%	22 81.48%	413
Repeal the law and don't replace it	121 31.59%	4 57.14%	12 23.08%	11 26.83%	33 28.95%	5 18.52%	186
Don't know	14 3.66%	1 14.29%	2 3.85%	2 4.88%	6 5.26%	0 0.00%	25
Total	383 61.38%	7 1.12%	52 8.33%	41 6.57%	114 18.27%	27 4.33%	624

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Repeal the law and replace it with an alternative	209 67.42%	205 65.29%	414
Repeal the law and don't replace it	96 30.97%	89 28.34%	185

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know	5 1.61%	20 6.37%	25

Total	310 49.68%	314 50.32%	624

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
LOCATE
Q           Urban    Suburban    Rural    Total
-----
Repeal the law and replace it with an alternative    104      208      101      413
                                                    67.10%   67.97%   62.73%
-----
Repeal the law and don't replace it                41       90       53      184
                                                    26.45%   29.41%   32.92%
-----
Don't know                                         10       8        7       25
                                                    6.45%    2.61%    4.35%
-----
Total                                               155      306      161     622
                                                    24.92%   49.20%   25.88%
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

metro

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	Total
Rpl th lw and rplc it wth an altrntv	102 64.56%	109 76.76%	39 63.93%	42 64.62%	121 61.42%	413
Repeal the law and don't replace it	50 31.65%	30 21.13%	20 32.79%	19 29.23%	67 34.01%	186
Don't know	6 3.80%	3 2.11%	2 3.28%	4 6.15%	9 4.57%	24
Total	158 25.36%	142 22.79%	61 9.79%	65 10.43%	197 31.62%	623

Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Repeal the law and replace it with an alternative	76 64.96%	72 55.81%	161 67.65%	104 75.36%	413
Repeal the law and don't replace it	37 31.62%	50 38.76%	68 28.57%	30 21.74%	185
Don't know	4 3.42%	7 5.43%	9 3.78%	4 2.90%	24
Total	117 18.81%	129 20.74%	238 38.26%	138 22.19%	622

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q26C. Do you think lawmakers should...

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Keep the law in place as is	7 10.61%	15 17.86%	10 23.26%	62 36.05%	4 23.53%	3 21.43%	1 20.00%	102
Expand what the law does	56 84.85%	62 73.81%	31 72.09%	84 48.84%	11 64.71%	9 64.29%	3 60.00%	256
Don't know	3 4.55%	7 8.33%	2 4.65%	26 15.12%	2 11.76%	2 14.29%	1 20.00%	43
Total	66 16.46%	84 20.95%	43 10.72%	172 42.89%	17 4.24%	14 3.49%	5 1.25%	401

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	IDEOL			Total
	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives	
Keep the law in place as is	31 16.06%	62 36.05%	8 22.86%	101

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Expand what the law does	150 77.72%	84 48.84%	23 65.71%	257
Don't know	12 6.22%	26 15.12%	4 11.43%	42
Total	193 48.25%	172 43.00%	35 8.75%	400

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	PID7							Total
	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	
Keep the law in place as is	27 17.31%	19 23.75%	19 22.89%	8 23.53%	2 18.18%	13 76.47%	4 40.00%	92
Expand what the law does	120 76.92%	42 52.50%	57 68.67%	22 64.71%	8 72.73%	4 23.53%	5 50.00%	258
Don't know	9 5.77%	19 23.75%	7 8.43%	4 11.76%	1 9.09%	0 0.00%	1 10.00%	41
Total	156 39.90%	80 20.46%	83 21.23%	34 8.70%	11 2.81%	17 4.35%	10 2.56%	391

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

```
=====
Q                PID3
                 Democrats  Independents  Republicans  Total
-----
Keep the law in place as is      65           8           18          91
                                 20.38%      23.53%      50.00%
-----
Expand what the law does        219          22          16         257
                                 68.65%      64.71%      44.44%
-----
Don't know                       35           4           2          41
                                 10.97%      11.76%      5.56%
-----
Total                            319          34           36         389
                                 82.01%      8.74%       9.25%
=====
```

Cell Contents

```
|-----|
|           Count |
|       Column Percent |
|-----|
```

```
=====
Q                Q17A
                 Republican candidate  Democratic candidate  Tea Party candidate  Don't know  Total
-----
Keep the law in place as is      9           63           2           24          98
                                 39.13%      19.87%      50.00%      38.71%
-----
Expand what the law does        13          221           1           28         263
                                 56.52%      69.72%      25.00%      45.16%
-----
Don't know                       1           33           1           10          45
                                 4.35%      10.41%      25.00%      16.13%
=====
```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	23	317	4	62	406
	5.67%	78.08%	0.99%	15.27%	

Cell Contents	
	Count
	Column Percent

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Keep the law in place as is	5 31.25%	31 29.81%	26 25.74%	9 34.62%	15 14.71%	15 25.00%	101
Expand what the law does	1 6.25%	62 59.62%	68 67.33%	15 57.69%	78 76.47%	39 65.00%	263
Don't know	10 62.50%	11 10.58%	7 6.93%	2 7.69%	9 8.82%	6 10.00%	45
Total	16 3.91%	104 25.43%	101 24.69%	26 6.36%	102 24.94%	60 14.67%	409

Cell Contents	
	Count
	Column Percent

Q	ATTEND					Total
	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Keep the law in place as is	18 42.86%	28 47.46%	11 28.21%	24 22.02%	20 12.58%	101
Expand what the law does	21 50.00%	28 47.46%	23 58.97%	69 63.30%	121 76.10%	262
Don't know	3 7.14%	3 5.08%	5 12.82%	16 14.68%	18 11.32%	45
Total	42 10.29%	59 14.46%	39 9.56%	109 26.72%	159 38.97%	408

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	race								Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern	
Keep the law in place as is	45 22.96%	23 28.05%	30 27.03%	1 50.00%	0 0.00%	1 7.69%	1 50.00%	0 NaN%	101
Expand what the law does	138 70.41%	52 63.41%	59 53.15%	1 50.00%	2 100.00%	10 76.92%	1 50.00%	0 NaN%	263
Don't know	13 6.63%	7 8.54%	22 19.82%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	2 15.38%	0 0.00%	0 NaN%	44
Total	196 48.04%	82 20.10%	111 27.21%	2 0.49%	2 0.49%	13 3.19%	2 0.49%	0 0.00%	408

Cell Contents

--

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	Count
	Column Percent

Q	MARITAL						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	
Keep the law in place as is	53 28.34%	4 30.77%	16 27.59%	3 23.08%	24 21.82%	1 3.70%	101
Expand what the law does	122 65.24%	6 46.15%	39 67.24%	5 38.46%	70 63.64%	21 77.78%	263
Don't know	12 6.42%	3 23.08%	3 5.17%	5 38.46%	16 14.55%	5 18.52%	44
Total	187 45.83%	13 3.19%	58 14.22%	13 3.19%	110 26.96%	27 6.62%	408

Cell Contents

	Count
	Column Percent

Q	gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Keep the law in place as is	38 19.49%	63 29.44%	101
Expand what the law does	145 74.36%	119 55.61%	264

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	Total
Keep the law in place as is	24 24.24%	23 20.54%	6 16.67%	13 23.21%	34 32.69%	100
Expand what the law does	64 64.65%	82 73.21%	24 66.67%	38 67.86%	54 51.92%	262
Don't know	11 11.11%	7 6.25%	6 16.67%	5 8.93%	16 15.38%	45
Total	99 24.32%	112 27.52%	36 8.85%	56 13.76%	104 25.55%	407

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Keep the law in place as is	11 18.33%	46 33.58%	32 21.19%	11 18.03%	100
Expand what the law does	41 68.33%	71 51.82%	108 71.52%	44 72.13%	264
Don't know	8 13.33%	20 14.60%	11 7.28%	6 9.84%	45
Total	60 14.67%	137 33.50%	151 36.92%	61 14.91%	409

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q26D. If lawmakers repeal the Affordable Care Act with the intention of replacing it, should they...

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Wtnltlthyhvthdtlsfrplcmntplnfg	70 87.50%	97 91.51%	50 92.59%	317 72.21%	66 70.21%	120 59.11%	81 40.50%	801
Rplthhlthcrlwmmtdtlyndfgrtthdt	10 12.50%	4 3.77%	3 5.56%	72 16.40%	24 25.53%	61 30.05%	102 51.00%	276
Don't know	0 0.00%	5 4.72%	1 1.85%	50 11.39%	4 4.26%	22 10.84%	17 8.50%	99
Total	80 6.80%	106 9.01%	54 4.59%	439 37.33%	94 7.99%	203 17.26%	200 17.01%	1176

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	IDEOL			Total
	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives	
Waituntiltheyhavthdetailsofareplacementplanfiguredoutbefortheyrepealthhealthcrlw	217 90.42%	317 72.21%	267 53.72%	801

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Repealthehealthcarelawimmediatelyandfigureoutthedetailsofareplacementplanlater	17 7.08%	72 16.40%	187 37.63%	276
Don't know	6 2.50%	50 11.39%	43 8.65%	99
Total	240 20.41%	439 37.33%	497 42.26%	1176

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	PID7							Total
	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	
Waituntiltheyhavthdetailsofareplcmntplnfgrdtbfrthyrplthhlthcrlw	190 80.85%	100 80.65%	99 87.61%	95 68.84%	93 62.00%	110 67.07%	99 45.83%	786
Repealthehealthcarelawimmediatelyandfiguretthdtlsfrplcmntplnltr	19 8.09%	16 12.90%	7 6.19%	31 22.46%	45 30.00%	41 25.00%	98 45.37%	257
Don't know	26 11.06%	8 6.45%	7 6.19%	12 8.70%	12 8.00%	13 7.93%	19 8.80%	97
Total	235 20.61%	124 10.88%	113 9.91%	138 12.11%	150 13.16%	164 14.39%	216 18.95%	1140

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

Q	PID3			Total
	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	
Wait until they have the details of a replacement plan figured out before they repeal the health care law	389 82.24%	95 68.84%	301 56.90%	785
Repeal the health care law immediately and figure out the details of a replacement plan later	42 8.88%	31 22.46%	184 34.78%	257
Don't know	42 8.88%	12 8.70%	44 8.32%	98
Total	473 41.49%	138 12.11%	529 46.40%	1140

Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	Q17A				Total
	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	
Wait until they have the details of a replacement plan figured out before they repeal the health care law	165 58.30%	383 83.99%	86 49.71%	182 65.94%	816
Repeal the health care law immediately and figure out the details of a replacement plan later	99 34.98%	40 8.77%	77 44.51%	56 20.29%	272
Don't know	19 6.71%	33 7.24%	10 5.78%	38 13.77%	100

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	283 23.82%	456 38.38%	173 14.56%	276 23.23%	1188
-------	---------------	---------------	---------------	---------------	------

Cell Contents	
Count	
Column Percent	

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Waitntlthylvthdtlsfrplcmntplnfrgrdtbfrthyrplthhlthcrlw	23 46.94%	245 67.12%	189 64.07%	83 68.60%	185 75.82%	91 76.47%	816
Repealthehealthcarelwmmdtlyndfgrtthdtlsfrplcmntplnltr	13 26.53%	82 22.47%	83 28.14%	28 23.14%	46 18.85%	24 20.17%	276
Don't know	13 26.53%	38 10.41%	23 7.80%	10 8.26%	13 5.33%	4 3.36%	101
Total	49 4.11%	365 30.60%	295 24.73%	121 10.14%	244 20.45%	119 9.97%	1193

Cell Contents	
Count	
Column Percent	

Q	ATTEND					Total
	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Wntnlthyhvthdtlsfrplcmntplnfgrdtbfrthy	85 54.49%	150 64.38%	97 68.31%	217 71.15%	266 74.93%	815
Rplthhlthcrlwmmtdlyndfgrtthdtlsfrplcmn	53 33.97%	72 30.90%	26 18.31%	61 20.00%	63 17.75%	275
Don't know	18 11.54%	11 4.72%	19 13.38%	27 8.85%	26 7.32%	101
Total	156 13.10%	233 19.56%	142 11.92%	305 25.61%	355 29.81%	1191

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	race								Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern	
Wntnlthyhvthdtlsfrplcmntplnfgrd	486 68.35%	99 68.28%	189 66.55%	3 60.00%	8 88.89%	25 83.33%	6 85.71%	0 NaN%	816
Rplthhlthcrlwmmtdlyndfgrtthdtls	179 25.18%	27 18.62%	61 21.48%	1 20.00%	1 11.11%	5 16.67%	1 14.29%	0 NaN%	275
Don't know	46 6.47%	19 13.10%	34 11.97%	1 20.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 NaN%	100
Total	711 59.70%	145 12.17%	284 23.85%	5 0.42%	9 0.76%	30 2.52%	7 0.59%	0 0.00%	1191

=====
Cell Contents

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Count
Column Percent

Q	MARITAL						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	
Waituntiltheyhavthdetailsofareplacementplanfiguredoutbeforetheyrepealthhealthcrlw	450 67.67%	14 66.67%	83 72.17%	36 59.02%	183 68.54%	50 80.65%	816
Repealthehealthcarelawimmediatelyandfigureoutthedetailsofareplacementplanlater	160 24.06%	5 23.81%	26 22.61%	18 29.51%	58 21.72%	9 14.52%	276
Don't know	55 8.27%	2 9.52%	6 5.22%	7 11.48%	26 9.74%	3 4.84%	99
Total	665 55.84%	21 1.76%	115 9.66%	61 5.12%	267 22.42%	62 5.21%	1191

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	gender		
	Male	Female	Total
Waituntiltheyhavthdetailsofareplacementplanfiguredoutbeforetheyrepealthhealthcrlw	395 70.79%	421 66.30%	816
Repealthehealthcarelawimmediatelyandfigureoutthedetailsofareplacementplanlater	134 24.01%	142 22.36%	276

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know	29	72	101
	5.20%	11.34%	

Total	558	635	1193
	46.77%	53.23%	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	LOCATE			
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Waituntiltheyhavthdetailsofareplacementplanfiguredoutbefortheyrepealthhealthcrlw	245	414	154	813
	66.22%	71.75%	65.25%	
Repealthehealthcarelawimmediatelyandfigureoutthedetailsofareplacementplanlater	89	115	67	271
	24.05%	19.93%	28.39%	
Don't know	36	48	15	99
	9.73%	8.32%	6.36%	
Total	370	577	236	1183
	31.28%	48.77%	19.95%	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

metro

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	Total
Wtnltlthyvthdtlsfrplcmntplnfrdtbfrt	205 68.79%	234 76.22%	69 60.00%	100 74.07%	207 61.06%	815
Rplthhlthcrlwmmtdtlyndfgrtthdtlsfrplc	72 24.16%	42 13.68%	31 26.96%	29 21.48%	103 30.38%	277
Don't know	21 7.05%	31 10.10%	15 13.04%	6 4.44%	29 8.55%	102
Total	298 24.96%	307 25.71%	115 9.63%	135 11.31%	339 28.39%	1194

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Waituntiltheyhavthdetailsofareplacementplanfiguredoutbefortheyrepealthhealthcrlw	142 66.36%	207 63.89%	308 71.13%	160 72.07%	817
Repealthehealthcarelawimmediatelyandfigureoutthedetailsofareplacementplanlater	52 24.30%	92 28.40%	87 20.09%	44 19.82%	275
Don't know	20 9.35%	25 7.72%	38 8.78%	18 8.11%	101
Total	214 17.94%	324 27.16%	433 36.30%	222 18.61%	1193

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q27. Knowledge of 2017 Texas Legislature Budget Situation

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Th stt hs mr mny avl c t 2015	9 11.25%	17 15.89%	5 9.26%	50 11.39%	10 10.53%	23 11.22%	33 16.26%	147
Th stt hs lss mny av c t 2015	36 45.00%	27 25.23%	17 31.48%	85 19.36%	20 21.05%	64 31.22%	46 22.66%	295
Th s h a t s a o m a c t 2015	13 16.25%	14 13.08%	11 20.37%	74 16.86%	17 17.89%	37 18.05%	44 21.67%	210
Don't know enough to say	22 27.50%	49 45.79%	21 38.89%	230 52.39%	48 50.53%	81 39.51%	80 39.41%	531
Total	80 6.76%	107 9.04%	54 4.56%	439 37.11%	95 8.03%	205 17.33%	203 17.16%	1183

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	IDEOLOG			
	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives	Total

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

The state has more money available compared to 2015	30 12.50%	50 11.39%	66 13.12%	146
The state has less money available compared to 2015	80 33.33%	85 19.36%	130 25.84%	295
The state has about the same amount of money available compared to 2015	38 15.83%	74 16.86%	98 19.48%	210
Don't know enough to say	92 38.33%	230 52.39%	209 41.55%	531
Total	240 20.30%	439 37.14%	503 42.55%	1182

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	PID7							Total
	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	
The state has more money available compared to 2015	28 11.72%	16 12.90%	14 12.28%	17 12.41%	19 12.67%	11 6.67%	32 14.75%	137
The state has less money available compared to 2015	66 27.62%	21 16.94%	38 33.33%	28 20.44%	50 33.33%	38 23.03%	55 25.35%	296
The state has about the same amount of money avlbl cmprd t 2015	42 17.57%	19 15.32%	21 18.42%	27 19.71%	32 21.33%	25 15.15%	35 16.13%	201
Don't know enough to say	103	68	41	65	49	91	95	512

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	43.10%	54.84%	35.96%	47.45%	32.67%	55.15%	43.78%	
Total	239	124	114	137	150	165	217	1146
	20.86%	10.82%	9.95%	11.95%	13.09%	14.40%	18.94%	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

	PID3			Total
Q	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	
The state has more money available compared to 2015	58 12.18%	17 12.41%	62 11.65%	137
The state has less money available compared to 2015	125 26.26%	28 20.44%	143 26.88%	296
The state has about the same amount of money available compared to 2015	81 17.02%	27 19.71%	92 17.29%	200
Don't know enough to say	212 44.54%	65 47.45%	235 44.17%	512
Total	476 41.57%	137 11.97%	532 46.46%	1145

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	Q17A				Total
	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	
The state has more money available compared to 2015	37 12.89%	57 12.47%	34 19.21%	21 7.64%	149
The state has less money available compared to 2015	63 21.95%	116 25.38%	58 32.77%	64 23.27%	301
The state has about the same amount of money available compared to 2015	58 20.21%	92 20.13%	29 16.38%	31 11.27%	210
Don't know enough to say	129 44.95%	192 42.01%	56 31.64%	159 57.82%	536
Total	287 24.00%	457 38.21%	177 14.80%	275 22.99%	1196

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
The state has more money available compared to 2015	1 1.89%	51 13.97%	35 11.74%	12 9.92%	31 12.70%	19 15.97%	149
The state has less money available compared to 2015	14 26.42%	77 21.10%	57 19.13%	35 28.93%	79 32.38%	38 31.93%	300
The state has about the same amount of money available compared to 2015	14	67	65	14	34	20	214

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	26.42%	18.36%	21.81%	11.57%	13.93%	16.81%	
Don't know enough to say	24 45.28%	170 46.58%	141 47.32%	60 49.59%	100 40.98%	42 35.29%	537
Total	53 4.42%	365 30.42%	298 24.83%	121 10.08%	244 20.33%	119 9.92%	1200

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

Q	ATTEND					Total
	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	
The state hs mr mny avlbl cmprd t 2015	19 11.88%	45 18.99%	8 5.63%	36 11.84%	41 11.55%	149
The stat hs lss mny avlbl cmprd t 2015	46 28.75%	51 21.52%	34 23.94%	68 22.37%	101 28.45%	300
Th stt hs abt th sm amn o m a c t 2015	23 14.37%	42 17.72%	45 31.69%	52 17.11%	52 14.65%	214
Don't know enough to say	72 45.00%	99 41.77%	55 38.73%	148 48.68%	161 45.35%	535
Total	160 13.36%	237 19.78%	142 11.85%	304 25.38%	355 29.63%	1198

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Column Percent

Q	race White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern	Total
Th stt hs mr mn a c t 2015	83 11.66%	20 13.79%	36 12.50%	0 0.00%	1 10.00%	7 24.14%	0 0.00%	1 100.00%	148
Th stt hs lss m a c t 2015	193 27.11%	21 14.48%	73 25.35%	0 0.00%	5 50.00%	3 10.34%	5 71.43%	0 0.00%	300
T s h a t s a o m a c t 20	123 17.28%	27 18.62%	57 19.79%	2 40.00%	3 30.00%	1 3.45%	1 14.29%	0 0.00%	214
Don't know enough to say	313 43.96%	77 53.10%	122 42.36%	3 60.00%	1 10.00%	18 62.07%	1 14.29%	0 0.00%	535
Total	712 59.48%	145 12.11%	288 24.06%	5 0.42%	10 0.84%	29 2.42%	7 0.58%	1 0.08%	1197

Cell Contents

|-----|
| Count |
Column Percent

Q	MARITAL Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	Total
The state has more money available compared to 2015	71 10.64%	2 9.52%	17 14.91%	10 16.39%	44 16.30%	5 7.94%	149
The state has less money available compared to 2015	184	6	25	8	60	16	299

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	27.59%	28.57%	21.93%	13.11%	22.22%	25.40%	
The state has about the same amount of money available compared to 2015	126 18.89%	4 19.05%	17 14.91%	12 19.67%	44 16.30%	12 19.05%	215
Don't know enough to say	286 42.88%	9 42.86%	55 48.25%	31 50.82%	122 45.19%	30 47.62%	533
Total	667 55.77%	21 1.76%	114 9.53%	61 5.10%	270 22.58%	63 5.27%	1196

Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	gender		Total
	Male	Female	
The state has more money available compared to 2015	82 14.59%	67 10.50%	149
The state has less money available compared to 2015	172 30.60%	129 20.22%	301
The state has about the same amount of money available compared to 2015	113 20.11%	101 15.83%	214
Don't know enough to say	195 34.70%	341 53.45%	536
Total	562 46.83%	638 53.17%	1200

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	LOCATE			
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
The state has more money available compared to 2015	48 12.97%	84 14.46%	16 6.67%	148
The state has less money available compared to 2015	93 25.14%	142 24.44%	64 26.67%	299
The state has about the same amount of money available compared to 2015	70 18.92%	97 16.70%	43 17.92%	210
Don't know enough to say	159 42.97%	258 44.41%	117 48.75%	534
Total	370 31.07%	581 48.78%	240 20.15%	1191

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	metro					Total
	Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	
The stt hs mr mny avlbl cmprd t 2015	30	60	15	9	36	150

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	9.90%	19.48%	13.04%	6.67%	10.59%	
Th stt hs lss mny avlbl cmprd t 2015	103 33.99%	48 15.58%	23 20.00%	44 32.59%	82 24.12%	300
Th stt hs abt th sm a o m a c t 2015	57 18.81%	52 16.88%	17 14.78%	20 14.81%	69 20.29%	215
Don't know enough to say	113 37.29%	148 48.05%	60 52.17%	62 45.93%	153 45.00%	536
Total	303 25.23%	308 25.65%	115 9.58%	135 11.24%	340 28.31%	1201

Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
The state has more money available compared to 2015	29 13.55%	45 13.89%	44 10.07%	31 13.78%	149
The state has less money available compared to 2015	42 19.63%	73 22.53%	129 29.52%	57 25.33%	301
The state has about the same amount of money available compared to 2015	43 20.09%	57 17.59%	79 18.08%	35 15.56%	214
Don't know enough to say	100 46.73%	149 45.99%	185 42.33%	102 45.33%	536

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	214	324	437	225	1200
	17.83%	27.00%	36.42%	18.75%	

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q28. Texas Legislature Top Priority

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Rdsgnng th s o K-12 p s f i T	17 21.25%	30 28.30%	17 30.91%	83 19.04%	23 24.21%	17 8.33%	14 6.90%	201
Estblshng a schl vchr prg i T	1 1.25%	2 1.89%	0 0.00%	10 2.29%	6 6.32%	8 3.92%	24 11.82%	51
Cntnng t l g b a n n s a n n t	3 3.75%	7 6.60%	5 9.09%	64 14.68%	18 18.95%	41 20.10%	50 24.63%	188
Lwrng prprty tx blls fr hmwnr	10 12.50%	25 23.58%	11 20.00%	83 19.04%	15 15.79%	60 29.41%	31 15.27%	235
Lowering business taxes	6 7.50%	2 1.89%	1 1.82%	14 3.21%	6 6.32%	6 2.94%	9 4.43%	44
Incrsng stt fndng fr brdr s o	2 2.50%	4 3.77%	3 5.45%	37 8.49%	12 12.63%	36 17.65%	45 22.17%	139
Incrsng fndng fr Chld Prtct S	34 42.50%	25 23.58%	14 25.45%	51 11.70%	7 7.37%	12 5.88%	8 3.94%	151
Don't know/no opinion	7 8.75%	11 10.38%	4 7.27%	94 21.56%	8 8.42%	24 11.76%	22 10.84%	170

