## UT-AUSTIN TEXAS STATEWIDE POLL JUNE 2009 CROSSTABS

Registered to Vote	3-6
Approval Ratings	
Gov. Rick Perry	7-13
State Legislature	13-20
Pres. Barack Obama	20-26
Obama's Handling of Economy	26-32
U.S. Congress.	32-38
2010 Primary Election Contests	
Turnout in 2010 Primary Election	39-47
Republican Gubernatorial Primary	48-54
Democratic Gubernatorial Primary	54-61
Mood Questions	
Country's Economy	62-69
Personal Economic Situation	70-75
Importance of Poverty	75-80
"Anxious/worried"	80-85
"Hopeful/optimistic"	85-90
Support for Sotomayor nomination	
Support Sotomayor as "First Latina" Justice	91-99
Support Sotomayor as "First Puerto Rican" Justice	100-108
Ideological Placements	
Self – Government Role in Poverty Alleviation	109-116
Obama – Government Role in Poverty Alleviation	116-123
GOP – Government Role in Poverty Alleviation	123-130
Self – Illegal Immigration	130-137
Obama – Illegal Immigration	138-146
GOP – Illegal Immigration	147-155
Self – Health Care Policy	156-164

Obama – Health Care Policy	
GOP – Health Care Policy	
State Policy Questions	
Legislative Control of Tuition Rates	
State Revenue for Higher Education	
In-State Tuition for Illegal Immigrants	
Bilingual Education	
Enforcement of Federal Immigration Laws	
Photo ID to Vote	
Gambling Policy	
Gay Marriage.	
Ban on Smoking in Public Places	
Federal Stimulus Money	
Geographic Identification	

## Registered to vote in Texas \* Ideology Crosstabulation

						Ideo	logy			
			1 - Extremely liberal	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Extremely conservative	Total
Registered to vote in Texas	Yes	Count	36	66	84	196	137	154	116	789
		% within Ideology	83.7%	91.7%	80.0%	74.8%	91.9%	92.2%	95.1%	85.8%
	No	Count	6	6	18	55	12	13	4	114
		% within Ideology	14.0%	8.3%	17.1%	21.0%	8.1%	7.8%	3.3%	12.4%
	Not sure	Count	1	0	3	11	0	0	2	17
		% within Ideology	2.3%	.0%	2.9%	4.2%	.0%	.0%	1.6%	1.8%
	Total	Count	43	72	105	262	149	167	122	920
		% within Ideology	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Registered to vote in Texas \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

	-	-				7 po	int Party ID				
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Registered to vote in	Yes	Count	141	97	65	95	104	100	167	22	791
Texas		% within 7 point Party ID	91.6%	83.6%	83.3%	70.9%	95.4%	93.5%	93.3%	45.8%	85.5%
	No	Count	13	18	13	35	4	7	11	16	117
		% within 7 point Party ID	8.4%	15.5%	16.7%	26.1%	3.7%	6.5%	6.1%	33.3%	12.6%
	Not sure	Count	0	1	0	4	1	0	1	10	17
·		% within 7 point Party ID	.0%	.9%	.0%	3.0%	.9%	.0%	.6%	20.8%	1.8%
-	Total	Count	154	116	78	134	109	107	179	48	925
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Registered to vote in Texas \* Family income Crosstabulation

	_	-	Family income															
			less than \$10,000	\$10,000 - \$14,999	\$15,000 - \$19,999	\$20,000 - \$24,999	\$25,000 - \$29,999	\$30,000 - \$39,999	\$40,000 - \$49,999	\$50,000 - \$59,999	\$60,000 - \$69,999	\$70,000 - \$79,999	\$80,000 - \$99,999	\$100,000 - \$119,999	\$120,000 - \$149,999	\$150,000 or more	Prefer not to say	Total
Registered to	Yes	Count	19	24	24	49	54	80	90	72	46	71	73	42	37	44	66	791
vote in Texas		% within Family income	73.1%	85.7%	77.4%	75.4%	81.8%	86.0%	83.3%	84.7%	80.7%	84.5%	98.6%	97.7%	92.5%	95.7%	83.5%	85.5%
	No	Count	7	4	6	12	12	11	17	13	11	13	1	1	2	2	6	118
		% within Family income	26.9%	14.3%	19.4%	18.5%	18.2%	11.8%	15.7%	15.3%	19.3%	15.5%	1.4%	2.3%	5.0%	4.3%	7.6%	12.8%
	Not	Count	0	0	1	4	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	7	16
	sure	% within Family income	.0%	.0%	3.2%	6.2%	.0%	2.2%	.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.5%	.0%	8.9%	1.7%
	Tota	I Count	26	28	31	65	66	93	108	85	57	84	74	43	40	46	79	925
		% within Family income	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Education High school graduate Some college Post-grad No HS 2-year 4-year Total 232 221 56 Registered to vote in Texas Yes Count 65 150 67 791 % within Education 73.9% 76.3% 92.5% 94.9% 92.0% 95.7% 85.7% No Count 23 61 17 2 10 3 116 % within Education 26.1% 20.1% 7.1% 3.4% 6.1% 4.3% 12.6% 11 16 Not sure Count 0 3 0 1 1 % within Education 3.6% .0% .4% 1.7% 1.8% 1.7% .0% Count 88 304 239 59 163 70 923 Total 100.0% % within Education 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0%

#### Registered to vote in Texas \* Education Crosstabulation

Registered to vote in Texas \* Church attendance Crosstabulation

	-	-			Church attenda	nce		
			More than once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Prefer not to say	Total
Registered to vote in Texas	Yes	Count	148	185	191	166	97	787
		% within Church attendance	88.1%	87.3%	85.3%	83.0%	84.3%	85.6%
	No	Count	20	26	26	31	14	117
		% within Church attendance	11.9%	12.3%	11.6%	15.5%	12.2%	12.7%
	Not sure	Count	0	1	7	3	4	15
		% within Church attendance	.0%	.5%	3.1%	1.5%	3.5%	1.6%
	Total	Count	168	212	224	200	115	919
		% within Church attendance	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## Registered to vote in Texas \* Race Crosstabulation

						Race				
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Don't know	Total
Registered to vote in Texas	Yes	Count	448	76	221	5	4	26	8	788
		% within Race	91.2%	92.7%	75.9%	55.6%	100.0%	83.9%	66.7%	85.7%
	No	Count	39	5	62	3	0	3	4	116
		% within Race	7.9%	6.1%	21.3%	33.3%	.0%	9.7%	33.3%	12.6%
	Not sure	Count	4	1	8	1	0	2	0	16
		% within Race	.8%	1.2%	2.7%	11.1%	.0%	6.5%	.0%	1.7%
	Total	Count	491	82	291	9	4	31	12	920
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## Registered to vote in Texas \* Marital status Crosstabulation

	-	-					Marital statu	s			
			Married, living with spouse	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single, never married	Domestic partnership	Don't know	Prefer not to say	Total
Registered to vote in	Yes	Count	489	12	86	26	133	31	1	12	790
Texas		% within Marital status	86.9%	85.7%	90.5%	92.9%	81.6%	75.6%	25.0%	80.0%	85.6%
	No Count		65	2	8	2	26	9	3	1	116
		% within Marital status	11.5%	14.3%	8.4%	7.1%	16.0%	22.0%	75.0%	6.7%	12.6%
	Not sure	Count	9	0	1	0	4	1	0	2	17
		% within Marital status	1.6%	.0%	1.1%	.0%	2.5%	2.4%	.0%	13.3%	1.8%
	Total	Count	563	14	95	28	163	41	4	15	923
		% within Marital status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Registered to vote in Texas \* Gender Crosstabulation

				Gender   Male Female To   365 426 6   85.5% 85.5% 62   12.9% 12.4% 10					
			Male	Female	Total				
Registered to vote in Texas	Yes	Count	365	426	791				
		% within Gender	85.5%	85.5%	85.5%				
	No	Count	55	62	117				
		% within Gender	12.9%	12.4%	12.6%				
	Not sure	Count	7	10	17				
		% within Gender	1.6%	2.0%	1.8%				
	Total	Count	427	498	925				
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%				

		Approve - Ric	K Perry S job 10	leology Cro	osstabulatio	n								
-			Ideology											
			1 - Extremely liberal	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Extremely conservative	Total				
Approve - Rick Perry's job	Approve strongly	Count	4	3	3	9	23	37	37	116				
		% within Ideology	9.8%	4.2%	2.9%	3.4%	15.4%	21.9%	30.1%	12.6%				
	Approve somewhat	Count	1	9	13	55	50	84	52	264				
		% within Ideology	2.4%	12.5%	12.4%	21.0%	33.6%	49.7%	42.3%	28.7%				
	Neither approve nor	Count	11	13	31	126	40	17	19	257				
	disapprove	% within Ideology	26.8%	18.1%	29.5%	48.1%	26.8%	10.1%	15.4%	27.9%				
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	5	13	29	37	19	23	8	134				
		% within Ideology	12.2%	18.1%	27.6%	14.1%	12.8%	13.6%	6.5%	14.5%				
	Disapprove strongly	Count	20	34	29	35	17	8	7	150				
		% within Ideology	48.8%	47.2%	27.6%	13.4%	11.4%	4.7%	5.7%	16.3%				
	Total	Count	41	72	105	262	149	169	123	921				
		% within Ideology	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%				

Approve - Rick Perry's job \* Ideology Crosstabulation

						7 poi	nt Party ID				
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Approve - Rick	Approve strongly	Count	5	6	1	10	26	16	51	2	117
Perry's job		% within 7 point Party ID	3.2%	5.2%	1.3%	7.5%	23.6%	15.0%	28.3%	4.3%	12.7%
	Approve somewhat	Count	21	25	2	34	43	47	90	1	263
	Neither approve no	% within 7 point Party ID	13.6%	21.7%	2.6%	25.6%	39.1%	43.9%	50.0%	2.1%	28.5%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	39	35	25	47	18	33	22	38	257
	disapprove	% within 7 point Party ID	25.3%	30.4%	32.1%	35.3%	16.4%	30.8%	12.2%	80.9%	27.8%
	Disapprove	Count	24	28	18	24	13	10	13	5	135
	somewhat	% within 7 point Party ID	15.6%	24.3%	23.1%	18.0%	11.8%	9.3%	7.2%	10.6%	14.6%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	65	21	32	18	10	1	4	1	152
		% within 7 point Party ID	42.2%	18.3%	41.0%	13.5%	9.1%	.9%	2.2%	2.1%	16.5%
	Total	Count	154	115	78	133	110	107	180	47	924
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## Approve - Rick Perry's job \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

# Approve - Rick Perry's job \* Family income Crosstabulation

	-	-	Family income															
			less than \$10,000	\$10,000 - \$14,999	\$15,000 - \$19,999	\$20,000 - \$24,999	\$25,000 - \$29,999	\$30,000 - \$39,999	\$40,000 - \$49,999	\$50,000 - \$59,999	\$60,000 - \$69,999	\$70,000 _ \$79,999	\$80,000 - \$99,999	\$100,000 - \$119,999	\$120,000 - \$149,999	\$150,000 or more	Prefer not to say	Total
Approve - Rick	Approve	Count	2	1	4	4	10	9	13	8	11	9	13	7	13	9	5	118
Perry's job	strongly	% within Family income	7.4%	3.4%	12.9%	6.2%	15.2%	9.8%	12.3%	9.5%	19.3%	10.7%	17.3%	16.3%	32.5%	19.1%	6.2%	12.7%
	Approve	Count	5	4	4	16	19	21	40	22	18	34	22	10	8	14	26	263
	somewhat	% within Family income	18.5%	13.8%	12.9%	24.6%	28.8%	22.8%	37.7%	26.2%	31.6%	40.5%	29.3%	23.3%	20.0%	29.8%	32.5%	28.4%
N	Neither	Count	13	12	12	25	19	34	32	24	10	16	15	9	4	7	24	256
	approve nor disapprove	% within Family income	48.1%	41.4%	38.7%	38.5%	28.8%	37.0%	30.2%	28.6%	17.5%	19.0%	20.0%	20.9%	10.0%	14.9%	30.0%	27.6%
	Disapprove	Count	3	5	3	10	12	15	9	12	11	11	8	11	5	8	13	136
	somewhat	% within Family income	11.1%	17.2%	9.7%	15.4%	18.2%	16.3%	8.5%	14.3%	19.3%	13.1%	10.7%	25.6%	12.5%	17.0%	16.2%	14.7%
	Disapprove	Count	4	7	8	10	6	13	12	18	7	14	17	6	10	9	12	153
	strongly	% within Family income	14.8%	24.1%	25.8%	15.4%	9.1%	14.1%	11.3%	21.4%	12.3%	16.7%	22.7%	14.0%	25.0%	19.1%	15.0%	16.5%
	Total	Count	27	29	31	65	66	92	106	84	57	84	75	43	40	47	80	926
		% within Family income	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

					Edu	ication			
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Approve - Rick Perry's job	Approve strongly	Count	6	35	30	9	28	10	118
		% within Education	6.9%	11.5%	12.6%	15.3%	17.1%	14.3%	12.8%
	Approve somewhat	Count	19	83	73	22	53	12	262
		% within Education	21.8%	27.3%	30.5%	37.3%	32.3%	17.1%	28.4%
	Neither approve nor	Count	42	111	59	10	24	10	256
	disapprove	% within Education	48.3%	36.5%	24.7%	16.9%	14.6%	14.3%	27.7%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	6	47	32	8	24	17	134
		% within Education	6.9%	15.5%	13.4%	13.6%	14.6%	24.3%	14.5%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	14	28	45	10	35	21	153
		% within Education	16.1%	9.2%	18.8%	16.9%	21.3%	30.0%	16.6%
	Total	Count	87	304	239	59	164	70	923
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Approve - Rick Perry's job \* Education Crosstabulation

## Approve - Rick Perry's job \* Church attendance Crosstabulation

					Church attenda	ance		
			More than once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Prefer not to say	Total
Approve - Rick Perry's job	Approve strongly	Count	32	24	28	26	7	117
		% within Church attendance	19.0%	11.4%	12.5%	13.0%	6.1%	12.7%
	Approve somewhat	Count	60	68	66	35	34	263
		% within Church attendance	35.7%	32.2%	29.5%	17.5%	29.6%	28.6%
	Neither approve nor	Count	45	57	58	53	42	255
	disapprove	% within Church attendance	26.8%	27.0%	25.9%	26.5%	36.5%	27.8%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	16	42	32	29	15	134
		% within Church attendance	9.5%	19.9%	14.3%	14.5%	13.0%	14.6%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	15	20	40	57	17	149
		% within Church attendance	8.9%	9.5%	17.9%	28.5%	14.8%	16.2%
	Total	Count	168	211	224	200	115	918

					Church attenda	ance		
			More than once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Prefer not to say	Total
Approve - Rick Perry's job	Approve strongly	Count	32	24	28	26	7	117
		% within Church attendance	19.0%	11.4%	12.5%	13.0%	6.1%	12.7%
	Approve somewhat	Count	60	68	66	35	34	263
		% within Church attendance	35.7%	32.2%	29.5%	17.5%	29.6%	28.6%
	Veither approve nor lisapprove	Count	45	57	58	53	42	255
	disapprove	% within Church attendance	26.8%	27.0%	25.9%	26.5%	36.5%	27.8%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	16	42	32	29	15	134
		% within Church attendance	9.5%	19.9%	14.3%	14.5%	13.0%	14.6%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	15	20	40	57	17	149
		% within Church attendance	8.9%	9.5%	17.9%	28.5%	14.8%	16.2%
	Total	Count	168	211	224	200	115	918
		% within Church attendance	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## Approve - Rick Perry's job \* Church attendance Crosstabulation

Approve - Rick Perry's job \* Race Crosstabulation

	-	_				Race				
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Don't know	Total
Approve - Rick Perry's	Approve strongly	Count	79	1	33	1	1	0	1	116
job		% within Race	16.1%	1.2%	11.4%	10.0%	20.0%	.0%	8.3%	12.6%
	Approve somewhat	Count	154	19	78	3	2	7	0	263
		% within Race	31.4%	22.9%	27.0%	30.0%	40.0%	21.9%	.0%	28.5%
	Neither approve nor	Count	101	26	111	4	1	6	7	256
	disapprove	% within Race	20.6%	31.3%	38.4%	40.0%	20.0%	18.8%	58.3%	27.8%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	74	16	33	0	1	9	1	134
		% within Race	15.1%	19.3%	11.4%	.0%	20.0%	28.1%	8.3%	14.5%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	83	21	34	2	0	10	3	153
Dioup		% within Race	16.9%	25.3%	11.8%	20.0%	.0%	31.2%	25.0%	16.6%
	Total	Count	491	83	289	10	5	32	12	922
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

	-	-					Marital statu	IS			
			Married, living with spouse	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single, never married	Domestic partnership	Don't know	Prefer not to say	Total
Approve - Rick Perry's	Approve strongly	Count	85	1	12	1	13	4	0	1	117
job		% within Marital status	15.1%	6.7%	12.8%	3.4%	7.9%	9.5%	.0%	6.7%	12.6%
	Approve somewhat	Count	180	3	20	10	37	9	3	2	264
		% within Marital status	32.0%	20.0%	21.3%	34.5%	22.6%	21.4%	75.0%	13.3%	28.5%
	Neither approve nor	Count	140	8	23	7	58	12	1	6	255
	disapprove	% within Marital status	24.9%	53.3%	24.5%	24.1%	35.4%	28.6%	25.0%	40.0%	27.6%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	79	2	15	4	24	8	0	3	135
		% within Marital status	14.1%	13.3%	16.0%	13.8%	14.6%	19.0%	.0%	20.0%	14.6%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	78	1	24	7	32	9	0	3	154
		% within Marital status	13.9%	6.7%	25.5%	24.1%	19.5%	21.4%	.0%	20.0%	16.6%
	Total	Count	562	15	94	29	164	42	4	15	925
		% within Marital status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## Approve - Rick Perry's job \* Marital status Crosstabulation

				Gender	
			Male	Female	Total
Approve - Rick Perry's job	Approve strongly	Count	69	48	117
		% within Gender	16.2%	9.7%	12.7%
	Approve somewhat	Count	138	125	263
		% within Gender	32.4%	25.2%	28.5%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	80	176	256
		% within Gender	18.8%	35.4%	27.7%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	59	75	134
		% within Gender	13.8%	15.1%	14.5%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	80	73	153
		% within Gender	18.8%	14.7%	16.6%
	Total	Count	426	497	923
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Approve - Rick Perry's job \* Gender Crosstabulation

Approve - Texas state legislature's job \* Ideology Crosstabulation

	-					Ideo	logy			
			1 - Extremely liberal	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Extremely conservative	Total
Approve - Texas state	Approve strongly	Count	2	0	0	3	7	3	4	19
legislature's job		% within Ideology	4.7%	.0%	.0%	1.1%	4.7%	1.8%	3.3%	2.1%
	Approve somewhat	Count	2	6	14	49	43	72	57	243
		% within Ideology	4.7%	8.5%	13.3%	18.8%	28.9%	43.4%	46.3%	26.5%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	17	26	49	139	47	34	25	337
	disapprove	% within Ideology	39.5%	36.6%	46.7%	53.3%	31.5%	20.5%	20.3%	36.7%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	12	25	30	45	33	43	26	214
		% within Ideology	27.9%	35.2%	28.6%	17.2%	22.1%	25.9%	21.1%	23.3%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	10	14	12	25	19	14	11	105
		% within Ideology	23.3%	19.7%	11.4%	9.6%	12.8%	8.4%	8.9%	11.4%
	Total	Count	43	71	105	261	149	166	123	918
		% within Ideology	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

	-					7 poi	nt Party ID				
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Approve - Texas state	e Approve strongly	Count	1	0	0	2	2	1	12	1	19
legislature's job		% within 7 point Party ID	.6%	.0%	.0%	1.5%	1.8%	1.0%	6.7%	2.2%	2.1%
	Approve somewhat	Count	32	22	3	17	44	29	92	3	242
		% within 7 point Party ID	20.6%	19.1%	3.8%	12.8%	40.0%	27.9%	51.7%	6.5%	26.3%
	Neither approve nor	Count	51	49	38	50	25	55	35	35	338
	disapprove	% within 7 point Party ID	32.9%	42.6%	48.7%	37.6%	22.7%	52.9%	19.7%	76.1%	36.8%
	Disapprove	Count	44	30	22	46	24	13	33	3	215
	somewhat	% within 7 point Party ID	28.4%	26.1%	28.2%	34.6%	21.8%	12.5%	18.5%	6.5%	23.4%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	27	14	15	18	15	6	6	4	105
		% within 7 point Party ID	17.4%	12.2%	19.2%	13.5%	13.6%	5.8%	3.4%	8.7%	11.4%
	Total	Count	155	115	78	133	110	104	178	46	919
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## Approve - Texas state legislature's job \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

# Approve -Texas state legislature's job \* Family income Crosstabulation

			Family income															
			less than	\$10,000	\$15,000	\$20,000	\$25,000	\$30,000	\$40,000	\$50,000	\$60,000	\$70,000	\$80,000	\$100,000	\$120,000	\$150,000	Prefer	
			\$10,000	\$14,999	\$19,999	\$24,999	\$29,999	\$39,999	\$49,999	\$59,999	\$69,999	\$79,999	\$99,999	\$119,999	\$149,999	or more	say	Total
Approve - Texas	Approve	Count	0	0	2	. 2	. 3	3	0	0	0	1	3	, 1	2	1	0	18
state legislature's job	strongly	% within Family income	.0%	.0%	6.2%	, 3.1%	4.5%	3.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.2%	4.1%	2.3%	5.6%	2.1%	.0%	2.0%
1	Approve	Count	9	8	8	13	9	21	33	23	20	23	19	11	11	18	16	242
	Somewnat	% within Family incomε	34.6%	27.6%	25.0%	20.0%	13.6%	23.3%	30.8%	27.4%	34.5%	27.4%	25.7%	25.6%	30.6%	38.3%	20.3%	26.3%
	Neither	Count	8	12	11	32	34	36	38	31	17	34	21	15	6	12	30	337
	approve nor disapprove	% within Family income	30.8%	41.4%	34.4%	49.2%	51.5%	40.0%	35.5%	36.9%	29.3%	40.5%	28.4%	34.9%	16.7%	25.5%	38.0%	36.6%
	Disapprove	Count	6	5	7	13	15	23	29	15	12	17	18	10	12	11	22	215
	somewhat	% within Family income	23.1% ;	17.2%	21.9%	20.0%	22.7%	25.6%	27.1%	17.9%	20.7%	20.2%	24.3%	23.3%	33.3%	23.4%	27.8%	23.4%
	Disapprove	Count	3	4	4	5	5	7	7	15	9	9	13	6	5	5	11	108
	strongly	% within Family income	11.5%	13.8%	12.5%	7.7%	7.6%	7.8%	6.5%	17.9%	15.5%	10.7%	17.6%	14.0%	13.9%	10.6%	13.9%	11.7%
1	Total	Count	26	, 29	32	. 65	66	90	107	84	58	84	74	43	36	47	79	920

# Approve -Texas state legislature's job \* Family income Crosstabulation

			Family income															
			less than \$10,000	\$10,000 - \$14,999	\$15,000 - \$19,999	\$20,000 - \$24,999	\$25,000 - \$29,999	\$30,000 - \$39,999	\$40,000 - \$49,999	\$50,000 - \$59,999	\$60,000 - \$69,999	\$70,000 - \$79,999	\$80,000 - \$99,999	\$100,000 - \$119,999	\$120,000 - \$149,999	\$150,000 or more	Prefer not to say	Total
Approve - Texas state legislature's job	Approve strongly	Count % within Family income	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 6.2%	2 3.1%	3 4.5%	3 3.3%	0.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1.2%	3	1 2.3%	2 5.6%	1 2.1%	0 .0%	18 2.0%
	Approve somewhat	Count % within Family income	9 34.6%	8 27.6%	8 25.0%	13 20.0%	9 13.6%	21 23.3%	33 30.8%	23 27.4%	20 34.5%	23 27.4%	19 25.7%	11 25.6%	11 30.6%	18 38.3%	16 20.3%	242 26.3%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count % within Family income	8 30.8%	12 41.4%	11 34.4%	32 49.2%	34 51.5%	36 40.0%	38 35.5%	31 36.9%	17 29.3%	34 40.5%	21 28.4%	15 34.9%	6 16.7%	12 25.5%	30 38.0%	337 36.6%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count % within Family income	6 23.1%	5 17.2%	7 21.9%	13 20.0%	15 22.7%	23 25.6%	29 27.1%	15 17.9%	12 20.7%	17 20.2%	18 24.3%	10 23.3%	12 33.3%	11 23.4%	22 27.8%	215 23.4%
	Disapprove strongly	Count % within Family income	3 11.5%	4 13.8%	4 12.5%	5 7.7%	5 7.6%	7	7 6.5%	15 17.9%	9 15.5%	9 10.7%	13 17.6%	6 14.0%	5 13.9%	5	11 13.9%	108 11.7%
	Total	Count % within Family income	26 100.0%	29 100.0%	32 100.0%	65 100.0%	66 100.0%	90 100.0%	107 100.0%	84 100.0%	58 100.0%	84 100.0%	74 100.0%	43 100.0%	36 100.0%	47 100.0%	79 100.0%	920 100.0%

					Edu	ication			
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Approve - Texas state	Approve strongly	Count	0	3	6	4	4	2	19
legislature's job		% within Education	.0%	1.0%	2.5%	6.8%	2.5%	2.8%	2.1%
	Approve somewhat	Count	16	78	68	22	42	17	243
		% within Education	18.2%	26.1%	28.6%	37.3%	25.9%	23.9%	26.5%
	Neither approve nor	Count	49	132	76	16	46	17	336
	disapprove	% within Education	55.7%	44.1%	31.9%	27.1%	28.4%	23.9%	36.6%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	9	61	64	10	46	24	214
		% within Education	10.2%	20.4%	26.9%	16.9%	28.4%	33.8%	23.3%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	14	25	24	7	24	11	105
		% within Education	15.9%	8.4%	10.1%	11.9%	14.8%	15.5%	11.5%
	Total	Count	88	299	238	59	162	71	917
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## Approve - Texas state legislature's job \* Education Crosstabulation

Approve - Texas state legislature's job \* Church attendance Crosstabulation

	-	-			Church attenda	ance		
			More than once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Prefer not to say	Total
Approve - Texas state	Approve strongly	Count	7	2	4	4	0	17
legislature's job		% within Church attendance	4.3%	1.0%	1.8%	2.0%	.0%	1.9%
	Approve somewhat	Count	54	68	55	38	28	243
		% within Church attendance	32.9%	32.4%	24.8%	19.0%	24.3%	26.7%
	Neither approve nor	Count	57	64	90	71	52	334
	disapprove	% within Church attendance	34.8%	30.5%	40.5%	35.5%	45.2%	36.7%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	31	61	46	55	18	211
		% within Church attendance	18.9%	29.0%	20.7%	27.5%	15.7%	23.2%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	15	15	27	32	17	106
		% within Church attendance	9.1%	7.1%	12.2%	16.0%	14.8%	11.6%
	Total	Count	164	210	222	200	115	911
		% within Church attendance	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

	-					Race				
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Don't know	Total
Approve - Texas state	Approve strongly	Count	10	1	6	1	0	0	0	18
legislature's job		% within Race	2.0%	1.2%	2.1%	11.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.0%
	Approve somewhat	Count	139	16	79	0	2	7	0	243
	NI 50	% within Race	28.4%	19.5%	27.5%	.0%	50.0%	22.6%	.0%	26.6%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	149	30	135	7	0	7	7	335
		% within Race	30.5%	36.6%	47.0%	77.8%	.0%	22.6%	58.3%	36.7%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	124	24	46	1	2	12	4	213
		% within Race	25.4%	29.3%	16.0%	11.1%	50.0%	38.7%	33.3%	23.3%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	67	11	21	0	0	5	1	105
		% within Race	13.7%	13.4%	7.3%	.0%	.0%	16.1%	8.3%	11.5%
	Total	Count	489	82	287	9	4	31	12	914
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Approve - Texas state legislature's job \* Race Crosstabulation

							Marital statu	IS			
			Married, living with spouse	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single, never married	Domestic partnership	Don't know	Prefer not to say	Total
Approve - Texas state	Approve strongly	Count	13	0	3	0	1	1	0	0	18
legislature's job		% within Marital status	2.3%	.0%	3.3%	.0%	.6%	2.4%	.0%	.0%	2.0%
	Approve somewhat	Count	166	3	20	8	30	9	3	4	243
		% within Marital status	29.7%	21.4%	21.7%	29.6%	18.3%	22.0%	75.0%	26.7%	26.6%
1 0	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	185	8	29	7	81	19	1	5	335
		% within Marital status	33.2%	57.1%	31.5%	25.9%	49.4%	46.3%	25.0%	33.3%	36.6%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	123	2	31	9	40	4	0	5	214
		% within Marital status	22.0%	14.3%	33.7%	33.3%	24.4%	9.8%	.0%	33.3%	23.4%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	71	1	9	3	12	8	0	1	105
		% within Marital status	12.7%	7.1%	9.8%	11.1%	7.3%	19.5%	.0%	6.7%	11.5%
	Total	Count	558	14	92	27	164	41	4	15	915
		% within Marital status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

	-	-		Gender	
			Male	Female	Total
Approve - Texas state legislature's	Approve strongly	Count	4	14	18
job		% within Gender	.9%	2.8%	2.0%
	Approve somewhat	Count	125	118	243
		% within Gender	29.5%	23.9%	26.5%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	127	209	336
		% within Gender	30.0%	42.4%	36.6%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	111	103	214
		% within Gender	26.2%	20.9%	23.3%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	57	49	106
		% within Gender	13.4%	9.9%	11.6%
	Total	Count	424	493	917
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## Approve - Texas state legislature's job \* Gender Crosstabulation

Approve - Obama's job \* Ideology Crosstabulation

	-					Ideo	logy			
			1 - Extremely liberal	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Extremely conservative	Total
Approve - Obama's job	Approve strongly	Count	22	37	47	74	17	2	4	203
		% within Ideology	52.4%	51.4%	44.3%	28.2%	11.6%	1.2%	3.3%	22.1%
	Approve somewhat Cour	Count	13	31	37	66	28	14	3	192
		% within Ideology	31.0%	43.1%	34.9%	25.2%	19.0%	8.4%	2.5%	20.9%
	Neither approve nor	Count	3	2	4	52	21	16	4	102
	disapprove	% within Ideology	7.1%	2.8%	3.8%	19.8%	14.3%	9.6%	3.3%	11.1%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	1	1	9	36	25	23	8	103
		% within Ideology	2.4%	1.4%	8.5%	13.7%	17.0%	13.8%	6.6%	11.2%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	3	1	9	34	56	112	103	318
	_	% within Ideology	7.1%	1.4%	8.5%	13.0%	38.1%	67.1%	84.4%	34.6%

Total Count	42	72	106	262	147	167	122	918
% within Ideology	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Approve - Obama's job	* 7	point Party	y ID	Crosstabulation
-----------------------	-----	-------------	------	-----------------

						7 poi	nt Party ID				
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Approve - Obama's	Approve strongly	Count	106	34	32	15	1	2	3	11	204
job		% within 7 point Party ID	68.8%	29.3%	41.0%	11.4%	.9%	1.9%	1.7%	23.4%	22.1%
	Approve somewhat	Count	38	46	36	25	14	15	3	17	194
		% within 7 point Party ID	24.7%	39.7%	46.2%	18.9%	12.8%	14.2%	1.7%	36.2%	21.1%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	6	17	6	28	3	17	11	12	100
		% within 7 point Party ID	3.9%	14.7%	7.7%	21.2%	2.8%	16.0%	6.1%	25.5%	10.9%
	Disapprove somewhat	at Count	1	12	3	16	18	28	23	3	104
		% within 7 point Party ID	.6%	10.3%	3.8%	12.1%	16.5%	26.4%	12.8%	6.4%	11.3%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	3	7	1	48	73	44	139	4	319
		% within 7 point Party ID	1.9%	6.0%	1.3%	36.4%	67.0%	41.5%	77.7%	8.5%	34.6%
	Total	Count	154	116	78	132	109	106	179	47	921
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## Approve -Obama's job \* Family income Crosstabulation

			Family income															
			less than \$10,000	\$10,000 - \$14,999	\$15,000 - \$19,999	\$20,000 - \$24,999	\$25,000 - \$29,999	\$30,000 - \$39,999	\$40,000 - \$49,999	\$50,000 - \$59,999	\$60,000 - \$69,999	\$70,000 - \$79,999	\$80,000 - \$99,999	\$100,000 - \$119,999	\$120,000 - \$149,999	\$150,000 or more	Prefer not to say	Total
Approve -	Approve	Count	7	10	10	18	15	20	16	19	11	13	19	11	10	7	19	205
Obama's job	strongly	% within Family income	26.9%	34.5%	32.3%	28.1%	22.4%	22.2%	15.2%	22.4%	19.3%	15.5%	25.7%	25.0%	25.0%	14.9%	24.7%	22.3%
	Approve	Count	5	6	7	14	21	23	19	19	16	19	10	9	6	7	12	193
	somewhat	% within Family income	19.2%	20.7%	22.6%	21.9%	31.3%	25.6%	18.1%	22.4%	28.1%	22.6%	13.5%	20.5%	15.0%	14.9%	15.6%	21.0%
	Neither	Count	8	8	1	5	2	14	14	10	9	10	4	1	2	3	8	99
	approve nor disapprove	% within Family income	30.8%	27.6%	3.2%	7.8%	3.0%	15.6%	13.3%	11.8%	15.8%	11.9%	5.4%	2.3%	5.0%	6.4%	10.4%	10.8%
	Disapprove	Count	4	0	2	10	8	9	16	13	7	8	6	2	7	6	4	102
	somewhat	% within Family income	15.4%	.0%	6.5%	15.6%	11.9%	10.0%	15.2%	15.3%	12.3%	9.5%	8.1%	4.5%	17.5%	12.8%	5.2%	11.1%
	Disapprove strongly	Count %	2	5	11	17	21	24	40	24	14	34	35	21	15	24	34	321
		within Family income	7.7%	17.2%	35.5%	26.6%	31.3%	26.7%	38.1%	28.2%	24.6%	40.5%	47.3%	47.7%	37.5%	51.1%	44.2%	34.9%
	Total	Count	26	29	31	64	67	90	105	85	57	84	74	44	40	47	77	920
		% within Family income	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

					Edu	ucation			
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Approve - Obama's job	Approve strongly	Count	17	62	57	8	36	23	203
		% within Education	19.5%	20.7%	23.8%	13.6%	22.2%	32.9%	22.1%
	Approve somewhat	Count	20	73	45	13	28	13	192
	Neither approve nor	% within Education	23.0%	24.3%	18.8%	22.0%	17.3%	18.6%	20.9%
	Neither approve nor	Count	19	42	14	6	17	2	100
	disapprove	% within Education	21.8%	14.0%	5.9%	10.2%	10.5%	2.9%	10.9%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	6	32	29	7	22	7	103
		% within Education	6.9%	10.7%	12.1%	11.9%	13.6%	10.0%	11.2%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	25	91	94	25	59	25	319
		% within Education	28.7%	30.3%	39.3%	42.4%	36.4%	35.7%	34.8%
	Total	Count	87	300	239	59	162	70	917
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Approve - Obama's job \* Education Crosstabulation

Approve - Obama's job \* Church attendance Crosstabulation

					Church attenda	ance		
			More than once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Prefer not to say	Total
Approve - Obama's job	Approve strongly	Count	19	52	50	51	29	201
		% within Church attendance	11.4%	24.8%	22.3%	25.2%	25.4%	21.9%
	Approve somewhat	Count	29	39	54	49	21	192
		% within Church attendance	17.4%	18.6%	24.1%	24.3%	18.4%	20.9%
	Neither approve nor	Count	19	24	17	26	15	101
	disapprove	% within Church attendance	11.4%	11.4%	7.6%	12.9%	13.2%	11.0%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	15	24	30	24	10	103
		% within Church attendance	9.0%	11.4%	13.4%	11.9%	8.8%	11.2%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	85	71	73	52	39	320
		% within Church attendance	50.9%	33.8%	32.6%	25.7%	34.2%	34.9%
	Total	Count	167	210	224	202	114	917
		% within Church attendance	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

						Race				
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Don't know	Total
Approve - Obama's job	Approve strongly	Count	65	43	82	2	0	9	1	202
		% within Race	13.3%	52.4%	28.4%	20.0%	.0%	29.0%	8.3%	22.0%
	Approve somewhat	Count	71	25	80	3	1	9	4	193
l		% within Race	14.5%	30.5%	27.7%	30.0%	25.0%	29.0%	33.3%	21.0%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	50	4	41	0	0	3	3	101
		% within Race	10.2%	4.9%	14.2%	.0%	.0%	9.7%	25.0%	11.0%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	60	3	32	4	1	4	0	104
		% within Race	12.3%	3.7%	11.1%	40.0%	25.0%	12.9%	.0%	11.3%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	243	7	54	1	2	6	4	317
b		% within Race	49.7%	8.5%	18.7%	10.0%	50.0%	19.4%	33.3%	34.6%
	Total	Count	489	82	289	10	4	31	12	917
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Approve - Obama's job \* Race Crosstabulation

## Approve - Obama's job \* Marital status Crosstabulation

			Marital status									
			Married, living with spouse	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single, never married	Domestic partnership	Don't know	Prefer not to say	Total	
Approve - Obama's	Approve strongly	Count	101	5	27	4	48	12	3	3	203	
job		% within Marital status	18.0%	35.7%	28.4%	14.3%	29.4%	29.3%	100.0%	20.0%	22.1%	
	Approve somewhat	Count	104	3	21	9	44	11	0	1	193	
		% within Marital status	18.6%	21.4%	22.1%	32.1%	27.0%	26.8%	.0%	6.7%	21.0%	
	Neither approve nor	Count	58	1	7	2	25	4	0	4	101	
	disapprove	% within Marital status	10.4%	7.1%	7.4%	7.1%	15.3%	9.8%	.0%	26.7%	11.0%	
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	57	3	15	2	14	9	0	2	102	
		% within Marital status	10.2%	21.4%	15.8%	7.1%	8.6%	22.0%	.0%	13.3%	11.1%	
	Disapprove strongly	Count	240	2	25	11	32	5	0	5	320	
		% within Marital status	42.9%	14.3%	26.3%	39.3%	19.6%	12.2%	.0%	33.3%	34.8%	
	Total	Count	560	14	95	28	163	41	3	15	919	
		% within Marital status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

				Gender	
			Male	Female	Total
Approve - Obama's job	Approve strongly	Count	98	106	204
		% within Gender	23.1%	21.4%	22.2%
	Approve somewhat	Count	77	116	193
		% within Gender	18.1%	23.4%	21.0%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	39	62	101
		% within Gender	9.2%	12.5%	11.0%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	42	61	103
		% within Gender	9.9%	12.3%	11.2%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	169	150	319
		% within Gender	39.8%	30.3%	34.7%
	Total	Count	425	495	920
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Approve - Obama's job \* Gender Crosstabulation

## Approve - Obama handling economy \* Ideology Crosstabulation

		-				Ideo	logy			
			1 - Extremely liberal	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Extremely conservative	Total
Approve - Obama handling	Approve strongly	Count	17	31	27	51	14	3	2	145
economy		% within Ideology	40.5%	43.1%	26.7%	19.5%	9.3%	1.8%	1.6%	15.8%
	Approve somewhat	Count	14	26	39	80	29	8	3	199
		% within Ideology	33.3%	36.1%	38.6%	30.7%	19.3%	4.8%	2.5%	21.7%
	Neither approve nor	Count	5	4	13	51	18	12	4	107
	disapprove	% within Ideology	11.9%	5.6%	12.9%	19.5%	12.0%	7.2%	3.3%	11.7%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	3	5	14	31	29	30	9	121
		% within Ideology	7.1%	6.9%	13.9%	11.9%	19.3%	18.0%	7.4%	13.2%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	3	6	8	48	60	114	104	343
		% within Ideology	7.1%	8.3%	7.9%	18.4%	40.0%	68.3%	85.2%	37.5%
	Total	Count	42	72	101	261	150	167	122	915
		% within Ideology	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

	-	-				7 poi	nt Party ID				
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Approve - Obama	Approve strongly	Count	87	23	18	7	2	2	3	4	146
handling economy		% within 7 point Party ID	56.5%	20.2%	23.1%	5.3%	1.8%	1.9%	1.7%	8.7%	15.9%
	Approve somewhat	Count	52	51	33	24	8	14	6	13	201
		% within 7 point Party ID	33.8%	44.7%	42.3%	18.3%	7.3%	13.1%	3.3%	28.3%	21.8%
	Neither approve nor	Count	8	12	18	24	4	11	9	22	108
	disapprove	% within 7 point Party ID	5.2%	10.5%	23.1%	18.3%	3.6%	10.3%	5.0%	47.8%	11.7%
	Disapprove	Count	4	17	7	22	15	28	25	3	121
	somewhat	% within 7 point Party ID	2.6%	14.9%	9.0%	16.8%	13.6%	26.2%	13.9%	6.5%	13.2%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	3	11	2	54	81	52	137	4	344
		% within 7 point Party ID	1.9%	9.6%	2.6%	41.2%	73.6%	48.6%	76.1%	8.7%	37.4%
	Total	Count	154	114	78	131	110	107	180	46	920
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## Approve - Obama handling economy \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

## Approve -Obama

handling economy \* Family income Crosstabulation

	-	-	Family income															
			less than \$10,000	\$10,000 - \$14,000	\$15,000 - \$10,000	\$20,000 - \$24,000	\$25,000 - \$20,000	\$30,000 - \$30,000	\$40,000 - \$40,000	\$50,000 - \$50,000	\$60,000 - \$60,000	\$70,000 - \$70,000	\$80,000 - \$00,000	\$100,000 - \$110,000	\$120,000 - \$140,000	\$150,000	Prefer not to	Total
	_	0 1	\$10,000 	φ14,999 0	\$19,999	<b>⊅</b> 24,999	\$29,999	<b>\$</b> 39,999	\$49,999	<b>\$</b> 09,999	\$09,999 0	\$79,999	<b>\$99,999</b>	\$119,999	\$149,999	or more	say -	10121
Approve - Obama handling economy	Approve strongly	Count % within Family income	7 25.9%	6	6 18.8%	13 20.0%	14 21.2%	14 15.1%	11 10.5%	21 24.7%	9 15.8%	11 13.3%	12 16.2%	6 14.3%	6 15.4%	4 8.5%	7 8.9%	147 16.0%
	Approve	Count	6	7	13	16	12	29	21	16	13	12	15	10	7	7	15	199
	somewhat	% within Family income	22.2%	25.9%	40.6%	24.6%	18.2%	31.2%	20.0%	18.8%	22.8%	14.5%	20.3%	23.8%	17.9%	14.9%	19.0%	21.6%
	Neither	Count	5	8	1	10	9	6	13	9	10	8	2	2	3	4	17	107
	approve nor disapprove	% within Family income	18.5%	29.6%	3.1%	15.4%	13.6%	6.5%	12.4%	10.6%	17.5%	9.6%	2.7%	4.8%	7.7%	8.5%	21.5%	11.6%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count % within Family	5 18.5%	1 3.7%	2 6.2%	7 10.8%	9 13.6%	11 11.8%	17 16.2%	15 17.6%	6 10.5%	10 12.0%	13 17.6%	3 7.1%	6 15.4%	5 10.6%	12 15.2%	122 13.2%
		income																
	Disapprove strongly	Count % within Family income	4 14.8%	5 18.5%	10 31.2%	19 29.2%	22 33.3%	33 35.5%	43 41.0%	24 28.2%	19 33.3%	42 50.6%	32 43.2%	21 50.0%	17 43.6%	27 57.4%	28 35.4%	346 37.6%
	Total	Count	27	27	32	65	66	93	105	85	57	83	74	42	39	47	79	921
		% within Family income	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

	-	-		Education								
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total			
Approve - Obama handling	Approve strongly	Count	12	43	44	9	21	17	146			
economy		% within Education	13.8%	14.3%	18.4%	14.8%	12.9%	23.9%	15.9%			
	Approve somewhat	Count	12	75	52	10	36	16	201			
		% within Education	13.8%	25.0%	21.8%	16.4%	22.1%	22.5%	21.8%			
	Neither approve nor ( disapprove	Count	22	53	10	5	12	6	108			
	disapprove	% within Education	25.3%	17.7%	4.2%	8.2%	7.4%	8.5%	11.7%			
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	13	34	31	6	28	10	122			
		% within Education	14.9%	11.3%	13.0%	9.8%	17.2%	14.1%	13.2%			
	Disapprove strongly	Count	28	95	102	31	66	22	344			
		% within Education	32.2%	31.7%	42.7%	50.8%	40.5%	31.0%	37.4%			
	Total	Count	87	300	239	61	163	71	921			
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			