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	80	106	55	436	95	204	203	1179
	6.79%	8.99%	4.66%	36.98%	8.06%	17.30%	17.22%	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q

```

	LIBERALS	MODERATES	CONSERVATIVES	TOTAL
Redesigning the system of K-12 public school funding in Texas	64 26.78%	83 19.04%	54 10.76%	201
Establishing a school voucher program in Texas	3 1.26%	10 2.29%	38 7.57%	51
Continuing to limit government by approving no new spending and no new taxes	15 6.28%	64 14.68%	109 21.71%	188
Lowering property tax bills for homeowners	46 19.25%	83 19.04%	106 21.12%	235
Lowering business taxes	9 3.77%	14 3.21%	21 4.18%	44
Increasing state funding for border security operations	8 3.35%	37 8.49%	93 18.53%	138
Increasing funding for Child Protective Services	73 30.54%	51 11.70%	27 5.38%	151
Don't know/no opinion	21 8.79%	94 21.56%	54 10.76%	169

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	239	436	502	1177
	20.31%	37.04%	42.65%	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

Q	PID7							Total
	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	
Redesigning the system of K-12 public school funding in Texas	48 20.17%	26 20.97%	35 30.97%	17 12.50%	14 9.40%	27 16.36%	28 12.84%	195
Establishing a school voucher program in Texas	10 4.20%	2 1.61%	2 1.77%	5 3.68%	8 5.37%	7 4.24%	13 5.96%	47
Continuing to limit gvrnmnt by apprvg n nw spndng and n nw txs	19 7.98%	18 14.52%	6 5.31%	26 19.12%	40 26.85%	28 16.97%	42 19.27%	179
Lowering property tax bills for homeowners	37 15.55%	19 15.32%	16 14.16%	39 28.68%	37 24.83%	41 24.85%	46 21.10%	235
Lowering business taxes	6 2.52%	7 5.65%	6 5.31%	3 2.21%	8 5.37%	3 1.82%	8 3.67%	41
Increasing state funding for border security operations	8 3.36%	5 4.03%	7 6.19%	11 8.09%	27 18.12%	29 17.58%	47 21.56%	134
Increasing funding for Child Protective Services	57 23.95%	20 16.13%	30 26.55%	8 5.88%	5 3.36%	13 7.88%	15 6.88%	148
Don't know/no opinion	53	27	11	27	10	17	19	164

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	22.27%	21.77%	9.73%	19.85%	6.71%	10.30%	8.72%	
Total	238	124	113	136	149	165	218	1143
	20.82%	10.85%	9.89%	11.90%	13.04%	14.44%	19.07%	

Cell Contents
Count
Column Percent

Q	PID3			Total
	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	
Redesigning the system of K-12 public school funding in Texas	109 22.85%	17 12.50%	69 12.92%	195
Establishing a school voucher program in Texas	14 2.94%	5 3.68%	29 5.43%	48
Continuing to limit government by approving no new spending and no new taxes	44 9.22%	26 19.12%	110 20.60%	180
Lowering property tax bills for homeowners	72 15.09%	39 28.68%	125 23.41%	236
Lowering business taxes	20 4.19%	3 2.21%	19 3.56%	42
Increasing state funding for border security operations	20 4.19%	11 8.09%	103 19.29%	134
Increasing funding for Child Protective Services	107 22.43%	8 5.88%	33 6.18%	148

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know/no opinion	91 19.08%	27 19.85%	46 8.61%	164
Total	477 41.59%	136 11.86%	534 46.56%	1147

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

Q	Q17A				Total
	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	
Redesgnng th systm of K-12 pblc schl fndng in Txs	40 13.94%	109 23.85%	16 9.14%	38 13.87%	203
Establishing a school voucher program in Texas	14 4.88%	14 3.06%	14 8.00%	9 3.28%	51
Cntnng t lmt gvrnmnt by apprvg n nw spnd a n n t	41 14.29%	40 8.75%	55 31.43%	53 19.34%	189
Lowering property tax bills for homeowners	68 23.69%	86 18.82%	37 21.14%	51 18.61%	242
Lowering business taxes	12 4.18%	14 3.06%	8 4.57%	9 3.28%	43
Increasing state funding for border secrty oprtns	58 20.21%	15 3.28%	33 18.86%	33 12.04%	139
Increasing funding for Child Protective Services	27 9.41%	95 20.79%	5 2.86%	24 8.76%	151

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know/no opinion	27 9.41%	84 18.38%	7 4.00%	57 20.80%	175
Total	287 24.06%	457 38.31%	175 14.67%	274 22.97%	1193

Cell Contents	
Count	Column Percent

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Redesigning the system of K-12 pblc schl fndng in Txs	1 1.92%	34 9.29%	50 16.89%	24 19.83%	62 25.31%	32 26.89%	203
Establishing a school voucher program in Texas	0 0.00%	23 6.28%	11 3.72%	6 4.96%	9 3.67%	3 2.52%	52
Cntnng t lmt gvrnmnt by apprvg n nw spndng and n n t	9 17.31%	60 16.39%	49 16.55%	18 14.88%	41 16.73%	16 13.45%	193
Lowering property tax bills for homeowners	11 21.15%	81 22.13%	56 18.92%	28 23.14%	40 16.33%	27 22.69%	243
Lowering business taxes	5 9.62%	22 6.01%	5 1.69%	1 0.83%	6 2.45%	5 4.20%	44
Increasing state funding for border security operatns	14 26.92%	39 10.66%	35 11.82%	21 17.36%	24 9.80%	5 4.20%	138
Increasing funding for Child Protective Services	3	34	45	9	40	21	152

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	5.77%	9.29%	15.20%	7.44%	16.33%	17.65%	
Don't know/no opinion	9 17.31%	73 19.95%	45 15.20%	14 11.57%	23 9.39%	10 8.40%	174
Total	52 4.34%	366 30.53%	296 24.69%	121 10.09%	245 20.43%	119 9.92%	1199

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

Q	ATTEND					Total
	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	
Rdsngng th systm of K-12 pblc sc f i T	31 19.50%	34 14.35%	20 14.08%	52 17.05%	66 18.64%	203
Establishing a schol vchr prgrm in Tx	15 9.43%	16 6.75%	5 3.52%	5 1.64%	10 2.82%	51
Cntnng t lmt gvrnmnt b a n n s a n n t	23 14.47%	40 16.88%	19 13.38%	62 20.33%	50 14.12%	194
Lowering property tax bills for hmwrs	23 14.47%	45 18.99%	36 25.35%	67 21.97%	72 20.34%	243
Lowering business taxes	5 3.14%	8 3.38%	3 2.11%	12 3.93%	15 4.24%	43
Incrsng stt fndng fr brdr scrty oprtns	21 13.21%	43 18.14%	12 8.45%	27 8.85%	36 10.17%	139

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Increasing fundng fr Chld Prtctv Srvcs	19 11.95%	17 7.17%	14 9.86%	42 13.77%	60 16.95%	152
Don't know/no opinion	22 13.84%	34 14.35%	33 23.24%	38 12.46%	45 12.71%	172
Total	159 13.28%	237 19.80%	142 11.86%	305 25.48%	354 29.57%	1197

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	race								Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern	
Rdsgn t s o K-12 p s f i T	126 17.72%	19 13.01%	46 15.92%	1 20.00%	0 0.00%	10 32.26%	2 25.00%	0 0.00%	204
Estblshng a schl vch p i T	35 4.92%	8 5.48%	5 1.73%	1 20.00%	0 0.00%	2 6.45%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	51
Cn t l g b a n n s a n n t	112 15.75%	18 12.33%	52 17.99%	1 20.00%	1 12.50%	5 16.13%	4 50.00%	0 0.00%	193
Lwrng prprty tx blls fr hm	125 17.58%	34 23.29%	76 26.30%	0 0.00%	2 25.00%	4 12.90%	1 12.50%	0 0.00%	242
Lowering business taxes	26 3.66%	9 6.16%	8 2.77%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1 3.23%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	44
Incrsng stt fndng fr b s o	109 15.33%	3 2.05%	19 6.57%	1 20.00%	3 37.50%	3 9.68%	0 0.00%	1 100.00%	139

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Incrsng fndng fr Chld Pr S	96	21	30	0	1	3	0	0	151
	13.50%	14.38%	10.38%	0.00%	12.50%	9.68%	0.00%	0.00%	
Don't know/no opinion	82	34	53	1	1	3	1	0	175
	11.53%	23.29%	18.34%	20.00%	12.50%	9.68%	12.50%	0.00%	
Total	711	146	289	5	8	31	8	1	1199
	59.30%	12.18%	24.10%	0.42%	0.67%	2.59%	0.67%	0.08%	

=====
 Cell Contents
 =====

Count
Column Percent

	MARITAL							
Q	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	Total	
Redesigning the system of K-12 public schl fndng in Tx	124	3	15	2	42	18	204	
	18.62%	13.64%	12.93%	3.28%	15.50%	30.00%		
Establishing a school voucher program in Texas	30	1	4	1	14	1	51	
	4.50%	4.55%	3.45%	1.64%	5.17%	1.67%		
Cntnng t lmt gvrnmnt by apprvg n nw spndng and n nw tx	109	3	21	9	46	4	192	
	16.37%	13.64%	18.10%	14.75%	16.97%	6.67%		
Lowering property tax bills for homeowners	155	5	20	7	49	7	243	
	23.27%	22.73%	17.24%	11.48%	18.08%	11.67%		
Lowering business taxes	21	0	3	0	13	7	44	
	3.15%	0.00%	2.59%	0.00%	4.80%	11.67%		
Increasing state funding for border security operations	81	3	12	17	23	3	139	

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	12.16%	13.64%	10.34%	27.87%	8.49%	5.00%	
Increasing funding for Child Protective Services	73 10.96%	3 13.64%	18 15.52%	12 19.67%	31 11.44%	15 25.00%	152
Don't know/no opinion	73 10.96%	4 18.18%	23 19.83%	13 21.31%	53 19.56%	5 8.33%	171
Total	666 55.69%	22 1.84%	116 9.70%	61 5.10%	271 22.66%	60 5.02%	1196

=====
Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Redesigning the system of K-12 public school funding in Texas	96 17.08%	107 16.77%	203
Establishing a school voucher program in Texas	33 5.87%	19 2.98%	52
Continuing to limit government by approving no new spending and no new taxes	85 15.12%	108 16.93%	193
Lowering property tax bills for homeowners	145 25.80%	98 15.36%	243
Lowering business taxes	28 4.98%	16 2.51%	44

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Increasing state funding for border security operations	62	77	139
	11.03%	12.07%	

Increasing funding for Child Protective Services	51	100	151
	9.07%	15.67%	

Don't know/no opinion	62	113	175
	11.03%	17.71%	

Total	562	638	1200
	46.83%	53.17%	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	LOCATE			
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Redesigning the system of K-12 public school funding in Texas	62	104	35	201
	16.71%	17.93%	14.64%	

Establishing a school voucher program in Texas	24	21	6	51
	6.47%	3.62%	2.51%	

Continuing to limit government by approving no new spending and no new taxes	57	88	48	193
	15.36%	15.17%	20.08%	

Lowering property tax bills for homeowners	52	140	50	242
	14.02%	24.14%	20.92%	

Lowering business taxes	11	23	10	44
	2.96%	3.97%	4.18%	

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Increasing state funding for border security operations	31 8.36%	66 11.38%	39 16.32%	136
Increasing funding for Child Protective Services	54 14.56%	78 13.45%	18 7.53%	150
Don't know/no opinion	80 21.56%	60 10.34%	33 13.81%	173
Total	371 31.18%	580 48.74%	239 20.08%	1190

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
| Count |
Column Percent

Q	metro Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	Total
Rdsngng th systm of K-12 pbl s f i T	52 17.16%	54 17.48%	19 16.52%	19 14.29%	60 17.60%	204
Establishng a schl vchr prgrm in Txs	17 5.61%	20 6.47%	2 1.74%	3 2.26%	10 2.93%	52
Cntnng t lmt gvrnm b a n n s a n n t	48 15.84%	39 12.62%	25 21.74%	19 14.29%	62 18.18%	193
Lowering property tax blls fr hmwrs	65 21.45%	60 19.42%	16 13.91%	35 26.32%	67 19.65%	243
Lowering business taxes	6	11	6	6	15	44

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	1.98%	3.56%	5.22%	4.51%	4.40%	
Incrsng stt fndng fr brdr scrty oprt	38 12.54%	36 11.65%	10 8.70%	8 6.02%	47 13.78%	139
Incrsng fndng fr Chld Prtctv Srvcs	28 9.24%	46 14.89%	21 18.26%	32 24.06%	24 7.04%	151
Don't know/no opinion	49 16.17%	43 13.92%	16 13.91%	11 8.27%	56 16.42%	175
Total	303 25.23%	309 25.73%	115 9.58%	133 11.07%	341 28.39%	1201

Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Redesigning the system of K-12 public school funding in Texas	49 23.00%	66 20.25%	58 13.27%	30 13.33%	203
Establishing a school voucher program in Texas	23 10.80%	14 4.29%	6 1.37%	9 4.00%	52
Continuing to limit government by approving no new spending and no new taxes	29 13.62%	48 14.72%	77 17.62%	39 17.33%	193
Lowering property tax bills for homeowners	29 13.62%	51 15.64%	112 25.63%	51 22.67%	243

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Lowering business taxes	9 4.23%	20 6.13%	8 1.83%	6 2.67%	43

Increasing state funding for border security operations	13 6.10%	31 9.51%	63 14.42%	33 14.67%	140

Increasing funding for Child Protective Services	22 10.33%	34 10.43%	71 16.25%	25 11.11%	152

Don't know/no opinion	39 18.31%	62 19.02%	42 9.61%	32 14.22%	175

Total	213 17.74%	326 27.14%	437 36.39%	225 18.73%	1201
=====					

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q29. K-12 Public Education Quality

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

```

=====
LIBCON7
Q      Extremely lib.  Somewhat lib.  Lean lib.  Moderate  Lean con.  Somewhat con.  Extremely con.  Total
-----
Excellent      5           1           3          36          3           8           35           91
                6.25%       0.94%       5.66%      8.22%      3.16%       3.94%       17.24%
-----
Good           19          48          22         161          34          96           82          462
                23.75%     45.28%     41.51%     36.76%     35.79%     47.29%     40.39%
-----
Not very good  29          38          21         154          43          68           53          406
                36.25%     35.85%     39.62%     35.16%     45.26%     33.50%     26.11%
-----
Terrible       21          10           4           22           9           14           14           94
                26.25%     9.43%      7.55%      5.02%      9.47%      6.90%      6.90%
-----
Don't know     6           9           3           65           6           17           19          125
                7.50%      8.49%      5.66%     14.84%     6.32%      8.37%      9.36%
-----
Total          80          106          53          438          95          203          203          1178
                6.79%      9.00%      4.50%     37.18%     8.06%     17.23%     17.23%
=====

```

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

=====
      IDEOL
Q      Liberals  Moderates  Conservatives  Total
-----
Excellent      10         36         46         92
                4.17%      8.22%      9.13%
-----
Good           88        161        213        462
                36.67%     36.76%     42.26%
-----
Not very good  88        154        165        407
                36.67%     35.16%     32.74%
-----
Terrible       35         22         37         94
                14.58%      5.02%      7.34%
-----
Don't know     19         65         43        127
                7.92%      14.84%      8.53%
-----
Total          240        438        504       1182
                20.30%     37.06%     42.64%
=====

```

```

=====
      Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|       Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
      PID7
Q      StrDem  WeakDem  LeanDem   Ind  LeanRep  WeakRep  StrRep  Total
-----
Excellent   29         3        11         4    6        16        19        88
                12.13%    2.42%    9.73%    2.90%    3.97%    9.76%    8.72%
-----
Good        81         53        39         58   44        75       101       451

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	33.89%	42.74%	34.51%	42.03%	29.14%	45.73%	46.33%	
Not very good	75 31.38%	49 39.52%	44 38.94%	38 27.54%	75 49.67%	46 28.05%	63 28.90%	390
Terrible	26 10.88%	5 4.03%	10 8.85%	18 13.04%	14 9.27%	6 3.66%	13 5.96%	92
Don't know	28 11.72%	14 11.29%	9 7.96%	20 14.49%	12 7.95%	21 12.80%	22 10.09%	126
Total	239 20.84%	124 10.81%	113 9.85%	138 12.03%	151 13.16%	164 14.30%	218 19.01%	1147

=====
Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	PID3			Total
	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	
Excellent	43 9.05%	4 2.90%	40 7.49%	87
Good	173 36.42%	58 42.03%	220 41.20%	451
Not very good	167 35.16%	38 27.54%	184 34.46%	389
Terrible	41 8.63%	18 13.04%	34 6.37%	93

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know	51 10.74%	20 14.49%	56 10.49%	127
Total	475 41.41%	138 12.03%	534 46.56%	1147

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q17A
Q      Republican candidate  Democratic candidate  Tea Party candidate  Don't know  Total
-----
Excellent      26      43      8      17      94
                9.03%   9.41%   4.52%   6.20%
-----
Good           140     169     51     104     464
                48.61%  36.98%  28.81%  37.96%
-----
Not very good  83      159     76      93      411
                28.82%  34.79%  42.94%  33.94%
-----
Terrible       15      42      24      17      98
                5.21%   9.19%  13.56%   6.20%
-----
Don't know     24      44      18      43      129
                8.33%   9.63%  10.17%  15.69%
-----
Total          288     457     177     274     1196
                24.08%  38.21%  14.80%  22.91%
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
educ
Q           No HS   High school graduate   Some college   2-year   4-year   Post-grad   Total
-----
Excellent           0           58           15           5           10           4           92
                   0.00%          15.85%          5.05%          4.13%          4.10%          3.33%
-----
Good                19          144          100           40          105           60          468
                   37.25%          39.34%          33.67%          33.06%          43.03%          50.00%
-----
Not very good       19           98          117           45           96           35          410
                   37.25%          26.78%          39.39%          37.19%          39.34%          29.17%
-----
Terrible            7           31           23           9           19           10           99
                   13.73%          8.47%          7.74%          7.44%          7.79%          8.33%
-----
Don't know          6           35           42           22           14           11          130
                   11.76%          9.56%          14.14%          18.18%          5.74%          9.17%
-----
Total              51          366          297           121          244           120          1199
                   4.25%          30.53%          24.77%          10.09%          20.35%          10.01%
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
ATTEND
Q           More than once a week   Once a week   A few times a month   Once or twice a year   Never   Total
-----

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Excellent	19 11.88%	30 12.66%	10 7.09%	19 6.25%	13 3.67%	91
Good	66 41.25%	101 42.62%	64 45.39%	121 39.80%	115 32.49%	467
Not very good	46 28.75%	79 33.33%	45 31.91%	112 36.84%	127 35.88%	409
Terrible	14 8.75%	11 4.64%	12 8.51%	19 6.25%	43 12.15%	99
Don't know	15 9.38%	16 6.75%	10 7.09%	33 10.86%	56 15.82%	130
Total	160 13.38%	237 19.82%	141 11.79%	304 25.42%	354 29.60%	1196

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	race								Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern	
Excellent	40 5.62%	27 18.37%	25 8.68%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1 3.33%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	93
Good	287 40.31%	47 31.97%	116 40.28%	2 40.00%	2 25.00%	8 26.67%	4 50.00%	1 100.00%	467
Not very good	258 36.24%	36 24.49%	90 31.25%	2 40.00%	3 37.50%	19 63.33%	2 25.00%	0 0.00%	410

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Terrible	56 7.87%	15 10.20%	23 7.99%	0 0.00%	3 37.50%	1 3.33%	2 25.00%	0 0.00%	100
Don't know	71 9.97%	22 14.97%	34 11.81%	1 20.00%	0 0.00%	1 3.33%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	129
Total	712 59.38%	147 12.26%	288 24.02%	5 0.42%	8 0.67%	30 2.50%	8 0.67%	1 0.08%	1199

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	MARITAL						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	
Excellent	44 6.60%	0 0.00%	9 7.76%	12 19.67%	26 9.67%	2 3.17%	93
Good	291 43.63%	5 25.00%	46 39.66%	27 44.26%	75 27.88%	25 39.68%	469
Not very good	228 34.18%	9 45.00%	36 31.03%	15 24.59%	103 38.29%	19 30.16%	410
Terrible	43 6.45%	2 10.00%	6 5.17%	5 8.20%	31 11.52%	10 15.87%	97
Don't know	61 9.15%	4 20.00%	19 16.38%	2 3.28%	34 12.64%	7 11.11%	127
Total	667	20	116	61	269	63	1196

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

55.77% 1.67% 9.70% 5.10% 22.49% 5.27%

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q           gender
           Male   Female   Total
-----
Excellent           39      54      93
                   6.94%   8.46%
-----
Good                225     243     468
                   40.04%  38.09%
-----
Not very good      187     224     411
                   33.27%  35.11%
-----
Terrible           48      50      98
                   8.54%   7.84%
-----
Don't know         63      67     130
                   11.21%  10.50%
-----
Total              562     638    1200
                   46.83%  53.17%
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

=====
LOCATE
Q      Urban      Suburban      Rural      Total
-----
Excellent      48          38          5          91
                12.94%      6.55%      2.08%
-----
Good           130         240         96         466
                35.04%      41.38%     40.00%
-----
Not very good  141         170         97         408
                38.01%      29.31%     40.42%
-----
Terrible       17          62          19         98
                4.58%       10.69%      7.92%
-----
Don't know     35          70          23         128
                9.43%       12.07%      9.58%
-----
Total          371         580         240        1191
                31.15%      48.70%     20.15%
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|      Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
metro
Q      Houston Area  Dallas-Fort Worth Area  San Antonio Area  Austin Area  Another Part of TX  Total
-----
Excellent      25          24          9          7          28          93
                8.28%      7.74%      7.83%      5.26%      8.24%
-----
Good           118         119         46         55         130         468

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	39.07%	38.39%	40.00%	41.35%	38.24%	
Not very good	111 36.75%	97 31.29%	32 27.83%	47 35.34%	123 36.18%	410
Terrible	25 8.28%	30 9.68%	12 10.43%	9 6.77%	23 6.76%	99
Don't know	23 7.62%	40 12.90%	16 13.91%	15 11.28%	36 10.59%	130
Total	302 25.17%	310 25.83%	115 9.58%	133 11.08%	340 28.33%	1200

=====
Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Excellent	24 11.27%	30 9.26%	16 3.67%	23 10.18%	93
Good	69 32.39%	117 36.11%	186 42.66%	96 42.48%	468
Not very good	94 44.13%	109 33.64%	142 32.57%	65 28.76%	410
Terrible	13 6.10%	30 9.26%	33 7.57%	23 10.18%	99

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know	13	38	59	19	129
	6.10%	11.73%	13.53%	8.41%	

Total	213	324	436	226	1199
	17.76%	27.02%	36.36%	18.85%	
=====					

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q30A. Effectiveness: Creating a school voucher program

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

=====								
	LIBCON7							
Q	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	Total

Extremely effective	16 20.00%	7 7.00%	6 11.11%	44 10.14%	14 14.74%	49 24.38%	96 47.52%	232
Somewhat effective	6 7.50%	19 19.00%	7 12.96%	106 24.42%	29 30.53%	82 40.80%	56 27.72%	305
Not very effective	10 12.50%	18 18.00%	8 14.81%	92 21.20%	21 22.11%	23 11.44%	12 5.94%	184
Not at all effective	40 50.00%	46 46.00%	21 38.89%	84 19.35%	10 10.53%	12 5.97%	8 3.96%	221
Don't know	8 10.00%	10 10.00%	12 22.22%	108 24.88%	21 22.11%	35 17.41%	30 14.85%	224
Total	80 6.86%	100 8.58%	54 4.63%	434 37.22%	95 8.15%	201 17.24%	202 17.32%	1166
=====								

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

=====
Q               IDEOL
               Liberals Moderates Conservatives Total
-----
Extremely effective    28      44      159    231
                   12.02%   10.14%   31.86%
-----
Somewhat effective    31     106     167    304
                   13.30%   24.42%   33.47%
-----
Not very effective    36      92      57    185
                   15.45%   21.20%   11.42%
-----
Not at all effective  107     84      30    221
                   45.92%   19.35%    6.01%
-----
Don't know           31     108     86    225
                   13.30%   24.88%   17.23%
-----
Total                233     434     499   1166
                   19.98%   37.22%   42.80%
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|       Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q               PID7
               StrDem WeakDem LeanDem Ind LeanRep WeakRep StrRep Total
-----
Extremely effective    30     15      4     19     56     25     73    222
                   12.61%   12.40%   3.67%  14.07%  37.09%  15.43%  33.95%
-----
Somewhat effective    47     25     29     32     51     51     67    302

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	19.75%	20.66%	26.61%	23.70%	33.77%	31.48%	31.16%	
Not very effective	30 12.61%	30 24.79%	16 14.68%	25 18.52%	17 11.26%	28 17.28%	22 10.23%	168
Not at all effective	96 40.34%	20 16.53%	46 42.20%	30 22.22%	5 3.31%	14 8.64%	14 6.51%	225
Don't know	35 14.71%	31 25.62%	14 12.84%	29 21.48%	22 14.57%	44 27.16%	39 18.14%	214
Total	238 21.04%	121 10.70%	109 9.64%	135 11.94%	151 13.35%	162 14.32%	215 19.01%	1131

=====
Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	PID3			Total
	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	
Extremely effective	48 10.26%	19 14.07%	154 29.11%	221
Somewhat effective	101 21.58%	32 23.70%	169 31.95%	302
Not very effective	77 16.45%	25 18.52%	68 12.85%	170
Not at all effective	162 34.62%	30 22.22%	33 6.24%	225

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know	80 17.09%	29 21.48%	105 19.85%	214
------------	--------------	--------------	---------------	-----

Total	468 41.34%	135 11.93%	529 46.73%	1132
-------	---------------	---------------	---------------	------

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q17A
Q      Republican candidate  Democratic candidate  Tea Party candidate  Don't know  Total
-----
Extremely effective          62                44                84                41          231
                             21.53%           9.82%            47.46%           15.24%
-----
Somewhat effective           93                94                56                69          312
                             32.29%           20.98%           31.64%           25.65%
-----
Not very effective           50                70                13                49          182
                             17.36%           15.62%            7.34%           18.22%
-----
Not at all effective         17                165               6                 39          227
                             5.90%            36.83%            3.39%           14.50%
-----
Don't know                   66                75                18                71          230
                             22.92%           16.74%           10.17%           26.39%
-----
Total                         288               448               177               269         1182
                             24.37%           37.90%           14.97%           22.76%
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

|           Count |
|   Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
educ
Q      No HS   High school graduate   Some college   2-year   4-year   Post-grad   Total
-----
Extremely effective      9           77           54          22         46          23         231
                          17.65%      21.33%      18.43%     18.49%     19.09%     19.33%
-----
Somewhat effective      11          119           70          35         53          24         312
                          21.57%      32.96%      23.89%     29.41%     21.99%     20.17%
-----
Not very effective      13           49           53          22         31          17         185
                          25.49%      13.57%      18.09%     18.49%     12.86%     14.29%
-----
Not at all effective     1           44           55          13         73          41         227
                          1.96%      12.19%      18.77%     10.92%     30.29%     34.45%
-----
Don't know              17           72           61          27         38          14         229
                          33.33%      19.94%      20.82%     22.69%     15.77%     11.76%
-----
Total                   51          361           293         119         241         119         1184
                          4.31%      30.49%      24.75%     10.05%     20.35%     10.05%
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|           Count |
|   Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
ATTEND
Q      More than once a week   Once a week   A few times a month   Once or twice a year   Never   Total
-----

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Extremely effective	44 28.76%	70 29.66%	19 13.38%	50 16.45%	49 14.04%	232
Somewhat effective	39 25.49%	57 24.15%	58 40.85%	80 26.32%	77 22.06%	311
Not very effective	19 12.42%	52 22.03%	20 14.08%	53 17.43%	41 11.75%	185
Not at all effective	19 12.42%	26 11.02%	21 14.79%	52 17.11%	108 30.95%	226
Don't know	32 20.92%	31 13.14%	24 16.90%	69 22.70%	74 21.20%	230
Total	153 12.92%	236 19.93%	142 11.99%	304 25.68%	349 29.48%	1184

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	race								Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern	
Extremely effective	150 21.25%	29 20.14%	39 13.83%	0 0.00%	4 44.44%	7 23.33%	3 37.50%	0 0.00%	232
Somewhat effective	158 22.38%	52 36.11%	87 30.85%	2 40.00%	3 33.33%	7 23.33%	3 37.50%	0 0.00%	312
Not very effective	101 14.31%	18 12.50%	61 21.63%	1 20.00%	0 0.00%	2 6.67%	1 12.50%	2 100.00%	186

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Not at all effective	159	23	34	0	2	8	1	0	227
	22.52%	15.97%	12.06%	0.00%	22.22%	26.67%	12.50%	0.00%	
Don't know	138	22	61	2	0	6	0	0	229
	19.55%	15.28%	21.63%	40.00%	0.00%	20.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
Total	706	144	282	5	9	30	8	2	1186
	59.53%	12.14%	23.78%	0.42%	0.76%	2.53%	0.67%	0.17%	

=====
 Cell Contents
 =====

Count
Column Percent

Q	MARITAL	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	Total
Extremely effective	136	4	14	21	43		12	230
	20.67%	20.00%	12.07%	34.43%	16.41%		19.05%	
Somewhat effective	177	3	31	13	70		17	311
	26.90%	15.00%	26.72%	21.31%	26.72%		26.98%	
Not very effective	99	5	25	8	39		8	184
	15.05%	25.00%	21.55%	13.11%	14.89%		12.70%	
Not at all effective	135	2	19	7	48		16	227
	20.52%	10.00%	16.38%	11.48%	18.32%		25.40%	
Don't know	111	6	27	12	62		10	228
	16.87%	30.00%	23.28%	19.67%	23.66%		15.87%	
Total	658	20	116	61	262		63	1180

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

55.76% 1.69% 9.83% 5.17% 22.20% 5.34%

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q           gender
           Male   Female   Total
-----
Extremely effective   124     108     232
                    22.38%  17.14%
-----
Somewhat effective   155     157     312
                    27.98%  24.92%
-----
Not very effective   94      91     185
                    16.97%  14.44%
-----
Not at all effective 108     118     226
                    19.49%  18.73%
-----
Don't know           73     156     229
                    13.18%  24.76%
-----
Total                554     630     1184
                    46.79%  53.21%
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

=====
LOCATE
Q      Urban      Suburban      Rural      Total
-----
Extremely effective      63      115      51      229
                          17.31%    20.07%    21.25%
-----
Somewhat effective      97      150      62      309
                          26.65%    26.18%    25.83%
-----
Not very effective      54      101      28      183
                          14.84%    17.63%    11.67%
-----
Not at all effective      67      114      45      226
                          18.41%    19.90%    18.75%
-----
Don't know      83      93      54      230
                          22.80%    16.23%    22.50%
-----
Total      364      573      240      1177
                          30.93%    48.68%    20.39%
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|          Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
metro
Q      Houston Area      Dallas-Fort Worth Area      San Antonio Area      Austin Area      Another Part of TX      Total
-----
Extremely effective      67      63      24      24      52      230
                          22.48%    21.00%    21.43%    17.91%    15.38%
-----
Somewhat effective      71      84      30      34      93      312

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	23.83%	28.00%	26.79%	25.37%	27.51%	
Not very effective	47 15.77%	38 12.67%	25 22.32%	22 16.42%	53 15.68%	185
Not at all effective	58 19.46%	63 21.00%	14 12.50%	37 27.61%	54 15.98%	226
Don't know	55 18.46%	52 17.33%	19 16.96%	17 12.69%	86 25.44%	229
Total	298 25.21%	300 25.38%	112 9.48%	134 11.34%	338 28.60%	1182

=====
Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Extremely effective	30 14.29%	50 15.77%	91 20.87%	61 27.35%	232
Somewhat effective	60 28.57%	82 25.87%	108 24.77%	62 27.80%	312
Not very effective	37 17.62%	55 17.35%	61 13.99%	32 14.35%	185
Not at all effective	29 13.81%	58 18.30%	102 23.39%	39 17.49%	228

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know	54	72	74	29	229
	25.71%	22.71%	16.97%	13.00%	

Total	210	317	436	223	1186
	17.71%	26.73%	36.76%	18.80%	
=====					

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q30B. Effectiveness: Expanding the number of charter schools

```

Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

```

=====
LIBCON7
Q           Extremely lib.  Somewhat lib.  Lean lib.  Moderate  Lean con.  Somewhat con.  Extremely con.  Total
-----
Extremely effective           6           9           3           66           16           44           75          219
                             7.59%        9.00%        5.66%       15.38%       16.49%       21.89%       37.13%
-----
Somewhat effective           15          20          10          79          34          66          67          291
                             18.99%       20.00%       18.87%       18.41%       35.05%       32.84%       33.17%
-----
Not very effective           18          22          11          101         15          46          20          233
                             22.78%       22.00%       20.75%       23.54%       15.46%       22.89%       9.90%
-----
Not at all effective          27          36          18          85          11          18           9          204
                             34.18%       36.00%       33.96%       19.81%       11.34%       8.96%        4.46%
-----
Don't know                    13          13          11          98          21          27          31          214
                             16.46%       13.00%       20.75%       22.84%       21.65%       13.43%       15.35%
-----
Total                          79          100          53          429          97          201          202         1161
                             6.80%        8.61%        4.57%       36.95%        8.35%       17.31%       17.40%
=====