#### Approve - Obama handling economy \* Education Crosstabulation

## Approve - Obama handling economy \* Church attendance Crosstabulation

					Church attenda	ance		
			More than once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Prefer not to say	Total
Approve - Obama handling	Approve strongly	Count	17	34	36	34	21	142
economy		% within Church attendance	10.1%	16.3%	16.1%	17.0%	18.3%	15.5%
	Approve somewhat	Count	24	52	56	50	19	201
		% within Church attendance	14.3%	24.9%	25.1%	25.0%	16.5%	22.0%
	Neither approve nor	Count	25	19	18	25	21	108
	disapprove	% within Church attendance	14.9%	9.1%	8.1%	12.5%	18.3%	11.8%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	15	31	33	30	11	120
		% within Church attendance	8.9%	14.8%	14.8%	15.0%	9.6%	13.1%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	87	73	80	61	43	344
		% within Church attendance	51.8%	34.9%	35.9%	30.5%	37.4%	37.6%
	Total	Count	168	209	223	200	115	915

					Church attenda	ance		
			More than once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Prefer not to say	Total
Approve - Obama handling	Approve strongly	Count	17	34	36	34	21	142
economy		% within Church attendance	10.1%	16.3%	16.1%	17.0%	18.3%	15.5%
	Approve somewhat	Count	24	52	56	50	19	201
		% within Church attendance	14.3%	24.9%	25.1%	25.0%	16.5%	22.0%
	Neither approve nor	rove nor Count		19	18	25	21	108
	disapprove	% within Church attendance	14.9%	9.1%	8.1%	12.5%	18.3%	11.8%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	15	31	33	30	11	120
		% within Church attendance	8.9%	14.8%	14.8%	15.0%	9.6%	13.1%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	87	73	80	61	43	344
		% within Church attendance	51.8%	34.9%	35.9%	30.5%	37.4%	37.6%
	Total	Count	168	209	223	200	115	915
		% within Church attendance	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## Approve - Obama handling economy \* Church attendance Crosstabulation

Approve - Obama handling economy \* Race Crosstabulation

	-	_	Race									
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Don't know	Total		
Approve - Obama	Approve strongly	Count	48	35	56	0	1	4	1	145		
handling economy		% within Race	9.8%	42.2%	19.5%	.0%	25.0%	13.3%	7.7%	15.8%		
	Approve somewhat	Count	74	28	84	3	0	9	2	200		
		% within Race	15.1%	33.7%	29.3%	33.3%	.0%	30.0%	15.4%	21.8%		
	Neither approve nor	Count	44	5	43	1	0	9	6	108		
	disapprove	% within Race	9.0%	6.0%	15.0%	11.1%	.0%	30.0%	46.2%	11.8%		
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	67	6	40	3	0	5	0	121		
		% within Race	13.6%	7.2%	13.9%	33.3%	.0%	16.7%	.0%	13.2%		
	Disapprove strongly	Count	258	9	64	2	3	3	4	343		
		% within Race	52.5%	10.8%	22.3%	22.2%	75.0%	10.0%	30.8%	37.4%		
	Total	Count	491	83	287	9	4	30	13	917		
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		

			Marital status									
			Married, living with spouse	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single, never married	Domestic partnership	Don't know	Prefer not to say	Total	
Approve - Obama	Approve strongly	Count	77	6	21	4	26	7	3	1	145	
handling economy		% within Marital status	13.6%	40.0%	22.6%	14.3%	16.2%	17.1%	75.0%	6.7%	15.7%	
	Approve somewhat	Count	108	5	20	7	45	13	0	3	201	
		% within Marital status	19.1%	33.3%	21.5%	25.0%	28.1%	31.7%	.0%	20.0%	21.8%	
	Neither approve nor	Count	50	0	7	1	36	8	1	5	108	
	disapprove	% within Marital status	8.8%	.0%	7.5%	3.6%	22.5%	19.5%	25.0%	33.3%	11.7%	
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	72	2	19	3	19	7	0	0	122	
		% within Marital status	12.7%	13.3%	20.4%	10.7%	11.9%	17.1%	.0%	.0%	13.2%	
	Disapprove strongly	Count	258	2	26	13	34	6	0	6	345	
		% within Marital status	45.7%	13.3%	28.0%	46.4%	21.2%	14.6%	.0%	40.0%	37.5%	
	Total	Count	565	15	93	28	160	41	4	15	921	
		% within Marital status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

## Approve - Obama handling economy \* Marital status Crosstabulation

				Gender	
			Male	Female	Total
Approve - Obama handling economy	Approve strongly	Count	74	72	146
		% within Gender	17.5%	14.5%	15.9%
	Approve somewhat	Count	77	123	200
		% within Gender	18.2%	24.7%	21.7%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	34	74	108
		% within Gender	8.0%	14.9%	11.7%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	52	69	121
		% within Gender	12.3%	13.9%	13.2%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	186	159	345
		% within Gender	44.0%	32.0%	37.5%
	Total	Count	423	497	920
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Approve - Obama handling economy \* Gender Crosstabulation

Approve - U.S. Congress' job \* Ideology Crosstabulation

						Ideo	logy			
			1 - Extremely liberal	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Extremely conservative	Total
Approve - U.S. Congress'	Approve strongly	Count	3	2	1	5	2	1	1	15
job		% within Ideology	7.1%	2.8%	1.0%	1.9%	1.3%	.6%	.8%	1.6%
	Approve somewhat	Count	13	35	42	52	22	3	3	170
	% with	% within Ideology	31.0%	48.6%	40.0%	19.8%	14.8%	1.8%	2.4%	18.5%
	Neither approve nor	Count	11	16	25	95	27	18	9	201
	Neither approve nor disapprove	% within Ideology	26.2%	22.2%	23.8%	36.1%	18.1%	10.8%	7.3%	21.8%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	13	13	32	65	34	25	19	201
		% within Ideology	31.0%	18.1%	30.5%	24.7%	22.8%	15.1%	15.3%	21.8%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	2	6	5	46	64	119	92	334
		% within Ideology	4.8%	8.3%	4.8%	17.5%	43.0%	71.7%	74.2%	36.3%
	Total	Count	42	72	105	263	149	166	124	921
		% within Ideology	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

	-	-	7 point Party ID									
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total	
Approve - U.S. Congress' job	Approve strongly	Count	8	1	0	1	0	0	3	2	15	
		% within 7 point Party ID	5.2%	.9%	.0%	.8%	.0%	.0%	1.7%	4.3%	1.6%	
	Approve somewhat	Count	86	29	25	10	4	6	3	5	168	
		% within 7 point Party ID	55.8%	25.2%	32.1%	7.5%	3.6%	5.7%	1.7%	10.9%	18.2%	
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	29	32	22	43	6	22	17	31	202	
		% within 7 point Party ID	18.8%	27.8%	28.2%	32.3%	5.5%	20.8%	9.5%	67.4%	21.9%	
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	25	36	27	26	17	28	38	5	202	
		% within 7 point Party ID	16.2%	31.3%	34.6%	19.5%	15.5%	26.4%	21.2%	10.9%	21.9%	
	Disapprove strongly	Count	6	17	4	53	83	50	118	3	334	
		% within 7 point Party ID	3.9%	14.8%	5.1%	39.8%	75.5%	47.2%	65.9%	6.5%	36.3%	
	Total	Count	154	115	78	133	110	106	179	46	921	
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

## Approve - U.S. Congress' job \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

# Approve - U.S. Congress' job \* Family income Crosstabulation

		-	Family income															
			less than \$10,000	\$10,000 - \$14,999	\$15,000 - \$19,999	\$20,000 - \$24,999	\$25,000 - \$29,999	\$30,000 - \$39,999	\$40,000 - \$49,999	\$50,000 - \$59,999	\$60,000 - \$69,999	\$70,000 - \$79,999	\$80,000 - \$99,999	\$100,000 - \$119,999	\$120,000 - \$149,999	\$150,000 or more	Prefer not to say	Total
Approve - U.S.	Approve	Count	0	0	2	0	2	2	0	4	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	13
Congress job	strongly	% within Family income	.0%	.0%	6.2%	.0%	3.0%	2.2%	.0%	4.8%	.0%	1.2%	1.4%	2.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.4%
	Approve	Count	5	5	8	13	10	21	16	18	10	17	11	8	7	9	12	170
	somewhat	% within Family income	19.2%	17.9%	25.0%	20.6%	15.2%	22.8%	15.0%	21.4%	17.9%	20.2%	14.9%	19.0%	17.9%	19.6%	15.0%	18.5%
	Neither	Count	12	8	6	15	24	25	27	17	16	11	9	5	4	5	19	203
	approve nor disapprove	% within Family income	46.2%	28.6%	18.8%	23.8%	36.4%	27.2%	25.2%	20.2%	28.6%	13.1%	12.2%	11.9%	10.3%	10.9%	23.8%	22.1%
	Disapprove	Count	6	9	9	19	12	21	18	20	8	19	13	8	11	7	21	201
	somewhat	% within Family income	23.1%	32.1%	28.1%	30.2%	18.2%	22.8%	16.8%	23.8%	14.3%	22.6%	17.6%	19.0%	28.2%	15.2%	26.2%	21.9%
	Disapprove	Count	3	6	7	16	18	23	46	25	22	36	40	20	17	25	28	332
	strongly	% within Family income	11.5%	21.4%	21.9%	25.4%	27.3%	25.0%	43.0%	29.8%	39.3%	42.9%	54.1%	47.6%	43.6%	54.3%	35.0%	36.1%
	Total	Count	26	28	32	63	66	92	107	84	56	84	74	42	39	46	80	919
		% within Family income	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

			Education							
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total	
Approve - U.S. Congress' job	Approve strongly	Count	0	6	5	1	1	1	14	
		% within Education	.0%	2.0%	2.1%	1.7%	.6%	1.4%	1.5%	
	Approve somewhat	Count	12	60	46	9	25	19	171	
		% within Education	13.5%	19.9%	19.2%	15.0%	15.4%	26.8%	18.5%	
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	33	99	39	9	15	6	201	
		% within Education	37.1%	32.9%	16.3%	15.0%	9.3%	8.5%	21.8%	
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	19	53	54	10	48	18	202	
		% within Education	21.3%	17.6%	22.6%	16.7%	29.6%	25.4%	21.9%	
	Disapprove strongly	Count	25	83	95	31	73	27	334	
		% within Education	28.1%	27.6%	39.7%	51.7%	45.1%	38.0%	36.2%	
	Total	Count	89	301	239	60	162	71	922	
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Approve - U.S. Congress' job \* Education Crosstabulation

Approve - U.S. Congress' job \* Church attendance Crosstabulation

			Church attendance								
			More than once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Prefer not to say	Total			
Approve - U.S. Congress' job	Approve strongly	Count	1	5	3	4	1	14			
		% within Church attendance	.6%	2.4%	1.3%	2.0%	.9%	1.5%			
	Approve somewhat	Count	19	44	51	33	19	166			
		% within Church attendance	11.4%	21.0%	22.8%	16.5%	16.4%	18.1%			
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	35	43	39	50	34	201			
		% within Church attendance	21.1%	20.5%	17.4%	25.0%	29.3%	21.9%			
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	28	41	58	53	21	201			
		% within Church attendance	16.9%	19.5%	25.9%	26.5%	18.1%	21.9%			
	Disapprove strongly	Count	83	77	73	60	41	334			
		% within Church attendance	50.0%	36.7%	32.6%	30.0%	35.3%	36.5%			
	Total	Count	166	210	224	200	116	916			
		% within Church attendance	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			

	-			Race									
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Don't know	Total			
Approve - U.S. Congress' job	Approve strongly	Count	3	5	5	0	0	0	0	13			
		% within Race	.6%	6.0%	1.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.4%			
	Approve somewhat	Count	51	29	78	4	0	6	2	170			
		% within Race	10.4%	34.5%	27.2%	40.0%	.0%	19.4%	15.4%	18.5%			
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	77	16	93	4	0	7	6	203			
		% within Race	15.7%	19.0%	32.4%	40.0%	.0%	22.6%	46.2%	22.0%			
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	118	21	49	2	1	11	0	202			
		% within Race	24.0%	25.0%	17.1%	20.0%	25.0%	35.5%	.0%	21.9%			
	Disapprove strongly	Count	243	13	62	0	3	7	5	333			
		% within Race	49.4%	15.5%	21.6%	.0%	75.0%	22.6%	38.5%	36.2%			
	Total	Count	492	84	287	10	4	31	13	921			
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			

Approve - U.S. Congress' job \* Race Crosstabulation
							Marital statu	IS			
			Married, living with spouse	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single, never married	Domestic partnership	Don't know	Prefer not to say	Total
Approve - U.S.	Approve strongly	Count	10	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	14
Congress' job		% within Marital status	1.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.9%	2.4%	.0%	.0%	1.5%
	Approve somewhat	Count	88	3	24	5	32	11	5	2	170
		% within Marital status	15.7%	20.0%	25.5%	17.9%	19.8%	26.2%	100.0%	13.3%	18.5%
	Neither approve nor	Count	110	6	18	5	46	11	0	5	201
	disapprove	% within Marital status	19.6%	40.0%	19.1%	17.9%	28.4%	26.2%	.0%	33.3%	21.8%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	103	5	25	6	48	12	0	3	202
		% within Marital status	18.4%	33.3%	26.6%	21.4%	29.6%	28.6%	.0%	20.0%	21.9%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	249	1	27	12	33	7	0	5	334
		% within Marital status	44.5%	6.7%	28.7%	42.9%	20.4%	16.7%	.0%	33.3%	36.3%
	Total	Count	560	15	94	28	162	42	5	15	921
		% within Marital status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

				Gender	
			Male	Female	Total
Approve - U.S. Congress' job	Approve strongly	Count	6	8	14
		% within Gender	1.4%	1.6%	1.5%
	Approve somewhat	Count	80	89	169
		% within Gender	18.8%	17.9%	18.3%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	54	148	202
		% within Gender	12.7%	29.8%	21.9%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	82	120	202
		% within Gender	19.3%	24.2%	21.9%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	203	131	334
		% within Gender	47.8%	26.4%	36.3%
	Total	Count	425	496	921
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Approve - U.S. Congress' job \* Gender Crosstabulation

						Ideo	logy			
			1 - Extremely liberal	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Extremely conservative	Total
Turnout in 2010 primary	Definitely vote in the	Count	3	0	5	24	46	87	87	252
elections in Texas	Republican primary	% within Ideology	7.0%	.0%	4.8%	9.1%	30.7%	51.8%	70.7%	27.3%
	Probably vote in the	Count	0	3	4	22	32	38	7	106
	Republican primary	% within Ideology	.0%	4.2%	3.8%	8.4%	21.3%	22.6%	5.7%	11.5%
	Definitely vote in the	Count	24	37	32	72	15	3	5	188
	Democratic primary	% within Ideology	55.8%	52.1%	30.8%	27.4%	10.0%	1.8%	4.1%	20.4%
	Probably vote in the	Count	1	13	29	19	8	1	3	74
	Democratic primary	% within Ideology	2.3%	18.3%	27.9%	7.2%	5.3%	.6%	2.4%	8.0%
	I only vote in general	Count	3	7	13	28	16	8	6	81
	elections	% within Ideology	7.0%	9.9%	12.5%	10.6%	10.7%	4.8%	4.9%	8.8%
	Don't Know	Count	12	11	21	98	33	31	15	221
		% within Ideology	27.9%	15.5%	20.2%	37.3%	22.0%	18.5%	12.2%	24.0%
	Total	Count	43	71	104	263	150	168	123	922
		% within Ideology	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Turnout in 2010 primary elections in Texas \* Ideology Crosstabulation

#### Turnout in 2010 primary elections in Texas \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

						7 poi	nt Party ID				
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Turnout in 2010	Definitely vote in the	Count	5	3	0	11	50	42	137	2	250
primary elections in Texas	Republican primary	% within 7 point Party ID	3.2%	2.6%	.0%	8.3%	45.5%	39.3%	76.5%	4.3%	27.1%
	Probably vote in the	Count	1	6	1	14	22	40	22	1	107
	Republican primary	% within 7 point Party ID	.6%	5.3%	1.3%	10.5%	20.0%	37.4%	12.3%	2.1%	11.6%
	Definitely vote in the	Count	115	39	20	9	3	1	1	1	189
	Democratic primary	% within 7 point Party ID	74.7%	34.2%	25.6%	6.8%	2.7%	.9%	.6%	2.1%	20.5%
	Probably vote in the	Count	16	29	16	7	0	1	1	3	73
	Democratic primary	% within 7 point Party ID	10.4%	25.4%	20.5%	5.3%	.0%	.9%	.6%	6.4%	7.9%
	I only vote in general	Count	7	8	14	19	14	9	5	5	81
	elections	% within 7 point Party ID	4.5%	7.0%	17.9%	14.3%	12.7%	8.4%	2.8%	10.6%	8.8%
	Don't Know	Count	10	29	27	73	21	14	13	35	222
		% within 7 point Party ID	6.5%	25.4%	34.6%	54.9%	19.1%	13.1%	7.3%	74.5%	24.1%
	Total	Count	154	114	78	133	110	107	179	47	922
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Turnout in 2010

## primary elections in

### Texas \* Family

income Crosstabulation

	_	-	Family income															
			less than \$10,000	\$10,000 - \$14,999	\$15,000 - \$19,999	\$20,000 - \$24,999	\$25,000 - \$29,999	\$30,000 - \$39,999	\$40,000 - \$49,999	\$50,000 - \$59,999	\$60,000 - \$69,999	\$70,000 - \$79,999	\$80,000 - \$99,999	\$100,000 - \$119,999	\$120,000 - \$149,999	\$150,000 or more	Prefer not to say	Total
Turnout in 2010	Definitely	Count	4	3	6	6	14	22	29	25	14	27	26	11	13	22	28	250
in Texas	Republican	% within Family income	14.8%	10.3%	18.8%	9.5%	21.2%	24.2%	27.1%	29.1%	24.6%	32.5%	35.6%	25.6%	32.5%	46.8%	35.9%	27.1%
	Probably	Count	4	2	1	4	7	10	15	7	11	10	14	8	3	10	2	108
	Republican primary	% within Family income	14.8%	6.9%	3.1%	6.3%	10.6%	11.0%	14.0%	8.1%	19.3%	12.0%	19.2%	18.6%	7.5%	21.3%	2.6%	11.7%
	Definitely	Count	7	12	5	19	16	15	20	17	12	21	13	6	10	5	10	188
	Democratic primary	% within Family income	25.9%	41.4%	15.6%	30.2%	24.2%	16.5%	18.7%	19.8%	21.1%	25.3%	17.8%	14.0%	25.0%	10.6%	12.8%	20.4%
	Probably	Count	0	2	5	10	4	6	6	6	4	4	4	4	5	3	9	72
	Democratic primary	% within Family income	.0%	6.9%	15.6%	15.9%	6.1%	6.6%	5.6%	7.0%	7.0%	4.8%	5.5%	9.3%	12.5%	6.4%	11.5%	7.8%
	I only vote	Count	4	1	5	11	1	13	5	8	6	5	5	4	4	2	6	80
	elections	% within Family income	14.8%	3.4%	15.6%	17.5%	1.5%	14.3%	4.7%	9.3%	10.5%	6.0%	6.8%	9.3%	10.0%	4.3%	7.7%	8.7%
	Don't	Count	8	9	10	13	24	25	32	23	10	16	11	10	5	5	23	224
		% within Family income	29.6%	31.0%	31.2%	20.6%	36.4%	27.5%	29.9%	26.7%	17.5%	19.3%	15.1%	23.3%	12.5%	10.6%	29.5%	24.3%
	Total	Count	27	29	32	63	66	91	107	86	57	83	73	43	40	47	78	922

#### Turnout in 2010

#### primary

elections in

#### Texas \* Family

income Crosstabulation

Family income \$10,000|\$15,000|\$20,000|\$25,000|\$30,000|\$40,000|\$50,000|\$60,000|\$70,000|\$80,000|\$100,000|\$120,000 Prefer less \$150.000 than not to \$10,000|\$14,999|\$19,999|\$24,999|\$29,999|\$39,999|\$49,999|\$59,999|\$69,999|\$79,999|\$99,999|\$119,999|\$149,999 or more Total say Turnout in 2010 Definitely 22 28 Count 14 22 29 25 27 26 11 13 250 Δ 6 6 14 primary elections vote in the % in Texas Republican within primary 14.8% 10.3% 18.8% 9.5% 21.2% 24.2% 27.1% 29.1% 24.6% 32.5% 35.6% 25.6% 32.5% 46.8% 35.9% 27.1% Family income Probably Count 2 4 7 10 15 7 11 10 14 8 3 10 2 108 4 vote in the % Republican within 14.8% 6.9% 6.3% 10.6% 11.0% 14.0% 12.0% 19.2% 18.6% 7.5% 21.3% 2.6% primary 3.1% 8.1% 19.3% 11.7% Family income 7 5 15 20 17 21 13 10 Definitely Count 12 19 16 12 6 10 5 188 vote in the % Democratic within 25.9% primary 41.4% 15.6% 30.2% 24.2% 16.5% 18.7% 19.8% 21.1% 25.3% 17.8% 14.0% 25.0% 10.6% 12.8% 20.4% Family income Probably Count 0 2 5 10 Δ 6 6 6 4 Δ 5 3 9 72 vote in the % Democratic within primarv .0% 6.9% 15.6% 15.9% 6.1% 6.6% 5.6% 7.0% 7.0% 4.8% 5.5% 9.3% 12.5% 6.4% 11.5% 7.8% Family income 5 I only vote Count 5 11 13 5 8 6 5 2 80 4 1 Δ 6 in general % elections within 14.8% 3.4% 15.6% 17.5% 1.5% 14.3% 4.7% 9.3% 10.5% 6.0% 6.8% 9.3% 10.0% 4.3% 7.7% 8.7% Family income 32 Don't Count 8 9 10 13 24 25 23 10 16 11 10 5 5 23 224 Know % within 27.5% 29.6% 31.0% 31.2% 20.6% 36.4% 29.9% 26.7% 17.5% 19.3% 15.1% 23.3% 12.5% 10.6% 29.5% 24.3% Family income Total Count 27 29 32 63 66 91 107 86 57 83 73 43 40 47 78 922 % within 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% Family income

	-	-			Edu	ication			
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Turnout in 2010 primary	Definitely vote in the	Count	10	72	70	27	55	17	251
elections in Texas	Republican primary	% within Education	11.5%	23.7%	29.3%	45.0%	33.7%	23.9%	27.2%
	Probably vote in the	Count	3	35	39	3	17	10	107
	Republican primary	% within Education	3.4%	11.5%	16.3%	5.0%	10.4%	14.1%	11.6%
	Definitely vote in the	Count	19	61	47	10	31	21	189
	Democratic primary	% within Education	21.8%	20.1%	19.7%	16.7%	19.0%	29.6%	20.5%
	Probably vote in the	Count	3	23	19	8	17	4	74
	Democratic primary	% within Education	3.4%	7.6%	7.9%	13.3%	10.4%	5.6%	8.0%
	I only vote in general	Count	6	20	28	5	16	6	81
	elections	% within Education	6.9%	6.6%	11.7%	8.3%	9.8%	8.5%	8.8%
	Don't Know	Count	46	93	36	7	27	13	222
		% within Education	52.9%	30.6%	15.1%	11.7%	16.6%	18.3%	24.0%
	Total	Count	87	304	239	60	163	71	924
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Turnout in 2010 primary elections in Texas \* Education Crosstabulation

		ŗ			Church attenda	ince	<u>.</u>	!
			More than once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Prefer not to say	Total
urnout in 2010 primary	Definitely vote in the	Count	79	62	46	42	21	250
lections in Texas	Republican primary	% within Church attendance	47.0%	29.4%	20.4%	20.9%	, 18.3%	27.2%
	Probably vote in the	Count	16	28	34	20	, 9	107
	Republican primary	% within Church attendance	9.5%	13.3%	15.1%	10.0%	, 7.8%	11.6%
	Definitely vote in the	Count	31	51	37	44	23	186
	Democratic primary	% within Church attendance	18.5%	24.2%	16.4%	21.9%	, 20.0%	20.2%
	Probably vote in the	Count	11	14	22	19	9	75
	Democratic primary	% within Church attendance	6.5%	6.6%	9.8%	9.5%	, 7.8%	8.2%
	I only vote in general	Count	9	7	34	21	10	81
	elections	% within Church attendance	5.4%	3.3%	15.1%	10.4%	, 8.7%	8.8%
	Don't Know	Count	22	49	52	55	43	221
		% within Church attendance	13.1%	23.2%	23.1%	27.4%	, 37.4%	24.0%
	Total	Count	168	211	225	201	115	920
		% within Church attendance	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	, 100.0%	100.0%

#### Turnout in 2010 primary elections in Texas \* Church attendance Crosstabulation

	-	_				Race				
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Don't know	Total
Turnout in 2010 primary	Definitely vote in the	Count	185	6	49	3	2	5	1	251
elections in Texas	Republican primary	% within Race	37.6%	7.3%	17.0%	30.0%	40.0%	16.1%	8.3%	27.3%
	Probably vote in the	Count	66	3	31	1	2	3	0	106
	Republican primary	% within Race	13.4%	3.7%	10.7%	10.0%	40.0%	9.7%	.0%	11.5%
1	Definitely vote in the	Count	59	38	76	0	0	14	1	188
	Democratic primary	% within Race	12.0%	46.3%	26.3%	.0%	.0%	45.2%	8.3%	20.4%
	Probably vote in the	Count	31	14	22	5	0	2	0	74
	Democratic primary	% within Race	6.3%	17.1%	7.6%	50.0%	.0%	6.5%	.0%	8.0%
1	I only vote in general	Count	48	11	18	0	0	3	0	80
	elections	% within Race	9.8%	13.4%	6.2%	.0%	.0%	9.7%	.0%	8.7%
1	Don't Know	Count	103	10	93	1	1	4	10	222
		% within Race	20.9%	12.2%	32.2%	10.0%	20.0%	12.9%	83.3%	24.1%
	Total	Count	492	82	289	10	5	31	12	921
1		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Turnout in 2010 primary elections in Texas \* Race Crosstabulation

#### Turnout in 2010 primary elections in Texas \* Marital status Crosstabulation

							Marital statu	IS			
			Married, living with spouse	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single, never married	Domestic partnership	Don't know	Prefer not to say	Total
Turnout in 2010	Definitely vote in the	Count	195	3	17	6	24	3	3	1	252
primary elections in Texas	Republican primary	% within Marital status	34.6%	20.0%	17.9%	21.4%	14.7%	7.3%	75.0%	7.1%	27.3%
	Probably vote in the	Count	71	1	6	4	18	5	0	1	106
	Republican primary	% within Marital status	12.6%	6.7%	6.3%	14.3%	11.0%	12.2%	.0%	7.1%	11.5%
	Definitely vote in the	Count	99	3	27	7	32	18	0	3	189
	Democratic primary	% within Marital status	17.6%	20.0%	28.4%	25.0%	19.6%	43.9%	.0%	21.4%	20.5%
	Probably vote in the	Count	31	1	10	0	25	4	0	2	73
	Democratic primary	% within Marital status	5.5%	6.7%	10.5%	.0%	15.3%	9.8%	.0%	14.3%	7.9%
	I only vote in general	Count	36	4	8	6	23	4	1	0	82
	elections	% within Marital status	6.4%	26.7%	8.4%	21.4%	14.1%	9.8%	25.0%	.0%	8.9%
	Don't Know	Count	131	3	27	5	41	7	0	7	221
		% within Marital status	23.3%	20.0%	28.4%	17.9%	25.2%	17.1%	.0%	50.0%	23.9%
	Total	Count	563	15	95	28	163	41	4	14	923
		% within Marital status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

				Gender	
			Male	Female	Total
Turnout in 2010 primary elections	Definitely vote in the Republican	Count	131	120	251
in Texas	primary	% within Gender	30.7%	24.1%	27.1%
	Probably vote in the Republican	Count	52	55	107
	primary	% within Gender	12.2%	11.0%	11.6%
	Definitely vote in the Democratic	Count	78	112	190
	primary	% within Gender	18.3%	22.5%	20.5%
	Probably vote in the Democratic	Count	35	38	73
	primary	% within Gender	8.2%	7.6%	7.9%
	I only vote in general elections	Count	42	40	82
		% within Gender	9.8%	8.0%	8.9%
	Don't Know	Count	89	133	222
		% within Gender	20.8%	26.7%	24.0%
	Total	Count	427	498	925
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Turnout in 2010 primary elections in Texas \* Gender Crosstabulation

						Ideo	logy			
			1 - Extremely liberal	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Extremely conservative	Total
Turnout in 2010 Republican	Rick Perry	Count	3	1	0	11	23	47	50	135
Primary election for		% within Ideology	100.0%	33.3%	.0%	24.4%	29.9%	37.6%	53.8%	38.0%
governer	Kay Bailey Hutchison	Count	0	1	2	18	18	35	17	91
		% within Ideology	.0%	33.3%	22.2%	40.0%	23.4%	28.0%	18.3%	25.6%
Leo Berman	Leo Berman	Count	0	0	2	1	1	0	2	6
		% within Ideology	.0%	.0%	22.2%	2.2%	1.3%	.0%	2.2%	1.7%
	Someone else	Count	0	1	1	1	7	8	9	27
		% within Ideology	.0%	33.3%	11.1%	2.2%	9.1%	6.4%	9.7%	7.6%
	Undecided	Count	0	0	4	14	28	35	15	96
		% within Ideology	.0%	.0%	44.4%	31.1%	36.4%	28.0%	16.1%	27.0%
	Total	Count	3	3	9	45	77	125	93	355
		% within Ideology	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Turnout in 2010 Republican Primary election for governor \* Ideology Crosstabulation

#### Turnout in 2010 Republican Primary election for governor \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

						7 ро	int Party ID				
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Turnout in 2010	Rick Perry	Count	4	3	0	7	30	24	67	0	135
Republican Primary election for governor		% within 7 point Party ID	66.7%	30.0%	.0%	28.0%	42.3%	29.3%	42.1%	.0%	37.9%
	Kay Bailey	Count	1	2	1	5	22	24	38	0	93
	Hutchison	% within 7 point Party ID	16.7%	20.0%	100.0%	20.0%	31.0%	29.3%	23.9%	.0%	26.1%
	Leo Berman	Count	0	0	0	0	2	0	4	0	6
		% within 7 point Party ID	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.8%	.0%	2.5%	.0%	1.7%
	Someone else	Count	0	2	0	6	6	5	7	1	27
		% within 7 point Party ID	.0%	20.0%	.0%	24.0%	8.5%	6.1%	4.4%	50.0%	7.6%
	Undecided	Count	1	3	0	7	11	29	43	1	95
		% within 7 point Party ID	16.7%	30.0%	.0%	28.0%	15.5%	35.4%	27.0%	50.0%	26.7%
	Total	Count	6	10	1	25	71	82	159	2	356
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Turnout in 2010 Republican Primary election for governor \* Family income Crosstabulation

				Family income														
			less than \$10,000	\$10,000 - \$14,999	\$15,000 - \$19,999	\$20,000 - \$24,999	\$25,000 - \$29,999	\$30,000 - \$39,999	\$40,000 - \$49,999	\$50,000 - \$59,999	\$60,000 - \$69,999	\$70,000 - \$79,999	\$80,000 - \$99,999	\$100,000 - \$119,999	\$120,000 - \$149,999	\$150,000 or more	Prefer not to say	Total
Turnout in 2010	Rick Perry	Count	4	1	2	4	9	14	18	10	10	12	15	9	7	14	7	136
Primary election for governor		% within Family income	50.0%	20.0%	33.3%	33.3%	42.9%	43.8%	40.9%	32.3%	40.0%	32.4%	37.5%	47.4%	43.8%	42.4%	23.3%	37.9%
	Kay Bailey	Count	2	2	2	3	1	10	10	8	4	12	10	6	7	11	5	93
	Hutchison	% within Family income	25.0%	40.0%	33.3%	25.0%	4.8%	31.2%	22.7%	25.8%	16.0%	32.4%	25.0%	31.6%	43.8%	33.3%	16.7%	25.9%
	Leo	Count	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	3	0	6
	Deman	% within Family income	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.1%	.0%	3.2%	.0%	2.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	9.1%	.0%	1.7%
	Someone	Count	0	0	1	3	0	1	5	2	1	3	4	1	0	3	4	28
	else	% within Family income	.0%	.0%	16.7%	25.0%	.0%	3.1%	11.4%	6.5%	4.0%	8.1%	10.0%	5.3%	.0%	9.1%	13.3%	7.8%
	Undecided	Count	2	2	1	2	11	6	11	10	10	9	11	3	2	2	14	96
		% within Family income	25.0%	40.0%	16.7%	16.7%	52.4%	18.8%	25.0%	32.3%	40.0%	24.3%	27.5%	15.8%	12.5%	6.1%	46.7%	26.7%
	Total	Count	8	5	6	12	21	32	44	31	25	37	40	19	16	33	30	359
		% within Family income	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

	-	-			Edu	ication			
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Turnout in 2010 Republican	Rick Perry	Count	10	33	37	12	32	12	136
Primary election for governor		% within Education	76.9%	31.1%	34.3%	40.0%	45.1%	44.4%	38.3%
	Kay Bailey Hutchison	Count	0	22	28	11	20	11	92
		% within Education	.0%	20.8%	25.9%	36.7%	28.2%	40.7%	25.9%
	Leo Berman	Count	0	2	3	1	0	0	6
		% within Education	.0%	1.9%	2.8%	3.3%	.0%	.0%	1.7%
	Someone else	Count	0	8	11	1	6	0	26
		% within Education	.0%	7.5%	10.2%	3.3%	8.5%	.0%	7.3%
	Undecided	Count	3	41	29	5	13	4	95
		% within Education	23.1%	38.7%	26.9%	16.7%	18.3%	14.8%	26.8%
	Total	Count	13	106	108	30	71	27	355
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Turnout in 2010 Republican Primary election for governor \* Education Crosstabulation

Turnout in 2010 Republican Primary election for governor \* Church attendance Crosstabulation

	-		Church attendance								
			More than once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Prefer not to say	Total			
Turnout in 2010 Republican	Rick Perry	Count	34	32	29	30	11	136			
Primary election for governor		% within Church attendance	35.8%	35.2%	36.2%	49.2%	35.5%	38.0%			
	Kay Bailey Hutchison	Count	27	29	23	9	5	93			
		% within Church attendance	28.4%	31.9%	28.8%	14.8%	16.1%	26.0%			
	Leo Berman	Count	2	0	1	3	0	6			
		% within Church attendance	2.1%	.0%	1.2%	4.9%	.0%	1.7%			
	Someone else	Count	6	4	11	3	3	27			
		% within Church attendance	6.3%	4.4%	13.8%	4.9%	9.7%	7.5%			
	Undecided	Count	26	26	16	16	12	96			
		% within Church attendance	27.4%	28.6%	20.0%	26.2%	38.7%	26.8%			

	Total	Count	95	91	80	61	31	358
		% within Church attendance	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

	-					Race				
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Don't know	Total
Turnout in 2010	Rick Perry	Count	95	0	37	1	1	0	1	135
Republican Primary		% within Race	37.7%	.0%	46.2%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%	100.0%	37.8%
olocitor for governor	Kay Bailey Hutchison	Count	63	4	21	1	3	1	0	93
		% within Race	25.0%	44.4%	26.2%	25.0%	75.0%	14.3%	.0%	26.1%
	Leo Berman	Count	4	0	3	0	0	0	0	7
		% within Race	1.6%	.0%	3.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.0%
	Someone else	Count	23	1	1	0	0	2	0	27
		% within Race	9.1%	11.1%	1.2%	.0%	.0%	28.6%	.0%	7.6%
	Undecided	Count	67	4	18	2	0	4	0	95
		% within Race	26.6%	44.4%	22.5%	50.0%	.0%	57.1%	.0%	26.6%
	Total	Count	252	9	80	4	4	7	1	357
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Turnout in 2010 Republican Primary election for governor \* Race Crosstabulation

#### Turnout in 2010 Republican Primary election for governor \* Marital status Crosstabulation

							Marital statu	IS			
			Married, living with spouse	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single, never married	Domestic partnership	Don't know	Prefer not to say	Total
Turnout in 2010	Rick Perry	Count	104	2	10	1	14	1	3	0	135
Republican Primary election for governor		% within Marital status	39.1%	50.0%	43.5%	10.0%	34.1%	12.5%	100.0%	.0%	37.8%
	Kay Bailey	Count	72	1	6	4	7	2	0	1	93
	Hutchison	% within Marital status	27.1%	25.0%	26.1%	40.0%	17.1%	25.0%	.0%	50.0%	26.1%
	Leo Berman	Count	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	6
		% within Marital status	1.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	7.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.7%
	Someone else	Count	16	0	2	3	3	1	0	1	26
		% within Marital status	6.0%	.0%	8.7%	30.0%	7.3%	12.5%	.0%	50.0%	7.3%
	Undecided	Count	71	1	5	2	14	4	0	0	97
		% within Marital status	26.7%	25.0%	21.7%	20.0%	34.1%	50.0%	.0%	.0%	27.2%
	Total	Count	266	4	23	10	41	8	3	2	357
		% within Marital status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

				Gender	
			Male	Female	Total
Turnout in 2010 Republican Primary election	Rick Perry	Count	79	57	136
for governor		% within Gender	43.2%	32.6%	38.0%
	Kay Bailey Hutchison	Count	48	44	92
		% within Gender	26.2%	25.1%	25.7%
	Leo Berman	Count	2	5	7
	Leo Berman Count % within Gender		1.1%	2.9%	2.0%
	Someone else	Count	15	12	27
		% within Gender	8.2%	6.9%	7.5%
	Undecided	Count	39	57	96
		% within Gender	21.3%	32.6%	26.8%
	Total	Count	183	175	358
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Turnout in 2010 Republican Primary election for governor \* Gender Crosstabulation

#### Turnout in 2010 Democratic Primary election for governor \* Ideology Crosstabulation

	-	-				Ideo	ology			
			1 - Extremely liberal	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Extremely conservative	Total
Turnout in 2010 Democratic	Tom Schieffer	Count	0	2	0	4	0	0	0	6
Primary election for		% within Ideology	.0%	3.9%	.0%	4.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.3%
govornor	Leticia Van de Putte	Count	3	4	6	3	0	0	1	17
		% within Ideology	12.0%	7.8%	9.8%	3.3%	.0%	.0%	12.5%	6.4%
	Kinky Friedman	Count	2	8	9	6	6	1	1	33
		% within Ideology	8.0%	15.7%	14.8%	6.5%	26.1%	20.0%	12.5%	12.5%
	Mark Thompson	Count	2	1	0	5	0	0	0	8
		% within Ideology	8.0%	2.0%	.0%	5.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.0%
	Someone else	Count	1	6	6	15	2	2	1	33
		% within Ideology	4.0%	11.8%	9.8%	16.3%	8.7%	40.0%	12.5%	12.5%
	Undecided	Count	17	30	40	59	15	2	5	168
		% within Ideology	68.0%	58.8%	65.6%	64.1%	65.2%	40.0%	62.5%	63.4%

Total	Count	25	51	61	92	23	5	8	265
	% within Ideology	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Turnout in 2010 Democratic Primary	y election for governor * 7	point Part	y ID Crosstabulation
------------------------------------	-----------------------------	------------	----------------------

	-					7 ро	int Party ID				
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Turnout in 2010	Tom Schieffer	Count	4	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	6
Democratic Primary election for governor		% within 7 point Party ID	3.0%	.0%	5.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.3%
	Leticia Van de	Count	9	6	2	0	0	0	0	0	17
	Putte	% within 7 point Party ID	6.8%	8.7%	5.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	6.4%
	Kinky Friedman	Count	11	8	9	2	2	0	0	0	32
		% within 7 point Party ID	8.3%	11.6%	25.0%	12.5%	50.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	12.1%
	Mark Thompson	Count	3	1	0	4	0	0	0	0	8
		% within 7 point Party ID	2.3%	1.4%	.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.0%
	Someone else	Count	17	5	3	6	0	2	0	1	34
		% within 7 point Party ID	12.9%	7.2%	8.3%	37.5%	.0%	66.7%	.0%	33.3%	12.8%
	Undecided	Count	88	49	20	4	2	1	2	2	168
		% within 7 point Party ID	66.7%	71.0%	55.6%	25.0%	50.0%	33.3%	100.0%	66.7%	63.4%
	Total	Count	132	69	36	16	4	3	2	3	265
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Turnout in 2010 Democratic Primary election for governor \* Family income Crosstabulation

				Family income														
			less than	\$10,000	\$15,000 -	\$20,000	\$25,000 -	\$30,000 -	\$40,000 -	\$50,000 -	\$60,000 -	\$70,000 -	\$80,000 -	\$100,000 -	\$120,000 -	\$150,000	Prefer not to	
			\$10,000	\$14,999	\$19,999	\$24,999	\$29,999	\$39,999	\$49,999	\$59,999	\$69,999	\$79,999	\$99,999	\$119,999	\$149,999	or more	say	Total
Turnout in 2010 Democratic	Tom Schieffer	Count %	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	1	1	6
for governor		within Family income	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.7%	.0%	.0%	20.0%	12.5%	5.0%	2.3%
	Leticia	Count	0	1	0	0	2	2	4	3	3	2	. 1	0	0	0	1	19
	Van de Putte	% within Family income	.0%	7.1%	.0%	.0%	10.5%	9.5%	16.0%	12.5%	18.8%	7.4%	5.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	5.0%	7.2%
Ki Fr M	Kinky	Count	0	1	3	4	1	3	2	2	0	6	2	1	2	1	3	31
	Friedman	% within Family income	.0%	7.1%	30.0%	13.3%	5.3%	14.3%	8.0%	8.3%	.0%	22.2%	11.1%	10.0%	13.3%	12.5%	15.0%	11.7%
	Mark	Count	0	3	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	8
	Thompson	' % within Family income	.0%	21.4%	.0%	3.3%	.0%	4.8%	.0%	4.2%	6.2%	3.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.0%
	Someone	Count	0	1	0	5	3	2	3	4	2	5	2	2	. 0	1	3	33
	else	% within Family income	.0%	7.1%	.0%	16.7%	15.8%	9.5%	12.0%	16.7%	12.5%	18.5%	11.1%	20.0%	.0%	12.5%	15.0%	12.5%
	Undecided	I Count	7	8	7	20	13	13	16	14	10	12	13	7	10	5	12	167
		% within Family income	100.0%	57.1%	70.0%	66.7%	68.4%	61.9%	64.0%	58.3%	62.5%	44.4%	72.2%	70.0%	66.7%	62.5%	60.0%	63.3%

Total Count	7	14	10	30	19	21	25	24	16	27	18	10	15	8	20	264
% within Family income	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Turnout in 2010 Democratic Primary election for governor \* Education Crosstabulation

	-	-			Edu	ucation			
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Turnout in 2010 Democratic	Tom Schieffer	Count	0	1	1	1	2	1	6
Primary election for governor		% within Education	.0%	1.2%	1.5%	5.3%	4.2%	4.0%	2.3%
	Leticia Van de Putte	Count	0	4	5	2	3	3	17
		% within Education	.0%	4.8%	7.7%	10.5%	6.2%	12.0%	6.5%
	Kinky Friedman C	Count	0	12	7	2	8	3	32
		% within Education	.0%	14.3%	10.8%	10.5%	16.7%	12.0%	12.2%
	Mark Thompson	Count	3	0	2	1	1	0	7
	Mark Thompson	% within Education	13.6%	.0%	3.1%	5.3%	2.1%	.0%	2.7%
	Someone else	Count	10	7	9	2	5	0	33
		% within Education	45.5%	8.3%	13.8%	10.5%	10.4%	.0%	12.5%
	Undecided	Count	9	60	41	11	29	18	168
-		% within Education	40.9%	71.4%	63.1%	57.9%	60.4%	72.0%	63.9%
	Total	Count	22	84	65	19	48	25	263
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

	-	-			Church attenda	ince		
			More than once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Prefer not to say	Total
Turnout in 2010 Democratic	Tom Schieffer	Count	1	3	1	0	2	7
Primary election for governor		% within Church attendance	2.4%	4.6%	1.7%	.0%	6.5%	2.7%
	Leticia Van de Putte	Count	2	5	3	6	1	17
		% within Church attendance	4.8%	7.7%	5.2%	9.5%	3.2%	6.6%
	Kinky Friedman Mark Thompson	Count	5	5	6	15	1	32
		% within Church attendance	11.9%	7.7%	10.3%	23.8%	3.2%	12.4%
		Count	0	4	0	2	0	6
		% within Church attendance	.0%	6.2%	.0%	3.2%	.0%	2.3%
	Someone else	Count	6	4	14	4	4	32
		% within Church attendance	14.3%	6.2%	24.1%	6.3%	12.9%	12.4%
	Undecided	Count	28	44	34	36	23	165
		% within Church attendance	66.7%	67.7%	58.6%	57.1%	74.2%	63.7%
	Total	Count	42	65	58	63	31	259
		% within Church attendance	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Turnout in 2010 Democratic Primary election for governor \* Church attendance Crosstabulation