```

```

Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

=====
Q               IDEOL
               Liberals Moderates Conservatives Total
-----
Extremely effective    18      66      135      219
                       7.66%   15.38%   26.95%
-----
Somewhat effective    45      79      167      291
                       19.15%  18.41%  33.33%
-----
Not very effective    52     101      81      234
                       22.13%  23.54%  16.17%
-----
Not at all effective   82     85       39      206
                       34.89%  19.81%   7.78%
-----
Don't know           38     98       79      215
                       16.17%  22.84%  15.77%
-----
Total                235    429     501    1165
                       20.17%  36.82%  43.00%
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|               Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q               PID7
               StrDem WeakDem LeanDem Ind LeanRep WeakRep StrRep Total
-----
Extremely effective    28     12     10     18     40     34     66     208
                       11.81%  10.26%  9.26%  13.24%  26.67%  20.73%  30.56%
-----
Somewhat effective    55     28     12     28     49     39     74     285

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	23.21%	23.93%	11.11%	20.59%	32.67%	23.78%	34.26%	
Not very effective	47 19.83%	25 21.37%	24 22.22%	29 21.32%	23 15.33%	47 28.66%	28 12.96%	223
Not at all effective	78 32.91%	25 21.37%	38 35.19%	32 23.53%	7 4.67%	12 7.32%	11 5.09%	203
Don't know	29 12.24%	27 23.08%	24 22.22%	29 21.32%	31 20.67%	32 19.51%	37 17.13%	209
Total	237 21.01%	117 10.37%	108 9.57%	136 12.06%	150 13.30%	164 14.54%	216 19.15%	1128

=====
Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	PID3			Total
	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	
Extremely effective	49 10.58%	18 13.24%	140 26.37%	207
Somewhat effective	95 20.52%	28 20.59%	162 30.51%	285
Not very effective	97 20.95%	29 21.32%	99 18.64%	225
Not at all effective	141 30.45%	32 23.53%	30 5.65%	203

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know	81 17.49%	29 21.32%	100 18.83%	210
Total	463 40.97%	136 12.04%	531 46.99%	1130

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q17A
Q      Republican candidate  Democratic candidate  Tea Party candidate  Don't know  Total
-----
Extremely effective          60          46          69          45          220
                             20.98%      10.31%      38.98%      16.67%
-----
Somewhat effective          75          92          66          63          296
                             26.22%      20.63%      37.29%      23.33%
-----
Not very effective          73          97          14          48          232
                             25.52%      21.75%      7.91%       17.78%
-----
Not at all effective         20          139         8           44          211
                             6.99%       31.17%      4.52%       16.30%
-----
Don't know                   58          72          20          70          220
                             20.28%      16.14%      11.30%      25.93%
-----
Total                        286         446         177         270         1179
                             24.26%      37.83%      15.01%      22.90%
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	Count
	Column Percent

Q	educ	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Extremely effective	2	67	55	25	48	24	221	
	4.26%	18.56%	18.71%	21.37%	19.67%	20.17%		
Somewhat effective	9	102	67	27	66	25	296	
	19.15%	28.25%	22.79%	23.08%	27.05%	21.01%		
Not very effective	16	69	53	28	43	27	236	
	34.04%	19.11%	18.03%	23.93%	17.62%	22.69%		
Not at all effective	4	50	56	18	58	24	210	
	8.51%	13.85%	19.05%	15.38%	23.77%	20.17%		
Don't know	16	73	63	19	29	19	219	
	34.04%	20.22%	21.43%	16.24%	11.89%	15.97%		
Total	47	361	294	117	244	119	1182	
	3.98%	30.54%	24.87%	9.90%	20.64%	10.07%		

Cell Contents

	Count
	Column Percent

Q	ATTEND	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
---	--------	-----------------------	-------------	---------------------	----------------------	-------	-------

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Extremely effective	42 26.42%	53 22.94%	35 24.82%	49 16.12%	42 12.10%	221
Somewhat effective	34 21.38%	69 29.87%	36 25.53%	83 27.30%	73 21.04%	295
Not very effective	35 22.01%	52 22.51%	30 21.28%	60 19.74%	58 16.71%	235
Not at all effective	23 14.47%	23 9.96%	18 12.77%	61 20.07%	85 24.50%	210
Don't know	25 15.72%	34 14.72%	22 15.60%	51 16.78%	89 25.65%	221
Total	159 13.45%	231 19.54%	141 11.93%	304 25.72%	347 29.36%	1182

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	race								Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern	
Extremely effective	141 20.06%	29 20.28%	37 13.07%	1 25.00%	1 11.11%	8 27.59%	3 37.50%	0 0.00%	220
Somewhat effective	169 24.04%	49 34.27%	64 22.61%	2 50.00%	4 44.44%	5 17.24%	2 25.00%	1 100.00%	296
Not very effective	126 17.92%	23 16.08%	79 27.92%	0 0.00%	2 22.22%	5 17.24%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	235

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Not at all effective	132	22	46	0	2	7	1	0	210
	18.78%	15.38%	16.25%	0.00%	22.22%	24.14%	12.50%	0.00%	
Don't know	135	20	57	1	0	4	2	0	219
	19.20%	13.99%	20.14%	25.00%	0.00%	13.79%	25.00%	0.00%	
Total	703	143	283	4	9	29	8	1	1180
	59.58%	12.12%	23.98%	0.34%	0.76%	2.46%	0.68%	0.08%	

=====
 Cell Contents
 =====

Count	
Column Percent	

Q	MARITAL	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	Total
Extremely effective	137	5	20	13	38	8	221	
	20.85%	23.81%	17.39%	21.31%	14.50%	12.70%		
Somewhat effective	173	1	27	15	58	21	295	
	26.33%	4.76%	23.48%	24.59%	22.14%	33.33%		
Not very effective	146	6	21	9	42	11	235	
	22.22%	28.57%	18.26%	14.75%	16.03%	17.46%		
Not at all effective	108	4	16	12	57	13	210	
	16.44%	19.05%	13.91%	19.67%	21.76%	20.63%		
Don't know	93	5	31	12	67	10	218	
	14.16%	23.81%	26.96%	19.67%	25.57%	15.87%		
Total	657	21	115	61	262	63	1179	

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

55.73% 1.78% 9.75% 5.17% 22.22% 5.34%

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q           gender
           Male   Female   Total
-----
Extremely effective   117     104     221
                    21.27%  16.40%
-----
Somewhat effective   131     166     297
                    23.82%  26.18%
-----
Not very effective   115     121     236
                    20.91%  19.09%
-----
Not at all effective  101     109     210
                    18.36%  17.19%
-----
Don't know           86      134     220
                    15.64%  21.14%
-----
Total                550     634     1184
                    46.45%  53.55%
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

=====
LOCATE
Q      Urban      Suburban      Rural      Total
-----
Extremely effective      81          98          38         217
                          22.31%      17.10%     16.03%
-----
Somewhat effective      70         166          59         295
                          19.28%      28.97%     24.89%
-----
Not very effective      76         115          41         232
                          20.94%      20.07%     17.30%
-----
Not at all effective     70         101          39         210
                          19.28%      17.63%     16.46%
-----
Don't know              66          93          60         219
                          18.18%      16.23%     25.32%
-----
Total                   363         573          237        1173
                          30.95%      48.85%     20.20%
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
metro
Q      Houston Area  Dallas-Fort Worth Area  San Antonio Area  Austin Area  Another Part of TX  Total
-----
Extremely effective      52              65              24              27              53              221
                          17.28%          21.52%          21.24%          21.26%          15.63%
-----
Somewhat effective      81              87              24              37              67              296

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	26.91%	28.81%	21.24%	29.13%	19.76%	
Not very effective	55 18.27%	63 20.86%	28 24.78%	23 18.11%	66 19.47%	235
Not at all effective	61 20.27%	47 15.56%	21 18.58%	23 18.11%	59 17.40%	211
Don't know	52 17.28%	40 13.25%	16 14.16%	17 13.39%	94 27.73%	219
Total	301 25.47%	302 25.55%	113 9.56%	127 10.74%	339 28.68%	1182

Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Extremely effective	34 16.35%	57 18.04%	77 17.78%	52 23.11%	220
Somewhat effective	51 24.52%	71 22.47%	112 25.87%	62 27.56%	296
Not very effective	40 19.23%	62 19.62%	93 21.48%	40 17.78%	235
Not at all effective	29 13.94%	58 18.35%	86 19.86%	38 16.89%	211

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know	54	68	65	33	220
	25.96%	21.52%	15.01%	14.67%	

Total	208	316	433	225	1182
	17.60%	26.73%	36.63%	19.04%	
=====					

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q30C. Effectiveness: Increasing the pay of public school teachers

```

Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Extremely effective	55 67.90%	51 49.51%	27 50.00%	175 40.14%	23 23.71%	41 20.30%	54 26.60%	426
Somewhat effective	16 19.75%	42 40.78%	19 35.19%	145 33.26%	45 46.39%	75 37.13%	65 32.02%	407
Not very effective	3 3.70%	4 3.88%	4 7.41%	41 9.40%	16 16.49%	41 20.30%	40 19.70%	149
Not at all effective	1 1.23%	4 3.88%	1 1.85%	26 5.96%	9 9.28%	32 15.84%	32 15.76%	105
Don't know	6 7.41%	2 1.94%	3 5.56%	49 11.24%	4 4.12%	13 6.44%	12 5.91%	89
Total	81 6.89%	103 8.76%	54 4.59%	436 37.07%	97 8.25%	202 17.18%	203 17.26%	1176

```

Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample


```

=====
Q               IDEOL
               Liberals Moderates Conservatives Total
-----
Extremely effective    133      175      118      426
                       56.60%   40.14%   23.46%
-----
Somewhat effective     76      145      186      407
                       32.34%   33.26%   36.98%
-----
Not very effective     10       41       97      148
                       4.26%    9.40%   19.28%
-----
Not at all effective    5        26       73      104
                       2.13%    5.96%   14.51%
-----
Don't know             11       49       29       89
                       4.68%   11.24%   5.77%
-----
Total                  235      436      503     1174
                       20.02%  37.14%  42.84%
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|               Count |
|       Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q               PID7
               StrDem WeakDem LeanDem Ind LeanRep WeakRep StrRep Total
-----
Extremely effective    125      43      63      28      34      53      60      406
                       52.52%  34.68%  57.80%  20.44%  22.52%  32.12%  27.52%
-----
Somewhat effective     71       56      36      51      54      65      77      410

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	29.83%	45.16%	33.03%	37.23%	35.76%	39.39%	35.32%	
Not very effective	12 5.04%	9 7.26%	3 2.75%	24 17.52%	28 18.54%	20 12.12%	43 19.72%	139
Not at all effective	8 3.36%	7 5.65%	1 0.92%	20 14.60%	28 18.54%	10 6.06%	25 11.47%	99
Don't know	22 9.24%	9 7.26%	6 5.50%	14 10.22%	7 4.64%	17 10.30%	13 5.96%	88
Total	238 20.84%	124 10.86%	109 9.54%	137 12.00%	151 13.22%	165 14.45%	218 19.09%	1142

=====
Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	PID3			Total
	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	
Extremely effective	231 49.04%	28 20.44%	146 27.44%	405
Somewhat effective	163 34.61%	51 37.23%	195 36.65%	409
Not very effective	25 5.31%	24 17.52%	90 16.92%	139
Not at all effective	15 3.18%	20 14.60%	64 12.03%	99

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know	37 7.86%	14 10.22%	37 6.95%	88
Total	471 41.32%	137 12.02%	532 46.67%	1140

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q17A
Q      Republican candidate  Democratic candidate  Tea Party candidate  Don't know  Total
-----
Extremely effective          99          223          25          82          429
                             34.38%     49.23%     14.12%     30.15%
-----
Somewhat effective          106          158          48          105          417
                             36.81%     34.88%     27.12%     38.60%
-----
Not very effective          41           29          48          30          148
                             14.24%     6.40%     27.12%     11.03%
-----
Not at all effective         21           12          47          24          104
                             7.29%     2.65%     26.55%     8.82%
-----
Don't know                   21           31           9          31          92
                             7.29%     6.84%     5.08%     11.40%
-----
Total                        288          453          177          272          1190
                             24.20%     38.07%     14.87%     22.86%
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	Count
	Column Percent

Q	educ No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Extremely effective	10 18.87%	136 37.78%	99 33.56%	43 35.83%	98 40.00%	43 35.54%	429
Somewhat effective	30 56.60%	129 35.83%	87 29.49%	44 36.67%	85 34.69%	46 38.02%	421
Not very effective	4 7.55%	40 11.11%	53 17.97%	17 14.17%	24 9.80%	11 9.09%	149
Not at all effective	5 9.43%	20 5.56%	33 11.19%	7 5.83%	24 9.80%	14 11.57%	103
Don't know	4 7.55%	35 9.72%	23 7.80%	9 7.50%	14 5.71%	7 5.79%	92
Total	53 4.44%	360 30.15%	295 24.71%	120 10.05%	245 20.52%	121 10.13%	1194

Cell Contents

	Count
	Column Percent

Q	ATTEND More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
---	---------------------------------	-------------	---------------------	----------------------	-------	-------

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Extremely effective	61 38.85%	78 32.91%	42 29.79%	98 32.24%	149 42.45%	428
Somewhat effective	50 31.85%	88 37.13%	53 37.59%	112 36.84%	115 32.76%	418
Not very effective	21 13.38%	36 15.19%	22 15.60%	37 12.17%	33 9.40%	149
Not at all effective	13 8.28%	25 10.55%	14 9.93%	30 9.87%	23 6.55%	105
Don't know	12 7.64%	10 4.22%	10 7.09%	27 8.88%	31 8.83%	90
Total	157 13.19%	237 19.92%	141 11.85%	304 25.55%	351 29.50%	1190

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	race								Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern	
Extremely effective	245 34.41%	69 48.25%	94 33.10%	1 20.00%	3 33.33%	15 50.00%	1 14.29%	0 0.00%	428
Somewhat effective	254 35.67%	43 30.07%	103 36.27%	2 40.00%	2 22.22%	12 40.00%	4 57.14%	0 0.00%	420
Not very effective	92 12.92%	5 3.50%	48 16.90%	1 20.00%	0 0.00%	3 10.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	149

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Not at all effective	71 9.97%	10 6.99%	15 5.28%	0 0.00%	4 44.44%	0 0.00%	2 28.57%	1 100.00%	103
Don't know	50 7.02%	16 11.19%	24 8.45%	1 20.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	91
Total	712 59.78%	143 12.01%	284 23.85%	5 0.42%	9 0.76%	30 2.52%	7 0.59%	1 0.08%	1191

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	MARITAL Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	Total
Extremely effective	229 34.44%	4 19.05%	53 45.69%	25 40.98%	91 34.47%	26 41.94%	428
Somewhat effective	249 37.44%	10 47.62%	30 25.86%	20 32.79%	95 35.98%	15 24.19%	419
Not very effective	91 13.68%	2 9.52%	8 6.90%	7 11.48%	33 12.50%	7 11.29%	148
Not at all effective	63 9.47%	1 4.76%	9 7.76%	3 4.92%	25 9.47%	3 4.84%	104
Don't know	33 4.96%	4 19.05%	16 13.79%	6 9.84%	20 7.58%	11 17.74%	90
Total	665	21	116	61	264	62	1189

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

55.93% 1.77% 9.76% 5.13% 22.20% 5.21%

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q           gender
           Male   Female   Total
-----
Extremely effective   183     246     429
                    32.97%  38.56%
-----
Somewhat effective   193     227     420
                    34.77%  35.58%
-----
Not very effective   80      69     149
                    14.41%  10.82%
-----
Not at all effective  67      37     104
                    12.07%  5.80%
-----
Don't know           32      59     91
                    5.77%   9.25%
-----
Total                555     638   1193
                    46.52%  53.48%
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

=====
LOCATE
Q      Urban      Suburban      Rural      Total
-----
Extremely effective      143      195      87      425
                          38.65%     33.91%    36.40%
-----
Somewhat effective      133      199      88      420
                          35.95%     34.61%    36.82%
-----
Not very effective      38      81      27      146
                          10.27%     14.09%    11.30%
-----
Not at all effective      24      62      16      102
                          6.49%     10.78%     6.69%
-----
Don't know      32      38      21      91
                          8.65%     6.61%     8.79%
-----
Total      370      575      239      1184
                          31.25%     48.56%    20.19%
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
metro
Q      Houston Area      Dallas-Fort Worth Area      San Antonio Area      Austin Area      Another Part of TX      Total
-----
Extremely effective      109      109      36      57      118      429
                          36.09%     35.97%    31.30%    42.54%    34.71%
-----
Somewhat effective      106      115      33      44      122      420
-----

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	35.10%	37.95%	28.70%	32.84%	35.88%	
Not very effective	43 14.24%	31 10.23%	19 16.52%	16 11.94%	41 12.06%	150
Not at all effective	32 10.60%	20 6.60%	17 14.78%	11 8.21%	25 7.35%	105
Don't know	12 3.97%	28 9.24%	10 8.70%	6 4.48%	34 10.00%	90
Total	302 25.29%	303 25.38%	115 9.63%	134 11.22%	340 28.48%	1194

Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Extremely effective	73 34.76%	111 34.47%	170 39.08%	75 33.19%	429
Somewhat effective	73 34.76%	131 40.68%	145 33.33%	71 31.42%	420
Not very effective	29 13.81%	28 8.70%	59 13.56%	32 14.16%	148
Not at all effective	19 9.05%	19 5.90%	34 7.82%	32 14.16%	104

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know	16	33	27	16	92
	7.62%	10.25%	6.21%	7.08%	

Total	210	322	435	226	1193
	17.60%	26.99%	36.46%	18.94%	
=====					

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q30D. Effectiveness: Increasing funding for the public school system

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Extremely effective	53 65.43%	59 57.84%	33 61.11%	164 37.53%	25 26.60%	37 18.41%	40 19.90%	411
Somewhat effective	11 13.58%	30 29.41%	16 29.63%	146 33.41%	31 32.98%	48 23.88%	65 32.34%	347
Not very effective	14 17.28%	5 4.90%	2 3.70%	45 10.30%	16 17.02%	57 28.36%	30 14.93%	169
Not at all effective	2 2.47%	3 2.94%	1 1.85%	24 5.49%	15 15.96%	45 22.39%	47 23.38%	137
Don't know	1 1.23%	5 4.90%	2 3.70%	58 13.27%	7 7.45%	14 6.97%	19 9.45%	106
Total	81 6.92%	102 8.72%	54 4.62%	437 37.35%	94 8.03%	201 17.18%	201 17.18%	1170

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

=====
Q               IDEOL
               Liberals Moderates Conservatives Total
-----
Extremely effective    144      164      103      411
                       61.54%   37.53%   20.68%
-----
Somewhat effective     57      146      144      347
                       24.36%   33.41%   28.92%
-----
Not very effective     20      45      104      169
                       8.55%   10.30%   20.88%
-----
Not at all effective    5      24      107      136
                       2.14%   5.49%   21.49%
-----
Don't know            8      58      40      106
                       3.42%  13.27%   8.03%
-----
Total                 234     437     498    1169
                       20.02%  37.38%  42.60%
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|               Count |
|       Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q               PID7
               StrDem WeakDem LeanDem Ind LeanRep WeakRep StrRep Total
-----
Extremely effective    138      52      59      42      19      46      45      401
                       57.98%  41.94%  53.64%  30.66%  12.75%  28.05%  20.74%
-----
Somewhat effective     62      46      33      40      34      59      66      340

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	26.05%	37.10%	30.00%	29.20%	22.82%	35.98%	30.41%	
Not very effective	19 7.98%	8 6.45%	1 0.91%	23 16.79%	41 27.52%	23 14.02%	47 21.66%	162
Not at all effective	5 2.10%	7 5.65%	7 6.36%	17 12.41%	42 28.19%	15 9.15%	36 16.59%	129
Don't know	14 5.88%	11 8.87%	10 9.09%	15 10.95%	13 8.72%	21 12.80%	23 10.60%	107
Total	238 20.90%	124 10.89%	110 9.66%	137 12.03%	149 13.08%	164 14.40%	217 19.05%	1139

=====
Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	PID3			Total
	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	
Extremely effective	249 52.75%	42 30.66%	110 20.75%	401
Somewhat effective	141 29.87%	40 29.20%	160 30.19%	341
Not very effective	27 5.72%	23 16.79%	111 20.94%	161
Not at all effective	20 4.24%	17 12.41%	93 17.55%	130

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know	35 7.42%	15 10.95%	56 10.57%	106

Total	472 41.44%	137 12.03%	530 46.53%	1139

=====

Cell Contents	

	Count
	Column Percent

=====					
	Q17A				
Q	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	Total

Extremely effective	76 26.48%	243 53.64%	16 9.20%	80 29.30%	415

Somewhat effective	113 39.37%	145 32.01%	23 13.22%	70 25.64%	351

Not very effective	47 16.38%	26 5.74%	54 31.03%	49 17.95%	176

Not at all effective	26 9.06%	15 3.31%	69 39.66%	26 9.52%	136

Don't know	25 8.71%	24 5.30%	12 6.90%	48 17.58%	109

Total	287 24.18%	453 38.16%	174 14.66%	273 23.00%	1187

Cell Contents	

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

|           Count |
|   Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
educ
Q      No HS   High school graduate   Some college   2-year   4-year   Post-grad   Total
-----
Extremely effective   15           105           99           50           101           44           414
                       29.41%       29.09%       33.56%      42.02%      41.39%       36.97%
-----
Somewhat effective   18           116           96           26           61           34           351
                       35.29%       32.13%       32.54%      21.85%      25.00%       28.57%
-----
Not very effective   7            61           41           19           34           17           179
                       13.73%       16.90%       13.90%      15.97%      13.93%       14.29%
-----
Not at all effective  5            36           34           15           31           15           136
                       9.80%        9.97%        11.53%      12.61%      12.70%       12.61%
-----
Don't know           6            43           25           9            17           9            109
                       11.76%       11.91%       8.47%       7.56%       6.97%        7.56%
-----
Total                51           361           295           119           244           119          1189
                       4.29%       30.36%       24.81%      10.01%      20.52%       10.01%
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|           Count |
|   Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
ATTEND
Q      More than once a week   Once a week   A few times a month   Once or twice a year   Never   Total
-----

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Extremely effective	53 33.54%	71 30.47%	51 35.92%	91 29.93%	148 42.17%	414
Somewhat effective	52 32.91%	67 28.76%	46 32.39%	88 28.95%	97 27.64%	350
Not very effective	19 12.03%	41 17.60%	22 15.49%	60 19.74%	37 10.54%	179
Not at all effective	17 10.76%	39 16.74%	14 9.86%	34 11.18%	32 9.12%	136
Don't know	17 10.76%	15 6.44%	9 6.34%	31 10.20%	37 10.54%	109
Total	158 13.30%	233 19.61%	142 11.95%	304 25.59%	351 29.55%	1188

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	race								Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern	
Extremely effective	226 31.92%	68 47.22%	101 35.56%	2 40.00%	3 33.33%	13 44.83%	2 28.57%	0 0.00%	415
Somewhat effective	219 30.93%	34 23.61%	87 30.63%	1 20.00%	1 11.11%	6 20.69%	1 14.29%	0 0.00%	349
Not very effective	105 14.83%	18 12.50%	46 16.20%	0 0.00%	1 11.11%	6 20.69%	1 14.29%	1 100.00%	178

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Not at all effective	94	5	28	1	4	1	3	0	136
	13.28%	3.47%	9.86%	20.00%	44.44%	3.45%	42.86%	0.00%	
Don't know	64	19	22	1	0	3	0	0	109
	9.04%	13.19%	7.75%	20.00%	0.00%	10.34%	0.00%	0.00%	
Total	708	144	284	5	9	29	7	1	1187
	59.65%	12.13%	23.93%	0.42%	0.76%	2.44%	0.59%	0.08%	

=====
 Cell Contents
 |-----|
 | Count |
Column Percent

Q	MARITAL	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	Total
Extremely effective	224	7	46	22	95	20	414	
	33.89%	31.82%	40.00%	36.67%	35.85%	31.75%		
Somewhat effective	199	4	36	11	84	16	350	
	30.11%	18.18%	31.30%	18.33%	31.70%	25.40%		
Not very effective	103	4	11	13	35	13	179	
	15.58%	18.18%	9.57%	21.67%	13.21%	20.63%		
Not at all effective	89	3	5	7	26	6	136	
	13.46%	13.64%	4.35%	11.67%	9.81%	9.52%		
Don't know	46	4	17	7	25	8	107	
	6.96%	18.18%	14.78%	11.67%	9.43%	12.70%		
Total	661	22	115	60	265	63	1186	

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

55.73% 1.85% 9.70% 5.06% 22.34% 5.31%

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q           gender
           Male   Female   Total
-----
Extremely effective   174     240     414
                    31.41%  37.74%
-----
Somewhat effective   148     204     352
                    26.71%  32.08%
-----
Not very effective   98      81     179
                    17.69%  12.74%
-----
Not at all effective  96      40     136
                    17.33%   6.29%
-----
Don't know           38      71     109
                    6.86%  11.16%
-----
Total                554     636    1190
                    46.55%  53.45%
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

=====
LOCATE
Q      Urban      Suburban      Rural      Total
-----
Extremely effective      138      188      85      411
                          37.40%    32.81%    35.71%
-----
Somewhat effective      122      159      69      350
                          33.06%    27.75%    28.99%
-----
Not very effective      33      103      38      174
                          8.94%    17.98%    15.97%
-----
Not at all effective      30      76      30      136
                          8.13%    13.26%    12.61%
-----
Don't know      46      47      16      109
                  12.47%    8.20%    6.72%
-----
Total      369      573      238      1180
            31.27%    48.56%    20.17%
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
metro
Q      Houston Area      Dallas-Fort Worth Area      San Antonio Area      Austin Area      Another Part of TX      Total
-----
Extremely effective      100      121      31      48      115      415
                          33.44%    39.93%    27.19%    35.82%    33.72%
-----
Somewhat effective      98      84      40      40      90      352

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	32.78%	27.72%	35.09%	29.85%	26.39%	
Not very effective	42 14.05%	48 15.84%	14 12.28%	12 8.96%	63 18.48%	179
Not at all effective	35 11.71%	26 8.58%	16 14.04%	22 16.42%	37 10.85%	136
Don't know	24 8.03%	24 7.92%	13 11.40%	12 8.96%	36 10.56%	109
Total	299 25.10%	303 25.44%	114 9.57%	134 11.25%	341 28.63%	1191

Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Extremely effective	68 32.38%	131 40.81%	148 33.94%	67 29.78%	414
Somewhat effective	89 42.38%	88 27.41%	119 27.29%	55 24.44%	351
Not very effective	19 9.05%	37 11.53%	75 17.20%	49 21.78%	180
Not at all effective	18 8.57%	30 9.35%	56 12.84%	33 14.67%	137

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know	16 7.62%	35 10.90%	38 8.72%	21 9.33%	110

Total	210 17.62%	321 26.93%	436 36.58%	225 18.88%	1192
=====					

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q30E. Effectiveness: Providing more incentives for individuals to choose teaching as a profession

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

=====								
	LIBCON7							
Q	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	Total

Extremely effective	46 57.50%	48 48.00%	24 45.28%	156 35.78%	25 26.32%	43 21.18%	61 30.05%	403
Somewhat effective	23 28.75%	38 38.00%	21 39.62%	160 36.70%	37 38.95%	92 45.32%	69 33.99%	440
Not very effective	4 5.00%	5 5.00%	3 5.66%	47 10.78%	17 17.89%	37 18.23%	38 18.72%	151
Not at all effective	2 2.50%	5 5.00%	1 1.89%	16 3.67%	7 7.37%	18 8.87%	7 3.45%	56
Don't know	5 6.25%	4 4.00%	4 7.55%	57 13.07%	9 9.47%	13 6.40%	28 13.79%	120
Total	80 6.84%	100 8.55%	53 4.53%	436 37.26%	95 8.12%	203 17.35%	203 17.35%	1170
=====								

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

=====
Q               IDEOL
               Liberals Moderates Conservatives Total
-----
Extremely effective    119      156      129      404
                   50.85%   35.78%   25.75%
-----
Somewhat effective     82      160      198      440
                   35.04%   36.70%   39.52%
-----
Not very effective     12       47       92      151
                   5.13%   10.78%   18.36%
-----
Not at all effective    8        16       32       56
                   3.42%   3.67%   6.39%
-----
Don't know            13       57       50      120
                   5.56%   13.07%   9.98%
-----
Total                 234      436      501     1171
                   19.98%   37.23%   42.78%
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|               Count |
|       Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q               PID7
               StrDem WeakDem LeanDem Ind LeanRep WeakRep StrRep Total
-----
Extremely effective    112      46      50      39      37      52      60      396
                   47.46%   37.10%   45.45%  28.68%   24.50%   32.10%   27.65%
-----
Somewhat effective     83      53      36      51      57      59      95      434

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	35.17%	42.74%	32.73%	37.50%	37.75%	36.42%	43.78%	
Not very effective	11 4.66%	9 7.26%	9 8.18%	18 13.24%	31 20.53%	24 14.81%	32 14.75%	134
Not at all effective	10 4.24%	4 3.23%	1 0.91%	9 6.62%	9 5.96%	13 8.02%	10 4.61%	56
Don't know	20 8.47%	12 9.68%	14 12.73%	19 13.97%	17 11.26%	14 8.64%	20 9.22%	116
Total	236 20.77%	124 10.92%	110 9.68%	136 11.97%	151 13.29%	162 14.26%	217 19.10%	1136

=====
Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	PID3			Total
	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	
Extremely effective	208 44.35%	39 28.68%	150 28.20%	397
Somewhat effective	172 36.67%	51 37.50%	211 39.66%	434
Not very effective	29 6.18%	18 13.24%	88 16.54%	135
Not at all effective	14 2.99%	9 6.62%	32 6.02%	55

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know	46 9.81%	19 13.97%	51 9.59%	116
------------	-------------	--------------	-------------	-----

Total	469 41.25%	136 11.96%	532 46.79%	1137
-------	---------------	---------------	---------------	------

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q17A
Q      Republican candidate  Democratic candidate  Tea Party candidate  Don't know  Total
-----
Extremely effective          104          210          22          78          414
                             36.36%      46.46%      12.64%      28.78%
-----
Somewhat effective           99          173          74          95          441
                             34.62%      38.27%      42.53%      35.06%
-----
Not very effective           45          29          38          38          150
                             15.73%      6.42%      21.84%      14.02%
-----
Not at all effective         19          12          10          14          55
                             6.64%      2.65%      5.75%      5.17%
-----
Don't know                   19          28          30          46          123
                             6.64%      6.19%      17.24%      16.97%
-----
Total                        286          452          174          271          1183
                             24.18%      38.21%      14.71%      22.91%
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

|           Count |
|   Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
educ
Q      No HS   High school graduate   Some college   2-year   4-year   Post-grad   Total
-----
Extremely effective      16           128           84          43          94          50         415
                        30.77%       35.65%       28.47%     36.44%     38.37%     42.02%
-----
Somewhat effective      12           135           122          38          95          42         444
                        23.08%       37.60%       41.36%     32.20%     38.78%     35.29%
-----
Not very effective      13           43            39          10          31          14         150
                        25.00%       11.98%       13.22%      8.47%     12.65%     11.76%
-----
Not at all effective     0            7            20          11          12           6          56
                        0.00%        1.95%        6.78%      9.32%      4.90%      5.04%
-----
Don't know              11           46            30          16          13           7         123
                        21.15%       12.81%       10.17%     13.56%     5.31%      5.88%
-----
Total                   52           359           295          118          245          119        1188
                        4.38%       30.22%       24.83%      9.93%     20.62%     10.02%
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|           Count |
|   Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
ATTEND
Q      More than once a week   Once a week   A few times a month   Once or twice a year   Never   Total
-----