						Race			
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Multi-racial	Don't know	Total
Furnout in 2010 Democratic	Tom Schieffer	Count	4	1	1	0	0	0	6
<sup>2</sup> rimary election for governor		% within Race	4.5%	1.9%	1.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.3%
	Leticia Van de Putte	Count	5	3	9	0	0	0	17
		% within Race	5.6%	5.6%	9.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	6.4%
	Kinky Friedman	Count	18	4	9	0	1	0	32
		% within Race	20.2%	7.4%	9.1%	.0%	5.9%	.0%	12.1%
	Mark Thompson	Count	1	2	2	0	3	0	8
	Mark Thompson	% within Race	1.1%	3.7%	2.0%	.0%	17.6%	.0%	3.0%
	Someone else	Count	12	4	15	1	1	0	33
		% within Race	13.5%	7.4%	15.2%	20.0%	5.9%	.0%	12.5%
	Undecided	Count	49	40	63	4	12	1	169
-		% within Race	55.1%	74.1%	63.6%	80.0%	70.6%	100.0%	63.8%
	Total C	Count	89	54	99	5	17	1	265
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Turnout in 2010 Democratic Primary election for governor \* Race Crosstabulation

						Ma	rital status			
			Married, living with spouse	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single, never married	Domestic partnership	Prefer not to say	Total
Turnout in 2010	Tom Schieffer	Count	3	0	0	0	1	3	0	7
Democratic Primary		% within Marital status	2.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.7%	13.0%	.0%	2.6%
cicculor for governor	Leticia Van de Putt	e Count	6	0	2	1	5	3	1	18
		% within Marital status	4.6%	.0%	5.4%	12.5%	8.6%	13.0%	20.0%	6.8%
	Kinky Friedman	Count	13	1	6	1	9	3	0	33
	Mark Thompson	% within Marital status	9.9%	25.0%	16.2%	12.5%	15.5%	13.0%	.0%	12.4%
	Mark Thompson	Count	1	0	0	0	5	1	0	7
		% within Marital status	.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	8.6%	4.3%	.0%	2.6%
	Someone else	Count	23	0	2	1	4	3	0	33
	Someone eise	% within Marital status	17.6%	.0%	5.4%	12.5%	6.9%	13.0%	.0%	12.4%
	Undecided	Count	85	3	27	5	34	10	4	168
	% with	% within Marital status	64.9%	75.0%	73.0%	62.5%	58.6%	43.5%	80.0%	63.2%
	Total	Count	131	4	37	8	58	23	5	266
		% within Marital status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Turnout in 2010 Democratic Primary election for governor \* Marital status Crosstabulation

		-		Gender	
			Male	Female	Total
Turnout in 2010 Democratic Primary election for	r Tom Schieffer	Count	2	3	5
governor		% within Gender	1.8%	2.0%	1.9%
	Leticia Van de Putte	Count	11	6	17
		% within Gender	9.8%	4.0%	6.5%
	Kinky Friedman	Count	16	16	32
		% within Gender	14.3%	10.7%	12.3%
	Mark Thompson	Count	6	1	7
		% within Gender	5.4%	.7%	2.7%
	Someone else	Count	11	22	33
		% within Gender	9.8%	14.8%	12.6%
	Undecided	Count	66	101	167
		% within Gender	58.9%	67.8%	64.0%
	Total	Count	112	149	261
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Turnout in 2010 Democratic Primary election for governor \* Gender Crosstabulation

						Idec	logy			
			1 - Extremely liberal	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Extremely conservative	Total
Country's economy	A lot better off	Count	1	3	1	3	0	0	5	13
		% within Ideology	2.3%	4.1%	1.0%	1.1%	.0%	.0%	4.1%	1.4%
	Somewhat better off	Count	12	11	20	28	14	7	3	95
		% within Ideology	27.9%	15.1%	19.0%	10.7%	9.3%	4.2%	2.4%	10.3%
	About the same	Count	13	17	20	75	29	18	9	181
		% within Ideology	30.2%	23.3%	19.0%	28.6%	19.3%	10.7%	7.3%	19.6%
	Somewhat worse off	Count	9	25	41	78	48	45	17	263
		% within Ideology	20.9%	34.2%	39.0%	29.8%	32.0%	26.8%	13.8%	28.5%
	A lot worse off	Count	8	17	23	78	59	98	89	372
		% within Ideology	18.6%	23.3%	21.9%	29.8%	39.3%	58.3%	72.4%	40.3%
	Total	Count	43	73	105	262	150	168	123	924
		% within Ideology	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Country's economy \* Ideology Crosstabulation

						7 pc	oint Party ID				
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Country's	A lot better off	Count	5	0	1	0	0	0	2	3	11
economy		% within 7 point Party ID	3.2%	.0%	1.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.1%	6.5%	1.2%
	Somewhat better	Count	41	17	11	7	6	8	4	1	95
	off	% within 7 point Party	26.6%	14.9%	13.9%	5.3%	5.5%	7.5%	2.2%	2.2%	10.3%
	About the same	Count	43	25	23	21	7	13	29	21	182
		% within 7 point Party	27.9%	21.9%	29.1%	15.9%	6.4%	12.1%	16.1%	45.7%	19.7%
	Somewhat worse	Count	38	37	25	37	24	48	37	15	261
	off	% within 7 point Party ID	24.7%	32.5%	31.6%	28.0%	21.8%	44.9%	20.6%	32.6%	28.3%
	A lot worse off	Count	27	35	19	67	73	38	108	6	373
		% within 7 point Party ID	17.5%	30.7%	24.1%	50.8%	66.4%	35.5%	60.0%	13.0%	40.5%
-	Total	Count	154	114	79	132	110	107	180	46	922
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Country's economy \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

# Country's economy \* Family income Crosstabulation

			Family income						_		_	_	_	_	_			_
			less than \$10,000	\$10,000 - \$14,999	\$15,000 - \$19,999	\$20,000 - \$24,999	\$25,000 - \$29,999	\$30,000 - \$39,999	\$40,000 - \$49,999	\$50,000 - \$59,999	\$60,000 - \$69,999	\$70,000 - \$79,999	\$80,000 - \$99,999	\$100,000 - \$119,999	\$120,000 - \$149,999	\$150,000 or more	Prefer not to say	Total
Country's	A lot	Count	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	2	0	0	1	1	1	0	2	11
economy	better off	% within Family income	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.5%	3.3%	.0%	2.4%	.0%	.0%	1.4%	2.3%	2.4%	.0%	2.5%	1.2%
	Somewha	t Count	2	1	4	9	6	10	15	8	4	12	9	4	4	4	5	97
	better off	% within Family income	7.7%	3.4%	12.5%	14.1%	9.1%	10.9%	14.0%	9.4%	7.0%	14.3%	12.2%	9.3%	9.8%	8.5%	6.3%	10.5%
	About the	Count	5	12	11	13	17	18	23	13	6	17	11	1	5	7	23	182
	same	% within Family income	19.2%	41.4%	34.4%	20.3%	25.8%	19.6%	21.5%	15.3%	10.5%	20.2%	14.9%	2.3%	12.2%	14.9%	29.1%	19.7%
	Somewha	t Count	9	8	5	18	18	36	28	21	21	21	25	17	7	9	19	262
	worse off	% within Family income	34.6%	27.6%	15.6%	28.1%	27.3%	39.1%	26.2%	24.7%	36.8%	25.0%	33.8%	39.5%	17.1%	19.1%	24.1%	28.3%
	A lot	Count	10	8	12	24	24	25	41	41	26	34	28	20	24	27	30	374
	worse on	% within Family income	38.5%	27.6%	37.5%	37.5%	36.4%	27.2%	38.3%	48.2%	45.6%	40.5%	37.8%	46.5%	58.5%	57.4%	38.0%	40.4%
	Total	Count	26	29	32	64	66	92	107	85	57	84	74	43	41	47	79	926
		% within Family income	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

					Edu	ucation			
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Country's economy	A lot better off	Count	0	5	2	1	2	2	12
		% within Education	.0%	1.7%	.8%	1.7%	1.2%	2.8%	1.3%
	Somewhat better off	Count	3	28	34	8	16	6	95
		% within Education	3.4%	9.3%	14.2%	13.3%	9.8%	8.5%	10.3%
	About the same	Count	31	66	37	11	26	11	182
		% within Education	35.6%	21.9%	15.4%	18.3%	15.9%	15.5%	19.7%
	Somewhat worse off	Count	22	86	60	10	61	22	261
		% within Education	25.3%	28.5%	25.0%	16.7%	37.2%	31.0%	28.2%
	A lot worse off	Count	31	117	107	30	59	30	374
		% within Education	35.6%	38.7%	44.6%	50.0%	36.0%	42.3%	40.5%
	Total	Count	87	302	240	60	164	71	924
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Country's economy \* Education Crosstabulation

	-	-			Church attenda	nce		
			More than once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Prefer not to say	Total
Country's economy	A lot better off	Count	0	2	6	1	3	12
		% within Church attendance	.0%	.9%	2.7%	.5%	2.6%	1.3%
	Somewhat better off	Count	14	22	28	22	7	93
		% within Church attendance	8.3%	10.4%	12.4%	10.9%	6.0%	10.1%
	About the same Count		33	36	48	41	25	183
		% within Church attendance	19.6%	17.1%	21.3%	20.4%	21.6%	19.9%
	Somewhat worse off	Count	40	71	65	57	28	261
		% within Church attendance	23.8%	33.6%	28.9%	28.4%	24.1%	28.3%
	A lot worse off	Count	81	80	78	80	53	372
		% within Church attendance	48.2%	37.9%	34.7%	39.8%	45.7%	40.4%
	Total	Count	168	211	225	201	116	921
		% within Church attendance	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Country's economy \* Church attendance Crosstabulation

#### Country's economy \* Race Crosstabulation

						Race				
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Don't know	Total
Country's economy	A lot better off	Count	3	1	6	0	0	1	0	11
		% within Race	.6%	1.2%	2.1%	.0%	.0%	3.2%	.0%	1.2%
	Somewhat better off	Count	28	24	38	0	0	4	1	95
		% within Race	5.7%	29.3%	13.1%	.0%	.0%	12.9%	8.3%	10.3%
	About the same	Count	74	16	74	1	1	8	7	181
		% within Race	15.1%	19.5%	25.4%	11.1%	25.0%	25.8%	58.3%	19.7%
	Somewhat worse off	Count	130	21	87	6	0	14	2	260
		% within Race	26.5%	25.6%	29.9%	66.7%	.0%	45.2%	16.7%	28.3%
	A lot worse off	Count	256	20	86	2	3	4	2	373
		% within Race	52.1%	24.4%	29.6%	22.2%	75.0%	12.9%	16.7%	40.5%
	Total	Count	491	82	291	9	4	31	12	920
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

	-	-					Marital statu	S			
			Married, living with spouse	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single, never married	Domestic partnership	Don't know	Prefer not to say	Total
Country's	A lot better off	Count	5	0	1	0	4	1	0	1	12
economy		% within Marital status	.9%	.0%	1.1%	.0%	2.4%	2.4%	.0%	7.7%	1.3%
	Somewhat better	Count	52	5	10	2	19	7	0	0	95
	off	% within Marital status	9.2%	35.7%	10.6%	7.1%	11.6%	16.7%	.0%	.0%	10.3%
	About the same	Count	102	3	13	4	49	7	1	3	182
		% within Marital status	18.1%	21.4%	13.8%	14.3%	29.9%	16.7%	25.0%	23.1%	19.7%
	Somewhat worse	Count	159	2	26	7	48	9	3	6	260
	off	% within Marital status	28.2%	14.3%	27.7%	25.0%	29.3%	21.4%	75.0%	46.2%	28.2%
	A lot worse off	Count	246	4	44	15	44	18	0	3	374
		% within Marital status	43.6%	28.6%	46.8%	53.6%	26.8%	42.9%	.0%	23.1%	40.5%
	Total	Count	564	14	94	28	164	42	4	13	923
		% within Marital status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Country's economy \* Marital status Crosstabulation

				Gender	
			Male	Female	Total
Country's economy	A lot better off	Count	7	5	12
		% within Gender	1.6%	1.0%	1.3%
	Somewhat better off	Count	51	44	95
		% within Gender	12.0%	8.9%	10.3%
	About the same	Count	69	112	181
		% within Gender	16.2%	22.6%	19.6%
	Somewhat worse off	Count	112	149	261
		% within Gender	26.3%	30.0%	28.3%
	A lot worse off	Count	187	186	373
		% within Gender	43.9%	37.5%	40.5%
	Total	Count	426	496	922
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Country's economy \* Gender Crosstabulation

#### Personal economic situation \* Ideology Crosstabulation

	-					Ideo	ology			
			1 - Extremely liberal	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Extremely conservative	Total
Personal economic situation A lot better off		Count	0	5	1	6	7	2	6	27
		% within Ideology	.0%	7.0%	1.0%	2.3%	4.7%	1.2%	5.0%	2.9%
	Somewhat better off	Count	10	11	16	34	19	22	16	128
		% within Ideology	23.8%	15.5%	15.2%	12.9%	12.8%	13.1%	13.2%	13.9%
	About the same	Count	18	30	44	110	56	67	39	364
		% within Ideology	42.9%	42.3%	41.9%	41.8%	37.6%	39.9%	32.2%	39.6%
	Somewhat worse off	Count	8	19	28	75	39	54	27	250
		% within Ideology	19.0%	26.8%	26.7%	28.5%	26.2%	32.1%	22.3%	27.2%
	A lot worse off	Count	6	6	16	38	28	23	33	150
		% within Ideology	14.3%	8.5%	15.2%	14.4%	18.8%	13.7%	27.3%	16.3%
	Total	Count	42	71	105	263	149	168	121	919
		% within Ideology	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

	-	-				7 po	int Party ID				
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Personal economic	A lot better off	Count	11	2	2	3	3	2	3	1	27
situation		% within 7 point Party ID	7.2%	1.8%	2.6%	2.3%	2.7%	1.9%	1.7%	2.1%	2.9%
	Somewhat better off	Count	28	22	11	9	2	19	31	5	127
		% within 7 point Party ID	18.3%	19.3%	14.1%	6.8%	1.8%	17.6%	17.2%	10.6%	13.8%
	About the same	Count	70	38	27	56	35	52	62	27	367
		% within 7 point Party ID	45.8%	33.3%	34.6%	42.1%	31.8%	48.1%	34.4%	57.4%	39.8%
	Somewhat worse	Count	31	34	24	32	43	25	52	11	252
	off	% within 7 point Party ID	20.3%	29.8%	30.8%	24.1%	39.1%	23.1%	28.9%	23.4%	27.3%
	A lot worse off	Count	13	18	14	33	27	10	32	3	150
		% within 7 point Party ID	8.5%	15.8%	17.9%	24.8%	24.5%	9.3%	17.8%	6.4%	16.3%
	Total	Count	153	114	78	133	110	108	180	47	923
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Personal economic situation \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

#### Personal

economic situation \* Family income Crosstabulation

				Family income														
			less than \$10,000	\$10,000 - \$14,999	\$15,000 - \$19,999	\$20,000 - \$24,999	\$25,000 - \$29,999	\$30,000 - \$39,999	\$40,000 - \$49,999	\$50,000 - \$59,999	\$60,000 - \$69,999	\$70,000 - \$79,999	\$80,000 - \$99,999	\$100,000 - \$119,999	\$120,000 - \$149,999	\$150,000 or more	Prefer not to say	Total
Personal economic situation	A lot better off	Count % within Family income	3 11.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1 1.5%	5.4%	4	2.4%	1 1.8%	2	4	1	2.5%	3 6.4%	0.0%	27 2.9%
	Somewhat better off	t Count % within Family income	0.0%	3 10.7%	1 3.3%	15 23.4%	7 10.4%	13 14.1%	17 15.9%	19 22.6%	10 17.9%	10 12.0%	13 17.6%	4 9.1%	8 20.0%	4 8.5%	5 6.2%	129 14.0%
	About the same	Count % within Family income	9 34.6%	12 42.9%	15 50.0%	21 32.8%	22 32.8%	35 38.0%	39 36.4%	33 39.3%	23 41.1%	42 50.6%	24 32.4%	20 45.5%	10 25.0%	18 38.3%	42 52.5%	365 39.6%
	Somewhat worse off	t Count % within Family income	5 19.2%	11 39.3%	6 20.0%	15 23.4%	25 37.3%	26 28.3%	31 29.0%	14 16.7%	17 30.4%	19 22.9%	21 28.4%	9 20.5%	15 37.5%	15 31.9%	22 27.5%	251 27.2%
	A lot worse off	Count % within Family income	9 34.6%	2 7.1%	8 26.7%	13 20.3%	12 17.9%	13 14.1%	16 15.0%	16 19.0%	5 8.9%	10 12.0%	12 16.2%	10 22.7%	6 15.0%	7 14.9%	11 13.8%	150 16.3%
	Total	Count % within Family income	26 100.0%	28 100.0%	30 100.0%	64 100.0%	67 100.0%	92 100.0%	107 100.0%	84 100.0%	56 100.0%	83 100.0%	74 100.0%	44 100.0%	40 100.0%	47 100.0%	80 100.0%	922 100.0%

					Edu	cation			
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Personal economic situation	A lot better off	Count	3	10	7	2	3	2	27
		% within Education	3.4%	3.3%	2.9%	3.4%	1.8%	2.9%	2.9%
	Somewhat better off	Count	10	32	32	10	34	10	128
		% within Education	11.5%	10.5%	13.4%	16.9%	20.9%	14.3%	13.9%
	About the same	Count	38	126	92	23	56	30	365
		% within Education	43.7%	41.3%	38.7%	39.0%	34.4%	42.9%	39.6%
	Somewhat worse off	Count	20	80	69	15	46	21	251
		% within Education	23.0%	26.2%	29.0%	25.4%	28.2%	30.0%	27.2%
	A lot worse off	Count	16	57	38	9	24	7	151
		% within Education	18.4%	18.7%	16.0%	15.3%	14.7%	10.0%	16.4%
	Total	Count	87	305	238	59	163	70	922
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Personal economic situation \* Education Crosstabulation

Personal economic situation \* Church attendance Crosstabulation

	-				Church attenda	ince				
			More than once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Prefer not to say	Total		
Personal economic situation	A lot better off	Count	7	4	8	7	2	28		
		% within Church attendance	4.2%	1.9%	3.6%	3.5%	1.7%	3.1%		
	Somewhat better off	Count	17	40	33	27	11	128		
		% within Church attendance	10.2%	19.0%	14.7%	13.4%	9.5%	13.9%		
	About the same	Count	66	74	89	87	46	362		
		% within Church attendance	39.5%	35.2%	39.7%	43.3%	39.7%	39.4%		
	Somewhat worse off	Count	46	60	62	53	30	251		
		% within Church attendance	27.5%	28.6%	27.7%	26.4%	25.9%	27.3%		
	A lot worse off	Count	31	32	32	27	27	149		
		% within Church attendance	18.6%	15.2%	14.3%	13.4%	23.3%	16.2%		
	Total	Count	167	210	224	201	116	918		
		% within Church attendance	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		
	-					Race				
-------------------	---------------------	---------------	--------	---------------------	-----------------------	---------------------------	--------------------	--------------	------------	--------
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Don't know	Total
Personal economic	A lot better off	Count	11	4	12	0	0	0	0	27
situation		% within Race	2.2%	4.9%	4.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.9%
	Somewhat better off	Count	56	13	51	0	1	5	2	128
		% within Race	11.4%	15.9%	17.5%	.0%	25.0%	16.7%	15.4%	13.9%
	About the same	Count	188	32	123	6	1	11	3	364
		% within Race	38.3%	39.0%	42.3%	60.0%	25.0%	36.7%	23.1%	39.5%
	Somewhat worse off	Count	143	22	67	2	1	10	7	252
		% within Race	29.1%	26.8%	23.0%	20.0%	25.0%	33.3%	53.8%	27.4%
	A lot worse off	Count	93	11	38	2	1	4	1	150
		% within Race	18.9%	13.4%	13.1%	20.0%	25.0%	13.3%	7.7%	16.3%
	Total	Count	491	82	291	10	4	30	13	921
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Personal economic situation \* Race Crosstabulation

	-	-	Marital status									
			Married, living with spouse	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single, never married	Domestic partnership	Don't know	Prefer not to say	Total	
Personal economic	A lot better off	Count	16	0	2	0	2	4	3	0	27	
situation		% within Marital status	2.8%	.0%	2.1%	.0%	1.2%	9.8%	75.0%	.0%	2.9%	
Somewhat better off	Count	82	1	12	3	24	4	1	0	127		
	off	% within Marital status	14.6%	7.1%	12.8%	10.7%	14.6%	9.8%	25.0%	.0%	13.8%	
	About the same	Count	221	4	33	12	71	14	0	10	365	
		% within Marital status	39.3%	28.6%	35.1%	42.9%	43.3%	34.1%	.0%	71.4%	39.6%	
	Somewhat worse	Count	153	7	25	5	51	8	0	2	251	
	off	% within Marital status	27.2%	50.0%	26.6%	17.9%	31.1%	19.5%	.0%	14.3%	27.3%	
	A lot worse off	Count	90	2	22	8	16	11	0	2	151	
		% within Marital status	16.0%	14.3%	23.4%	28.6%	9.8%	26.8%	.0%	14.3%	16.4%	
	Total	Count	562	14	94	28	164	41	4	14	921	
		% within Marital status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

### Personal economic situation \* Marital status Crosstabulation

		-		Gender	
			Male	Female	Total
Personal economic situation	A lot better off	Count	21	6	27
		% within Gender	4.9%	1.2%	2.9%
	Somewhat better off	Count	64	63	127
		% within Gender	15.0%	12.7%	13.8%
	About the same	Count	168	197	365
		% within Gender	39.4%	39.7%	39.6%
	Somewhat worse off	Count	113	139	252
		% within Gender	26.5%	28.0%	27.3%
	A lot worse off	Count	60	91	151
		% within Gender	14.1%	18.3%	16.4%
	Total	Count	426	496	922
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Personal economic situation \* Gender Crosstabulation

### Problem of poverty \* Ideology Crosstabulation

			Ideology								
			1 - Extremely liberal	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Extremely conservative	Total	
Problem of poverty	A big problem	Count	35	50	62	167	64	43	44	465	
		% within Ideology	81.4%	68.5%	59.0%	64.2%	43.0%	25.6%	36.1%	50.5%	
	Somewhat of a problem	Count	7	18	39	69	52	67	36	288	
		% within Ideology	16.3%	24.7%	37.1%	26.5%	34.9%	39.9%	29.5%	31.3%	
	A small problem	Count	1	5	4	15	32	52	32	141	
		% within Ideology	2.3%	6.8%	3.8%	5.8%	21.5%	31.0%	26.2%	15.3%	
	Not a problem at all	Count	0	0	0	9	1	6	10	26	
		% within Ideology	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.5%	.7%	3.6%	8.2%	2.8%	
	Total	Count	43	73	105	260	149	168	122	920	

### Problem of poverty \* Ideology Crosstabulation

			Ideology									
			1 - Extremely liberal	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Extremely conservative	Total		
Problem of poverty	A big problem	Count	35	50	62	167	64	43	44	465		
		% within Ideology	81.4%	68.5%	59.0%	64.2%	43.0%	25.6%	36.1%	50.5%		
	Somewhat of a problem	Count	7	18	39	69	52	67	36	288		
		% within Ideology	16.3%	24.7%	37.1%	26.5%	34.9%	39.9%	29.5%	31.3%		
	A small problem	Count	1	5	4	15	32	52	32	141		
		% within Ideology	2.3%	6.8%	3.8%	5.8%	21.5%	31.0%	26.2%	15.3%		
	Not a problem at all	Count	0	0	0	9	1	6	10	26		
		% within Ideology	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.5%	.7%	3.6%	8.2%	2.8%		
	Total	Count	43	73	105	260	149	168	122	920		
		% within Ideology	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		

### Problem of poverty \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

	-	-	7 point Party ID										
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total		
Problem of	A big problem	Count	114	66	46	78	34	44	62	24	468		
poverty		% within 7 point Party ID	73.5%	57.4%	59.7%	59.5%	30.9%	41.1%	34.6%	51.1%	50.8%		
	Somewhat of a	Count	30	38	29	27	35	40	71	17	287		
	problem	% within 7 point Party ID	19.4%	33.0%	37.7%	20.6%	31.8%	37.4%	39.7%	36.2%	31.2%		
	A small problem	Count	11	11	2	17	36	19	41	3	140		
		% within 7 point Party ID	7.1%	9.6%	2.6%	13.0%	32.7%	17.8%	22.9%	6.4%	15.2%		
	Not a problem at all	Count	0	0	0	9	5	4	5	3	26		
		% within 7 point Party ID	.0%	.0%	.0%	6.9%	4.5%	3.7%	2.8%	6.4%	2.8%		
	Total	Count	155	115	77	131	110	107	179	47	921		
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		

# Problem of poverty \* Family income Crosstabulation

			Family income															
			less than \$10,000	\$10,000 - \$14,999	\$15,000 \$19,999	\$20,000 , \$24,999	\$25,000 \$29,999	\$30,000 \$39,999	\$40,000 - \$49,999	\$50,000 - \$59,999	\$60,000 \$69,999	\$70,000 - \$79,995	\$80,000 \$99,995	\$100,000 - \$119,999	\$120,000 - \$149,999	\$150,000 or more	Prefer not to say	Total
Problem of	A big	Count	15	, 17	23	40	41	49	50	49	26	41	27	21	17	16	36	468
poverty	problem	% within Family income	57.7%	63.0%	71.9%	64.5%	61.2%	53.3%	46.7%	58.3%	46.4%	48.2%	, 36.0%	, 48.8%	41.5%	34.0%	45.6%	50.7%
	Somewha	t Count	3	, 6	7	18	19	36	33	20	25	30	) 29	11	13	15	23	288
	of a problem	% within Family income	11.5%	, 22.2%	21.9%	, 29.0%	28.4%	39.1%	30.8%	23.8%	44.6%	35.3%	38.7%	25.6%	31.7%	31.9%	29.1%	31.2%
	A small	Count	8	· 1	2	4	7	7	21	12	3	13	۶ <b>1</b> 7	11	8	13	14	141
	problem	% within Family income	30.8%	, 3.7%	6.2%	, 6.5%	10.4%	7.6%	19.6%	14.3%	5.4%	15.3%	, 22.7%	, 25.6%	19.5%	27.7%	17.7%	15.3%
	Not a	Count	0	3	0	0	0	, 0	3	3	2	. 1	2	<u>.</u> 0	3	, 3	6	26
	problem a all	<sup>it</sup> % within Family income	.0%	<sup>,</sup> 11.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.8%	3.6%	3.6%	1.2%	, 2.7%	.0%	7.3%	6.4%	7.6%	2.8%
	Total	Count	26	, 27	32	62	67	92	107	84	56	85	, 75	43	41	47	79	923
		% within Family income	100.0%	<sup>,</sup> 100.0%	100.0%	<sup>,</sup> 100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	» 100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Problem of poverty \* Education Crosstabulation

			Education										
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total				
Problem of poverty	A big problem	Count	63	164	121	25	59	36	468				
		% within Education	72.4%	54.3%	50.8%	41.7%	36.2%	50.7%	50.8%				
	Somewhat of a problem	Count	5	108	73	26	57	18	287				
		% within Education	5.7%	35.8%	30.7%	43.3%	35.0%	25.4%	31.2%				
	A small problem	Count	10	24	41	7	43	15	140				
		% within Education	11.5%	7.9%	17.2%	11.7%	26.4%	21.1%	15.2%				
	Not a problem at all	Count	9	6	3	2	4	2	26				
		% within Education	10.3%	2.0%	1.3%	3.3%	2.5%	2.8%	2.8%				
	Total	Count	87	302	238	60	163	71	921				
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%				

Problem of poverty \* Church attendance Crosstabulation

	-		Church attendance							
			More than once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Prefer not to say	Total		
Problem of poverty	A big problem	Count	84	96	118	98	66	462		
		% within Church attendance	50.3%	45.9%	52.7%	49.0%	56.9%	50.4%		
	Somewhat of a problem	Count	55	74	65	57	37	288		
		% within Church attendance	32.9%	35.4%	29.0%	28.5%	31.9%	31.4%		
	A small problem	Count	20	37	38	36	9	140		
		% within Church attendance	12.0%	17.7%	17.0%	18.0%	7.8%	15.3%		
	Not a problem at all	Count	8	2	3	9	4	26		
		% within Church attendance	4.8%	1.0%	1.3%	4.5%	3.4%	2.8%		
	Total	Count	167	209	224	200	116	916		
		% within Church attendance	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		

Problem of poverty \* Race Crosstabulation

			Race										
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Don't know	Total			
Problem of poverty	A big problem	Count	221	49	164	7	1	21	6	469			
		% within Race	45.1%	59.8%	56.6%	70.0%	25.0%	65.6%	46.2%	50.9%			
	Somewhat of a problem	Count	158	21	94	2	2	9	1	287			
		% within Race	32.2%	25.6%	32.4%	20.0%	50.0%	28.1%	7.7%	31.2%			
	A small problem	Count	96	8	28	1	1	2	3	139			
		% within Race	19.6%	9.8%	9.7%	10.0%	25.0%	6.2%	23.1%	15.1%			
	Not a problem at all	Count	15	4	4	0	0	0	3	26			
		% within Race	3.1%	4.9%	1.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	23.1%	2.8%			
	Total	Count	490	82	290	10	4	32	13	921			
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			

Problem of poverty \* Marital status Crosstabulation

		-					Marital statu	IS			
			Married, living with spouse	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single, never married	Domestic partnership	Don't know	Prefer not to say	Total
Problem of	A big problem	Count	274	6	55	20	83	23	0	6	467
poverty		% within Marital status	48.8%	40.0%	57.9%	71.4%	51.2%	57.5%	.0%	42.9%	50.8%
	Somewhat of a	Count	176	8	35	6	48	9	1	4	287
	problem	% within Marital status	31.3%	53.3%	36.8%	21.4%	29.6%	22.5%	25.0%	28.6%	31.2%
	A small problem	Count	97	1	5	2	25	6	3	1	140
		% within Marital status	17.3%	6.7%	5.3%	7.1%	15.4%	15.0%	75.0%	7.1%	15.2%
	Not a problem at all	Count	15	0	0	0	6	2	0	3	26
		% within Marital status	2.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.7%	5.0%	.0%	21.4%	2.8%
	Total	Count	562	15	95	28	162	40	4	14	920
		% within Marital status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

				Gender	
			Male	Female	Total
Problem of poverty	A big problem	Count	173	294	467
		% within Gender	40.7%	59.4%	50.8%
	Somewhat of a problem	Count	136	151	287
		% within Gender	32.0%	30.5%	31.2%
	A small problem	Count	99	41	140
		% within Gender	23.3%	8.3%	15.2%
	Not a problem at all	Count	17	9	26
		% within Gender	4.0%	1.8%	2.8%
	Total	Count	425	495	920
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Problem of poverty \* Gender Crosstabulation

### Anxious/worried \* Ideology Crosstabulation

						Ideo	logy			
			1 - Extremely liberal	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Extremely conservative	Total
Anxious/worried	Very well	Count	16	16	26	59	50	56	59	282
		% within Ideology	38.1%	21.9%	24.8%	22.4%	33.6%	33.1%	48.4%	30.6%
	Somewhat well	Count	17	32	55	121	70	69	39	403
		% within Ideology	40.5%	43.8%	52.4%	46.0%	47.0%	40.8%	32.0%	43.7%
	Not very well	% within Ideology Count	8	20	23	56	28	32	18	185
		% within Ideology	19.0%	27.4%	21.9%	21.3%	18.8%	18.9%	14.8%	20.0%
	Not at all	Count	1	5	1	27	1	12	6	53
		% within Ideology	2.4%	6.8%	1.0%	10.3%	.7%	7.1%	4.9%	5.7%
	Total	Count	42	73	105	263	149	169	122	923
		% within Ideology	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Anxious/worried \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

						7 po	int Party ID				
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Anxious/worried	Very well	Count	45	36	11	44	55	23	65	4	283
		% within 7 point Party ID	29.2%	31.3%	13.9%	33.1%	50.5%	21.5%	36.3%	8.7%	30.7%
	Somewhat well	Count	65	52	44	59	33	58	71	22	404
	ed Very well Cou % v ID Somewhat well Cou % v ID Not very well Cou % v ID Not at all Cou % v ID	% within 7 point Party ID	42.2%	45.2%	55.7%	44.4%	30.3%	54.2%	39.7%	47.8%	43.8%
	Not very well	Count	33	23	20	20	20	20	35	12	183
		% within 7 point Party ID	21.4%	20.0%	25.3%	15.0%	18.3%	18.7%	19.6%	26.1%	19.8%
	Not at all	Count	11	4	4	10	1	6	8	8	52
		% within 7 point Party ID	7.1%	3.5%	5.1%	7.5%	.9%	5.6%	4.5%	17.4%	5.6%
	Total	Count	154	115	79	133	109	107	179	46	922
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## Anxious/worried \* Family income Crosstabulation

			Family income															
			less than \$10,000	\$10,000 \$14,999	\$15,000 - \$19,999	\$20,000 - \$24,999	\$25,000 - \$29,999	\$30,000 - \$39,999	\$40,000 - \$49,999	\$50,000 - \$59,999	\$60,000 - \$69,999	\$70,000 - \$79,999	\$80,000 - \$99,999	\$100,000 - \$119,999	\$120,000 - \$149,999	\$150,000 or more	Prefer not to say	Total
Anxious/worried	Very well	Count	7	5	15	22	13	25	30	29	13	27	25	12	13	19	26	281
		% within Family income	26.9%	17.2%	50.0%	33.8%	19.7%	27.2%	28.0%	34.1%	22.8%	32.1%	33.8%	27.9%	32.5%	41.3%	33.3%	30.5%
1	Somewhat	Count	12	15	9	30	27	48	46	33	30	42	29	23	19	19	24	406
	wen	% within Family income	46.2%	51.7%	30.0%	46.2%	40.9%	52.2%	43.0%	38.8%	52.6%	50.0%	39.2%	53.5%	47.5%	41.3%	30.8%	44.0%
1	Not very	Count	7	3	6	12	23	16	22	17	13	14	13	4	7	5	21	183
	weii	% within Family income	26.9%	10.3%	20.0%	18.5%	34.8%	17.4%	20.6%	20.0%	22.8%	16.7%	17.6%	9.3%	17.5%	10.9%	26.9%	19.8%
	Not at all	Count	0	6	0	1	3	3	9	6	1	1	7	4	1	3	7	52
		% within Family income	.0%	20.7%	.0%	1.5%	4.5%	3.3%	8.4%	7.1%	1.8%	1.2%	9.5%	9.3%	2.5%	6.5%	9.0%	5.6%
	Total	Count	26	29	30	65	66	92	107	85	57	84	74	43	40	46	78	922
		% within Family income	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Anxious/worried \* Education Crosstabulation

					Edu	ication			
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Anxious/worried	Very well	Count	31	102	77	17	35	21	283
		% within Education	35.6%	33.4%	32.2%	28.3%	21.6%	30.0%	30.7%
	Somewhat well	Count	34	142	93	23	77	35	404
		% within Education	39.1%	46.6%	38.9%	38.3%	47.5%	50.0%	43.8%
	Not very well	% within Education very well Count		47	55	15	41	10	184
		% within Education	18.4%	15.4%	23.0%	25.0%	25.3%	14.3%	19.9%
	Not at all	Count	6	14	14	5	9	4	52
		% within Education	6.9%	4.6%	5.9%	8.3%	5.6%	5.7%	5.6%
	Total	Count	87	305	239	60	162	70	923
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Anxious/worried \* Church attendance Crosstabulation

	-	-			Church attenda	nce		
			More than once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Prefer not to say	Total
Anxious/worried	Very well	Count	55	64	58	68	35	280
		% within Church attendance	32.7%	30.5%	25.9%	33.8%	30.4%	30.5%
	Somewhat well	Count	58	100	105	84	56	403
		% within Church attendance	34.5%	47.6%	46.9%	41.8%	48.7%	43.9%
	Not very well	Count	35	39	52	41	16	183
		% within Church attendance	20.8%	18.6%	23.2%	20.4%	13.9%	19.9%
	Not at all	Count	20	7	9	8	8	52
		% within Church attendance	11.9%	3.3%	4.0%	4.0%	7.0%	5.7%
	Total	Count	168	210	224	201	115	918
		% within Church attendance	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

	-					Race				
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Don't know	Total
Anxious/worried	Very well	Count	170	18	84	2	2	5	2	283
		% within Race	34.6%	21.7%	29.0%	20.0%	50.0%	16.1%	16.7%	30.7%
	Somewhat well	Count	217	33	128	7	2	15	3	405
		% within Race	44.1%	39.8%	44.1%	70.0%	50.0%	48.4%	25.0%	43.9%
	Not very well	Count	85	25	59	1	0	8	4	182
		% within Race	17.3%	30.1%	20.3%	10.0%	.0%	25.8%	33.3%	19.7%
	Not at all	Count	20	7	19	0	0	3	3	52
		% within Race	4.1%	8.4%	6.6%	.0%	.0%	9.7%	25.0%	5.6%
	Total	Count	492	83	290	10	4	31	12	922
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Anxious/worried \* Race Crosstabulation

Anxious/worried \* Marital status Crosstabulation

-	-	-					Marital statu	S			
			Married, living with spouse	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single, never married	Domestic partnership	Don't know	Prefer not to say	Total
Anxious/worried	Very well	Count	186	2	29	12	33	13	3	5	283
		% within Marital status	33.0%	13.3%	30.5%	42.9%	20.2%	31.7%	75.0%	33.3%	30.6%
	Somewhat well	Count	249	5	42	11	81	13	0	3	404
	% within Marital status		44.1%	33.3%	44.2%	39.3%	49.7%	31.7%	.0%	20.0%	43.7%
	Not very well	% within Marital status ot very well Count		5	18	4	36	14	1	3	185
		% within Marital status	18.4%	33.3%	18.9%	14.3%	22.1%	34.1%	25.0%	20.0%	20.0%
	Not at all Count		25	3	6	1	13	1	0	4	53
	% within Marital statu		4.4%	20.0%	6.3%	3.6%	8.0%	2.4%	.0%	26.7%	5.7%
	Total Count		564	15	95	28	163	41	4	15	925
		% within Marital status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

	_	_		Gender	
			Male	Female	Total
Anxious/worried	Very well	Count	130	153	283
		% within Gender	30.4%	30.7%	30.6%
	Somewhat well	Count	173	232	405
		% within Gender	40.5%	46.6%	43.8%
	Not very well	Count	94	90	184
		% within Gender	22.0%	18.1%	19.9%
	Not at all	Count	30	23	53
		% within Gender	7.0%	4.6%	5.7%
	Total	Count	427	498	925
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Anxious/worried \* Gender Crosstabulation

Hopeful/optimistic \* Ideology Crosstabulation

		_				Ideo	logy			
			1 - Extremely liberal	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Extremely conservative	Total
Hopeful/optimistic	Very well	Count	15	13	20	40	11	10	10	119
		% within Ideology	35.7%	18.1%	19.0%	15.4%	7.4%	6.0%	8.1%	12.9%
	Somewhat well	Count	19	41	52	122	59	48	24	365
		% within Ideology		56.9%	49.5%	46.9%	39.6%	28.6%	19.5%	39.7%
	Not very well	Count	5	12	30	77	60	74	44	302
		% within Ideology	11.9%	16.7%	28.6%	29.6%	40.3%	44.0%	35.8%	32.9%
	Not at all	Count	3	6	3	21	19	36	45	133
		% within Ideology	7.1%	8.3%	2.9%	8.1%	12.8%	21.4%	36.6%	14.5%
	Total	Count	42	72	105	260	149	168	123	919
		% within Ideology	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Hopeful/optimistic \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

						7 po	int Party ID				
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Hopeful/optimistic	Very well	Count	52	17	12	12	6	9	7	5	120
		% within 7 point Party ID	33.8%	14.9%	15.4%	9.1%	5.5%	8.3%	3.9%	10.9%	13.0%
	Somewhat	Count	75	60	42	55	24	38	52	19	365
	well	% within 7 point Party ID	48.7%	52.6%	53.8%	41.7%	22.0%	35.2%	28.9%	41.3%	39.6%
	Not very well	Count	23	30	20	50	43	48	77	12	303
		% within 7 point Party ID	14.9%	26.3%	25.6%	37.9%	39.4%	44.4%	42.8%	26.1%	32.9%
	Not at all	Count	4	7	4	15	36	13	44	10	133
		% within 7 point Party ID	2.6%	6.1%	5.1%	11.4%	33.0%	12.0%	24.4%	21.7%	14.4%
	Total	Count	154	114	78	132	109	108	180	46	921
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Hopeful/optimistic \* Family income Crosstabulation

			Family income															
			less than \$10,000	\$10,000 - \$14,999	\$15,000 - \$19,999	\$20,000 - \$24,999	\$25,000 - \$29,999	\$30,000 - \$39,999	\$40,000 - \$49,999	\$50,000 - \$59,999	\$60,000 _ \$69,999	\$70,000 - \$79,999	\$80,000 - \$99,999	\$100,000 - \$119,999	\$120,000 - \$149,999	\$150,000 or more	Prefer not to say	Total
Hopeful/optimistic	Very well	Count	0	3	4	14	12	8	13	12	5	11	9	5	7	6	11	120
		% within Family income	.0%	10.7%	12.5%	21.9%	18.2%	8.8%	12.3%	14.3%	8.8%	13.1%	12.2%	11.9%	17.1%	12.8%	14.1%	13.0%
	Somewha	t Count	10	9	13	25	30	52	39	36	30	28	27	15	13	13	26	366
	wen	% within Family income	37.0%	32.1%	40.6%	39.1%	45.5%	57.1%	36.8%	42.9%	52.6%	33.3%	36.5%	35.7%	31.7%	27.7%	33.3%	39.7%
	Not very	Count	15	6	12	19	18	21	39	28	14	35	26	12	11	19	28	303
	well	% within Family income	55.6%	21.4%	37.5%	29.7%	27.3%	23.1%	36.8%	33.3%	24.6%	41.7%	35.1%	28.6%	26.8%	40.4%	35.9%	32.9%
	Not at all	Count	2	10	3	6	6	10	15	8	8	10	12	10	10	9	13	132
		% within Family income	7.4%	35.7%	9.4%	9.4%	9.1%	11.0%	14.2%	9.5%	14.0%	11.9%	16.2%	23.8%	24.4%	19.1%	16.7%	14.3%
	Total	Count	27	28	32	64	66	91	106	84	57	84	74	42	41	47	78	921
		% within Family income	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Hopeful/optimistic \* Education Crosstabulation

					Edu	ucation			
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Hopeful/optimistic	Very well	Count	9	34	32	11	25	9	120
		% within Education	10.2%	11.2%	13.4%	18.6%	15.3%	12.9%	13.0%
	Somewhat well	Count	32	128	90	18	65	32	365
	% within Education		36.4%	42.2%	37.7%	30.5%	39.9%	45.7%	39.6%
	Not very well	Count	29	97	85	23	50	20	304
		% within Education	33.0%	32.0%	35.6%	39.0%	30.7%	28.6%	33.0%
	Not at all	Count	18	44	32	7	23	9	133
		% within Education	20.5%	14.5%	13.4%	11.9%	14.1%	12.9%	14.4%
	Total	Count	88	303	239	59	163	70	922
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Hopeful/optimistic \* Church attendance Crosstabulation

	-				Church attenda	nce		
			More than once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Prefer not to say	Total
Hopeful/optimistic	Very well	Count	26	27	29	20	15	117
		% within Church attendance	15.6%	12.8%	12.9%	10.0%	13.0%	12.8%
	Somewhat well	Count	65	97	88	77	37	364
		% within Church attendance	38.9%	46.0%	39.3%	38.5%	32.2%	39.7%
	Not very well	Count	37	60	85	77	45	304
		% within Church attendance	22.2%	28.4%	37.9%	38.5%	39.1%	33.2%
	Not at all	Count	39	27	22	26	18	132
		% within Church attendance	23.4%	12.8%	9.8%	13.0%	15.7%	14.4%
	Total	Count	167	211	224	200	115	917
		% within Church attendance	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