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Extremely effective	62 39.24%	76 31.93%	45 31.91%	99 32.46%	133 38.33%	415
Somewhat effective	43 27.22%	97 40.76%	58 41.13%	127 41.64%	119 34.29%	444
Not very effective	23 14.56%	36 15.13%	18 12.77%	35 11.48%	39 11.24%	151
Not at all effective	7 4.43%	14 5.88%	9 6.38%	14 4.59%	13 3.75%	57
Don't know	23 14.56%	15 6.30%	11 7.80%	30 9.84%	43 12.39%	122
Total	158 13.29%	238 20.02%	141 11.86%	305 25.65%	347 29.18%	1189

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	race								Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern	
Extremely effective	241 33.90%	59 41.26%	92 32.62%	2 40.00%	3 33.33%	16 53.33%	2 28.57%	0 0.00%	415
Somewhat effective	264 37.13%	56 39.16%	107 37.94%	1 20.00%	4 44.44%	8 26.67%	4 57.14%	0 0.00%	444
Not very effective	105 14.77%	9 6.29%	29 10.28%	1 20.00%	1 11.11%	5 16.67%	0 0.00%	1 100.00%	151

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Not at all effective	30 4.22%	5 3.50%	18 6.38%	0 0.00%	1 11.11%	1 3.33%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	55
Don't know	71 9.99%	14 9.79%	36 12.77%	1 20.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1 14.29%	0 0.00%	123
Total	711 59.85%	143 12.04%	282 23.74%	5 0.42%	9 0.76%	30 2.53%	7 0.59%	1 0.08%	1188

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	MARITAL Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	Total
Extremely effective	243 36.60%	3 15.00%	35 30.43%	28 45.90%	85 31.95%	20 33.33%	414
Somewhat effective	246 37.05%	8 40.00%	50 43.48%	17 27.87%	101 37.97%	23 38.33%	445
Not very effective	93 14.01%	3 15.00%	12 10.43%	9 14.75%	29 10.90%	5 8.33%	151
Not at all effective	36 5.42%	1 5.00%	1 0.87%	0 0.00%	12 4.51%	6 10.00%	56
Don't know	46 6.93%	5 25.00%	17 14.78%	7 11.48%	39 14.66%	6 10.00%	120
Total	664	20	115	61	266	60	1186

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

55.99% 1.69% 9.70% 5.14% 22.43% 5.06%

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q           gender
           Male   Female   Total
-----
Extremely effective   189     225     414
                    34.12%  35.43%
-----
Somewhat effective   221     224     445
                    39.89%  35.28%
-----
Not very effective   70      81     151
                    12.64%  12.76%
-----
Not at all effective  25      31     56
                    4.51%   4.88%
-----
Don't know           49      74     123
                    8.84%  11.65%
-----
Total                554     635    1189
                    46.59%  53.41%
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

=====
LOCATE
Q      Urban      Suburban      Rural      Total
-----
Extremely effective      132      191      87      410
                          35.87%    33.22%    36.40%
-----
Somewhat effective      155      202      88      445
                          42.12%    35.13%    36.82%
-----
Not very effective      40      83      25      148
                          10.87%    14.43%    10.46%
-----
Not at all effective      11      31      14      56
                          2.99%     5.39%     5.86%
-----
Don't know      30      68      25      123
                          8.15%    11.83%    10.46%
-----
Total      368      575      239      1182
              31.13%    48.65%    20.22%
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|              Count |
|      Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
metro
Q      Houston Area      Dallas-Fort Worth Area      San Antonio Area      Austin Area      Another Part of TX      Total
-----
Extremely effective      102      125      35      51      102      415
                          34.11%    41.25%    30.70%    38.06%    30.00%
-----
Somewhat effective      115      101      38      55      136      445

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	38.46%	33.33%	33.33%	41.04%	40.00%	
Not very effective	40 13.38%	42 13.86%	20 17.54%	9 6.72%	40 11.76%	151
Not at all effective	10 3.34%	12 3.96%	6 5.26%	9 6.72%	19 5.59%	56
Don't know	32 10.70%	23 7.59%	15 13.16%	10 7.46%	43 12.65%	123
Total	299 25.13%	303 25.46%	114 9.58%	134 11.26%	340 28.57%	1190

=====
Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Extremely effective	62 29.81%	108 33.64%	155 35.47%	91 40.44%	416
Somewhat effective	87 41.83%	127 39.56%	164 37.53%	68 30.22%	446
Not very effective	24 11.54%	36 11.21%	55 12.59%	37 16.44%	152
Not at all effective	15 7.21%	11 3.43%	20 4.58%	8 3.56%	54

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know	20 9.62%	39 12.15%	43 9.84%	21 9.33%	123

Total	208 17.46%	321 26.95%	437 36.69%	225 18.89%	1191
=====					

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q30F. Effectiveness: Reducing the number of standardized tests students must take

```

Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count          |
|       Column Percent    |
|-----|

```

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Extremely effective	34 42.50%	37 36.27%	23 43.40%	142 32.49%	36 37.50%	58 29.00%	77 38.50%	407
Somewhat effective	28 35.00%	40 39.22%	19 35.85%	163 37.30%	28 29.17%	68 34.00%	61 30.50%	407
Not very effective	7 8.75%	11 10.78%	5 9.43%	48 10.98%	17 17.71%	37 18.50%	31 15.50%	156
Not at all effective	8 10.00%	6 5.88%	3 5.66%	29 6.64%	4 4.17%	20 10.00%	15 7.50%	85
Don't know	3 3.75%	8 7.84%	3 5.66%	55 12.59%	11 11.46%	17 8.50%	16 8.00%	113
Total	80 6.85%	102 8.73%	53 4.54%	437 37.41%	96 8.22%	200 17.12%	200 17.12%	1168

```

Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count          |
|       Column Percent    |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

=====
Q              IDEOL
              Liberals  Moderates  Conservatives  Total
-----
Extremely effective    95      142      171      408
                      40.43%   32.49%   34.41%
-----
Somewhat effective    86      163      157      406
                      36.60%   37.30%   31.59%
-----
Not very effective    23      48      86      157
                      9.79%   10.98%   17.30%
-----
Not at all effective   17      29      39      85
                      7.23%   6.64%   7.85%
-----
Don't know           14      55      44      113
                      5.96%   12.59%   8.85%
-----
Total                235     437     497     1169
                      20.10%  37.38%  42.51%
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|              Count |
|      Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q              PID7
              StrDem  WeakDem  LeanDem  Ind  LeanRep  WeakRep  StrRep  Total
-----
Extremely effective    83      38      46      51      52      50      78      398
                      35.32%  30.40%  42.20%  37.50%  34.67%  30.30%  35.78%
-----
Somewhat effective    83      41      45      37      48      70      73      397

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	35.32%	32.80%	41.28%	27.21%	32.00%	42.42%	33.49%	
Not very effective	34 14.47%	11 8.80%	6 5.50%	17 12.50%	27 18.00%	17 10.30%	34 15.60%	146
Not at all effective	18 7.66%	17 13.60%	5 4.59%	12 8.82%	7 4.67%	10 6.06%	15 6.88%	84
Don't know	17 7.23%	18 14.40%	7 6.42%	19 13.97%	16 10.67%	18 10.91%	18 8.26%	113
Total	235 20.65%	125 10.98%	109 9.58%	136 11.95%	150 13.18%	165 14.50%	218 19.16%	1138

=====
Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	PID3			Total
	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	
Extremely effective	167 35.68%	51 37.50%	180 33.96%	398
Somewhat effective	169 36.11%	37 27.21%	190 35.85%	396
Not very effective	51 10.90%	17 12.50%	78 14.72%	146
Not at all effective	39 8.33%	12 8.82%	31 5.85%	82

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know	42 8.97%	19 13.97%	51 9.62%	112
------------	-------------	--------------	-------------	-----

Total	468 41.27%	136 11.99%	530 46.74%	1134
-------	---------------	---------------	---------------	------

=====

Cell Contents	

	Count
	Column Percent

=====

	Q17A				Total
Q	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	
Extremely effective	95 32.99%	162 35.84%	70 40.70%	91 33.70%	418
Somewhat effective	104 36.11%	162 35.84%	41 23.84%	100 37.04%	407
Not very effective	48 16.67%	50 11.06%	32 18.60%	27 10.00%	157
Not at all effective	16 5.56%	43 9.51%	14 8.14%	12 4.44%	85
Don't know	25 8.68%	35 7.74%	15 8.72%	40 14.81%	115
Total	288 24.37%	452 38.24%	172 14.55%	270 22.84%	1182

Cell Contents	

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

|           Count |
|   Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
educ
Q      No HS   High school graduate   Some college   2-year   4-year   Post-grad   Total
-----
Extremely effective      6           126           104           45           87           49           417
                          12.24%       34.90%       35.37%       37.19%       35.51%       41.53%
-----
Somewhat effective      10           121           103           40           94           44           412
                          20.41%       33.52%       35.03%       33.06%       38.37%       37.29%
-----
Not very effective       8            46            40            19            30            15           158
                          16.33%       12.74%       13.61%       15.70%       12.24%       12.71%
-----
Not at all effective     17            28            18             6            14             2            85
                          34.69%       7.76%        6.12%        4.96%        5.71%        1.69%
-----
Don't know               8            40            29            11            20             8           116
                          16.33%       11.08%       9.86%        9.09%        8.16%        6.78%
-----
Total                    49            361            294            121            245            118          1188
                          4.12%       30.39%       24.75%       10.19%       20.62%       9.93%
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|           Count |
|   Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
ATTEND
Q      More than once a week   Once a week   A few times a month   Once or twice a year   Never   Total
-----

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Extremely effective	62 40.00%	72 30.38%	58 41.73%	106 34.98%	119 34.10%	417
Somewhat effective	47 30.32%	92 38.82%	36 25.90%	103 33.99%	132 37.82%	410
Not very effective	25 16.13%	34 14.35%	18 12.95%	41 13.53%	38 10.89%	156
Not at all effective	4 2.58%	18 7.59%	20 14.39%	19 6.27%	24 6.88%	85
Don't know	17 10.97%	21 8.86%	7 5.04%	34 11.22%	36 10.32%	115
Total	155 13.10%	237 20.03%	139 11.75%	303 25.61%	349 29.50%	1183

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	race								Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern	
Extremely effective	252 35.59%	53 36.81%	88 31.54%	0 0.00%	7 77.78%	15 50.00%	2 25.00%	0 0.00%	417
Somewhat effective	248 35.03%	47 32.64%	101 36.20%	3 50.00%	1 11.11%	7 23.33%	3 37.50%	0 0.00%	410
Not very effective	93 13.14%	17 11.81%	40 14.34%	1 16.67%	1 11.11%	3 10.00%	1 12.50%	2 100.00%	158

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Not at all effective	40 5.65%	13 9.03%	31 11.11%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1 3.33%	1 12.50%	0 0.00%	86
Don't know	75 10.59%	14 9.72%	19 6.81%	2 33.33%	0 0.00%	4 13.33%	1 12.50%	0 0.00%	115
Total	708 59.70%	144 12.14%	279 23.52%	6 0.51%	9 0.76%	30 2.53%	8 0.67%	2 0.17%	1186

=====
 Cell Contents
 |-----|
 | Count |
Column Percent

Q	MARITAL Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	Total
Extremely effective	230 34.74%	8 40.00%	42 36.52%	29 46.77%	86 32.70%	23 36.51%	418
Somewhat effective	234 35.35%	4 20.00%	35 30.43%	18 29.03%	95 36.12%	24 38.10%	410
Not very effective	98 14.80%	2 10.00%	13 11.30%	4 6.45%	34 12.93%	6 9.52%	157
Not at all effective	46 6.95%	2 10.00%	4 3.48%	4 6.45%	24 9.13%	6 9.52%	86
Don't know	54 8.16%	4 20.00%	21 18.26%	7 11.29%	24 9.13%	4 6.35%	114
Total	662	20	115	62	263	63	1185

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

55.86% 1.69% 9.70% 5.23% 22.19% 5.32%

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q           gender
           Male   Female   Total
-----
Extremely effective   154     263     417
                    27.90%  41.55%
-----
Somewhat effective   198     212     410
                    35.87%  33.49%
-----
Not very effective   96      61     157
                    17.39%  9.64%
-----
Not at all effective  53      33     86
                    9.60%  5.21%
-----
Don't know          51      64     115
                    9.24%  10.11%
-----
Total               552     633    1185
                    46.58%  53.42%
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample


```

=====
LOCATE
Q      Urban      Suburban      Rural      Total
-----
Extremely effective      115      206      95      416
                          31.08%    35.95%   40.43%
-----
Somewhat effective      136      196      73      405
                          36.76%    34.21%   31.06%
-----
Not very effective      59      77      20      156
                          15.95%    13.44%   8.51%
-----
Not at all effective      17      43      25      85
                          4.59%     7.50%   10.64%
-----
Don't know      43      51      22      116
                          11.62%    8.90%   9.36%
-----
Total      370      573      235      1178
                          31.41%    48.64%   19.95%
=====

```

```

Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
metro
Q      Houston Area      Dallas-Fort Worth Area      San Antonio Area      Austin Area      Another Part of TX      Total
-----
Extremely effective      114      113      36      44      109      416
                          38.38%    37.54%   31.86%   33.33%   32.06%
-----
Somewhat effective      97      92      44      58      119      410

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	32.66%	30.56%	38.94%	43.94%	35.00%	
Not very effective	41 13.80%	39 12.96%	12 10.62%	14 10.61%	51 15.00%	157
Not at all effective	19 6.40%	33 10.96%	9 7.96%	2 1.52%	22 6.47%	85
Don't know	26 8.75%	24 7.97%	12 10.62%	14 10.61%	39 11.47%	115
Total	297 25.11%	301 25.44%	113 9.55%	132 11.16%	340 28.74%	1183

Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent
-------	----------------

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Extremely effective	78 37.14%	111 34.69%	164 37.88%	65 28.89%	418
Somewhat effective	72 34.29%	110 34.38%	148 34.18%	81 36.00%	411
Not very effective	28 13.33%	40 12.50%	52 12.01%	38 16.89%	158
Not at all effective	15 7.14%	21 6.56%	34 7.85%	15 6.67%	85

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know	17	38	35	26	116
	8.10%	11.88%	8.08%	11.56%	

Total	210	320	433	225	1188
	17.68%	26.94%	36.45%	18.94%	
=====					

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q30G. Effectiveness: Increasing opportunities for online learning

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

=====								
	LIBCON7							
Q	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	Total
Extremely effective	24 30.00%	19 18.63%	12 22.64%	90 20.74%	16 16.67%	35 17.50%	46 22.66%	242
Somewhat effective	28 35.00%	47 46.08%	25 47.17%	167 38.48%	48 50.00%	80 40.00%	92 45.32%	487
Not very effective	17 21.25%	21 20.59%	9 16.98%	85 19.59%	10 10.42%	47 23.50%	28 13.79%	217
Not at all effective	4 5.00%	6 5.88%	2 3.77%	24 5.53%	9 9.38%	14 7.00%	14 6.90%	73
Don't know	7 8.75%	9 8.82%	5 9.43%	68 15.67%	13 13.54%	24 12.00%	23 11.33%	149
Total	80 6.85%	102 8.73%	53 4.54%	434 37.16%	96 8.22%	200 17.12%	203 17.38%	1168

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

=====
Q               IDEOL
               Liberals Moderates Conservatives Total
-----
Extremely effective    55      90      96      241
                   23.21%  20.74%  19.24%
-----
Somewhat effective    101     167     220     488
                   42.62%  38.48%  44.09%
-----
Not very effective    48      85      85      218
                   20.25%  19.59%  17.03%
-----
Not at all effective  11      24      37      72
                   4.64%   5.53%   7.41%
-----
Don't know           22      68      61      151
                   9.28%  15.67%  12.22%
-----
Total                237     434     499     1170
                   20.26%  37.09%  42.65%
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|               Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q               PID7
               StrDem WeakDem LeanDem Ind LeanRep WeakRep StrRep Total
-----
Extremely effective    58      21      31      25      25      39      35      234
                   24.27%  16.80%  28.44%  18.52%  16.67%  23.78%  16.20%
-----
Somewhat effective    96      39      36      54      79      70     102     476

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	40.17%	31.20%	33.03%	40.00%	52.67%	42.68%	47.22%	
Not very effective	46 19.25%	38 30.40%	21 19.27%	24 17.78%	25 16.67%	22 13.41%	37 17.13%	213
Not at all effective	15 6.28%	9 7.20%	5 4.59%	12 8.89%	2 1.33%	9 5.49%	14 6.48%	66
Don't know	24 10.04%	18 14.40%	16 14.68%	20 14.81%	19 12.67%	24 14.63%	28 12.96%	149
Total	239 21.00%	125 10.98%	109 9.58%	135 11.86%	150 13.18%	164 14.41%	216 18.98%	1138

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	PID3			Total
	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	
Extremely effective	110 23.35%	25 18.52%	98 18.53%	233
Somewhat effective	170 36.09%	54 40.00%	251 47.45%	475
Not very effective	105 22.29%	24 17.78%	83 15.69%	212
Not at all effective	29 6.16%	12 8.89%	26 4.91%	67

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know	57 12.10%	20 14.81%	71 13.42%	148
------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	-----

Total	471 41.50%	135 11.89%	529 46.61%	1135
-------	---------------	---------------	---------------	------

=====

Cell Contents	

	Count
	Column Percent

=====

Q	Q17A				Total
	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	
Extremely effective	51 17.77%	112 24.67%	35 19.89%	50 18.66%	248
Somewhat effective	134 46.69%	163 35.90%	80 45.45%	118 44.03%	495
Not very effective	46 16.03%	107 23.57%	26 14.77%	37 13.81%	216
Not at all effective	17 5.92%	29 6.39%	15 8.52%	11 4.10%	72
Don't know	39 13.59%	43 9.47%	20 11.36%	52 19.40%	154
Total	287 24.22%	454 38.31%	176 14.85%	268 22.62%	1185

Cell Contents	

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

|           Count |
|   Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
educ
Q      No HS   High school graduate   Some college   2-year   4-year   Post-grad   Total
-----
Extremely effective      7           79           64           31           52           14           247
                          13.21%        22.07%        21.69%       25.83%       21.31%       11.86%
-----
Somewhat effective      12          151          125           47          109           52           496
                          22.64%        42.18%        42.37%       39.17%       44.67%       44.07%
-----
Not very effective      25           55           47           17           47           28           219
                          47.17%        15.36%        15.93%       14.17%       19.26%       23.73%
-----
Not at all effective     1            20           15            9            19            8            72
                          1.89%         5.59%         5.08%         7.50%         7.79%         6.78%
-----
Don't know              8            53           44           16           17           16           154
                          15.09%        14.80%        14.92%        13.33%        6.97%        13.56%
-----
Total                   53           358          295           120          244           118          1188
                          4.46%        30.13%        24.83%        10.10%        20.54%        9.93%
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|           Count |
|   Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
ATTEND
Q      More than once a week   Once a week   A few times a month   Once or twice a year   Never   Total
-----

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Extremely effective	29 18.59%	43 18.22%	46 33.09%	52 17.11%	77 22.00%	247
Somewhat effective	64 41.03%	96 40.68%	50 35.97%	142 46.71%	141 40.29%	493
Not very effective	24 15.38%	56 23.73%	21 15.11%	45 14.80%	73 20.86%	219
Not at all effective	13 8.33%	18 7.63%	8 5.76%	15 4.93%	18 5.14%	72
Don't know	26 16.67%	23 9.75%	14 10.07%	50 16.45%	41 11.71%	154
Total	156 13.16%	236 19.92%	139 11.73%	304 25.65%	350 29.54%	1185

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	race								Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern	
Extremely effective	129 18.25%	53 36.81%	54 19.15%	1 25.00%	0 0.00%	8 27.59%	1 12.50%	0 0.00%	246
Somewhat effective	311 43.99%	51 35.42%	106 37.59%	1 25.00%	2 25.00%	17 58.62%	6 75.00%	1 100.00%	495
Not very effective	133 18.81%	11 7.64%	65 23.05%	1 25.00%	4 50.00%	2 6.90%	1 12.50%	0 0.00%	217

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Not at all effective	43 6.08%	8 5.56%	20 7.09%	0 0.00%	1 12.50%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	72
Don't know	91 12.87%	21 14.58%	37 13.12%	1 25.00%	1 12.50%	2 6.90%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	153
Total	707 59.76%	144 12.17%	282 23.84%	4 0.34%	8 0.68%	29 2.45%	8 0.68%	1 0.08%	1183

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	MARITAL Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	Total
Extremely effective	126 19.15%	4 19.05%	28 24.35%	12 20.00%	65 24.44%	11 17.74%	246
Somewhat effective	285 43.31%	4 19.05%	53 46.09%	21 35.00%	107 40.23%	23 37.10%	493
Not very effective	135 20.52%	7 33.33%	12 10.43%	13 21.67%	41 15.41%	11 17.74%	219
Not at all effective	41 6.23%	2 9.52%	4 3.48%	1 1.67%	18 6.77%	5 8.06%	71
Don't know	71 10.79%	4 19.05%	18 15.65%	13 21.67%	35 13.16%	12 19.35%	153
Total	658	21	115	60	266	62	1182

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

55.67% 1.78% 9.73% 5.08% 22.50% 5.25%

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q           gender
           Male   Female   Total
-----
Extremely effective   109     138     247
                    19.82%  21.60%
-----
Somewhat effective   249     247     496
                    45.27%  38.65%
-----
Not very effective   100     120     220
                    18.18%  18.78%
-----
Not at all effective   38      34      72
                    6.91%   5.32%
-----
Don't know           54     100     154
                    9.82%  15.65%
-----
Total                550     639    1189
                    46.26%  53.74%
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

=====
LOCATE
Q      Urban      Suburban      Rural      Total
-----
Extremely effective      89      99      55      243
                          24.05%    17.34%    23.11%
-----
Somewhat effective      140     250     105     495
                          37.84%    43.78%    44.12%
-----
Not very effective      56      114      48      218
                          15.14%    19.96%    20.17%
-----
Not at all effective     31      32       8      71
                          8.38%     5.60%     3.36%
-----
Don't know              54      76      22     152
                          14.59%    13.31%     9.24%
-----
Total                   370     571     238    1179
                          31.38%    48.43%    20.19%
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|              Count |
|      Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
metro
Q      Houston Area  Dallas-Fort Worth Area  San Antonio Area  Austin Area  Another Part of TX  Total
-----
Extremely effective      59      64      21      25      78      247
                          19.73%    21.19%    18.26%    19.08%    22.87%
-----
Somewhat effective      133      127      45      54      137      496
-----

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	44.48%	42.05%	39.13%	41.22%	40.18%	
Not very effective	43 14.38%	59 19.54%	23 20.00%	30 22.90%	65 19.06%	220
Not at all effective	27 9.03%	19 6.29%	9 7.83%	2 1.53%	14 4.11%	71
Don't know	37 12.37%	33 10.93%	17 14.78%	20 15.27%	47 13.78%	154
Total	299 25.17%	302 25.42%	115 9.68%	131 11.03%	341 28.70%	1188

Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Extremely effective	39 18.57%	87 27.10%	83 19.04%	38 17.19%	247
Somewhat effective	103 49.05%	116 36.14%	189 43.35%	88 39.82%	496
Not very effective	30 14.29%	56 17.45%	87 19.95%	47 21.27%	220
Not at all effective	16 7.62%	14 4.36%	29 6.65%	12 5.43%	71

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know	22	48	48	36	154
	10.48%	14.95%	11.01%	16.29%	

Total	210	321	436	221	1188
	17.68%	27.02%	36.70%	18.60%	
=====					

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q30H. Effectiveness: Grading individual schools on an A-F scale

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Extremely effective	13 16.46%	12 12.24%	11 20.75%	67 15.37%	14 14.74%	56 27.59%	67 33.00%	240
Somewhat effective	17 21.52%	28 28.57%	14 26.42%	153 35.09%	33 34.74%	81 39.90%	83 40.89%	409
Not very effective	20 25.32%	22 22.45%	10 18.87%	88 20.18%	18 18.95%	28 13.79%	16 7.88%	202
Not at all effective	20 25.32%	19 19.39%	10 18.87%	48 11.01%	18 18.95%	16 7.88%	10 4.93%	141
Don't know	9 11.39%	17 17.35%	8 15.09%	80 18.35%	12 12.63%	22 10.84%	27 13.30%	175
Total	79 6.77%	98 8.40%	53 4.54%	436 37.36%	95 8.14%	203 17.40%	203 17.40%	1167

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

=====
Q               IDEOL
                Liberals  Moderates  Conservatives  Total
-----
Extremely effective   37      67      137      241
                    15.88%   15.37%   27.45%
-----
Somewhat effective   59     153     197     409
                    25.32%   35.09%   39.48%
-----
Not very effective   53     88     61     202
                    22.75%   20.18%   12.22%
-----
Not at all effective  49     48     44     141
                    21.03%   11.01%    8.82%
-----
Don't know          35     80     60     175
                    15.02%   18.35%   12.02%
-----
Total                233    436    499    1168
                    19.95%   37.33%   42.72%
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|               Count |
|       Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q               PID7
                StrDem  WeakDem  LeanDem  Ind  LeanRep  WeakRep  StrRep  Total
-----
Extremely effective   44     16     19     28     41     36     57     241
                    18.49%  13.33%  17.27% 20.29% 27.52% 21.95% 26.15%
-----
Somewhat effective   74     49     22     46     45     58    103     397

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	31.09%	40.83%	20.00%	33.33%	30.20%	35.37%	47.25%	
Not very effective	47 19.75%	25 20.83%	25 22.73%	22 15.94%	24 16.11%	23 14.02%	22 10.09%	188
Not at all effective	34 14.29%	8 6.67%	22 20.00%	19 13.77%	14 9.40%	27 16.46%	14 6.42%	138
Don't know	39 16.39%	22 18.33%	22 20.00%	23 16.67%	25 16.78%	20 12.20%	22 10.09%	173
Total	238 20.93%	120 10.55%	110 9.67%	138 12.14%	149 13.10%	164 14.42%	218 19.17%	1137

=====
Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	PID3			Total
	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	
Extremely effective	79 16.88%	28 20.29%	134 25.19%	241
Somewhat effective	146 31.20%	46 33.33%	206 38.72%	398
Not very effective	96 20.51%	22 15.94%	70 13.16%	188
Not at all effective	63 13.46%	19 13.77%	55 10.34%	137

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know	84 17.95%	23 16.67%	67 12.59%	174
Total	468 41.12%	138 12.13%	532 46.75%	1138

=====

Cell Contents	

	Count
	Column Percent

Q17A					
Q	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	Total
Extremely effective	72 25.00%	71 15.78%	47 26.70%	59 21.69%	249
Somewhat effective	123 42.71%	143 31.78%	66 37.50%	85 31.25%	417
Not very effective	29 10.07%	94 20.89%	24 13.64%	52 19.12%	199
Not at all effective	28 9.72%	68 15.11%	17 9.66%	29 10.66%	142
Don't know	36 12.50%	74 16.44%	22 12.50%	47 17.28%	179
Total	288 24.28%	450 37.94%	176 14.84%	272 22.93%	1186

Cell Contents	

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

|           Count |
|   Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
educ
Q      No HS   High school graduate   Some college   2-year   4-year   Post-grad   Total
-----
Extremely effective      11           92           61           31           42           12           249
                          21.15%       25.63%       20.61%       26.05%       17.36%       10.00%
-----
Somewhat effective      24           134          114           42           71           32           417
                          46.15%       37.33%       38.51%       35.29%       29.34%       26.67%
-----
Not very effective       5            45           56           18           51           27           202
                          9.62%        12.53%       18.92%       15.13%       21.07%       22.50%
-----
Not at all effective     5            23           25           13           44           31           141
                          9.62%        6.41%        8.45%       10.92%       18.18%       25.83%
-----
Don't know               7            65           40           15           34           18           179
                          13.46%       18.11%       13.51%       12.61%       14.05%       15.00%
-----
Total                    52           359          296          119          242          120          1188
                          4.38%       30.22%       24.92%       10.02%       20.37%       10.10%
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|           Count |
|   Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
ATTEND
Q      More than once a week   Once a week   A few times a month   Once or twice a year   Never   Total
-----

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Extremely effective	32 20.13%	58 24.79%	36 25.53%	49 16.17%	72 20.75%	247
Somewhat effective	48 30.19%	87 37.18%	56 39.72%	111 36.63%	114 32.85%	416
Not very effective	24 15.09%	39 16.67%	20 14.18%	60 19.80%	58 16.71%	201
Not at all effective	23 14.47%	24 10.26%	13 9.22%	40 13.20%	42 12.10%	142
Don't know	32 20.13%	26 11.11%	16 11.35%	43 14.19%	61 17.58%	178
Total	159 13.43%	234 19.76%	141 11.91%	303 25.59%	347 29.31%	1184

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	race								Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern	
Extremely effective	146 20.59%	45 31.91%	47 16.55%	1 20.00%	1 12.50%	6 20.00%	3 37.50%	0 0.00%	249
Somewhat effective	223 31.45%	49 34.75%	124 43.66%	2 40.00%	5 62.50%	9 30.00%	4 50.00%	1 100.00%	417
Not very effective	123 17.35%	20 14.18%	54 19.01%	1 20.00%	0 0.00%	3 10.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	201

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Not at all effective	100	10	24	0	2	5	0	0	141
	14.10%	7.09%	8.45%	0.00%	25.00%	16.67%	0.00%	0.00%	
Don't know	117	17	35	1	0	7	1	0	178
	16.50%	12.06%	12.32%	20.00%	0.00%	23.33%	12.50%	0.00%	
Total	709	141	284	5	8	30	8	1	1186
	59.78%	11.89%	23.95%	0.42%	0.67%	2.53%	0.67%	0.08%	

=====
 Cell Contents
 |-----|
 | Count |
Column Percent

Q	MARITAL	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	Total
Extremely effective	135	5	24	23	55	6	248	
	20.33%	23.81%	20.87%	37.70%	20.91%	9.68%		
Somewhat effective	247	4	35	13	89	29	417	
	37.20%	19.05%	30.43%	21.31%	33.84%	46.77%		
Not very effective	119	5	20	10	34	14	202	
	17.92%	23.81%	17.39%	16.39%	12.93%	22.58%		
Not at all effective	89	3	10	5	27	8	142	
	13.40%	14.29%	8.70%	8.20%	10.27%	12.90%		
Don't know	74	4	26	10	58	5	177	
	11.14%	19.05%	22.61%	16.39%	22.05%	8.06%		
Total	664	21	115	61	263	62	1186	

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

55.99% 1.77% 9.70% 5.14% 22.18% 5.23%

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q           gender
           Male   Female   Total
-----
Extremely effective   118     131     249
                    21.38%  20.60%
-----
Somewhat effective   208     209     417
                    37.68%  32.86%
-----
Not very effective   98      105     203
                    17.75%  16.51%
-----
Not at all effective  65      76      141
                    11.78%  11.95%
-----
Don't know           63      115     178
                    11.41%  18.08%
-----
Total                552     636     1188
                    46.46%  53.54%
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

=====
LOCATE
Q      Urban      Suburban      Rural      Total
-----
Extremely effective      68      117      61      246
                          18.38%    20.53%    25.52%
-----
Somewhat effective      139      205      72      416
                          37.57%    35.96%    30.13%
-----
Not very effective      59      99      41      199
                          15.95%    17.37%    17.15%
-----
Not at all effective      44      70      26      140
                          11.89%    12.28%    10.88%
-----
Don't know      60      79      39      178
                          16.22%    13.86%    16.32%
-----
Total      370      570      239      1179
                          31.38%    48.35%    20.27%
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|              Count |
|      Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
metro
Q      Houston Area      Dallas-Fort Worth Area      San Antonio Area      Austin Area      Another Part of TX      Total
-----
Extremely effective      63      63      26      24      72      248
                          21.21%    20.86%    22.61%    17.78%    21.18%
-----
Somewhat effective      113      104      43      51      108      419

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	38.05%	34.44%	37.39%	37.78%	31.76%	
Not very effective	50 16.84%	46 15.23%	18 15.65%	26 19.26%	62 18.24%	202
Not at all effective	32 10.77%	45 14.90%	12 10.43%	17 12.59%	36 10.59%	142
Don't know	39 13.13%	44 14.57%	16 13.91%	17 12.59%	62 18.24%	178
Total	297 24.98%	302 25.40%	115 9.67%	135 11.35%	340 28.60%	1189

Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Extremely effective	36 17.22%	64 20.06%	90 20.74%	59 26.22%	249
Somewhat effective	84 40.19%	98 30.72%	155 35.71%	80 35.56%	417
Not very effective	34 16.27%	59 18.50%	80 18.43%	29 12.89%	202
Not at all effective	15 7.18%	42 13.17%	59 13.59%	25 11.11%	141

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know	40	56	50	32	178
	19.14%	17.55%	11.52%	14.22%	

Total	209	319	434	225	1187
	17.61%	26.87%	36.56%	18.96%	
=====					

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q30I. Effectiveness: Expanding state-funded, pre-kindergarten programs

Cell Contents
Count
Column Percent

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Extremely effective	48 60.76%	49 48.04%	22 41.51%	125 28.67%	17 17.89%	35 17.33%	31 15.20%	327
Somewhat effective	14 17.72%	34 33.33%	19 35.85%	175 40.14%	36 37.89%	55 27.23%	48 23.53%	381
Not very effective	8 10.13%	8 7.84%	8 15.09%	39 8.94%	14 14.74%	55 27.23%	39 19.12%	171
Not at all effective	7 8.86%	2 1.96%	1 1.89%	25 5.73%	14 14.74%	40 19.80%	55 26.96%	144
Don't know	2 2.53%	9 8.82%	3 5.66%	72 16.51%	14 14.74%	17 8.42%	31 15.20%	148
Total	79 6.75%	102 8.71%	53 4.53%	436 37.23%	95 8.11%	202 17.25%	204 17.42%	1171

Cell Contents
Count
Column Percent

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

=====
Q               IDEOL
               Liberals Moderates Conservatives Total
-----
Extremely effective    120      125      83    328
                    50.85%   28.67%   16.57%
-----
Somewhat effective    68      175     139    382
                    28.81%   40.14%   27.74%
-----
Not very effective    24      39     109    172
                    10.17%   8.94%   21.76%
-----
Not at all effective  10      25     109    144
                    4.24%   5.73%   21.76%
-----
Don't know           14      72     61    147
                    5.93%  16.51%  12.18%
-----
Total                236     436     501   1173
                    20.12%  37.17%  42.71%
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|               Count |
|       Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q               PID7
               StrDem WeakDem LeanDem Ind LeanRep WeakRep StrRep Total
-----
Extremely effective    119      51      42      31      9      37      31    320
                    50.00%   41.46%  38.53%  22.46%   5.96%  22.56%  14.29%
-----
Somewhat effective    77      45      38      43     40     59     67    369

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	32.35%	36.59%	34.86%	31.16%	26.49%	35.98%	30.88%	
Not very effective	10 4.20%	10 8.13%	8 7.34%	20 14.49%	47 31.13%	32 19.51%	47 21.66%	174
Not at all effective	14 5.88%	3 2.44%	5 4.59%	21 15.22%	39 25.83%	9 5.49%	43 19.82%	134
Don't know	18 7.56%	14 11.38%	16 14.68%	23 16.67%	16 10.60%	27 16.46%	29 13.36%	143
Total	238 20.88%	123 10.79%	109 9.56%	138 12.11%	151 13.25%	164 14.39%	217 19.04%	1140

=====
Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	PID3			Total
	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	
Extremely effective	211 44.70%	31 22.46%	77 14.53%	319
Somewhat effective	161 34.11%	43 31.16%	166 31.32%	370
Not very effective	29 6.14%	20 14.49%	125 23.58%	174
Not at all effective	22 4.66%	21 15.22%	90 16.98%	133

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know	49	23	72	144
	10.38%	16.67%	13.58%	

Total	472	138	530	1140
	41.40%	12.11%	46.49%	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q17A
Q      Republican candidate  Democratic candidate  Tea Party candidate  Don't know  Total
-----
Extremely effective          50                220                15                48          333
                             17.48%           48.57%            8.52%            17.65%
-----
Somewhat effective          112               155                25                88          380
                             39.16%           34.22%           14.20%           32.35%
-----
Not very effective          58                32                 51                40          181
                             20.28%           7.06%            28.98%           14.71%
-----
Not at all effective         29                14                 60                40          143
                             10.14%           3.09%            34.09%           14.71%
-----
Don't know                   37                32                 25                56          150
                             12.94%           7.06%            14.20%           20.59%
-----
Total                       286               453                176               272         1187
                             24.09%           38.16%           14.83%           22.91%
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

|           Count |
|   Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
educ
Q      No HS   High school graduate   Some college   2-year   4-year   Post-grad   Total
-----
Extremely effective   17           85           83           31           72           45           333
                    32.69%       23.55%       28.14%       25.83%       29.51%       37.82%
-----
Somewhat effective   16           125          89           38           80           36           384
                    30.77%       34.63%       30.17%       31.67%       32.79%       30.25%
-----
Not very effective   6            53           51           15           38           18           181
                    11.54%       14.68%       17.29%       12.50%       15.57%       15.13%
-----
Not at all effective  4            44           36           15           34           11           144
                    7.69%        12.19%       12.20%       12.50%       13.93%       9.24%
-----
Don't know           9            54           36           21           20           9            149
                    17.31%       14.96%       12.20%       17.50%       8.20%        7.56%
-----
Total                52           361          295          120          244          119          1191
                    4.37%        30.31%       24.77%       10.08%       20.49%       9.99%
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|           Count |
|   Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
ATTEND
Q      More than once a week   Once a week   A few times a month   Once or twice a year   Never   Total
-----

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Extremely effective	35 22.01%	58 24.47%	46 33.09%	78 25.66%	115 32.95%	332
Somewhat effective	42 26.42%	82 34.60%	51 36.69%	99 32.57%	109 31.23%	383
Not very effective	33 20.75%	41 17.30%	18 12.95%	47 15.46%	41 11.75%	180
Not at all effective	24 15.09%	37 15.61%	13 9.35%	40 13.16%	30 8.60%	144
Don't know	25 15.72%	19 8.02%	11 7.91%	40 13.16%	54 15.47%	149
Total	159 13.38%	237 19.95%	139 11.70%	304 25.59%	349 29.38%	1188

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	race								Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern	
Extremely effective	162 22.78%	58 40.00%	96 33.80%	1 20.00%	1 11.11%	13 43.33%	2 22.22%	0 0.00%	333
Somewhat effective	243 34.18%	47 32.41%	85 29.93%	3 60.00%	1 11.11%	3 10.00%	1 11.11%	2 100.00%	385
Not very effective	114 16.03%	14 9.66%	41 14.44%	0 0.00%	3 33.33%	6 20.00%	4 44.44%	0 0.00%	182

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Not at all effective	103 14.49%	10 6.90%	24 8.45%	0 0.00%	4 44.44%	3 10.00%	1 11.11%	0 0.00%	145
Don't know	89 12.52%	16 11.03%	38 13.38%	1 20.00%	0 0.00%	5 16.67%	1 11.11%	0 0.00%	150
Total	711 59.50%	145 12.13%	284 23.77%	5 0.42%	9 0.75%	30 2.51%	9 0.75%	2 0.17%	1195

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	MARITAL						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	
Extremely effective	184 27.75%	6 28.57%	37 31.90%	19 30.65%	69 26.04%	17 27.87%	332
Somewhat effective	225 33.94%	4 19.05%	39 33.62%	15 24.19%	76 28.68%	24 39.34%	383
Not very effective	110 16.59%	4 19.05%	10 8.62%	8 12.90%	42 15.85%	6 9.84%	180
Not at all effective	87 13.12%	3 14.29%	8 6.90%	10 16.13%	25 9.43%	11 18.03%	144
Don't know	57 8.60%	4 19.05%	22 18.97%	10 16.13%	53 20.00%	3 4.92%	149
Total	663	21	116	62	265	61	1188

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

55.81% 1.77% 9.76% 5.22% 22.31% 5.13%

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q           gender
           Male   Female   Total
-----
Extremely effective   128     204     332
                    23.10%  32.03%
-----
Somewhat effective   190     194     384
                    34.30%  30.46%
-----
Not very effective   93      88     181
                    16.79%  13.81%
-----
Not at all effective  85      59     144
                    15.34%  9.26%
-----
Don't know           58      92     150
                    10.47%  14.44%
-----
Total                554     637    1191
                    46.52%  53.48%
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

=====
LOCATE
Q      Urban      Suburban      Rural      Total
-----
Extremely effective      115      149      66      330
                          31.00%    25.91%    27.73%
-----
Somewhat effective      148      173      62      383
                          39.89%    30.09%    26.05%
-----
Not very effective      44      92      43      179
                          11.86%    16.00%    18.07%
-----
Not at all effective      20      87      35      142
                          5.39%    15.13%    14.71%
-----
Don't know      44      74      32      150
                          11.86%    12.87%    13.45%
-----
Total      371      575      238      1184
                          31.33%    48.56%    20.10%
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|          Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
metro
Q      Houston Area      Dallas-Fort Worth Area      San Antonio Area      Austin Area      Another Part of TX      Total
-----
Extremely effective      72      93      28      49      91      333
                          24.00%    30.69%    24.35%    36.57%    26.84%
-----
Somewhat effective      105      90      38      48      103      384

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	35.00%	29.70%	33.04%	35.82%	30.38%	
Not very effective	54 18.00%	50 16.50%	17 14.78%	10 7.46%	49 14.45%	180
Not at all effective	38 12.67%	35 11.55%	17 14.78%	19 14.18%	35 10.32%	144
Don't know	31 10.33%	35 11.55%	15 13.04%	8 5.97%	61 17.99%	150
Total	300 25.19%	303 25.44%	115 9.66%	134 11.25%	339 28.46%	1191

Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent
-------	----------------

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Extremely effective	50 23.92%	105 32.71%	122 28.05%	55 24.34%	332
Somewhat effective	87 41.63%	103 32.09%	134 30.80%	60 26.55%	384
Not very effective	28 13.40%	25 7.79%	81 18.62%	48 21.24%	182
Not at all effective	10 4.78%	42 13.08%	56 12.87%	35 15.49%	143

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know	34	46	42	28	150
	16.27%	14.33%	9.66%	12.39%	

Total	209	321	435	226	1191
	17.55%	26.95%	36.52%	18.98%	
=====					

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q31. Most Effective K-12 Education Policy Proposal

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Creating a school vochr prgrm	1 1.27%	1 0.93%	1 1.96%	29 6.65%	15 15.62%	46 22.66%	58 28.71%	151
Expndng th nmbr of chrtr schl	1 1.27%	1 0.93%	0 0.00%	26 5.96%	9 9.38%	16 7.88%	31 15.35%	84
Incrsng th py of pblc schl tc	7 8.86%	16 14.95%	7 13.73%	87 19.95%	6 6.25%	18 8.87%	14 6.93%	155
Incrsng fndng fr th pblc sc s	31 39.24%	36 33.64%	21 41.18%	96 22.02%	15 15.62%	32 15.76%	9 4.46%	240
Prvdng mr inc f i t c t a a p	6 7.59%	11 10.28%	5 9.80%	37 8.49%	8 8.33%	9 4.43%	21 10.40%	97
Rdcng th nmbr of stnd t s m t	21 26.58%	25 23.36%	7 13.73%	89 20.41%	18 18.75%	44 21.67%	35 17.33%	239
Incrsng opprtnts fr onln lrnn	1 1.27%	3 2.80%	4 7.84%	9 2.06%	6 6.25%	6 2.96%	13 6.44%	42
Grdng indivdl schls on a A-F s	3 3.80%	3 2.80%	2 3.92%	25 5.73%	10 10.42%	20 9.85%	20 9.90%	83

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Expndng stt-fnidd, pr-kndrgr p	8	11	4	38	9	12	1	83
	10.13%	10.28%	7.84%	8.72%	9.38%	5.91%	0.50%	
Total	79	107	51	436	96	203	202	1174
	6.73%	9.11%	4.34%	37.14%	8.18%	17.29%	17.21%	

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	IDEOLOGICAL			Total
	Liberal	Moderate	Conservative	
Creating a school voucher program	3 1.26%	29 6.65%	119 23.80%	151
Expanding the number of charter schools	2 0.84%	26 5.96%	55 11.00%	83
Increasing the pay of public school teachers	31 12.97%	87 19.95%	38 7.60%	156
Increasing funding for the public school system	88 36.82%	96 22.02%	56 11.20%	240
Providing more incentives for individuals to choose teaching as a profession	23 9.62%	37 8.49%	38 7.60%	98
Reducing the number of standardized tests students must take	53 22.18%	89 20.41%	97 19.40%	239
Increasing opportunities for online learning	8 3.35%	9 2.06%	25 5.00%	42

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Grading individual schools on an A-F scale	8 3.35%	25 5.73%	50 10.00%	83
Expanding state-funded, pre-kindergarten programs	23 9.62%	38 8.72%	22 4.40%	83
Total	239 20.34%	436 37.11%	500 42.55%	1175

=====
 Cell Contents
 |-----|
 | Count |
Column Percent

Q	PID7							Total
	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	
Creating a school voucher program	13 5.46%	2 1.61%	7 6.14%	13 9.35%	49 32.45%	18 10.98%	44 20.28%	146
Expanding the number of charter schools	5 2.10%	4 3.23%	2 1.75%	13 9.35%	15 9.93%	17 10.37%	23 10.60%	79
Increasing the pay of public school teachers	43 18.07%	22 17.74%	10 8.77%	27 19.42%	7 4.64%	16 9.76%	27 12.44%	152
Increasing funding for the public school system	81 34.03%	38 30.65%	33 28.95%	10 7.19%	18 11.92%	34 20.73%	22 10.14%	236
Providing more incentives for individuals to change as a profession	25 10.50%	16 12.90%	8 7.02%	10 7.19%	4 2.65%	12 7.32%	17 7.83%	92
Reducing the number of standardized tests students must take	33	17	36	37	31	38	44	236

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	13.87%	13.71%	31.58%	26.62%	20.53%	23.17%	20.28%	
Increasing opportunities for online learning	14 5.88%	3 2.42%	4 3.51%	8 5.76%	8 5.30%	6 3.66%	6 2.76%	49
Grading individual schools on an A-F scale	8 3.36%	10 8.06%	4 3.51%	8 5.76%	11 7.28%	8 4.88%	29 13.36%	78
Expanding state-funded, pre-kindergarten programs	16 6.72%	12 9.68%	10 8.77%	13 9.35%	8 5.30%	15 9.15%	5 2.30%	79
Total	238 20.75%	124 10.81%	114 9.94%	139 12.12%	151 13.16%	164 14.30%	217 18.92%	1147

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	PID3			Total
	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	
Creating a school voucher program	22 4.63%	13 9.35%	110 20.75%	145
Expanding the number of charter schools	10 2.11%	13 9.35%	55 10.38%	78
Increasing the pay of public school teachers	76 16.00%	27 19.42%	50 9.43%	153
Increasing funding for the public school system	152 32.00%	10 7.19%	75 14.15%	237

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Providing more incentives for individuals to choose teaching as a profession	48 10.11%	10 7.19%	33 6.23%	91
Reducing the number of standardized tests students must take	86 18.11%	37 26.62%	112 21.13%	235
Increasing opportunities for online learning	21 4.42%	8 5.76%	20 3.77%	49
Grading individual schools on an A-F scale	22 4.63%	8 5.76%	48 9.06%	78
Expanding state-funded, pre-kindergarten programs	38 8.00%	13 9.35%	27 5.09%	78
Total	475 41.52%	139 12.15%	530 46.33%	1144

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	Q17A				Total
	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	
Creating a school voucher program	32 11.15%	11 2.42%	70 39.77%	37 13.55%	150
Expanding the number of charter schools	31 10.80%	10 2.20%	22 12.50%	19 6.96%	82
Increasing the pay of public school teachers	37 12.89%	80 17.58%	5 2.84%	34 12.45%	156

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Increasing funding for the public school system	59 20.56%	139 30.55%	3 1.70%	42 15.38%	243
Prvdng mr incntvs fr indivls t chs tchnng as a prf	18 6.27%	50 10.99%	6 3.41%	25 9.16%	99
Reducing the numbr of stndrdzd tsts stdnts mst tk	59 20.56%	75 16.48%	41 23.30%	70 25.64%	245
Increasing opportunities for online learning	10 3.48%	24 5.27%	4 2.27%	13 4.76%	51
Grading individual schools on an A-F scale	28 9.76%	14 3.08%	21 11.93%	21 7.69%	84
Expanding state-funded, pre-kindergarten programs	13 4.53%	52 11.43%	4 2.27%	12 4.40%	81
Total	287 24.10%	455 38.20%	176 14.78%	273 22.92%	1191

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Creating a school voucher program	2 3.85%	42 11.54%	36 12.24%	10 8.20%	40 16.46%	21 17.65%	151
Expanding the number of charter schools	6	29	19	12	9	7	82

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	11.54%	7.97%	6.46%	9.84%	3.70%	5.88%	
Increasing the pay of public school teachers	4 7.69%	48 13.19%	52 17.69%	11 9.02%	32 13.17%	10 8.40%	157
Increasing funding for the public school system	3 5.77%	72 19.78%	61 20.75%	25 20.49%	54 22.22%	27 22.69%	242
Providng mr incntvs fr indivdls t chs tchnng as a prfssn	11 21.15%	34 9.34%	19 6.46%	6 4.92%	21 8.64%	8 6.72%	99
Reducing the number of standardizd tsts stdnts mst tk	11 21.15%	71 19.51%	57 19.39%	39 31.97%	41 16.87%	26 21.85%	245
Increasing opportunities for online learning	0 0.00%	23 6.32%	9 3.06%	4 3.28%	9 3.70%	5 4.20%	50
Grading individual schools on an A-F scale	8 15.38%	24 6.59%	24 8.16%	9 7.38%	15 6.17%	3 2.52%	83
Expanding state-funded, pre-kindergarten programs	7 13.46%	21 5.77%	17 5.78%	6 4.92%	22 9.05%	12 10.08%	85
Total	52 4.36%	364 30.49%	294 24.62%	122 10.22%	243 20.35%	119 9.97%	1194

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

ATTEND

Q More than once a week Once a week A few times a month Once or twice a year Never Total

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Creating a school voucher program	28 17.72%	39 16.60%	16 11.27%	32 10.53%	35 9.89%	150
Expanding the number of charter schols	12 7.59%	27 11.49%	11 7.75%	18 5.92%	15 4.24%	83
Increasing the pay of public schl tchrs	26 16.46%	29 12.34%	23 16.20%	32 10.53%	46 12.99%	156
Increasing fndng fr th pblc schl system	22 13.92%	46 19.57%	30 21.13%	51 16.78%	93 26.27%	242
Prvdng mr incntvs fr indvd t c t a a p	9 5.70%	18 7.66%	6 4.23%	30 9.87%	36 10.17%	99
Rdcng th nmbr of stndrdzd tsts std m t	42 26.58%	24 10.21%	24 16.90%	90 29.61%	65 18.36%	245
Increasing opportunities fr onln lrng	2 1.27%	14 5.96%	8 5.63%	9 2.96%	16 4.52%	49
Grading individual schls on an A-F scl	10 6.33%	22 9.36%	11 7.75%	19 6.25%	21 5.93%	83
Expanding stt-fndd, pr-kndrgrtn prgrms	7 4.43%	16 6.81%	13 9.15%	23 7.57%	27 7.63%	86
Total	158 13.24%	235 19.70%	142 11.90%	304 25.48%	354 29.67%	1193

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	race White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern	Total
Creating a schl vchr prgrm	112 15.75%	14 9.66%	12 4.18%	1 16.67%	4 50.00%	3 10.00%	3 37.50%	0 0.00%	149
Expndng th nmbr of chrtr s	60 8.44%	7 4.83%	15 5.23%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	1 3.33%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	83
Incrsng th py of pblc sc t	93 13.08%	19 13.10%	41 14.29%	1 16.67%	0 0.00%	3 10.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	157
Incrsng fndng fr th pb s s	126 17.72%	34 23.45%	66 23.00%	2 33.33%	1 12.50%	12 40.00%	1 12.50%	0 0.00%	242
Prvdng m i f i t c t a a p	50 7.03%	18 12.41%	28 9.76%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	2 6.67%	1 12.50%	0 0.00%	99
Rdcng th nmbr of s t s m t	141 19.83%	27 18.62%	69 24.04%	0 0.00%	2 25.00%	4 13.33%	2 25.00%	0 0.00%	245
Incrsng opprtnts fr onln l	28 3.94%	16 11.03%	7 2.44%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	51
Grdng indivl sch o a A-F s	55 7.74%	2 1.38%	23 8.01%	1 16.67%	0 0.00%	2 6.67%	1 12.50%	0 0.00%	84
Expndng stt-fndd, pr-knd p	46 6.47%	8 5.52%	26 9.06%	1 16.67%	1 12.50%	3 10.00%	0 0.00%	1 100.00%	86
Total	711 59.45%	145 12.12%	287 24.00%	6 0.50%	8 0.67%	30 2.51%	8 0.67%	1 0.08%	1196

Cell Contents

|-----|

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Count
Column Percent

Q	MARITAL Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	Total
Creating a school voucher program	98 14.74%	1 4.76%	7 6.09%	8 13.33%	23 8.55%	13 20.63%	150
Expanding the number of charter schools	52 7.82%	2 9.52%	9 7.83%	2 3.33%	18 6.69%	1 1.59%	84
Increasing the pay of public school teachers	77 11.58%	2 9.52%	22 19.13%	11 18.33%	40 14.87%	4 6.35%	156
Increasing funding for the public school system	135 20.30%	2 9.52%	25 21.74%	9 15.00%	59 21.93%	12 19.05%	242
Providing mr incntvs fr indivdls t chs tchnng as a prfssn	44 6.62%	1 4.76%	12 10.43%	14 23.33%	24 8.92%	3 4.76%	98
Reducing the number of standardized tests stdnts mst tk	132 19.85%	6 28.57%	25 21.74%	8 13.33%	57 21.19%	18 28.57%	246
Increasing opportunities for online learning	20 3.01%	0 0.00%	5 4.35%	0 0.00%	21 7.81%	5 7.94%	51
Grading individual schools on an A-F scale	55 8.27%	5 23.81%	4 3.48%	5 8.33%	11 4.09%	4 6.35%	84
Expanding state-funded, pre-kindergarten programs	52 7.82%	2 9.52%	6 5.22%	3 5.00%	16 5.95%	3 4.76%	82
Total	665	21	115	60	269	63	1193

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

55.74% 1.76% 9.64% 5.03% 22.55% 5.28%

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q
gender
Male   Female   Total
-----
Creating a school voucher program
      94      56      150
     16.85%   8.81%
-----
Expanding the number of charter schools
      41      42      83
     7.35%   6.60%
-----
Increasing the pay of public school teachers
      69      87      156
    12.37%  13.68%
-----
Increasing funding for the public school system
     108     134     242
    19.35%  21.07%
-----
Providing more incentives for individuals to choose teaching as a profession
      52      48     100
     9.32%   7.55%
-----
Reducing the number of standardized tests students must take
      91     154     245
    16.31%  24.21%
-----
Increasing opportunities for online learning
      21      29      50
     3.76%   4.56%
-----
Grading individual schools on an A-F scale
      42      41      83
     7.53%   6.45%
-----

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Expanding state-funded, pre-kindergarten programs	40 7.17%	45 7.08%	85

Total	558 46.73%	636 53.27%	1194
=====			

Cell Contents
Count
Column Percent

Q	LOCATE			
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Creating a school voucher program	33 8.97%	91 15.72%	26 10.79%	150
Expanding the number of charter schools	30 8.15%	38 6.56%	13 5.39%	81
Increasing the pay of public school teachers	56 15.22%	65 11.23%	33 13.69%	154
Increasing funding for the public school system	95 25.82%	113 19.52%	33 13.69%	241
Providing more incentives for individuals to choose teaching as a profession	27 7.34%	49 8.46%	22 9.13%	98
Reducing the number of standardized tests students must take	58 15.76%	107 18.48%	81 33.61%	246
Increasing opportunities for online learning	18 4.89%	24 4.15%	9 3.73%	51

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Grading individual schools on an A-F scale	24 6.52%	48 8.29%	10 4.15%	82
Expanding state-funded, pre-kindergarten programs	27 7.34%	44 7.60%	14 5.81%	85
Total	368 30.98%	579 48.74%	241 20.29%	1188

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	metro Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	Total
Creating a school voucher program	45 14.95%	35 11.33%	17 14.66%	23 17.29%	30 8.88%	150
Expanding the number of chartr schls	24 7.97%	21 6.80%	9 7.76%	8 6.02%	21 6.21%	83
Increasing the py of pblc schl tchrs	49 16.28%	39 12.62%	14 12.07%	13 9.77%	41 12.13%	156
Incesng fndng fr th pblc schl systm	51 16.94%	58 18.77%	30 25.86%	34 25.56%	70 20.71%	243
Prvdng mr incntvs fr ind t c t a a p	23 7.64%	24 7.77%	6 5.17%	13 9.77%	33 9.76%	99
Rdcng th nmbr of stndrdzd tsts s m t	48	70	21	21	85	245

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	15.95%	22.65%	18.10%	15.79%	25.15%	
Increasing opportunits fr onln lrng	11 3.65%	15 4.85%	3 2.59%	7 5.26%	15 4.44%	51
Grading individl schls on an A-F scl	27 8.97%	20 6.47%	5 4.31%	8 6.02%	24 7.10%	84
Expndng stt-fnnd, pr-kndrgrtn prgrms	23 7.64%	27 8.74%	11 9.48%	6 4.51%	19 5.62%	86
Total	301 25.15%	309 25.81%	116 9.69%	133 11.11%	338 28.24%	1197

Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Creating a school voucher program	15 7.01%	29 8.98%	58 13.36%	49 22.07%	151
Expanding the number of charter schools	19 8.88%	23 7.12%	23 5.30%	18 8.11%	83
Increasing the pay of public school teachers	31 14.49%	28 8.67%	69 15.90%	27 12.16%	155
Increasing funding for the public school system	46 21.50%	80 24.77%	82 18.89%	34 15.32%	242

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Providing more incentives for individuals to choose teaching as a profession	9 4.21%	32 9.91%	31 7.14%	27 12.16%	99

Reducing the number of standardized tests students must take	51 23.83%	79 24.46%	85 19.59%	30 13.51%	245

Increasing opportunities for online learning	14 6.54%	8 2.48%	22 5.07%	6 2.70%	50

Grading individual schools on an A-F scale	14 6.54%	18 5.57%	32 7.37%	18 8.11%	82

Expanding state-funded, pre-kindergarten programs	15 7.01%	26 8.05%	32 7.37%	13 5.86%	86

Total	214 17.94%	323 27.07%	434 36.38%	222 18.61%	1193
=====					

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q32. Do you support or oppose proposals that would redirect state tax revenue to help parents pay for some of the cost of sending their children to private or parochial schools?