	-	-				Race				
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Don't know	Total
Hopeful/optimistic	Very well	Count	38	24	52	2	0	2	2	120
		% within Race	7.8%	29.3%	17.9%	20.0%	.0%	6.5%	15.4%	13.0%
	Somewhat well	Count	163	36	138	4	1	19	3	364
		% within Race	33.3%	43.9%	47.4%	40.0%	25.0%	61.3%	23.1%	39.5%
	Not very well	Count	197	15	77	4	1	9	2	305
		% within Race	40.2%	18.3%	26.5%	40.0%	25.0%	29.0%	15.4%	33.1%
	Not at all	Count	92	7	24	0	2	1	6	132
		% within Race	18.8%	8.5%	8.2%	.0%	50.0%	3.2%	46.2%	14.3%
	Total	Count	490	82	291	10	4	31	13	921
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Hopeful/optimistic \* Race Crosstabulation

Hopeful/optimistic \* Marital status Crosstabulation

	-	-					Marital statu	s			
			Married, living with spouse	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single, never married	Domestic partnership	Don't know	Prefer not to say	Total
Hopeful/optimistic	Very well	Count	58	4	18	2	26	9	0	4	121
		% within Marital status	10.3%	28.6%	18.9%	6.9%	16.0%	22.0%	.0%	26.7%	13.1%
	Somewhat well	Count	213	6	34	14	78	19	0	2	366
		% within Marital status	38.0%	42.9%	35.8%	48.3%	47.9%	46.3%	.0%	13.3%	39.7%
	Not very well	Count	188	3	35	9	48	10	5	6	304
		% within Marital status	33.5%	21.4%	36.8%	31.0%	29.4%	24.4%	100.0%	40.0%	32.9%
	Not at all	Count	102	1	8	4	11	3	0	3	132
		% within Marital status	18.2%	7.1%	8.4%	13.8%	6.7%	7.3%	.0%	20.0%	14.3%
	Total	Count	561	14	95	29	163	41	5	15	923

### Hopeful/optimistic \* Marital status Crosstabulation

							Marital statu	s			
			Married, living with spouse	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single, never married	Domestic partnership	Don't know	Prefer not to say	Total
Hopeful/optimistic	Very well	Count	58	4	18	2	26	9	0	4	121
		% within Marital status	10.3%	28.6%	18.9%	6.9%	16.0%	22.0%	.0%	26.7%	13.1%
	Somewhat well	Count	213	6	34	14	78	19	0	2	366
		% within Marital status	38.0%	42.9%	35.8%	48.3%	47.9%	46.3%	.0%	13.3%	39.7%
	Not very well	Count	188	3	35	9	48	10	5	6	304
		% within Marital status	33.5%	21.4%	36.8%	31.0%	29.4%	24.4%	100.0%	40.0%	32.9%
	Not at all	Count	102	1	8	4	11	3	0	3	132
		% within Marital status	18.2%	7.1%	8.4%	13.8%	6.7%	7.3%	.0%	20.0%	14.3%
	Total	Count	561	14	95	29	163	41	5	15	923
		% within Marital status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Hopeful/optimistic \* Gender Crosstabulation

				Gender	
			Male	Female	Total
Hopeful/optimistic	Very well	Count	62	57	119
		% within Gender	14.6%	11.5%	12.9%
	Somewhat well	Count	156	209	365
		% within Gender	36.7%	42.1%	39.6%
	Not very well	Count	132	172	304
		% within Gender	31.1%	34.7%	33.0%
	Not at all	Count	75	58	133
		% within Gender	17.6%	11.7%	14.4%
	Total	Count	425	496	921
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

	-					Ideo	ology			
			1 - Extremely liberal	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Extremely conservative	Total
Support - nomination of	1 - Strongly Oppose	Count	2	1	0	12	20	31	55	121
Sonia Sotomayor to the		% within Ideology	9.1%	3.8%	.0%	8.6%	29.0%	34.8%	77.5%	26.1%
	2	Count	0	0	2	5	10	20	8	45
		% within Ideology	.0%	.0%	4.3%	3.6%	14.5%	22.5%	11.3%	9.7%
	3	Count	0	0	0	7	9	9	1	26
		% within Ideology	.0%	.0%	.0%	5.0%	13.0%	10.1%	1.4%	5.6%
	4	Count	2	2	6	28	6	12	3	59
		% within Ideology	9.1%	7.7%	12.8%	20.0%	8.7%	13.5%	4.2%	12.7%
	5	Count	1	1	4	14	5	5	0	30
		% within Ideology	4.5%	3.8%	8.5%	10.0%	7.2%	5.6%	.0%	6.5%
	6	Count	3	6	5	16	2	3	0	35
		% within Ideology	13.6%	23.1%	10.6%	11.4%	2.9%	3.4%	.0%	7.5%
	7 - Strongly Support	Count	9	13	22	28	8	3	2	85
		% within Ideology	40.9%	50.0%	46.8%	20.0%	11.6%	3.4%	2.8%	18.3%
	Not Sure	Count	5	3	8	30	9	6	2	63
		% within Ideology	22.7%	11.5%	17.0%	21.4%	13.0%	6.7%	2.8%	13.6%
	Total	Count	22	26	47	140	69	89	71	464
		% within Ideology	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Support - nomination of Sonia Sotomayor to the Supreme Court, first Latina \* Ideology Crosstabulation

Support - nomination of Sonia Sotomayo	or to the Supreme (	Court, first Latina * 7	7 point Party ID (	Crosstabulation
--	---------------------	-------------------------	--------------------	-----------------

						7 po	int Party ID				
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Support - nomination	1 - Strongly	Count	3	3	0	13	28	14	56	2	119
of Sonia Sotomayor to the Supreme Court, first Latina	Oppose	% within 7 point Party ID	4.6%	5.7%	.0%	19.7%	44.4%	30.4%	55.4%	7.1%	25.8%
	2	Count	0	2	1	10	11	9	11	0	44
		% within 7 point Party ID	.0%	3.8%	2.5%	15.2%	17.5%	19.6%	10.9%	.0%	9.5%
	3	Count	0	0	0	4	6	5	9	0	24
		% within 7 point Party ID	.0%	.0%	.0%	6.1%	9.5%	10.9%	8.9%	.0%	5.2%
	4	Count	3	9	4	10	7	10	9	7	59
		% within 7 point Party ID	4.6%	17.0%	10.0%	15.2%	11.1%	21.7%	8.9%	25.0%	12.8%
	5	Count	10	3	3	5	3	2	4	1	31
		% within 7 point Party ID	15.4%	5.7%	7.5%	7.6%	4.8%	4.3%	4.0%	3.6%	6.7%
	6	Count	10	9	9	3	3	0	0	1	35
		% within 7 point Party ID	15.4%	17.0%	22.5%	4.5%	4.8%	.0%	.0%	3.6%	7.6%
	7 - Strongly	Count	36	18	20	2	1	4	1	3	85
	Support	% within 7 point Party ID	55.4%	34.0%	50.0%	3.0%	1.6%	8.7%	1.0%	10.7%	18.4%
	Not Sure	Count	3	9	3	19	4	2	11	14	65
		% within 7 point Party ID	4.6%	17.0%	7.5%	28.8%	6.3%	4.3%	10.9%	50.0%	14.1%
	Total	Count	65	53	40	66	63	46	101	28	462
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Support nomination of Sonia Sotomayor to the Supreme Court, first Latina \* Family income Crosstabulation

				Family income														
			less than \$10,000	\$10,000 - \$14,000	\$15,000 - \$10,000	\$20,000 - \$24,000	\$25,000 - \$20,000	\$30,000 - \$20,000	\$40,000 - \$40,000	\$50,000 - \$50,000	\$60,000 - \$60,000	\$70,000 - \$70,000	\$80,000 - \$00,000	\$100,000 - \$110,000	\$120,000 - \$140,000	\$150,000	Prefer not to	Total
-			<b>φ10,000</b>	ə14,999	\$19,999	<b></b> ФZ4,999	φ <b>∠</b> 9,999	<b>\$</b> 39,999	\$49,999	<b>ф09,999</b>	\$09,999	φ <i>1</i> 9,999	<b>4</b> 99,999	JI19,999	J149,999	or more	Say	TOLAI
Support - nomination of Sonia Sotomayor to the Supreme Court, first Latina	1 - Strongly Oppose	Count % within Family income	3 20.0%	1 7.1%	6 42.9%	6 19.4%	7.1%	9 24.3%	14 25.5%	12 27.3%	6 17.1%	10 24.4%	12 31.6%	11 39.3%	8 42.1%	7 24.1%	13 31.7%	120 25.6%
	2	Count % within	1	0	1	4	0	3	8	4	3	7	4	4	0	4	2	45
		Family	6.7%	.0%	7.1%	12.9%	.0%	8.1%	14.5%	9.1%	8.6%	17.1%	10.5%	14.3%	.0%	13.8%	4.9%	9.6%
	3	Count %	0	0	0	2	4	1	4	3	1	5	0	2	1	2	2	27
		within Family income	.0%	.0%	.0%	6.5%	14.3%	2.7%	7.3%	6.8%	2.9%	12.2%	.0%	7.1%	5.3%	6.9%	4.9%	5.8%
	4	Count	1	6	1	2	3	7	5	4	4	4	3	4	2	7	7	60
		% within Family income	6.7%	42.9%	7.1%	6.5%	10.7%	18.9%	9.1%	9.1%	11.4%	9.8%	7.9%	14.3%	10.5%	24.1%	17.1%	12.8%
	5	Count	0	1	1	2	5	4	6	1	3	3	3	0	1	1	1	32
		% within Family income	.0%	7.1%	7.1%	6.5%	17.9%	10.8%	10.9%	2.3%	8.6%	7.3%	7.9%	.0%	5.3%	3.4%	2.4%	6.8%
	6	Count	1	0	1	1	5	5	5	2	2	4	2	1	2	4	1	36

	% within Family income	6.7%	.0%	7.1%	3.2%	17.9%	13.5%	9.1%	4.5%	5.7%	9.8%	5.3%	3.6%	10.5%	13.8%	2.4%	7.7%
7 -	Count	3	2	1	8	3	6	8	11	7	7	12	6	4	3	4	85
Strong Suppor	<sup>y</sup> % <sup>t</sup> within Family income	20.0%	14.3%	7.1%	25.8%	10.7%	16.2%	14.5%	25.0%	20.0%	17.1%	31.6%	21.4%	21.1%	10.3%	9.8%	18.1%
Not	Count	6	4	3	6	6	2	5	7	9	1	2	0	1	1	11	64
Sure	% within Family income	40.0%	28.6%	21.4%	19.4%	21.4%	5.4%	9.1%	15.9%	25.7%	2.4%	5.3%	.0%	5.3%	3.4%	26.8%	13.6%
Total	Count	15	14	14	31	28	37	55	44	35	41	38	28	19	29	41	469
	% within Family income	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

					Edu	ication			
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Support - nomination of Sonia	a 1 - Strongly Oppose	Count	16	30	34	13	17	9	119
Sotomayor to the Supreme		% within Education	30.8%	20.7%	27.4%	39.4%	21.2%	30.0%	25.6%
	2	Count	0	11	13	5	12	5	46
		% within Education	.0%	7.6%	10.5%	15.2%	15.0%	16.7%	9.9%
	3	Count	3	9	8	2	2	1	25
		% within Education	5.8%	6.2%	6.5%	6.1%	2.5%	3.3%	5.4%
	4	Count	3	22	11	3	16	3	58
		% within Education	5.8%	15.2%	8.9%	9.1%	20.0%	10.0%	12.5%
	5	Count	3	12	9	1	2	3	30
		% within Education	5.8%	8.3%	7.3%	3.0%	2.5%	10.0%	6.5%
	6	Count	0	6	11	4	11	3	35
		% within Education	.0%	4.1%	8.9%	12.1%	13.8%	10.0%	7.5%
	7 - Strongly Support	Count	9	26	27	4	15	6	87
		% within Education	17.3%	17.9%	21.8%	12.1%	18.8%	20.0%	18.8%
	Not Sure	Count	18	29	11	1	5	0	64
		% within Education	34.6%	20.0%	8.9%	3.0%	6.2%	.0%	13.8%
	Total	Count	52	145	124	33	80	30	464
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Support - nomination of Sonia Sotomayor to the Supreme Court, first Latina \* Education Crosstabulation

					Church attenda	nce		
			More than once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Prefer not to say	Total
Support - nomination of	1 - Strongly Oppose	Count	40	24	23	11	21	119
Sonia Sotomayor to the		% within Church attendance	47.1%	23.8%	20.9%	11.2%	30.9%	25.8%
Cupromo Count, mor Launa	2	Count	7	6	19	7	6	45
		% within Church attendance	8.2%	5.9%	17.3%	7.1%	8.8%	9.7%
	3	Count	3	4	7	8	4	26
		% within Church attendance	3.5%	4.0%	6.4%	8.2%	5.9%	5.6%
	4	Count	8	13	16	8	12	57
		% within Church attendance	9.4%	12.9%	14.5%	8.2%	17.6%	12.3%
	5	Count	7	10	8	4	2	31
		% within Church attendance	8.2%	9.9%	7.3%	4.1%	2.9%	6.7%
	6	Count	5	7	8	13	2	35
		% within Church attendance	5.9%	6.9%	7.3%	13.3%	2.9%	7.6%
	7 - Strongly Support	Count	6	26	17	23	14	86
		% within Church attendance	7.1%	25.7%	15.5%	23.5%	20.6%	18.6%
	Not Sure	Count	9	11	12	24	7	63
		% within Church attendance	10.6%	10.9%	10.9%	24.5%	10.3%	13.6%
	Total	Count	85	101	110	98	68	462
		% within Church attendance	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Support - nomination of Sonia Sotomayor to the Supreme Court, first Latina \* Church attendance Crosstabulation

		-				Race				
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Don't know	Total
Support - nomination of	1 - Strongly Oppose	Count	80	8	27	0	2	1	3	121
Sonia Sotomayor to the		% within Race	34.5%	17.8%	18.0%	.0%	50.0%	5.3%	30.0%	26.1%
Latina	2	Count	30	0	10	0	1	3	1	45
		% within Race	12.9%	.0%	6.7%	.0%	25.0%	15.8%	10.0%	9.7%
	3	Count	19	0	5	0	0	1	0	25
		% within Race	8.2%	.0%	3.3%	.0%	.0%	5.3%	.0%	5.4%
	4	Count	30	4	19	1	0	1	3	58
		% within Race	12.9%	8.9%	12.7%	25.0%	.0%	5.3%	30.0%	12.5%
	5	Count	11	6	14	0	0	0	0	31
		% within Race	4.7%	13.3%	9.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	6.7%
	6	Count	10	6	14	2	0	3	0	35
		% within Race	4.3%	13.3%	9.3%	50.0%	.0%	15.8%	.0%	7.5%
	7 - Strongly Support	Count	22	12	47	1	0	3	0	85
		% within Race	9.5%	26.7%	31.3%	25.0%	.0%	15.8%	.0%	18.3%
	Not Sure	Count	30	9	14	0	1	7	3	64
		% within Race	12.9%	20.0%	9.3%	.0%	25.0%	36.8%	30.0%	13.8%
-	Total	Count	232	45	150	4	4	19	10	464
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Support - nomination of Sonia Sotomayor to the Supreme Court, first Latina \* Race Crosstabulation

						Ма	rital status			
			Married, living with spouse	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single, never married	Domestic partnership	Prefer not to say	Total
Support - nomination of	1 - Strongly Oppose	Count	95	1	11	5	7	0	1	120
Sonia Sotomayor to the		% within Marital status	33.5%	9.1%	25.6%	33.3%	8.8%	.0%	10.0%	25.7%
Latina	2	Count	36	0	2	1	6	1	0	46
		% within Marital status	12.7%	.0%	4.7%	6.7%	7.5%	4.2%	.0%	9.9%
3	3	Count	14	3	2	0	4	1	2	26
		% within Marital status	4.9%	27.3%	4.7%	.0%	5.0%	4.2%	20.0%	5.6%
	4	Count	32	1	8	2	7	4	4	58
		% within Marital status	11.3%	9.1%	18.6%	13.3%	8.8%	16.7%	40.0%	12.4%
	5	Count	21	1	2	0	6	1	0	31
		% within Marital status	7.4%	9.1%	4.7%	.0%	7.5%	4.2%	.0%	6.6%
	6	Count	17	1	5	1	6	5	0	35
		% within Marital status	6.0%	9.1%	11.6%	6.7%	7.5%	20.8%	.0%	7.5%
	7 - Strongly Support	Count	43	4	5	2	25	7	1	87
		% within Marital status	15.1%	36.4%	11.6%	13.3%	31.2%	29.2%	10.0%	18.6%
	Not Sure	Count	26	0	8	4	19	5	2	64
	Total	% within Marital status	9.2%	.0%	18.6%	26.7%	23.8%	20.8%	20.0%	13.7%
		Count	284	11	43	15	80	24	10	467
		% within Marital status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Support - nomination of Sonia Sotomayor to the Supreme Court, first Latina \* Marital status Crosstabulation

				Gender				
			Male	Female	Total			
Support - nomination of Sonia Sotomayor to the	e 1 - Strongly Oppose	Count	73	47	120			
Supreme Court, first Latina		% within Gender	33.3%	19.2%	25.9%			
	2	Count	23	23	46			
		% within Gender	10.5%	9.4%	9.9%			
	3	Count	9	16	25			
		% within Gender	4.1%	6.5%	5.4%			
	4	Count	15	43	58			
		% within Gender	6.8%	17.6%	12.5%			
	5	Count	15	16	31			
		% within Gender	6.8%	6.5%	6.7%			
	6	Count	17	18	35			
		% within Gender	7.8%	7.3%	7.5%			
	7 - Strongly Support	Count	40	46	86			
		% within Gender	18.3%	18.8%	18.5%			
	Not Sure	Count	27	36	63			
-		% within Gender	12.3%	14.7%	13.6%			
	Total	Count	219	245	464			
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			

### Support - nomination of Sonia Sotomayor to the Supreme Court, first Latina \* Gender Crosstabulation

						Ideo	logy			
			1 - Extremely liberal	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Extremely conservative	Total
Support - nomination of	1 - Strongly Oppose	Count	1	0	2	14	16	35	32	100
Sonia Sotomayor to the		% within Ideology	4.8%	.0%	3.5%	11.6%	20.3%	43.8%	60.4%	21.9%
Rican	2	Count	0	0	0	7	7	14	3	31
		% within Ideology	.0%	.0%	.0%	5.8%	8.9%	17.5%	5.7%	6.8%
	3	Count	0	0	2	6	11	3	2	24
		% within Ideology	.0%	.0%	3.5%	5.0%	13.9%	3.8%	3.8%	5.3%
	4	Count	2	6	6	24	13	12	6	69
		% within Ideology	9.5%	13.3%	10.5%	19.8%	16.5%	15.0%	11.3%	15.1%
	5	Count	2	2	11	17	9	5	2	48
		% within Ideology	9.5%	4.4%	19.3%	14.0%	11.4%	6.2%	3.8%	10.5%
	6	Count	7	11	9	8	10	5	1	51
		% within Ideology	33.3%	24.4%	15.8%	6.6%	12.7%	6.2%	1.9%	11.2%
	7 - Strongly Support	Count	8	23	18	25	5	1	4	84
		% within Ideology	38.1%	51.1%	31.6%	20.7%	6.3%	1.2%	7.5%	18.4%
	Not Sure	Count	1	3	9	20	8	5	3	49
		% within Ideology	4.8%	6.7%	15.8%	16.5%	10.1%	6.2%	5.7%	10.7%
	Total	Count	21	45	57	121	79	80	53	456
		% within Ideology	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Support - nomination of Sonia Sotomayor to the Supreme Court, first Puerto Rican \* Ideology Crosstabulation

### Support - nomination of Sonia Sotomayor to the Supreme Court, first Puerto Rican \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

						7 ро	int Party ID				
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Support - nomination	1 - Strongly	Count	0	6	2	19	22	10	42	0	101
of Sonia Sotomayor to the Supreme Court, first Puerto Rican	Oppose	% within 7 point Party ID	.0%	10.2%	5.3%	28.8%	46.8%	16.7%	53.2%	.0%	22.1%
	2	Count	1	2	0	9	2	9	9	1	33
		% within 7 point Party ID	1.1%	3.4%	.0%	13.6%	4.3%	15.0%	11.4%	5.3%	7.2%
	3	Count	0	2	0	1	5	8	5	3	24
		% within 7 point Party ID	.0%	3.4%	.0%	1.5%	10.6%	13.3%	6.3%	15.8%	5.3%
	4	Count	4	8	3	15	11	13	11	5	70
		% within 7 point Party ID	4.5%	13.6%	7.9%	22.7%	23.4%	21.7%	13.9%	26.3%	15.3%
	5	Count	6	9	6	8	3	11	4	1	48
		% within 7 point Party ID	6.7%	15.3%	15.8%	12.1%	6.4%	18.3%	5.1%	5.3%	10.5%
	6	Count	21	9	10	4	2	2	2	0	50
		% within 7 point Party ID	23.6%	15.3%	26.3%	6.1%	4.3%	3.3%	2.5%	.0%	10.9%
	7 - Strongly	Count	49	12	11	3	2	1	2	3	83
	Support	% within 7 point Party ID	55.1%	20.3%	28.9%	4.5%	4.3%	1.7%	2.5%	15.8%	18.2%
	Not Sure	Count	8	11	6	7	0	6	4	6	48
		% within 7 point Party ID	9.0%	18.6%	15.8%	10.6%	.0%	10.0%	5.1%	31.6%	10.5%
	Total	Count	89	59	38	66	47	60	79	19	457
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Support -
nomination of
Sonia
Sotomayor to
the Supreme
Court, first
Puerto Rican *
Family income
Crosstabulation