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

```
=====
```

LIBCON7								
Q	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	Total
Support	22 27.50%	19 17.92%	8 15.09%	123 28.21%	38 40.00%	83 40.69%	115 56.65%	408
Oppose	52 65.00%	75 70.75%	36 67.92%	187 42.89%	38 40.00%	82 40.20%	52 25.62%	522
Don't know	6 7.50%	12 11.32%	9 16.98%	126 28.90%	19 20.00%	39 19.12%	36 17.73%	247
Total	80 6.80%	106 9.01%	53 4.50%	436 37.04%	95 8.07%	204 17.33%	203 17.25%	1177

```
=====
```

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

```
=====
```

IDEOL				
Q	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives	Total
Support	50	123	236	409

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	20.83%	28.21%	46.92%	
Oppose	163 67.92%	187 42.89%	173 34.39%	523
Don't know	27 11.25%	126 28.90%	94 18.69%	247
Total	240 20.36%	436 36.98%	503 42.66%	1179

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	PID7							Total
	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	
Support	58 24.37%	31 25.00%	28 25.00%	33 24.09%	88 58.67%	47 28.66%	109 50.00%	394
Oppose	136 57.14%	56 45.16%	66 58.93%	79 57.66%	38 25.33%	70 42.68%	68 31.19%	513
Don't know	44 18.49%	37 29.84%	18 16.07%	25 18.25%	24 16.00%	47 28.66%	41 18.81%	236
Total	238 20.82%	124 10.85%	112 9.80%	137 11.99%	150 13.12%	164 14.35%	218 19.07%	1143

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Column Percent

```

=====
Q          PID3
          Democrats  Independents  Republicans  Total
-----
Support      117          33          244         394
             24.63%      24.09%      45.86%
-----
Oppose       259          79          176         514
             54.53%      57.66%      33.08%
-----
Don't know   99           25          112         236
             20.84%      18.25%      21.05%
-----
Total        475          137          532         1144
             41.52%      11.98%      46.50%
=====

```

Cell Contents
|-----|
| Count |
Column Percent

```

=====
Q          Q17A
          Republican candidate  Democratic candidate  Tea Party candidate  Don't know  Total
-----
Support      125          113          111          64         413
             43.55%      24.73%      63.07%      23.36%
-----
Oppose       108          269          38           115         530
             37.63%      58.86%      21.59%      41.97%
-----
Don't know   54           75           27           95         251
-----

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	18.82%	16.41%	15.34%	34.67%	
Total	287	457	176	274	1194
	24.04%	38.27%	14.74%	22.95%	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
educ
Q      No HS  High school graduate  Some college  2-year  4-year  Post-grad  Total
-----
Support      17           135           110           35           80           40           417
            32.69%           36.99%           37.04%           28.69%           32.79%           33.61%
-----
Oppose       15           140           127           57           123           69           531
            28.85%           38.36%           42.76%           46.72%           50.41%           57.98%
-----
Don't know   20           90           60           30           41           10           251
            38.46%           24.66%           20.20%           24.59%           16.80%           8.40%
-----
Total        52           365           297           122           244           119           1199
            4.34%           30.44%           24.77%           10.18%           20.35%           9.92%
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
ATTEND
Q      More than once a week  Once a week  A few times a month  Once or twice a year  Never  Total

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Support	75 46.88%	102 42.86%	61 43.26%	90 29.51%	89 25.14%	417
Oppose	53 33.12%	88 36.97%	51 36.17%	142 46.56%	196 55.37%	530
Don't know	32 20.00%	48 20.17%	29 20.57%	73 23.93%	69 19.49%	251
Total	160 13.36%	238 19.87%	141 11.77%	305 25.46%	354 29.55%	1198

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	race	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern	Total
Support		251 35.25%	55 37.93%	89 30.90%	3 50.00%	1 10.00%	12 41.38%	6 75.00%	1 100.00%	418
Oppose		320 44.94%	61 42.07%	126 43.75%	1 16.67%	7 70.00%	14 48.28%	1 12.50%	0 0.00%	530
Don't know		141 19.80%	29 20.00%	73 25.35%	2 33.33%	2 20.00%	3 10.34%	1 12.50%	0 0.00%	251
Total		712 59.38%	145 12.09%	288 24.02%	6 0.50%	10 0.83%	29 2.42%	8 0.67%	1 0.08%	1199

Cell Contents

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample


```

|-----|
|           Count |
|   Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
MARITAL
Q      Married   Separated   Divorced   Widowed   Single   Domestic Partnership   Total
-----
Support      248         4         36         18         81             29         416
              37.18%     19.05%     31.30%     29.51%     30.11%             46.77%
-----
Oppose       303         10         53         26         115            23         530
              45.43%     47.62%     46.09%     42.62%     42.75%             37.10%
-----
Don't know   116         7          26         17         73             10         249
              17.39%     33.33%     22.61%     27.87%     27.14%             16.13%
-----
Total        667         21         115         61         269            62         1195
              55.82%     1.76%      9.62%      5.10%     22.51%             5.19%
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|           Count |
|   Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
gender
Q      Male   Female   Total
-----
Support      230     187     417
              41.00%  29.36%
-----
Oppose       228     303     531
              40.64%  47.57%

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know	103	147	250
	18.36%	23.08%	

Total	561	637	1198
	46.83%	53.17%	

=====
 Cell Contents
 |-----|
 | Count |
Column Percent

Q	LOCATE			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Support	147	186	81	414
	39.52%	32.12%	33.75%	
Oppose	150	278	101	529
	40.32%	48.01%	42.08%	
Don't know	75	115	58	248
	20.16%	19.86%	24.17%	
Total	372	579	240	1191
	31.23%	48.61%	20.15%	

=====
 Cell Contents
 |-----|
 | Count |
Column Percent

=====
 Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	metro Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	Total
Support	105 34.65%	104 33.77%	45 39.13%	47 35.07%	117 34.41%	418
Oppose	140 46.20%	144 46.75%	52 45.22%	57 42.54%	138 40.59%	531
Don't know	58 19.14%	60 19.48%	18 15.65%	30 22.39%	85 25.00%	251
Total	303 25.25%	308 25.67%	115 9.58%	134 11.17%	340 28.33%	1200

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Support	81 37.85%	118 36.53%	139 31.88%	79 35.11%	417
Oppose	85 39.72%	117 36.22%	224 51.38%	105 46.67%	531
Don't know	48 22.43%	88 27.24%	73 16.74%	41 18.22%	250
Total	214 17.86%	323 26.96%	436 36.39%	225 18.78%	1198

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

=====

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q33. In-State Tuition for Undocumented Immigrants

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
In-state tuition	68 85.00%	79 73.83%	35 64.81%	212 48.40%	38 39.58%	65 31.86%	61 30.05%	558
Out-of-state tuition	9 11.25%	13 12.15%	14 25.93%	111 25.34%	45 46.88%	116 56.86%	122 60.10%	430
Don't know/No opinion	3 3.75%	15 14.02%	5 9.26%	115 26.26%	13 13.54%	23 11.27%	20 9.85%	194
Total	80 6.77%	107 9.05%	54 4.57%	438 37.06%	96 8.12%	204 17.26%	203 17.17%	1182

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	IDEOL			Total
	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives	
In-state tuition	182 75.83%	212 48.40%	164 32.60%	558

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Out-of-state tuition	35	111	283	429
	14.58%	25.34%	56.26%	
Don't know/No opinion	23	115	56	194
	9.58%	26.26%	11.13%	
Total	240	438	503	1181
	20.32%	37.09%	42.59%	

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
| Count |
Column Percent

Q	PID7							Total
	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	
In-state tuition	168	73	77	66	40	57	63	544
	70.89%	58.87%	67.54%	48.18%	26.67%	34.55%	28.90%	
Out-of-state tuition	25	16	17	49	96	74	134	411
	10.55%	12.90%	14.91%	35.77%	64.00%	44.85%	61.47%	
Don't know/No opinion	44	35	20	22	14	34	21	190
	18.57%	28.23%	17.54%	16.06%	9.33%	20.61%	9.63%	
Total	237	124	114	137	150	165	218	1145
	20.70%	10.83%	9.96%	11.97%	13.10%	14.41%	19.04%	

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
| Count |
Column Percent

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

```

=====
Q          PID3
          Democrats  Independents  Republicans  Total
-----
In-state tution      318           66           160        544
                    66.95%       48.18%       30.02%
-----
Out-of-state tuition   58           49           304        411
                    12.21%       35.77%       57.04%
-----
Don't know/No opinion   99           22           69         190
                    20.84%       16.06%       12.95%
-----
Total                 475          137          533       1145
                    41.48%       11.97%       46.55%
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|          Count |
|      Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q          Q17A
          Republican candidate  Democratic candidate  Tea Party candidate  Don't know  Total
-----
In-state tution      88           327           45           106        566
                    30.66%       71.55%       25.57%       38.41%
-----
Out-of-state tuition  152           61           120           97         430
                    52.96%       13.35%       68.18%       35.14%
-----
Don't know/No opinion  47           69           11           73         200
                    16.38%       15.10%       6.25%       26.45%
=====

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	287	457	176	276	1196
	24.00%	38.21%	14.72%	23.08%	

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

	educ						Total
Q	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
In-state tuition	9	160	131	65	127	78	570
	17.31%	43.72%	44.11%	53.72%	51.84%	65.55%	
Out-of-state tuition	18	125	122	41	93	31	430
	34.62%	34.15%	41.08%	33.88%	37.96%	26.05%	
Don't know/No opinion	25	81	44	15	25	10	200
	48.08%	22.13%	14.81%	12.40%	10.20%	8.40%	
Total	52	366	297	121	245	119	1200
	4.33%	30.50%	24.75%	10.08%	20.42%	9.92%	

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

	ATTEND					Total
Q	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

In-state tuition	67 42.14%	115 48.32%	72 51.06%	134 44.08%	180 50.85%	568
Out-of-state tuition	59 37.11%	91 38.24%	44 31.21%	115 37.83%	120 33.90%	429
Don't know/No opinion	33 20.75%	32 13.45%	25 17.73%	55 18.09%	54 15.25%	199
Total	159 13.29%	238 19.90%	141 11.79%	304 25.42%	354 29.60%	1196

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	race									Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern		
In-state tuition	302 42.36%	91 62.33%	154 53.47%	1 16.67%	2 25.00%	15 50.00%	5 62.50%		0 0.00%	570
Out-of-state tuition	317 44.46%	18 12.33%	76 26.39%	2 33.33%	4 50.00%	10 33.33%	3 37.50%		1 100.00%	431
Don't know/No opinion	94 13.18%	37 25.34%	58 20.14%	3 50.00%	2 25.00%	5 16.67%	0 0.00%		0 0.00%	199
Total	713 59.42%	146 12.17%	288 24.00%	6 0.50%	8 0.67%	30 2.50%	8 0.67%		1 0.08%	1200

=====
Cell Contents

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

|           Count |
|   Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
MARITAL
Q      Married   Separated   Divorced   Widowed   Single   Domestic Partnership   Total
-----
In-state tuition      296         10         62         29        142             31        570
                    44.38%     47.62%     53.45%     48.33%     52.59%             50.00%
-----
Out-of-state tuition  278          7         34         23         74             13        429
                    41.68%     33.33%     29.31%     38.33%     27.41%             20.97%
-----
Don't know/No opinion  93           4         20          8         54             18        197
                    13.94%     19.05%     17.24%     13.33%     20.00%             29.03%
-----
Total                 667         21        116         60         270             62       1196
                    55.77%     1.76%      9.70%      5.02%     22.58%             5.18%
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|           Count |
|   Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
gender
Q      Male   Female   Total
-----
In-state tuition      271     299     570
                    48.22%  46.79%
-----
Out-of-state tuition  214     217     431
                    38.08%  33.96%
-----

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know/No opinion	77	123	200
	13.70%	19.25%	

Total	562	639	1201
	46.79%	53.21%	

=====
 Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

=====
 LOCATE

Q	Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
In-state tuition	212 57.14%	268 46.13%	85 35.42%	565
Out-of-state tuition	87 23.45%	235 40.45%	108 45.00%	430
Don't know/No opinion	72 19.41%	78 13.43%	47 19.58%	197
Total	371 31.12%	581 48.74%	240 20.13%	1192

=====
 Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

=====
 metro

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	Total
In-state tuition	154 50.83%	159 51.46%	46 40.00%	72 54.14%	139 40.88%	570
Out-of-state tuition	113 37.29%	96 31.07%	47 40.87%	38 28.57%	137 40.29%	431
Don't know/No opinion	36 11.88%	54 17.48%	22 19.13%	23 17.29%	64 18.82%	199
Total	303 25.25%	309 25.75%	115 9.58%	133 11.08%	340 28.33%	1200

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
In-state tuition	113 52.80%	163 50.31%	187 42.89%	106 47.11%	569
Out-of-state tuition	63 29.44%	93 28.70%	185 42.43%	89 39.56%	430
Don't know/No opinion	38 17.76%	68 20.99%	64 14.68%	30 13.33%	200
Total	214 17.85%	324 27.02%	436 36.36%	225 18.77%	1199

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q34. What is your opinion on the legalization of marijuana possession?

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Mrjn psssn shld n b l u a c.	8 9.88%	8 7.55%	2 3.77%	59 13.59%	12 12.63%	35 17.16%	77 37.93%	201
Mrjn psssn shld b l f m p o.	3 3.70%	14 13.21%	12 22.64%	129 29.72%	36 37.89%	85 41.67%	70 34.48%	349
Psssn o s a o m f a p s b l.	28 34.57%	47 44.34%	29 54.72%	154 35.48%	27 28.42%	64 31.37%	30 14.78%	379
Psssn o a a o m f a p s b l.	42 51.85%	37 34.91%	10 18.87%	92 21.20%	20 21.05%	20 9.80%	26 12.81%	247
Total	81 6.89%	106 9.01%	53 4.51%	434 36.90%	95 8.08%	204 17.35%	203 17.26%	1176

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	IDEOL			Total
	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives	

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Marijuana possession should not be legal under any circumstances.	18	59	124	201
	7.53%	13.59%	24.70%	
Marijuana possession should be legal for medical purposes only.	29	129	191	349
	12.13%	29.72%	38.05%	
Possession of small amounts of marijuana for any purpose should be legal.	103	154	121	378
	43.10%	35.48%	24.10%	
Possession of any amount of marijuana for any purpose should be legal.	89	92	66	247
	37.24%	21.20%	13.15%	
Total	239	434	502	1175
	20.34%	36.94%	42.72%	

=====
 Cell Contents
 |-----|
 | Count |
Column Percent

Q	PID7							Total
	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	
Marijuana possession should not be legal under any circumstncs.	32	24	5	8	29	27	71	196
	13.62%	19.67%	4.39%	5.80%	19.33%	16.46%	32.57%	
Marijuana possession should be legal for medical purposes only.	57	40	23	37	57	54	76	344
	24.26%	32.79%	20.18%	26.81%	38.00%	32.93%	34.86%	
Possession of small amounts of marijwan fr any prps shld b lgl.	82	41	55	50	36	57	51	372
	34.89%	33.61%	48.25%	36.23%	24.00%	34.76%	23.39%	
Possession of any amount of marijuana for any purps shld b lgl.	64	17	31	43	28	26	20	229

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	27.23%	13.93%	27.19%	31.16%	18.67%	15.85%	9.17%	
Total	235	122	114	138	150	164	218	1141
	20.60%	10.69%	9.99%	12.09%	13.15%	14.37%	19.11%	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
| Column Percent |
|-----|

```

	PID3			Total
Q	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	
Marijuana possession should not be legal under any circumstances.	61 12.98%	8 5.80%	127 23.87%	196
Marijuana possession should be legal for medical purposes only.	120 25.53%	37 26.81%	187 35.15%	344
Possession of small amounts of marijuana for any purpose should be legal.	178 37.87%	50 36.23%	144 27.07%	372
Possession of any amount of marijuana for any purpose should be legal.	111 23.62%	43 31.16%	74 13.91%	228
Total	470 41.23%	138 12.11%	532 46.67%	1140

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
| Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	Q17A				Total
	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	
Marijuan psssn shld nt b lgl undr any crcmstnacs.	71 24.65%	50 11.06%	42 23.86%	39 14.18%	202
Marijuana possessn shld b lgl fr mdcl prpss only.	97 33.68%	107 23.67%	48 27.27%	105 38.18%	357
Psssn of smll amnts of mrjn fr any prps shl b l.	80 27.78%	185 40.93%	54 30.68%	65 23.64%	384
Psssn of any amnt of mrjn fr any prps shld b lg.	40 13.89%	110 24.34%	32 18.18%	66 24.00%	248
Total	288 24.18%	452 37.95%	176 14.78%	275 23.09%	1191

Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Marijuana possessin shld nt b lgl undr any crcmstnacs.	8 15.09%	76 20.94%	35 11.86%	23 19.01%	53 21.63%	7 5.88%	202
Marijuana possession should b lgl fr mdcl prpss only.	16 30.19%	114 31.40%	90 30.51%	32 26.45%	73 29.80%	33 27.73%	358
Possssn of smll amnts of mrjn fr any prps shld b lgl.	19	109	97	43	71	49	388

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	35.85%	30.03%	32.88%	35.54%	28.98%	41.18%	
Possessin of any amnt of mrjn fr any prps shld b lgl.	10 18.87%	64 17.63%	73 24.75%	23 19.01%	48 19.59%	30 25.21%	248
Total	53 4.43%	363 30.35%	295 24.67%	121 10.12%	245 20.48%	119 9.95%	1196

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

Q	ATTEND					Total
	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	
Mrjn psssn shld nt b lgl undr any cr.	62 38.75%	61 25.52%	14 10.00%	33 10.86%	32 9.12%	202
Mrjn psssn shld b lgl fr mdcl prps o.	46 28.75%	91 38.08%	50 35.71%	98 32.24%	71 20.23%	356
Psssn of smll amnts of m f a p s b l.	25 15.62%	59 24.69%	55 39.29%	121 39.80%	129 36.75%	389
Psssn of any amnt of mrj f a p s b l.	27 16.88%	28 11.72%	21 15.00%	52 17.11%	119 33.90%	247
Total	160 13.40%	239 20.02%	140 11.73%	304 25.46%	351 29.40%	1194

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Column Percent

Q	race	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern	Total
Mrjn psssn s n b l u a c.		127 17.86%	16 11.03%	48 16.96%	0 0.00%	4 40.00%	5 17.24%	1 12.50%	0 0.00%	201
Mrjn psssn s b l f m p o.		206 28.97%	47 32.41%	90 31.80%	3 60.00%	3 30.00%	6 20.69%	1 12.50%	1 100.00%	357
Pss o s a o m f a p s b l.		226 31.79%	58 40.00%	90 31.80%	1 20.00%	2 20.00%	5 17.24%	5 62.50%	0 0.00%	387
Pss o a a o m f a p s b l.		152 21.38%	24 16.55%	55 19.43%	1 20.00%	1 10.00%	13 44.83%	1 12.50%	0 0.00%	247
Total		711 59.65%	145 12.16%	283 23.74%	5 0.42%	10 0.84%	29 2.43%	8 0.67%	1 0.08%	1192

Cell Contents

|-----|
| Count |
Column Percent

Q	MARITAL	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	Total
Marijuana possession shold nt b lgl undr any crcmstnacs.		128 19.25%	5 25.00%	9 7.83%	19 33.33%	36 13.38%	4 6.35%	201
Marijuana possession should be legl fr mdcl prpss only.		206	3	35	19	85	7	355

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	30.98%	15.00%	30.43%	33.33%	31.60%		11.11%
Possessin of smll amnts of mrjn fr any prps shld b lgl.	215 32.33%	5 25.00%	47 40.87%	13 22.81%	69 25.65%	37 58.73%	386
Possession of any amont of mrjn fr any prps shld b lgl.	116 17.44%	7 35.00%	24 20.87%	6 10.53%	79 29.37%	15 23.81%	247
Total	665 55.93%	20 1.68%	115 9.67%	57 4.79%	269 22.62%	63 5.30%	1189

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Marijuana possession should not be legal under any circumstances.	94 16.79%	108 16.98%	202
Marijuana possession should be legal for medical purposes only.	132 23.57%	226 35.53%	358
Possession of small amounts of marijuana for any purpose should be legal.	200 35.71%	188 29.56%	388
Possession of any amount of marijuana for any purpose should be legal.	134 23.93%	114 17.92%	248
Total	560 46.82%	636 53.18%	1196

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q                               LOCATE
                               Urban    Suburban    Rural    Total
-----
Marijuana possession should not be legal under any circumstances.
                               70      82      46      198
                               18.87%  14.21%  19.33%
-----
Marijuana possession should be legal for medical purposes only.
                               99     180     75     354
                               26.68%  31.20%  31.51%
-----
Possession of small amounts of marijuana for any purpose should be legal.
                               129    188     70     387
                               34.77%  32.58%  29.41%
-----
Possession of any amount of marijuana for any purpose should be legal.
                               73     127     47     247
                               19.68%  22.01%  19.75%
-----
Total
                               371    577    238    1186
                               31.28%  48.65%  20.07%
=====

```

```

Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q                               metro
                               Houston Area  Dallas-Fort Worth Area  San Antonio Area  Austin Area  Another Part of TX  Total
-----
Mrjrn pssssn shld nt b lgl undr an c.
                               56      38      21      15      72      202
-----

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	18.54%	12.34%	18.75%	11.19%	21.18%	
Mrjn psssn shld b lgl fr mdcl pr o.	77 25.50%	107 34.74%	29 25.89%	34 25.37%	112 32.94%	359
Psssn of smll amnt o m f a p s b l.	112 37.09%	82 26.62%	36 32.14%	54 40.30%	104 30.59%	388
Psssn of any amnt of m f a p s b l.	57 18.87%	81 26.30%	26 23.21%	31 23.13%	52 15.29%	247
Total	302 25.25%	308 25.75%	112 9.36%	134 11.20%	340 28.43%	1196

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Marijuana possession should not be legal under any circumstances.	33 15.42%	44 13.62%	66 15.21%	59 26.58%	202
Marijuana possession should be legal for medical purposes only.	67 31.31%	96 29.72%	116 26.73%	78 35.14%	357
Possession of small amounts of marijuana for any purpose should be legal.	55 25.70%	102 31.58%	164 37.79%	65 29.28%	386
Possession of any amount of marijuana for any purpose should be legal.	59 27.57%	81 25.08%	88 20.28%	20 9.01%	248

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	214	323	434	222	1193
	17.94%	27.07%	36.38%	18.61%	

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q35. Which of the following comes closer to your opinion? Transgender people should have access to public restrooms based on...

Cell Contents								
	Count	Column Percent						
=====								
	LIBCON7							
Q	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	Total

Their birth gender	17 21.25%	14 13.08%	8 14.81%	204 46.90%	53 55.21%	162 79.80%	177 87.19%	635

Their gender identity	59 73.75%	76 71.03%	39 72.22%	138 31.72%	24 25.00%	21 10.34%	7 3.45%	364

Don't know/No opinion	4 5.00%	17 15.89%	7 12.96%	93 21.38%	19 19.79%	20 9.85%	19 9.36%	179

Total	80 6.79%	107 9.08%	54 4.58%	435 36.93%	96 8.15%	203 17.23%	203 17.23%	1178
=====								

Cell Contents				
	Count	Column Percent		
=====				
	IDEOL			
Q	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives	Total

Their birth gender	39	204	392	635

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	16.25%	46.90%	78.09%	

Their gender identity	174	138	52	364
	72.50%	31.72%	10.36%	

Don't know/No opinion	27	93	58	178
	11.25%	21.38%	11.55%	

Total	240	435	502	1177
	20.39%	36.96%	42.65%	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	PID7							Total
	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	

Their birth gender	74	54	28	56	116	109	179	616
	31.36%	43.55%	24.56%	40.88%	77.33%	66.87%	82.11%	

Their gender identity	121	48	74	48	12	25	21	349
	51.27%	38.71%	64.91%	35.04%	8.00%	15.34%	9.63%	

Don't know/No opinion	41	22	12	33	22	29	18	177
	17.37%	17.74%	10.53%	24.09%	14.67%	17.79%	8.26%	

Total	236	124	114	137	150	163	218	1142
	20.67%	10.86%	9.98%	12.00%	13.13%	14.27%	19.09%	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Column Percent

```

=====
Q          PID3
          Democrats  Independents  Republicans  Total
-----
Their birth gender      156          56          404      616
                       32.91%      40.88%      76.08%
-----
Their gender identity   243          48          58      349
                       51.27%      35.04%      10.92%
-----
Don't know/No opinion   75           33          69      177
                       15.82%      24.09%      12.99%
-----
Total                   474          137          531     1142
                       41.51%      12.00%      46.50%
=====

```

Cell Contents
|-----|
| Count |
Column Percent

```

=====
Q          Q17A
          Republican candidate  Democratic candidate  Tea Party candidate  Don't know  Total
-----
Their birth gender      221          143          134          147      645
                       77.00%      31.50%      76.14%      53.26%
-----
Their gender identity   40           251          16           60      367
                       13.94%      55.29%      9.09%      21.74%
-----
Don't know/No opinion   26           60           26           69      181
-----

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	9.06%	13.22%	14.77%	25.00%	
Total	287	454	176	276	1193
	24.06%	38.06%	14.75%	23.13%	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

	educ						Total
Q	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Their birth gender	27	236	162	75	98	49	647
	51.92%	64.48%	54.73%	61.48%	40.33%	40.83%	
Their gender identity	5	80	105	34	96	51	371
	9.62%	21.86%	35.47%	27.87%	39.51%	42.50%	
Don't know/No opinion	20	50	29	13	49	20	181
	38.46%	13.66%	9.80%	10.66%	20.16%	16.67%	
Total	52	366	296	122	243	120	1199
	4.34%	30.53%	24.69%	10.18%	20.27%	10.01%	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

	ATTEND					Total
Q	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Their birth gender	121	162	69	159	134	645			
	76.58%	68.35%	48.94%	52.30%	37.75%				

Their gender identity	19	36	56	95	165	371			
	12.03%	15.19%	39.72%	31.25%	46.48%				

Don't know/No opinion	18	39	16	50	56	179			
	11.39%	16.46%	11.35%	16.45%	15.77%				

Total	158	237	141	304	355	1195			
	13.22%	19.83%	11.80%	25.44%	29.71%				
=====									

Cell Contents

Count	
Column Percent	

Q	race								Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern	

Their birth gender	388	83	148	1	7	13	6	1	647
	54.49%	57.24%	51.57%	20.00%	77.78%	43.33%	66.67%	100.00%	

Their gender identity	218	36	101	3	2	9	2	0	371
	30.62%	24.83%	35.19%	60.00%	22.22%	30.00%	22.22%	0.00%	

Don't know/No opinion	106	26	38	1	0	8	1	0	180
	14.89%	17.93%	13.24%	20.00%	0.00%	26.67%	11.11%	0.00%	

Total	712	145	287	5	9	30	9	1	1198
	59.43%	12.10%	23.96%	0.42%	0.75%	2.50%	0.75%	0.08%	
=====									

Cell Contents

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

|-----|
|           Count |
|       Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q           MARITAL
           Married   Separated   Divorced   Widowed   Single   Domestic Partnership   Total
-----
Their birth gender      398         10         56         34        118             29       645
                       59.85%      47.62%     48.28%     55.74%     44.03%             46.03%
-----
Their gender identity   176          8          43         13        101             29       370
                       26.47%      38.10%     37.07%     21.31%     37.69%             46.03%
-----
Don't know/No opinion   91           3          17         14         49             5       179
                       13.68%      14.29%     14.66%     22.95%     18.28%             7.94%
-----
Total                   665         21         116        61         268             63      1194
                       55.70%      1.76%      9.72%      5.11%     22.45%             5.28%
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|           Count |
|       Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q           gender
           Male   Female   Total
-----
Their birth gender      323     323     646
                       57.58%  50.79%
-----
Their gender identity   165     205     370
                       29.41%  32.23%

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

-----
Don't know/No opinion      73      108      181
                          13.01%   16.98%
-----
Total                      561      636      1197
                          46.87%   53.13%
-----

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|          Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

-----
LOCATE
Q          Urban      Suburban      Rural      Total
-----
Their birth gender      180          313          149          642
                        48.91%       54.06%       61.83%
-----
Their gender identity   137          181          49           367
                        37.23%       31.26%       20.33%
-----
Don't know/No opinion    51           85           43           179
                        13.86%       14.68%       17.84%
-----
Total                   368          579          241          1188
                        30.98%       48.74%       20.29%
-----

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|          Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

-----
Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

Q	metro Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	Total
Their birth gender	158 52.84%	160 52.12%	62 53.91%	65 48.51%	201 58.94%	646
Their gender identity	92 30.77%	95 30.94%	38 33.04%	48 35.82%	96 28.15%	369
Don't know/No opinion	49 16.39%	52 16.94%	15 13.04%	21 15.67%	44 12.90%	181
Total	299 25.00%	307 25.67%	115 9.62%	134 11.20%	341 28.51%	1196

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Their birth gender	114 53.27%	142 44.10%	254 58.39%	136 60.44%	646
Their gender identity	74 34.58%	122 37.89%	121 27.82%	52 23.11%	369
Don't know/No opinion	26 12.15%	58 18.01%	60 13.79%	37 16.44%	181
Total	214 17.89%	322 26.92%	435 36.37%	225 18.81%	1196

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

=====

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q36. How important do you think it is that the Texas Legislature pass a law regulating transgender access to public restrooms?

Cell Contents
Count
Column Percent

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Very important	20 25.00%	12 11.21%	8 14.55%	90 20.59%	12 12.37%	48 23.53%	92 45.32%	282
Somewhat important	5 6.25%	14 13.08%	6 10.91%	74 16.93%	19 19.59%	31 15.20%	26 12.81%	175
Not very important	4 5.00%	13 12.15%	8 14.55%	63 14.42%	13 13.40%	36 17.65%	9 4.43%	146
Not at all important	44 55.00%	57 53.27%	29 52.73%	138 31.58%	43 44.33%	82 40.20%	58 28.57%	451
Don't know/No opinion	7 8.75%	11 10.28%	4 7.27%	72 16.48%	10 10.31%	7 3.43%	18 8.87%	129
Total	80 6.76%	107 9.04%	55 4.65%	437 36.94%	97 8.20%	204 17.24%	203 17.16%	1183

Cell Contents
Count
Column Percent

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample


```

=====
Q              IDEOL
              Liberals  Moderates  Conservatives  Total
-----
Very important      40      90      152      282
                   16.67%   20.59%   30.28%
-----
Somewhat important  25      74      76      175
                   10.42%   16.93%   15.14%
-----
Not very important  24      63      57      144
                   10.00%   14.42%   11.35%
-----
Not at all important 129     138     183     450
                   53.75%   31.58%   36.45%
-----
Don't know/No opinion 22      72      34      128
                   9.17%   16.48%   6.77%
-----
Total              240     437     502     1179
                   20.36%   37.07%   42.58%
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|              Count |
|      Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q              PID7
              StrDem  WeakDem  LeanDem  Ind  LeanRep  WeakRep  StrRep  Total
-----
Very important      63      34      16      15      35      40      69      272
                   26.58%   27.64%   14.16%  10.95%  23.33%   24.54%  31.65%
-----
Somewhat important  26      19      17      16      24      26      38      166

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	10.97%	15.45%	15.04%	11.68%	16.00%	15.95%	17.43%	
Not very important	20 8.44%	17 13.82%	11 9.73%	21 15.33%	21 14.00%	30 18.40%	23 10.55%	143
Not at all important	95 40.08%	30 24.39%	67 59.29%	63 45.99%	56 37.33%	53 32.52%	75 34.40%	439
Don't know/No opinion	33 13.92%	23 18.70%	2 1.77%	22 16.06%	14 9.33%	14 8.59%	13 5.96%	121
Total	237 20.77%	123 10.78%	113 9.90%	137 12.01%	150 13.15%	163 14.29%	218 19.11%	1141

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

Q	PID3			Total
	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	
Very important	114 24.00%	15 10.95%	144 27.17%	273
Somewhat important	63 13.26%	16 11.68%	88 16.60%	167
Not very important	48 10.11%	21 15.33%	74 13.96%	143
Not at all important	192 40.42%	63 45.99%	184 34.72%	439

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know/No opinion	58 12.21%	22 16.06%	40 7.55%	120

Total	475 41.59%	137 12.00%	530 46.41%	1142

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q17A
Q      Republican candidate  Democratic candidate  Tea Party candidate  Don't know  Total
-----
Very important      89      102      41      53      285
                   31.01%  22.42%  23.16%  19.27%
-----
Somewhat important  58      51      28      38      175
                   20.21%  11.21%  15.82%  13.82%
-----
Not very important  36      52      26      38      152
                   12.54%  11.43%  14.69%  13.82%
-----
Not at all important  88      201     71      91      451
                   30.66%  44.18%  40.11%  33.09%
-----
Don't know/No opinion  16      49      11      55      131
                   5.57%   10.77%  6.21%   20.00%
-----
Total               287     455     177     275     1194
                   24.04%  38.11%  14.82%  23.03%
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

|           Count |
|   Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
educ
Q      No HS   High school graduate   Some college   2-year   4-year   Post-grad   Total
-----
Very important      8           137           66        31        30           16       288
                   15.38%       37.53%       22.22%   25.83%   12.30%     13.33%
-----
Somewhat important  6           59           37        20        35           19       176
                   11.54%       16.16%       12.46%   16.67%   14.34%     15.83%
-----
Not very important  1           34           52        13        36           16       152
                   1.92%        9.32%       17.51%   10.83%   14.75%     13.33%
-----
Not at all important 14           92          114        38        128           66       452
                   26.92%       25.21%       38.38%   31.67%   52.46%     55.00%
-----
Don't know/No opinion 23           43           28        18        15            3       130
                   44.23%       11.78%        9.43%   15.00%    6.15%      2.50%
-----
Total                52          365          297        120        244           120     1198
                   4.34%       30.47%       24.79%   10.02%   20.37%     10.02%
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|           Count |
|   Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
ATTEND
Q      More than once a week   Once a week   A few times a month   Once or twice a year   Never   Total
-----

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Very important	48 30.19%	78 32.77%	36 25.35%	60 19.80%	66 18.64%	288
Somewhat important	18 11.32%	46 19.33%	18 12.68%	39 12.87%	54 15.25%	175
Not very important	18 11.32%	23 9.66%	30 21.13%	47 15.51%	34 9.60%	152
Not at all important	54 33.96%	68 28.57%	51 35.92%	124 40.92%	155 43.79%	452
Don't know/No opinion	21 13.21%	23 9.66%	7 4.93%	33 10.89%	45 12.71%	129
Total	159 13.29%	238 19.90%	142 11.87%	303 25.33%	354 29.60%	1196

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	race									Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern		
Very important	150 21.07%	44 30.14%	84 29.27%	0 0.00%	1 11.11%	5 17.24%	2 28.57%		1 100.00%	287
Somewhat important	105 14.75%	21 14.38%	42 14.63%	1 16.67%	1 11.11%	3 10.34%	1 14.29%		0 0.00%	174
Not very important	93 13.06%	15 10.27%	39 13.59%	0 0.00%	3 33.33%	3 10.34%	0 0.00%		0 0.00%	153

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Not at all important	312 43.82%	42 28.77%	77 26.83%	3 50.00%	4 44.44%	11 37.93%	4 57.14%	0 0.00%	453
Don't know/No opinion	52 7.30%	24 16.44%	45 15.68%	2 33.33%	0 0.00%	7 24.14%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	130
Total	712 59.48%	146 12.20%	287 23.98%	6 0.50%	9 0.75%	29 2.42%	7 0.58%	1 0.08%	1197

=====
 Cell Contents
 |-----|
 | Count |
Column Percent

Q	MARITAL						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	
Very important	158 23.80%	6 28.57%	24 20.69%	23 37.70%	58 21.48%	20 31.75%	289
Somewhat important	103 15.51%	6 28.57%	20 17.24%	8 13.11%	27 10.00%	9 14.29%	173
Not very important	87 13.10%	1 4.76%	14 12.07%	6 9.84%	38 14.07%	7 11.11%	153
Not at all important	270 40.66%	6 28.57%	45 38.79%	14 22.95%	96 35.56%	20 31.75%	451
Don't know/No opinion	46 6.93%	2 9.52%	13 11.21%	10 16.39%	51 18.89%	7 11.11%	129
Total	664	21	116	61	270	63	1195

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

55.56% 1.76% 9.71% 5.10% 22.59% 5.27%

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q           gender
           Male   Female   Total
-----
Very important      133     155     288
                   23.75%  24.29%
-----
Somewhat important   72     103     175
                   12.86%  16.14%
-----
Not very important   67     86     153
                   11.96%  13.48%
-----
Not at all important 237     215     452
                   42.32%  33.70%
-----
Don't know/No opinion  51     79     130
                   9.11%  12.38%
-----
Total              560     638     1198
                   46.74%  53.26%
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

=====
LOCATE
Q      Urban      Suburban      Rural      Total
-----
Very important      96      123      68      287
                   26.02%    21.17%    28.33%
-----
Somewhat important  63      84      27      174
                   17.07%    14.46%    11.25%
-----
Not very important  35      86      29      150
                   9.49%     14.80%    12.08%
-----
Not at all important 138     234      78      450
                   37.40%    40.28%    32.50%
-----
Don't know/No opinion 37      54      38      129
                   10.03%    9.29%     15.83%
-----
Total               369     581      240     1190
                   31.01%    48.82%    20.17%
=====

```

```

Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

```

=====
metro
Q      Houston Area  Dallas-Fort Worth Area  San Antonio Area  Austin Area  Another Part of TX  Total
-----
Very important      61      76      33      22      96      288
                   20.27%    24.68%    28.70%    16.54%    28.24%
-----
Somewhat important  42      37      14      27      55      175

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	13.95%	12.01%	12.17%	20.30%	16.18%	
Not very important	40 13.29%	43 13.96%	12 10.43%	17 12.78%	41 12.06%	153
Not at all important	124 41.20%	125 40.58%	44 38.26%	52 39.10%	107 31.47%	452
Don't know/No opinion	34 11.30%	27 8.77%	12 10.43%	15 11.28%	41 12.06%	129
Total	301 25.15%	308 25.73%	115 9.61%	133 11.11%	340 28.40%	1197

=====
Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Very important	54 25.23%	72 22.29%	98 22.43%	65 28.76%	289
Somewhat important	28 13.08%	48 14.86%	59 13.50%	40 17.70%	175
Not very important	37 17.29%	33 10.22%	55 12.59%	28 12.39%	153
Not at all important	77 35.98%	126 39.01%	178 40.73%	71 31.42%	452

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know/No opinion	18	44	47	22	131
	8.41%	13.62%	10.76%	9.73%	

Total	214	323	437	226	1200
	17.83%	26.92%	36.42%	18.83%	
=====					

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q37. What is your opinion on the availability of abortion?

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
By lw, abrttn shld nvr b prmt.	5 6.17%	5 4.72%	1 1.85%	48 10.93%	13 13.68%	31 15.27%	94 46.08%	197
Thlwshldprmtbrtnnlyncsfrp,nãĀŽ.	2 2.47%	7 6.60%	6 11.11%	93 21.18%	40 42.11%	91 44.83%	73 35.78%	312
Thlwshldprmtbrtnfrrsnsthr,,',	9 11.11%	10 9.43%	12 22.22%	69 15.72%	20 21.05%	38 18.72%	15 7.35%	173
Bylw,wmnshldlwysbbbltbttnbrtn.	64 79.01%	83 78.30%	34 62.96%	188 42.82%	19 20.00%	37 18.23%	19 9.31%	444
Don't know	1 1.23%	1 0.94%	1 1.85%	41 9.34%	3 3.16%	6 2.96%	3 1.47%	56
Total	81 6.85%	106 8.97%	54 4.57%	439 37.14%	95 8.04%	203 17.17%	204 17.26%	1182

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	IDEOLOG			Total
	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives	
By law, abortion should never be permitted.	10 4.17%	48 10.93%	138 27.44%	196
The law should permit abortion only in case of rape, incest or when the woman's life is in danger.	15 6.25%	93 21.18%	205 40.76%	313
The law should permit abortion for reasons other than rape, incest, or danger to the woman's life, but only after the	30 12.50%	69 15.72%	73 14.51%	172
By law, a woman should always be able to obtain an abortion as a matter of personal choice.	182 75.83%	188 42.82%	75 14.91%	445
Don't know	3 1.25%	41 9.34%	12 2.39%	56
Total	240 20.30%	439 37.14%	503 42.55%	1182

Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	PID7							Total
	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	
By law, abortion should never be permitted.	30 12.61%	21 16.94%	5 4.42%	11 8.03%	35 23.33%	18 10.91%	66 30.41%	186
The law should permit abortion only in case of rape, incest or when the woman's life is in danger.	19	22	23	39	58	53	91	305

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	7.98%	17.74%	20.35%	28.47%	38.67%	32.12%	41.94%	
Thlwshldprmtbrtnfrsrnsthrthnrp,ncst,rdngrtthwmn'slf,btlnlyftrthn	18 7.56%	11 8.87%	25 22.12%	14 10.22%	31 20.67%	41 24.85%	27 12.44%	167
Bylaw,awomanshouldalwaysbeabletoobtainanabortionasmatterofpersonalchoice.	152 63.87%	61 49.19%	57 50.44%	62 45.26%	24 16.00%	49 29.70%	27 12.44%	432
Don't know	19 7.98%	9 7.26%	3 2.65%	11 8.03%	2 1.33%	4 2.42%	6 2.76%	54
Total	238 20.80%	124 10.84%	113 9.88%	137 11.98%	150 13.11%	165 14.42%	217 18.97%	1144

Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	PID3			Total
	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	
By law, abortion should never be permitted.	56 11.76%	11 8.03%	119 22.41%	186
The law should permit abortion only in case of rape, incest or when the woman's life is in danger.	65 13.66%	39 28.47%	201 37.85%	305
The law should permit abortion for reasons other than rape, incest, or danger to the woman's life.	54 11.34%	14 10.22%	99 18.64%	167
By law, a woman should always be able to obtain an abortion as a matter of personal choice.	270 56.72%	62 45.26%	100 18.83%	432

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know	31 6.51%	11 8.03%	12 2.26%	54
Total	476 41.61%	137 11.98%	531 46.42%	1144

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q17A
Q           Republican candidate   Democratic candidate   Tea Party candidate   Don't know   Total
-----
By law, abortion should never be permitted.           64           55           50           30           199
                22.38%           12.06%           28.09%           10.99%
-----
Thlwshldprmtbrtnnlynscsfrp,ncstrwhnthwmnãĀŽsłfsndng.           93           56           66           101           316
                32.52%           12.28%           37.08%           37.00%
-----
Thlwshldprmtbrtnfrrsnsthrthnrp,ncst,rdngrtthwmn',           59           47           34           32           172
                20.63%           10.31%           19.10%           11.72%
-----
Bylaw,awomanshouldlwysbbltbttnbrtnsmttrfprsnlhc.           62           274           22           92           450
                21.68%           60.09%           12.36%           33.70%
-----
Don't know           8           24           6           18           56
                2.80%           5.26%           3.37%           6.59%
-----
Total           286           456           178           273           1193
                23.97%           38.22%           14.92%           22.88%
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	Count
	Column Percent

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
By law, abortion should never be permitted.	5 9.62%	76 20.82%	51 17.11%	14 11.57%	39 16.05%	13 11.02%	198
The law should prohibit any form of abortion, including partial-birth abortion.	14 26.92%	105 28.77%	76 25.50%	41 33.88%	45 18.52%	36 30.51%	317
The law should prohibit any form of abortion, but should allow for exceptions in cases of rape, incest, or when the mother's health is in jeopardy.	10 19.23%	41 11.23%	52 17.45%	19 15.70%	42 17.28%	12 10.17%	176
By law, a woman should always be able to obtain an abortion.	19 36.54%	126 34.52%	104 34.90%	37 30.58%	111 45.68%	52 44.07%	449
Don't know	4 7.69%	17 4.66%	15 5.03%	10 8.26%	6 2.47%	5 4.24%	57
Total	52 4.34%	365 30.49%	298 24.90%	121 10.11%	243 20.30%	118 9.86%	1197

Cell Contents

	Count
	Column Percent

Q	ATTEND					Total
	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

By law, abortion should nevr b prmttd.	68 43.04%	64 26.89%	29 20.57%	26 8.52%	11 3.11%	198
Thlwhldprmtbrtnnlynscsfrp,ncstrwhnthãĀĴ.	43 27.22%	82 34.45%	47 33.33%	80 26.23%	64 18.08%	316
Thlwhldprmtbrtnfrsrnssthrthnrp,ncst,',	11 6.96%	35 14.71%	24 17.02%	60 19.67%	46 12.99%	176
Bylw,wmnshldlwysbbtbttnbrtnsmttrfprs.	25 15.82%	49 20.59%	36 25.53%	131 42.95%	209 59.04%	450
Don't know	11 6.96%	8 3.36%	5 3.55%	8 2.62%	24 6.78%	56
Total	158 13.21%	238 19.90%	141 11.79%	305 25.50%	354 29.60%	1196

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern	Total
By lw, abrttn shld nvr b p.	130 18.28%	23 15.86%	41 14.24%	0 0.00%	4 50.00%	0 0.00%	1 12.50%	0 0.00%	199
Thlwhldprmtbrtnnlynscsf,ãĀĴ.	188 26.44%	20 13.79%	90 31.25%	3 50.00%	1 12.50%	10 33.33%	3 37.50%	1 100.00%	316
Thlwhldprmtbrtnfrsrns,,'	110 15.47%	13 8.97%	42 14.58%	1 16.67%	2 25.00%	6 20.00%	2 25.00%	0 0.00%	176

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Bylw,wmnshldlwysbbltbttnb.	258 36.29%	73 50.34%	102 35.42%	1 16.67%	1 12.50%	14 46.67%	2 25.00%	0 0.00%	451
Don't know	25 3.52%	16 11.03%	13 4.51%	1 16.67%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	55
Total	711 59.40%	145 12.11%	288 24.06%	6 0.50%	8 0.67%	30 2.51%	8 0.67%	1 0.08%	1197

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|   Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	MARITAL						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	
By law, abortion should never be permitted.	134 20.15%	1 4.55%	11 9.57%	11 18.33%	38 14.02%	2 3.17%	197
The law should permit a woman to abort if she is a victim of rape, incest, or the fetus has a serious medical condition.	207 31.13%	7 31.82%	28 24.35%	17 28.33%	47 17.34%	11 17.46%	317
The law should permit a woman to abort if she is a victim of rape, incest, or the fetus has a serious medical condition.	101 15.19%	3 13.64%	11 9.57%	7 11.67%	38 14.02%	16 25.40%	176
By law, a woman should always be able to obtain an abortion if she is a victim of rape, incest, or the fetus has a serious medical condition.	206 30.98%	9 40.91%	53 46.09%	22 36.67%	129 47.60%	31 49.21%	450
Don't know	17 2.56%	2 9.09%	12 10.43%	3 5.00%	19 7.01%	3 4.76%	56
Total	665	22	115	60	271	63	1196

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

55.60% 1.84% 9.62% 5.02% 22.66% 5.27%

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|          Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q
gender
  Male   Female  Total
-----
By law, abortion should never be permitted.
          94     104    198
          16.79%  16.33%
-----
Thelawshouldpermitabortiononlyincaseofrape,incest,ordnrgtrthwmn'slfeisindanger.
          166     151    317
          29.64%  23.70%
-----
Thlawshouldpermitabortionforreasnsotrthnrp,incst,ordnrgtrthwmn'slfbtonlyaftrthn
          95      81    176
          16.96%  12.72%
-----
Bylaw,awomanshouldalwaysbeabletoobtainanabortionasamatterofpersonalchoice.
          184     266    450
          32.86%  41.76%
-----
Don't know
          21      35     56
          3.75%   5.49%
-----
Total
          560     637   1197
          46.78%  53.22%
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|          Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	LOCATE			
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
By law, abortion should never be permitted.	76 20.54%	78 13.45%	42 17.57%	196
The law should permit abortion only in case of rape, incest or when the woman's life is in danger.	78 21.08%	169 29.14%	66 27.62%	313
The law should permit abortion for reasons other than pregnancy, but only after the woman has consulted with her doctor.	48 12.97%	78 13.45%	47 19.67%	173
By law, a woman should always be able to obtain an abortion as a matter of personal choice.	147 39.73%	228 39.31%	76 31.80%	451
Don't know	21 5.68%	27 4.66%	8 3.35%	56
Total	370 31.12%	580 48.78%	239 20.10%	1189

Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	metro					Total
	Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	
By law, abortion should never be permitted.	49 16.23%	51 16.56%	18 15.93%	13 9.70%	66 19.41%	197
The law should permit abortion only in case of rape, incest or when the woman's life is in danger.	64	78	26	33	115	316

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	21.19%	25.32%	23.01%	24.63%	33.82%	
Thlwshldprmtbrtnfrsrnsthrthnrp,nc,'	48 15.89%	46 14.94%	20 17.70%	15 11.19%	48 14.12%	177
Bylw,wmnshldlwysbbltbttnbrtnsmttrfp.	122 40.40%	122 39.61%	40 35.40%	68 50.75%	98 28.82%	450
Don't know	19 6.29%	11 3.57%	9 7.96%	5 3.73%	13 3.82%	57
Total	302 25.23%	308 25.73%	113 9.44%	134 11.19%	340 28.40%	1197

Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
By law, abortion should never be permitted.	60 28.17%	60 18.58%	51 11.72%	28 12.39%	199
The law should permit abortion only in case of rape, incest or when the woman's life is in danger.	49 23.00%	65 20.12%	125 28.74%	77 34.07%	316
The law should permit abortion for reasons other than pregnancy, incest, or danger to the woman's life, but only after the	31 14.55%	52 16.10%	60 13.79%	33 14.60%	176
By law, a woman should always be able to obtain an abortion as a matter of personal choice.	64 30.05%	126 39.01%	181 41.61%	79 34.96%	450

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know	9 4.23%	20 6.19%	18 4.14%	9 3.98%	56

Total	213 17.79%	323 26.98%	435 36.34%	226 18.88%	1197
=====					

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q40. Sanctuary Cities

Cell Contents
Count
Column Percent

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Strongly support	56 70.00%	53 49.53%	19 34.55%	87 19.86%	11 11.58%	6 2.94%	22 10.84%	254
Somewhat support	6 7.50%	23 21.50%	25 45.45%	93 21.23%	14 14.74%	23 11.27%	3 1.48%	187
Somewhat oppose	9 11.25%	8 7.48%	4 7.27%	46 10.50%	18 18.95%	19 9.31%	8 3.94%	112
Strongly oppose	3 3.75%	12 11.21%	3 5.45%	109 24.89%	48 50.53%	149 73.04%	158 77.83%	482
Don't know	6 7.50%	11 10.28%	4 7.27%	103 23.52%	4 4.21%	7 3.43%	12 5.91%	147
Total	80 6.77%	107 9.05%	55 4.65%	438 37.06%	95 8.04%	204 17.26%	203 17.17%	1182

Cell Contents
Count
Column Percent

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

=====
                IDEOL
Q              Liberals  Moderates  Conservatives  Total
-----
Strongly support    128         87         39         254
                   53.33%    19.86%    7.75%
-----
Somewhat support   53         93         40         186
                   22.08%    21.23%    7.95%
-----
Somewhat oppose    21         46         45         112
                   8.75%    10.50%    8.95%
-----
Strongly oppose    18        109        356        483
                   7.50%    24.89%   70.78%
-----
Don't know         20        103         23         146
                   8.33%    23.52%    4.57%
-----
Total              240        438        503        1181
                   20.32%   37.09%   42.59%
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|              Count |
|      Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
                PID7
Q              StrDem  WeakDem  LeanDem   Ind  LeanRep  WeakRep  StrRep  Total
-----
Strongly support    119     27     47     17     5     15     10     240
                   50.00%   21.77%  41.59%  12.32%  3.36%  9.09%  4.59%
-----
Somewhat support    43     43     28     25    15     11     12     177

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	18.07%	34.68%	24.78%	18.12%	10.07%	6.67%	5.50%	
Somewhat oppose	18 7.56%	15 12.10%	11 9.73%	18 13.04%	12 8.05%	20 12.12%	13 5.96%	107
Strongly oppose	22 9.24%	14 11.29%	11 9.73%	48 34.78%	112 75.17%	93 56.36%	176 80.73%	476
Don't know	36 15.13%	25 20.16%	16 14.16%	30 21.74%	5 3.36%	26 15.76%	7 3.21%	145
Total	238 20.79%	124 10.83%	113 9.87%	138 12.05%	149 13.01%	165 14.41%	218 19.04%	1145

=====
Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	PID3			
	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	Total
Strongly support	193 40.55%	17 12.32%	31 5.82%	241
Somewhat support	114 23.95%	25 18.12%	38 7.13%	177
Somewhat oppose	45 9.45%	18 13.04%	44 8.26%	107
Strongly oppose	47 9.87%	48 34.78%	381 71.48%	476

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know	77 16.18%	30 21.74%	39 7.32%	146
Total	476 41.50%	138 12.03%	533 46.47%	1147

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|          Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q17A
Q      Republican candidate  Democratic candidate  Tea Party candidate  Don't know  Total
-----
Strongly support      23      205      3      26      257
                     8.01%    44.86%    1.69%    9.42%
-----
Somewhat support     18      115      5      48      186
                     6.27%    25.16%    2.82%    17.39%
-----
Somewhat oppose     29      40      8      37      114
                    10.10%    8.75%    4.52%    13.41%
-----
Strongly oppose     192      35      154     106     487
                    66.90%    7.66%    87.01%    38.41%
-----
Don't know          25      62      7      59      153
                    8.71%    13.57%    3.95%    21.38%
-----
Total              287      457      177     276     1197
                    23.98%    38.18%    14.79%    23.06%
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
educ
Q           No HS   High school graduate   Some college   2-year   4-year   Post-grad   Total
-----
Strongly support      2           88           50           23           65           33           261
                    3.85%          24.11%          16.84%          19.01%          26.64%          27.50%
-----
Somewhat support     18           48           46           20           30           24           186
                    34.62%          13.15%          15.49%          16.53%          12.30%          20.00%
-----
Somewhat oppose      0           33           32           12           23           13           113
                    0.00%           9.04%          10.77%           9.92%           9.43%          10.83%
-----
Strongly oppose     16           146           128           51           108           39           488
                    30.77%          40.00%          43.10%          42.15%          44.26%          32.50%
-----
Don't know           16           50           41           15           18           11           151
                    30.77%          13.70%          13.80%          12.40%           7.38%           9.17%
-----
Total                52           365           297           121           244           120           1199
                    4.34%          30.44%          24.77%          10.09%          20.35%          10.01%
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
ATTEND
Q           More than once a week   Once a week   A few times a month   Once or twice a year   Never   Total
-----

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Strongly support	31 19.38%	42 17.65%	24 17.02%	56 18.42%	109 30.70%	262
Somewhat support	22 13.75%	39 16.39%	29 20.57%	41 13.49%	55 15.49%	186
Somewhat oppose	14 8.75%	19 7.98%	12 8.51%	36 11.84%	31 8.73%	112
Strongly oppose	76 47.50%	112 47.06%	54 38.30%	132 43.42%	114 32.11%	488
Don't know	17 10.62%	26 10.92%	22 15.60%	39 12.83%	46 12.96%	150
Total	160 13.36%	238 19.87%	141 11.77%	304 25.38%	355 29.63%	1198

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	race								
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern	Total
Strongly support	132 18.51%	36 24.66%	81 28.12%	1 20.00%	1 11.11%	8 26.67%	2 25.00%	0 0.00%	261
Somewhat support	83 11.64%	23 15.75%	71 24.65%	1 20.00%	1 11.11%	6 20.00%	1 12.50%	0 0.00%	186
Somewhat oppose	58 8.13%	21 14.38%	30 10.42%	1 20.00%	0 0.00%	2 6.67%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	112

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Strongly oppose	380 53.30%	22 15.07%	64 22.22%	1 20.00%	6 66.67%	10 33.33%	5 62.50%	1 100.00%	489
Don't know	60 8.42%	44 30.14%	42 14.58%	1 20.00%	1 11.11%	4 13.33%	0 0.00%	0 0.00%	152
Total	713 59.42%	146 12.17%	288 24.00%	5 0.42%	9 0.75%	30 2.50%	8 0.67%	1 0.08%	1200

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	MARITAL	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	Total
Strongly support	136 20.39%	3 15.00%	23 19.83%	7 11.48%	79 29.26%	13 20.97%	261	
Somewhat support	93 13.94%	4 20.00%	25 21.55%	10 16.39%	47 17.41%	7 11.29%	186	
Somewhat oppose	55 8.25%	3 15.00%	12 10.34%	4 6.56%	24 8.89%	14 22.58%	112	
Strongly oppose	328 49.18%	9 45.00%	39 33.62%	31 50.82%	66 24.44%	14 22.58%	487	
Don't know	55 8.25%	1 5.00%	17 14.66%	9 14.75%	54 20.00%	14 22.58%	150	
Total	667	20	116	61	270	62	1196	

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

55.77% 1.67% 9.70% 5.10% 22.58% 5.18%

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|          Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
Q          gender
          Male   Female   Total
-----
Strongly support    123     138     261
                   21.93%  21.60%
-----
Somewhat support    94      92     186
                   16.76%  14.40%
-----
Somewhat oppose     39      73     112
                   6.95%   11.42%
-----
Strongly oppose     244     245     489
                   43.49%  38.34%
-----
Don't know          61      91     152
                   10.87%  14.24%
-----
Total                561     639    1200
                   46.75%  53.25%
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|          Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

=====
LOCATE
Q      Urban      Suburban      Rural      Total
-----
Strongly support      109      112      38      259
                    29.46%    19.28%    15.83%
-----
Somewhat support      63      89      30      182
                    17.03%    15.32%    12.50%
-----
Somewhat oppose      40      52      19      111
                    10.81%    8.95%    7.92%
-----
Strongly oppose      90      270      128      488
                    24.32%    46.47%    53.33%
-----
Don't know      68      58      25      151
                    18.38%    9.98%    10.42%
-----
Total      370      581      240      1191
                    31.07%    48.78%    20.15%
=====

```

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|          Count |
|      Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
metro
Q      Houston Area      Dallas-Fort Worth Area      San Antonio Area      Austin Area      Another Part of TX      Total
-----
Strongly support      59      68      22      44      68      261
                    19.54%    22.01%    19.13%    32.59%    19.94%
-----
Somewhat support      46      45      16      26      52      185

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	15.23%	14.56%	13.91%	19.26%	15.25%	
Somewhat oppose	29 9.60%	30 9.71%	10 8.70%	9 6.67%	35 10.26%	113
Strongly oppose	121 40.07%	127 41.10%	57 49.57%	38 28.15%	147 43.11%	490
Don't know	47 15.56%	39 12.62%	10 8.70%	18 13.33%	39 11.44%	153
Total	302 25.12%	309 25.71%	115 9.57%	135 11.23%	341 28.37%	1202

=====
Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Strongly support	69 32.24%	82 25.31%	76 17.39%	34 15.04%	261
Somewhat support	49 22.90%	55 16.98%	61 13.96%	21 9.29%	186
Somewhat oppose	26 12.15%	41 12.65%	34 7.78%	13 5.75%	114
Strongly oppose	35 16.36%	96 29.63%	225 51.49%	132 58.41%	488

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know	35	50	41	26	152
	16.36%	15.43%	9.38%	11.50%	

Total	214	324	437	226	1201
	17.82%	26.98%	36.39%	18.82%	
=====					

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q41A. How should the Legislature address budget shortfall?

Cell Contents

	Count
	Column Percent

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Crt nw srcls o r, s a n f a/ t	29 37.18%	27 25.71%	14 25.93%	82 19.16%	11 11.34%	18 8.91%	21 10.34%	202
Incrs exstng s o r b r f a/ t	20 25.64%	29 27.62%	14 25.93%	44 10.28%	14 14.43%	6 2.97%	19 9.36%	146
Mk acrss-th-b c t a s g p a a	4 5.13%	17 16.19%	7 12.96%	95 22.20%	21 21.65%	48 23.76%	48 23.65%	240
Mk trgted cts t sp c s g p a a	25 32.05%	32 30.48%	19 35.19%	207 48.36%	51 52.58%	130 64.36%	115 56.65%	579
Total	78 6.68%	105 9.00%	54 4.63%	428 36.68%	97 8.31%	202 17.31%	203 17.40%	1167

Cell Contents

	Count
	Column Percent

Q	IDEOL			Total
	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives	

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Create new sources of revenue, such as new fees and/or taxes	70 29.54%	82 19.16%	50 9.98%	202
Increase existing sources of revenue by raising fees and/or taxes	63 26.58%	44 10.28%	39 7.78%	146
Make across-the-board cuts to all state government programs and agencies	28 11.81%	95 22.20%	117 23.35%	240
Make targeted cuts to specifically chosen state government programs and agencies	76 32.07%	207 48.36%	295 58.88%	578
Total	237 20.33%	428 36.71%	501 42.97%	1166

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	PID7							Total
	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	
Create new sources of revenue, such as new fees and/or taxes	76 32.76%	28 23.14%	21 18.75%	19 13.87%	11 7.33%	18 11.11%	20 9.17%	193
Increase existing sources of revenue by raising fees and/or txs	49 21.12%	29 23.97%	25 22.32%	5 3.65%	4 2.67%	12 7.41%	12 5.50%	136
Make across-the-board cuts to all stat gvrnmnt prgrms and agncs	22 9.48%	34 28.10%	7 6.25%	46 33.58%	28 18.67%	36 22.22%	54 24.77%	227
Make targeted cuts t spcfclly chsn stt gvrnmnt prgrms and agncs	85	30	59	67	107	96	132	576

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	36.64%	24.79%	52.68%	48.91%	71.33%	59.26%	60.55%	
Total	232	121	112	137	150	162	218	1132
	20.49%	10.69%	9.89%	12.10%	13.25%	14.31%	19.26%	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

	PID3			Total
Q	Democrats	Independents	Republicans	
Create new sources of revenue, such as new fees and/or taxes	125	19	49	193
	26.88%	13.87%	9.25%	
Increase existing sources of revenue by raising fees and/or taxes	103	5	28	136
	22.15%	3.65%	5.28%	
Make across-the-board cuts to all state government programs and agencies	63	46	118	227
	13.55%	33.58%	22.26%	
Make targeted cuts to specifically chosen state government programs and agencies	174	67	335	576
	37.42%	48.91%	63.21%	
Total	465	137	530	1132
	41.08%	12.10%	46.82%	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	Q17A				Total
	Republican candidate	Democratic candidate	Tea Party candidate	Don't know	
Create new sources of rvn, sch as nw fs and/r txs	34 11.89%	122 27.23%	3 1.69%	45 16.61%	204
Increase existng srcls of rvn by rsng fs and/r txs	26 9.09%	104 23.21%	2 1.13%	15 5.54%	147
Mk acrss-th-brd cts t all stt gvrnmnt prgrms an a	52 18.18%	70 15.62%	56 31.64%	60 22.14%	238
Mk trgted cts t spcfcly chsn stt gvrnmnt prgr a a	174 60.84%	152 33.93%	116 65.54%	151 55.72%	593
Total	286 24.20%	448 37.90%	177 14.97%	271 22.93%	1182

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Create new sources of revenue, sch as nw fs and/r txs	7 13.46%	69 19.17%	45 15.57%	13 10.74%	49 20.16%	21 17.65%	204
Increase existing sources of rvn by rsng fs and/r txs	5 9.62%	50 13.89%	30 10.38%	14 11.57%	30 12.35%	17 14.29%	146
Mk acrss-th-brd cts t all stt gvrnmnt prgrms and agnc	26	58	68	29	48	13	242

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	50.00%	16.11%	23.53%	23.97%	19.75%	10.92%	
Mk trgtd cts t spcfcly chsn stt gvrnmnt prgrms and a	14 26.92%	183 50.83%	146 50.52%	65 53.72%	116 47.74%	68 57.14%	592
Total	52 4.39%	360 30.41%	289 24.41%	121 10.22%	243 20.52%	119 10.05%	1184

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

Q	ATTEND					Total
	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	
Crt nw srcls of rvn, sch as nw fs an/ t	25 15.62%	36 15.38%	33 23.40%	46 15.23%	65 18.62%	205
Incrs exstng srcls of rvn by rsn f a/ t	16 10.00%	40 17.09%	8 5.67%	24 7.95%	58 16.62%	146
Mk acrss-th-brd cts t all stt gv p a a	28 17.50%	55 23.50%	41 29.08%	67 22.19%	51 14.61%	242
Mk trgtd cts t spcfcly chsn s g p a a	91 56.88%	103 44.02%	59 41.84%	165 54.64%	175 50.14%	593
Total	160 13.49%	234 19.73%	141 11.89%	302 25.46%	349 29.43%	1186

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           |
|           |
|           |
|           |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Column Percent

Q	race White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern	Total
Crt nw s o r, s a n f a/ t	117 16.55%	33 23.08%	43 15.25%	2 33.33%	1 11.11%	7 23.33%	1 11.11%	0 0.00%	204
Incrs exs s o r b r f a/ t	71 10.04%	29 20.28%	41 14.54%	1 16.67%	1 11.11%	3 10.00%	1 11.11%	0 0.00%	147
Mk acrss-- c t a s g p a a	132 18.67%	15 10.49%	85 30.14%	1 16.67%	4 44.44%	5 16.67%	0 0.00%	1 100.00%	243
Mk trgt d c t s c s g p a a	387 54.74%	66 46.15%	113 40.07%	2 33.33%	3 33.33%	15 50.00%	7 77.78%	0 0.00%	593
Total	707 59.56%	143 12.05%	282 23.76%	6 0.51%	9 0.76%	30 2.53%	9 0.76%	1 0.08%	1187

Cell Contents

|-----|
| Count |
Column Percent

Q	MARITAL Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	Total
Create new sources of revenue, such as new fs and/r txs	108 16.36%	4 20.00%	22 19.30%	6 10.53%	58 21.72%	6 9.84%	204
Increase existing sources of reven by rsng fs and/r txs	64	1	12	9	48	11	145

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	9.70%	5.00%	10.53%	15.79%	17.98%	18.03%	
Mak acrss-th-brd cts t all stt gvrnmnt prgrms and agnacs	148 22.42%	7 35.00%	13 11.40%	14 24.56%	46 17.23%	13 21.31%	241
Mk trgted cts t spcfcilly chsn stt gvrnmnt prgrms and agn	340 51.52%	8 40.00%	67 58.77%	28 49.12%	115 43.07%	31 50.82%	589
Total	660 55.98%	20 1.70%	114 9.67%	57 4.83%	267 22.65%	61 5.17%	1179

Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent
-------	----------------

Q	gender		
	Male	Female	Total
Create new sources of revenue, such as new fees and/or taxes	87 15.56%	117 18.69%	204
Increase existing sources of revenue by raising fees and/or taxes	70 12.52%	76 12.14%	146
Make across-the-board cuts to all state government programs and agencies	118 21.11%	124 19.81%	242
Make targeted cuts to specifically chosen state government programs and agencies	284 50.81%	309 49.36%	593
Total	559 47.17%	626 52.83%	1185

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	LOCATE			
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Create new sources of revenue, such as new fees and/or taxes	91 24.73%	82 14.24%	29 12.45%	202
Increase existing sources of revenue by raising fees and/or taxes	62 16.85%	67 11.63%	13 5.58%	142
Make across-the-board cuts to all state government programs and agencies	68 18.48%	116 20.14%	58 24.89%	242
Make targeted cuts to specifically chosen state government programs and agencies	147 39.95%	311 53.99%	133 57.08%	591
Total	368 31.27%	576 48.94%	233 19.80%	1177

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	metro					Total
	Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	
Crt nw srcls of rvn, sch as nw f a/ t	54	51	18	28	54	205

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	17.88%	16.67%	16.22%	21.05%	16.17%	
Incrs exstng srcls of rvn by r f a/ t	39 12.91%	45 14.71%	18 16.22%	18 13.53%	26 7.78%	146
Mk acrss-th-brd cts t all st g p a a	69 22.85%	51 16.67%	26 23.42%	14 10.53%	82 24.55%	242
Mk trgted cts t spcfcly ch s g p a a	140 46.36%	159 51.96%	49 44.14%	73 54.89%	172 51.50%	593
Total	302 25.46%	306 25.80%	111 9.36%	133 11.21%	334 28.16%	1186

Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Create new sources of revenue, such as new fees and/or taxes	45 21.13%	69 21.43%	65 15.12%	25 11.36%	204
Increase existing sources of revenue by raising fees and/or taxes	45 21.13%	43 13.35%	38 8.84%	21 9.55%	147
Make across-the-board cuts to all state government programs and agencies	40 18.78%	64 19.88%	91 21.16%	46 20.91%	241
Make targeted cuts to specifically chosen state government programs and agencies	83 38.97%	146 45.34%	236 54.88%	128 58.18%	593

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	213	322	430	220	1185
	17.97%	27.17%	36.29%	18.57%	

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q41B. Should the Legislature use the Rainy Day Fund?

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	LIBCON7							Total
	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	
Yes	41 51.25%	63 59.43%	29 54.72%	200 45.77%	30 31.58%	75 36.95%	65 31.86%	503
No	17 21.25%	22 20.75%	11 20.75%	109 24.94%	41 43.16%	84 41.38%	88 43.14%	372
Don't know/No opinion	22 27.50%	21 19.81%	13 24.53%	128 29.29%	24 25.26%	44 21.67%	51 25.00%	303
Total	80 6.79%	106 9.00%	53 4.50%	437 37.10%	95 8.06%	203 17.23%	204 17.32%	1178

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	IDEOL			Total
	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives	
Yes	134 55.83%	200 45.77%	171 34.00%	505

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

No	50 20.83%	109 24.94%	213 42.35%	372
Don't know/No opinion	56 23.33%	128 29.29%	119 23.66%	303
Total	240 20.34%	437 37.03%	503 42.63%	1180

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	PID7							Total
	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	
Yes	133 55.88%	64 51.61%	65 57.52%	55 40.15%	35 23.18%	55 33.33%	84 38.53%	491
No	42 17.65%	28 22.58%	21 18.58%	48 35.04%	85 56.29%	48 29.09%	89 40.83%	361
Don't know/No opinion	63 26.47%	32 25.81%	27 23.89%	34 24.82%	31 20.53%	62 37.58%	45 20.64%	294
Total	238 20.77%	124 10.82%	113 9.86%	137 11.95%	151 13.18%	165 14.40%	218 19.02%	1146

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

|-----|

```
=====
Q          PID3
          Democrats  Independents  Republicans  Total
-----
Yes          261          55          174          490
          54.83%        40.15%        32.65%
-----
No           92           48          221          361
          19.33%        35.04%        41.46%
-----
Don't know/No opinion  123          34          138          295
          25.84%        24.82%        25.89%
-----
Total        476          137          533          1146
          41.54%        11.95%        46.51%
=====
```

Cell Contents

```
|-----|
|          Count |
|      Column Percent |
|-----|
```

```
=====
Q          Q17A
          Republican candidate  Democratic candidate  Tea Party candidate  Don't know  Total
-----
Yes          106          262          52          92          512
          36.93%        57.21%        29.55%        33.45%
-----
No           95           87          104          89          375
          33.10%        19.00%        59.09%        32.36%
-----
Don't know/No opinion  86           109          20          94          309
          29.97%        23.80%        11.36%        34.18%
=====
```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Total	287 24.00%	458 38.29%	176 14.72%	275 22.99%	1196
-------	---------------	---------------	---------------	---------------	------

Cell Contents	
	Count
	Column Percent

Q	educ							Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad		
Yes	22 42.31%	153 41.92%	120 40.40%	56 46.28%	102 41.80%	60 50.42%	513	
No	8 15.38%	103 28.22%	102 34.34%	35 28.93%	93 38.11%	35 29.41%	376	
Don't know/No opinion	22 42.31%	109 29.86%	75 25.25%	30 24.79%	49 20.08%	24 20.17%	309	
Total	52 4.34%	365 30.47%	297 24.79%	121 10.10%	244 20.37%	119 9.93%	1198	

Cell Contents	
	Count
	Column Percent

Q	ATTEND					Total
	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Yes	77 48.43%	84 35.29%	65 45.77%	129 42.43%	159 44.79%	514
No	41 25.79%	99 41.60%	35 24.65%	98 32.24%	103 29.01%	376
Don't know/No opinion	41 25.79%	55 23.11%	42 29.58%	77 25.33%	93 26.20%	308
Total	159 13.27%	238 19.87%	142 11.85%	304 25.38%	355 29.63%	1198

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	race									Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern		
Yes	286 40.11%	81 55.86%	123 42.86%	2 33.33%	2 22.22%	17 56.67%	4 44.44%	0 0.00%		515
No	247 34.64%	22 15.17%	89 31.01%	2 33.33%	6 66.67%	6 20.00%	3 33.33%	1 100.00%		376
Don't know/No opinion	180 25.25%	42 28.97%	75 26.13%	2 33.33%	1 11.11%	7 23.33%	2 22.22%	0 0.00%		309
Total	713 59.42%	145 12.08%	287 23.92%	6 0.50%	9 0.75%	30 2.50%	9 0.75%	1 0.08%		1200

=====
Cell Contents

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	Count
	Column Percent

Q	MARITAL						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	
Yes	278 41.74%	10 50.00%	65 56.03%	19 31.15%	119 44.07%	23 37.10%	514
No	234 35.14%	5 25.00%	26 22.41%	21 34.43%	75 27.78%	14 22.58%	375
Don't know/No opinion	154 23.12%	5 25.00%	25 21.55%	21 34.43%	76 28.15%	25 40.32%	306
Total	666 55.73%	20 1.67%	116 9.71%	61 5.10%	270 22.59%	62 5.19%	1195

Cell Contents

	Count
	Column Percent

Q	gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Yes	268 47.77%	246 38.50%	514
No	202 36.01%	175 27.39%	377

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know/No opinion	91	218	309
	16.22%	34.12%	

Total	561	639	1200
	46.75%	53.25%	

=====
 Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

=====
 LOCATE

Q	Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Yes	194 52.29%	224 38.49%	93 38.75%	511
No	77 20.75%	214 36.77%	83 34.58%	374
Don't know/No opinion	100 26.95%	144 24.74%	64 26.67%	308
Total	371 31.10%	582 48.78%	240 20.12%	1193

=====
 Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

=====
 metro

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	Total
Yes	125 41.25%	115 37.22%	64 55.65%	65 48.87%	146 42.94%	515
No	97 32.01%	106 34.30%	28 24.35%	32 24.06%	113 33.24%	376
Don't know/No opinion	81 26.73%	88 28.48%	23 20.00%	36 27.07%	81 23.82%	309
Total	303 25.25%	309 25.75%	115 9.58%	133 11.08%	340 28.33%	1200

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Yes	77 35.98%	145 44.75%	209 47.94%	83 36.89%	514
No	57 26.64%	94 29.01%	144 33.03%	82 36.44%	377
Don't know/No opinion	80 37.38%	85 26.23%	83 19.04%	60 26.67%	308
Total	214 17.85%	324 27.02%	436 36.36%	225 18.77%	1199

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q42A. Generally speaking, do you approve or disapprove of the Texas Legislature passing laws designed to override measures adopted by local governments?

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

```
=====
```

	LIBCON7							
Q	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	Total
Approve	10 21.74%	4 8.33%	2 8.00%	49 21.97%	13 30.95%	39 39.80%	49 50.52%	166
Disapprove	29 63.04%	34 70.83%	16 64.00%	88 39.46%	16 38.10%	27 27.55%	17 17.53%	227
Don't know/No opinion	7 15.22%	10 20.83%	7 28.00%	86 38.57%	13 30.95%	32 32.65%	31 31.96%	186
Total	46 7.94%	48 8.29%	25 4.32%	223 38.51%	42 7.25%	98 16.93%	97 16.75%	579

```
=====
```

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

```
=====
```

	IDEOL			
Q	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives	Total
Approve	16	49	101	166

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	13.45%	21.97%	42.62%	
Disapprove	79	88	60	227
	66.39%	39.46%	25.32%	
Don't know/No opinion	24	86	76	186
	20.17%	38.57%	32.07%	
Total	119	223	237	579
	20.55%	38.51%	40.93%	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	PID7							Total
	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	
Approve	11	13	11	14	32	26	52	159
	10.00%	21.67%	20.75%	17.07%	44.44%	31.71%	49.52%	
Disapprove	71	26	31	30	18	23	24	223
	64.55%	43.33%	58.49%	36.59%	25.00%	28.05%	22.86%	
Don't know/No opinion	28	21	11	38	22	33	29	182
	25.45%	35.00%	20.75%	46.34%	30.56%	40.24%	27.62%	
Total	110	60	53	82	72	82	105	564
	19.50%	10.64%	9.40%	14.54%	12.77%	14.54%	18.62%	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Column Percent

```

=====
Q          PID3
          Democrats  Independents  Republicans  Total
-----
Approve    35          14          109         158
           15.56%     17.07%     42.25%
-----
Disapprove 129          30          65         224
           57.33%     36.59%     25.19%
-----
Don't know/No opinion 61          38          84         183
           27.11%     46.34%     32.56%
-----
Total      225          82          258         565
           39.82%     14.51%     45.66%
=====

```

Cell Contents
|-----|
| Count |
Column Percent

```

=====
Q          Q17A
          Republican candidate  Democratic candidate  Tea Party candidate  Don't know  Total
-----
Approve    67          36          47          19         169
           48.55%     14.81%     50.54%     16.38%
-----
Disapprove 30          140         21          41         232
           21.74%     57.61%     22.58%     35.34%
-----
Don't know/No opinion 41          67          25          56         189
-----

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	29.71%	27.57%	26.88%	48.28%	
Total	138	243	93	116	590
	23.39%	41.19%	15.76%	19.66%	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Approve	10	53	46	13	36	15	173
	28.57%	32.32%	33.09%	20.31%	28.12%	23.44%	
Disapprove	9	46	55	31	57	33	231
	25.71%	28.05%	39.57%	48.44%	44.53%	51.56%	
Don't know/No opinion	16	65	38	20	35	16	190
	45.71%	39.63%	27.34%	31.25%	27.34%	25.00%	
Total	35	164	139	64	128	64	594
	5.89%	27.61%	23.40%	10.77%	21.55%	10.77%	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	ATTEND					Total
	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Approve	29 37.66%	47 39.50%	20 27.78%	35 23.81%	42 23.73%	173
Disapprove	26 33.77%	26 21.85%	23 31.94%	56 38.10%	100 56.50%	231
Don't know/No opinion	22 28.57%	46 38.66%	29 40.28%	56 38.10%	35 19.77%	188
Total	77 13.01%	119 20.10%	72 12.16%	147 24.83%	177 29.90%	592

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	race									Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern		
Approve	105 29.25%	19 27.14%	38 29.23%	3 50.00%	1 14.29%	2 12.50%	4 80.00%	0 NaN%		172
Disapprove	150 41.78%	24 34.29%	50 38.46%	1 16.67%	2 28.57%	4 25.00%	1 20.00%	0 NaN%		232
Don't know/No opinion	104 28.97%	27 38.57%	42 32.31%	2 33.33%	4 57.14%	10 62.50%	0 0.00%	0 NaN%		189
Total	359 60.54%	70 11.80%	130 21.92%	6 1.01%	7 1.18%	16 2.70%	5 0.84%	0 0.00%		593

Cell Contents

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

```

|-----|
|           Count |
|       Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
MARITAL
Q      Married   Separated   Divorced   Widowed   Single   Domestic Partnership   Total
-----
Approve      103         1         17         5         39         9         174
              31.31%     11.11%     30.91%     16.13%     28.26%         29.03%
-----
Disapprove   130         4         19         11        53         15         232
              39.51%     44.44%     34.55%     35.48%     38.41%         48.39%
-----
Don't know/No opinion  96         4         19         15         46         7         187
              29.18%     44.44%     34.55%     48.39%     33.33%         22.58%
-----
Total        329         9         55         31        138         31         593
              55.48%     1.52%      9.27%      5.23%     23.27%         5.23%
=====

```

Cell Contents

```

|-----|
|           Count |
|       Column Percent |
|-----|

```

```

=====
gender
Q      Male   Female   Total
-----
Approve      107     65     172
              39.19%  20.25%
-----
Disapprove   116     116     232
              42.49%  36.14%

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know/No opinion	50	140	190
	18.32%	43.61%	
Total	273	321	594
	45.96%	54.04%	

=====
 Cell Contents
 |-----|
 | Count |
Column Percent

Q	LOCATE			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Approve	64	77	29	170
	35.16%	25.41%	27.62%	
Disapprove	62	128	41	231
	34.07%	42.24%	39.05%	
Don't know/No opinion	56	98	35	189
	30.77%	32.34%	33.33%	
Total	182	303	105	590
	30.85%	51.36%	17.80%	

=====
 Cell Contents
 |-----|
 | Count |
Column Percent

=====
 Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	metro					Total
	Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	
Approve	49 28.82%	29 19.73%	16 32.65%	28 34.57%	50 34.48%	172
Disapprove	56 32.94%	61 41.50%	15 30.61%	38 46.91%	61 42.07%	231
Don't know/No opinion	65 38.24%	57 38.78%	18 36.73%	15 18.52%	34 23.45%	189
Total	170 28.72%	147 24.83%	49 8.28%	81 13.68%	145 24.49%	592

=====
Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Approve	32 29.36%	49 30.82%	61 28.37%	30 27.27%	172
Disapprove	33 30.28%	63 39.62%	90 41.86%	45 40.91%	231
Don't know/No opinion	44 40.37%	47 29.56%	64 29.77%	35 31.82%	190
Total	109 18.38%	159 26.81%	215 36.26%	110 18.55%	593

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

=====

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q42B. Generally speaking, do you approve or disapprove of the Texas Legislature passing laws designed to override measures adopted by voters in city and county elections?

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

```
=====
```

	LIBCON7							
Q	Extremely lib.	Somewhat lib.	Lean lib.	Moderate	Lean con.	Somewhat con.	Extremely con.	Total
Approve	0 0.00%	1 1.72%	2 6.90%	17 7.94%	6 11.11%	28 26.42%	33 31.13%	87
Disapprove	30 88.24%	46 79.31%	21 72.41%	115 53.74%	29 53.70%	51 48.11%	48 45.28%	340
Don't know/No opinion	4 11.76%	11 18.97%	6 20.69%	82 38.32%	19 35.19%	27 25.47%	25 23.58%	174
Total	34 5.66%	58 9.65%	29 4.83%	214 35.61%	54 8.99%	106 17.64%	106 17.64%	601

```
=====
```

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

```
=====
```

	IDEOL			
Q	Liberals	Moderates	Conservatives	Total
Approve	4	17	67	88

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	3.28%	7.94%	25.19%	
Disapprove	97	115	128	340
	79.51%	53.74%	48.12%	
Don't know/No opinion	21	82	71	174
	17.21%	38.32%	26.69%	
Total	122	214	266	602
	20.27%	35.55%	44.19%	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|           Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	PID7							Total
	StrDem	WeakDem	LeanDem	Ind	LeanRep	WeakRep	StrRep	
Approve	10	10	0	4	23	15	26	88
	7.87%	15.62%	0.00%	7.14%	29.49%	17.86%	23.21%	
Disapprove	89	33	37	37	37	43	52	328
	70.08%	51.56%	61.67%	66.07%	47.44%	51.19%	46.43%	
Don't know/No opinion	28	21	23	15	18	26	34	165
	22.05%	32.81%	38.33%	26.79%	23.08%	30.95%	30.36%	
Total	127	64	60	56	78	84	112	581
	21.86%	11.02%	10.33%	9.64%	13.43%	14.46%	19.28%	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Column Percent

```

=====
                PID3
Q              Democrats  Independents  Republicans  Total
-----
Approve                20             4             63            87
                       7.97%          7.14%        23.08%
-----
Disapprove             159            37            132           328
                       63.35%        66.07%        48.35%
-----
Don't know/No opinion   72             15             78           165
                       28.69%        26.79%        28.57%
-----
Total                  251            56            273           580
                       43.28%        9.66%         47.07%
=====

```

Cell Contents

|-----|
| Count |
Column Percent

```

=====
                Q17A
Q              Republican candidate  Democratic candidate  Tea Party candidate  Don't know  Total
-----
Approve                34             23             21             9            87
                       22.97%        10.75%        25.00%        5.70%
-----
Disapprove             75            144            46             75           340
                       50.68%        67.29%        54.76%        47.47%
-----
Don't know/No opinion   39             47             17             74           177
-----

```

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

	26.35%	21.96%	20.24%	46.84%	
Total	148	214	84	158	604
	24.50%	35.43%	13.91%	26.16%	

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|       Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	educ						Total
	No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Approve	1 5.88%	32 16.00%	17 10.62%	6 10.34%	19 16.24%	13 23.21%	88
Disapprove	5 29.41%	101 50.50%	96 60.00%	30 51.72%	71 60.68%	38 67.86%	341
Don't know/No opinion	11 64.71%	67 33.50%	47 29.38%	22 37.93%	27 23.08%	5 8.93%	179
Total	17 2.80%	200 32.89%	160 26.32%	58 9.54%	117 19.24%	56 9.21%	608

```

=====
Cell Contents
|-----|
|           Count |
|       Column Percent |
|-----|

```

Q	ATTEND					Total
	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Approve	12 14.63%	34 28.81%	8 11.59%	15 9.55%	19 10.73%	88
Disapprove	45 54.88%	58 49.15%	43 62.32%	88 56.05%	104 58.76%	338
Don't know/No opinion	25 30.49%	26 22.03%	18 26.09%	54 34.39%	54 30.51%	177
Total	82 13.60%	118 19.57%	69 11.44%	157 26.04%	177 29.35%	603

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	race									Total
	White / Blanco	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native American	Mixed	Other	Middle Eastern		
Approve	56 15.91%	9 12.00%	17 10.83%	0 NaN%	0 0.00%	3 23.08%	0 0.00%		1 100.00%	86
Disapprove	204 57.95%	43 57.33%	85 54.14%	0 NaN%	2 100.00%	5 38.46%	1 33.33%		0 0.00%	340
Don't know/No opinion	92 26.14%	23 30.67%	55 35.03%	0 NaN%	0 0.00%	5 38.46%	2 66.67%		0 0.00%	177
Total	352 58.37%	75 12.44%	157 26.04%	0 0.00%	2 0.33%	13 2.16%	3 0.50%		1 0.17%	603

Cell Contents

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Count
Column Percent

Q	MARITAL						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic Partnership	
Approve	54 15.98%	1 8.33%	4 6.67%	6 20.69%	20 15.27%	1 3.12%	86
Disapprove	196 57.99%	8 66.67%	39 65.00%	16 55.17%	61 46.56%	19 59.38%	339
Don't know/No opinion	88 26.04%	3 25.00%	17 28.33%	7 24.14%	50 38.17%	12 37.50%	177
Total	338 56.15%	12 1.99%	60 9.97%	29 4.82%	131 21.76%	32 5.32%	602

Cell Contents

Count
Column Percent

Q	gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Approve	57 19.72%	31 9.81%	88
Disapprove	159 55.02%	181 57.28%	340

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Don't know/No opinion	73	104	177
	25.26%	32.91%	
Total	289	316	605
	47.77%	52.23%	

=====
 Cell Contents
 |-----|
 | Count |
Column Percent

Q	LOCATE			Total
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	
Approve	29	40	18	87
	15.43%	14.55%	13.43%	
Disapprove	106	158	74	338
	56.38%	57.45%	55.22%	
Don't know/No opinion	53	77	42	172
	28.19%	28.00%	31.34%	
Total	188	275	134	597
	31.49%	46.06%	22.45%	

=====
 Cell Contents
 |-----|
 | Count |
Column Percent

=====
 Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
 N=1200 Registered Voters
 Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

Q	metro Houston Area	Dallas-Fort Worth Area	San Antonio Area	Austin Area	Another Part of TX	Total
Approve	23 17.56%	24 15.00%	7 10.77%	10 18.52%	24 12.37%	88
Disapprove	81 61.83%	94 58.75%	40 61.54%	25 46.30%	100 51.55%	340
Don't know/No opinion	27 20.61%	42 26.25%	18 27.69%	19 35.19%	70 36.08%	176
Total	131 21.69%	160 26.49%	65 10.76%	54 8.94%	194 32.12%	604

=====
Cell Contents

Count	Column Percent

Q	AGEG				Total
	18-29	30-44	45-64	65+	
Approve	21 20.19%	11 6.71%	29 13.06%	27 23.68%	88
Disapprove	47 45.19%	99 60.37%	132 59.46%	61 53.51%	339
Don't know/No opinion	36 34.62%	54 32.93%	61 27.48%	26 22.81%	177
Total	104 17.22%	164 27.15%	222 36.75%	114 18.87%	604

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017

N=1200 Registered Voters

Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample

=====

Field Dates: February 3 to 12, 2017
N=1200 Registered Voters
Margin of Error: +/- 2.83% (3.28% adjusted for weighting) for full sample