				Family income														
			less than \$10,000	\$10,000 - \$14,999	\$15,000 - \$19,999	\$20,000 - \$24,999	\$25,000 - \$29,999	\$30,000 - \$39,999	\$40,000 - \$49,999	\$50,000 - \$59,999	\$60,000 - \$69,999	\$70,000 - \$79,999	\$80,000 - \$99,999	\$100,000 - \$119,999	\$120,000 - \$149,999	\$150,000 or more	Prefer not to say	Total
Support - nomination of Sonia	1 - Strongly Oppose	Count %	2	2	0	4	7	8	12	8	1	15	14	4	7	5	12	101
Sotomayor to the Supreme Court, first Puerto		Family income	18.2%	13.3%	.0%	11.8%	17.9%	14.5%	23.1%	19.5%	4.3%	34.1%	40.0%	23.5%	35.0%	29.4%	30.8%	22.0%
Rican	2	Count %	0	0	0	0	5	8	5	2	3	1	1	0	2	2	4	33
		within Family income	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	12.8%	14.5%	9.6%	4.9%	13.0%	2.3%	2.9%	.0%	10.0%	11.8%	10.3%	7.2%
	3	Count	0	0	3	0	3	4	3	1	1	0	4	1	1	3	1	25
		% within Family income	.0%	.0%	16.7%	.0%	7.7%	7.3%	5.8%	2.4%	4.3%	.0%	11.4%	5.9%	5.0%	17.6%	2.6%	5.4%
	4	Count	1	2	6	4	8	11	6	8	5	5	2	2	3	3	5	71
		% within Family income	9.1%	13.3%	33.3%	11.8%	20.5%	20.0%	11.5%	19.5%	21.7%	11.4%	5.7%	11.8%	15.0%	17.6%	12.8%	15.4%
	5	Count	1	4	2	4	2	5	6	5	4	6	4	2	1	0	6	52
		% within Family income	9.1%	26.7%	11.1%	11.8%	5.1%	9.1%	11.5%	12.2%	17.4%	13.6%	11.4%	11.8%	5.0%	.0%	15.4%	11.3%
	6	Count	3	1	1	11	1	4	1	4	3	10	3	2	1	1	2	48

	% within Family income	27.3%	6.7%	5.6%	32.4%	2.6%	7.3%	1.9%	9.8%	13.0%	22.7%	8.6%	11.8%	5.0%	5.9%	5.1%	10.4%
7 - St	- Count rongly <sub>%</sub>	2	1	5	9	6	8	10	9	4	5	6	3	5	3	7	83
51	upport within Family income	18.2%	6.7%	27.8%	26.5%	15.4%	14.5%	19.2%	22.0%	17.4%	11.4%	17.1%	17.6%	25.0%	17.6%	17.9%	18.0%
No	ot Count	2	5	1	2	7	7	9	4	2	2	1	3	0	0	2	47
Su	ure % within Family income	18.2%	33.3%	5.6%	5.9%	17.9%	12.7%	17.3%	9.8%	8.7%	4.5%	2.9%	17.6%	.0%	.0%	5.1%	10.2%
Тс	otal Count	11	15	18	34	39	55	52	41	23	44	35	17	20	17	39	460
	% within Family income	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

					Edu	cation			
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Support - nomination of Sonia	a 1 - Strongly Oppose	Count	3	31	24	10	25	9	102
Sotomayor to the Supreme		% within Education	8.6%	19.7%	21.1%	38.5%	30.1%	22.5%	22.4%
	2	Count	7	6	6	3	7	2	31
		% within Education	20.0%	3.8%	5.3%	11.5%	8.4%	5.0%	6.8%
	3	Count	3	4	9	2	4	2	24
		% within Education	8.6%	2.5%	7.9%	7.7%	4.8%	5.0%	5.3%
	4	Count	3	33	18	2	9	4	69
	4	% within Education	8.6%	21.0%	15.8%	7.7%	10.8%	10.0%	15.2%
	5	Count	0	25	11	2	8	3	49
		% within Education	.0%	15.9%	9.6%	7.7%	9.6%	7.5%	10.8%
	6	Count	10	10	12	0	15	3	50
		% within Education	28.6%	6.4%	10.5%	.0%	18.1%	7.5%	11.0%
	7 - Strongly Support	Count	3	24	25	4	12	15	83
		% within Education	8.6%	15.3%	21.9%	15.4%	14.5%	37.5%	18.2%
	Not Sure	Count	6	24	9	3	3	2	47
		% within Education	17.1%	15.3%	7.9%	11.5%	3.6%	5.0%	10.3%
	Total	Count	35	157	114	26	83	40	455
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Support - nomination of Sonia Sotomayor to the Supreme Court, first Puerto Rican \* Education Crosstabulation

	-				Church attenda	ance		
			More than once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Prefer not to say	Total
Support - nomination of	1 - Strongly Oppose	Count	21	30	20	23	7	101
Sonia Sotomayor to the		% within Church attendance	25.6%	27.5%	17.4%	22.5%	15.2%	22.2%
Rican	2	Count	7	11	5	3	6	32
		% within Church attendance	8.5%	10.1%	4.3%	2.9%	13.0%	7.0%
	3	Count	3	5	12	4	0	24
		% within Church attendance	3.7%	4.6%	10.4%	3.9%	.0%	5.3%
	4	Count	15	14	27	14	0	70
		% within Church attendance	18.3%	12.8%	23.5%	13.7%	.0%	15.4%
	5	Count	8	10	13	13	5	49
		% within Church attendance	9.8%	9.2%	11.3%	12.7%	10.9%	10.8%
	6	Count	7	5	12	12	11	47
		% within Church attendance	8.5%	4.6%	10.4%	11.8%	23.9%	10.4%
	7 - Strongly Support	Count	9	26	17	22	9	83
		% within Church attendance	11.0%	23.9%	14.8%	21.6%	19.6%	18.3%
	Not Sure	Count	12	8	9	11	8	48
		% within Church attendance	14.6%	7.3%	7.8%	10.8%	17.4%	10.6%
	Total	Count	82	109	115	102	46	454
		% within Church attendance	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Support - nomination of Sonia Sotomayor to the Supreme Court, first Puerto Rican \* Church attendance Crosstabulation

Support pomination of Se	ania Catamayar ta tha Cunr	ama Court first Duarte Dias	n * Booo Crosstabulation
Support - nomination of Sc	onia Sotomayor to the Supr	eme Court, first Puerto Rica	n Race Crosstabulation

						Race				
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Don't know	Total
Support - nomination of	1 - Strongly Oppose	Count	83	1	15	1	1	0	0	101
Sonia Sotomayor to the		% within Race	32.2%	2.6%	10.7%	20.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	22.1%
Puerto Rican	2	Count	19	1	12	0	0	0	0	32
		% within Race	7.4%	2.6%	8.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	7.0%
	3	Count	18	0	7	0	0	0	0	25
		% within Race	7.0%	.0%	5.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	5.5%
	4	Count	31	6	25	2	0	4	2	70
		% within Race	12.0%	15.8%	17.9%	40.0%	.0%	33.3%	66.7%	15.3%
	5	Count	30	3	14	0	0	2	0	49
		% within Race	11.6%	7.9%	10.0%	.0%	.0%	16.7%	.0%	10.7%
	6	Count	23	9	17	0	0	1	0	50
		% within Race	8.9%	23.7%	12.1%	.0%	.0%	8.3%	.0%	10.9%
	7 - Strongly Support	Count	29	12	36	0	0	4	1	82
		% within Race	11.2%	31.6%	25.7%	.0%	.0%	33.3%	33.3%	17.9%
	Not Sure	Count	25	6	14	2	0	1	0	48
		% within Race	9.7%	15.8%	10.0%	40.0%	.0%	8.3%	.0%	10.5%
	Total	Count	258	38	140	5	1	12	3	457
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Support - nomination of Sonia Sotomayor to the Supreme Court, first Puerto Rican \* Marital status Crosstabulation

			Marital status								
			Married, living with spouse	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single, never married	Domestic partnership	Don't know	Prefer not to say	Total
Support - nomination of Sonia Sotomayor to the Supreme Court, first Puerto Rican	1 - Strongly	Count	72	0	9	3	10	5	1	1	101
	Oppose	% within Marital status	25.7%	.0%	18.0%	23.1%	11.8%	29.4%	25.0%	20.0%	22.1%
	2	Count	25	0	1	0	6	0	0	1	33
		% within Marital status	8.9%	.0%	2.0%	.0%	7.1%	.0%	.0%	20.0%	7.2%
	3	Count	19	0	2	0	3	0	0	0	24
		% within Marital status	6.8%	.0%	4.0%	.0%	3.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	5.2%
	4	Count	41	3	9	2	11	4	0	0	70
		% within Marital status	14.6%	75.0%	18.0%	15.4%	12.9%	23.5%	.0%	.0%	15.3%
	5	Count	25	0	6	3	11	3	0	0	48
		% within Marital status	8.9%	.0%	12.0%	23.1%	12.9%	17.6%	.0%	.0%	10.5%
	6	Count	25	0	6	3	12	1	3	0	50
		% within Marital status	8.9%	.0%	12.0%	23.1%	14.1%	5.9%	75.0%	.0%	10.9%
	7 - Strongly	Count	44	1	13	1	19	3	0	3	84
	Support	% within Marital status	15.7%	25.0%	26.0%	7.7%	22.4%	17.6%	.0%	60.0%	18.3%
	Not Sure	Count	29	0	4	1	13	1	0	0	48
		% within Marital status	10.4%	.0%	8.0%	7.7%	15.3%	5.9%	.0%	.0%	10.5%
	Total	Count	280	4	50	13	85	17	4	5	458
		% within Marital status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

			Gender		
			Male	Female	Total
Support - nomination of Sonia Sotomayor to the 1 - Strongly Oppose		Count	58	43	101
Supreme Court, first Puerto Rican		% within Gender	28.3%	17.1%	22.1%
	2	Count	23	9	32
		% within Gender	11.2%	3.6%	7.0%
	3	Count	13	11	24
		% within Gender	6.3%	4.4%	5.3%
	4	Count	22	48	70
		% within Gender	10.7%	19.0%	15.3%
	5	Count	24	25	49
		% within Gender	11.7%	9.9%	10.7%
	6	Count	21	29	50
		% within Gender	10.2%	11.5%	10.9%
	7 - Strongly Support	Count	38	45	83
		% within Gender	18.5%	17.9%	18.2%
	Not Sure	Count	6	42	48
		% within Gender	2.9%	16.7%	10.5%
	Total	Count	205	252	457
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Support - nomination of Sonia Sotomayor to the Supreme Court, first Puerto Rican \* Gender Crosstabulation
	-	-				Ideo	logy			
			1 - Extremely liberal	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Extremely conservative	Total
Self - Gvt should help poor	1 - Gvt should directly help	Count	13	17	11	33	5	2	8	89
people more	poor people	% within Ideology	29.5%	23.9%	10.5%	12.5%	3.4%	1.2%	6.6%	9.7%
	2	Count	6	9	15	21	8	3	1	63
		% within Ideology	13.6%	12.7%	14.3%	8.0%	5.4%	1.8%	.8%	6.8%
	3	Count	7	13	26	39	13	7	4	109
		% within Ideology	15.9%	18.3%	24.8%	14.8%	8.8%	4.1%	3.3%	11.8%
	4	Count	7	16	22	67	20	9	4	145
		% within Ideology	15.9%	22.5%	21.0%	25.5%	13.6%	5.3%	3.3%	15.7%
	5	Count	2	9	15	37	32	25	12	132
		% within Ideology	4.5%	12.7%	14.3%	14.1%	21.8%	14.7%	9.8%	14.3%
	6	Count	6	2	6	15	27	46	18	120
		% within Ideology	13.6%	2.8%	5.7%	5.7%	18.4%	27.1%	14.8%	13.0%
	7 - Poor are responsible for	Count	1	5	8	33	39	73	73	232
	improving their own situations	% within Ideology	2.3%	7.0%	7.6%	12.5%	26.5%	42.9%	59.8%	25.2%
	Not Sure	Count	2	0	2	18	3	5	2	32
		% within Ideology	4.5%	.0%	1.9%	6.8%	2.0%	2.9%	1.6%	3.5%
	Total	Count	44	71	105	263	147	170	122	922
		% within Ideology	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Self - Gvt should help poor people more \* Ideology Crosstabulation

# Self - Gvt should help poor people more \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

						7 poi	nt Party ID				
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Self - Gvt should help	1 - Gvt should directly	Count	28	16	16	15	2	4	7	2	90
poor people more	help poor people	% within 7 point Party ID	18.1%	13.9%	20.5%	11.4%	1.8%	3.7%	3.9%	4.3%	9.7%
	2	Count	30	9	7	3	1	6	4	3	63
		% within 7 point Party ID	19.4%	7.8%	9.0%	2.3%	.9%	5.6%	2.2%	6.4%	6.8%
	3	Count	27	18	20	17	1	7	10	7	107
	_	% within 7 point Party ID	17.4%	15.7%	25.6%	12.9%	.9%	6.5%	5.6%	14.9%	11.6%
	4	Count	32	21	22	27	12	11	8	14	147
	_	% within 7 point Party ID	20.6%	18.3%	28.2%	20.5%	10.8%	10.3%	4.5%	29.8%	15.9%
	5	Count	22	18	7	18	14	22	28	5	134
		% within 7 point Party ID	14.2%	15.7%	9.0%	13.6%	12.6%	20.6%	15.6%	10.6%	14.5%
	6	Count	6	9	3	6	28	21	41	5	119
		% within 7 point Party ID	3.9%	7.8%	3.8%	4.5%	25.2%	19.6%	22.9%	10.6%	12.9%
	7 - Poor are	Count	7	23	2	37	52	31	78	3	233
	responsible for improving their own situations	% within 7 point Party ID	4.5%	20.0%	2.6%	28.0%	46.8%	29.0%	43.6%	6.4%	25.2%
	Not Sure	Count	3	1	1	9	1	5	3	8	31
		% within 7 point Party ID	1.9%	.9%	1.3%	6.8%	.9%	4.7%	1.7%	17.0%	3.4%
	Total	Count	155	115	78	132	111	107	179	47	924
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Self - Gvt should help poor people more \* Family income Crosstabulation

	-	-	Family income															
			less	\$10,000	\$15,000	\$20,000	\$25,000	\$30,000	\$40,000	\$50,000	\$60,000	\$70,000	\$80,000	\$100,000	\$120,000		Prefer	
			than \$10,000	- \$14,999	- \$19,999	- \$24,999	- \$29,999	- \$39,999	- \$49,999	- \$59,999	- \$69,999	- \$79,999	- \$99,999	- \$119,999	- \$149,999	\$150,000 or more	not to say	Total
Self - Gvt should	1 - Gvt	Count	5	4	6	8	7	12	9	8	4	6	3	2	2	2	10	88
nelp poor people more	directly help poor people	% within Family income	18.5%	16.0%	18.8%	12.5%	10.4%	13.2%	8.4%	9.4%	6.9%	7.2%	4.1%	4.5%	5.1%	4.3%	12.7%	9.6%
	2	Count	1	2	8	9	3	3	4	6	5	9	3	3	1	3	3	63
		% within Family income	3.7%	8.0%	25.0%	14.1%	4.5%	3.3%	3.7%	7.1%	8.6%	10.8%	4.1%	6.8%	2.6%	6.5%	3.8%	6.8%
	3	Count	1	3	3	10	11	14	6	11	10	4	12	4	5	8	5	107
		% within Family income	3.7%	12.0%	9.4%	15.6%	16.4%	15.4%	5.6%	12.9%	17.2%	4.8%	16.4%	9.1%	12.8%	17.4%	6.3%	11.6%
	4	Count	3	8	5	9	11	13	12	16	8	15	9	7	10	6	13	145
		% within Family income	11.1%	32.0%	15.6%	14.1%	16.4%	14.3%	11.2%	18.8%	13.8%	18.1%	12.3%	15.9%	25.6%	13.0%	16.5%	15.8%
	5	Count	5	5	4	11	10	16	14	10	7	14	13	8	3	4	8	132
		% within Family income	18.5%	20.0%	12.5%	17.2%	14.9%	17.6%	13.1%	11.8%	12.1%	16.9%	17.8%	18.2%	7.7%	8.7%	10.1%	14.3%
	6	Count	3	0	2	2	13	16	16	7	10	11	9	8	1	8	15	121
		% within Family income	11.1%	.0%	6.2%	3.1%	19.4%	17.6%	15.0%	8.2%	17.2%	13.3%	12.3%	18.2%	2.6%	17.4%	19.0%	13.2%
	7 - Poor	Count	2	2	4	12	11	15	40	25	11	23	23	12	16	15	22	233

are responsible for improving their own situations	% e within Family income	7.4%	8.0%	12.5%	18.8%	16.4%	16.5%	37.4%	29.4%	19.0%	27.7%	31.5%	27.3%	41.0%	32.6%	27.8%	25.3%
Not Sure	Count	7	1	0	3	1	2	6	2	3	1	1	0	1	0	3	31
	% within Family income	25.9%	4.0%	.0%	4.7%	1.5%	2.2%	5.6%	2.4%	5.2%	1.2%	1.4%	.0%	2.6%	.0%	3.8%	3.4%
Total	Count	27	25	32	64	67	91	107	85	58	83	73	44	39	46	79	920
	% within Family income	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

-	-	-							
					Edu	cation			
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Self - Gvt should help poor	1 - Gvt should directly help	Count	16	21	25	7	16	6	91
people more	poor people	% within Education	18.2%	6.9%	10.5%	11.7%	9.8%	8.3%	9.8%
	2	Count	6	17	17	6	2	14	62
		% within Education	6.8%	5.6%	7.2%	10.0%	1.2%	19.4%	6.7%
	3	Count	8	37	29	5	21	7	107
		% within Education	9.1%	12.2%	12.2%	8.3%	12.9%	9.7%	11.6%
	4	Count	20	50	31	7	28	12	148
		% within Education	22.7%	16.4%	13.1%	11.7%	17.2%	16.7%	16.0%
	5	Count	13	57	31	9	19	4	133
		% within Education	14.8%	18.8%	13.1%	15.0%	11.7%	5.6%	14.4%
	6	Count	3	36	32	11	24	14	120
		% within Education	3.4%	11.8%	13.5%	18.3%	14.7%	19.4%	13.0%
	7 - Poor are responsible for	Count	19	67	69	11	50	15	231
	improving their own situations	% within Education	21.6%	22.0%	29.1%	18.3%	30.7%	20.8%	25.0%
	Not Sure	Count	3	19	3	4	3	0	32

### Self - Gvt should help poor people more \* Education Crosstabulation

		% within Education	3.4%	6.2%	1.3%	6.7%	1.8%	.0%	3.5%
7	Total	Count	88	304	237	60	163	72	924
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

-			1					
					Church attenda	ance		
			More than once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Prefer not to say	Total
Self - Gvt should help poor	1 - Gvt should directly help	Count	11	14	22	25	17	89
people more	poor people	% within Church attendance	6.6%	6.6%	9.9%	12.4%	14.8%	9.7%
	2	Count	12	19	14	12	4	61
		% within Church attendance	7.2%	9.0%	6.3%	5.9%	3.5%	6.7%
	3	Count	11	17	24	31	24	107
		% within Church attendance	6.6%	8.1%	10.8%	15.3%	20.9%	11.7%
	4	Count	18	35	45	28	18	144
		% within Church attendance	10.8%	16.6%	20.2%	13.9%	15.7%	15.7%
	5	Count	26	34	27	29	17	133
		% within Church attendance	15.7%	16.1%	12.1%	14.4%	14.8%	14.5%
	6	Count	27	34	31	16	11	119
		% within Church attendance	16.3%	16.1%	13.9%	7.9%	9.6%	13.0%
	7 - Poor are responsible for	Count	55	51	56	52	18	232
	improving their own situations	% within Church attendance	33.1%	24.2%	25.1%	25.7%	15.7%	25.3%
	Not Sure	Count	6	7	4	9	6	32
		% within Church attendance	3.6%	3.3%	1.8%	4.5%	5.2%	3.5%
	Total	Count	166	211	223	202	115	917
		% within Church attendance	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Self - Gvt should help poor people more \* Church attendance Crosstabulation

Self - Gvt should help poor people more \* Race Crosstabulation

			Race				
White	African American	Hispanic or	Asian/Pacific	Native American	Multi-racial	Don't know	Total
WHIte	American	Latino	Islandel	American		Dont know	Total

Self - Gvt should help	1 - Gvt should directly	Count	43	10	25	1	0	7	1	87
poor people more	help poor people	% within Race	8.8%	12.2%	8.6%	11.1%	.0%	22.6%	8.3%	9.5%
	2	Count	27	13	19	2	0	2	0	63
		% within Race	5.5%	15.9%	6.6%	22.2%	.0%	6.5%	.0%	6.9%
	3	Count	54	11	35	2	1	5	0	108
		% within Race	11.0%	13.4%	12.1%	22.2%	25.0%	16.1%	.0%	11.8%
	4	Count	51	18	66	0	0	7	4	146
		% within Race	10.4%	22.0%	22.8%	.0%	.0%	22.6%	33.3%	15.9%
	5	Count	64	12	51	2	0	2	0	131
		% within Race	13.1%	14.6%	17.6%	22.2%	.0%	6.5%	.0%	14.3%
	6	Count	81	4	25	2	1	4	3	120
		% within Race	16.5%	4.9%	8.6%	22.2%	25.0%	12.9%	25.0%	13.1%
	7 - Poor are responsible	Count	156	10	57	0	2	2	4	231
	for improving their own situations	% within Race	31.8%	12.2%	19.7%	.0%	50.0%	6.5%	33.3%	25.2%
	Not Sure	Count	14	4	12	0	0	2	0	32
		% within Race	2.9%	4.9%	4.1%	.0%	.0%	6.5%	.0%	3.5%
	Total	Count	490	82	290	9	4	31	12	918
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Self - Gvt should help poor people more \* Marital status Crosstabulation

							Marital statu	ıs			
			Married, living with spouse	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single, never married	Domestic partnership	Don't know	Prefer not to say	Total
Self - Gvt should help	1 - Gvt should directly	Count	47	1	8	0	24	5	0	4	89
poor people more	help poor people	% within Marital status	8.3%	7.1%	8.5%	.0%	14.8%	12.2%	.0%	25.0%	9.7%
	2	Count	34	1	12	3	9	4	0	0	63
		% within Marital status	6.0%	7.1%	12.8%	11.5%	5.6%	9.8%	.0%	.0%	6.8%
	3	Count	61	3	12	4	20	7	0	1	108
		% within Marital status	10.8%	21.4%	12.8%	15.4%	12.3%	17.1%	.0%	6.2%	11.7%

4	Count	73	3	16	6	37	7	0	4	146
	% within Marital status	13.0%	21.4%	17.0%	23.1%	22.8%	17.1%	.0%	25.0%	15.9%
5	Count	86	1	10	5	24	1	3	1	131
	% within Marital status	15.3%	7.1%	10.6%	19.2%	14.8%	2.4%	75.0%	6.2%	14.2%
6	Count	80	2	11	4	16	5	0	2	120
	% within Marital status	14.2%	14.3%	11.7%	15.4%	9.9%	12.2%	.0%	12.5%	13.0%
7 - Poor are	Count	169	3	20	3	23	10	1	2	231
responsible for improving their own situations	% within Marital status	30.0%	21.4%	21.3%	11.5%	14.2%	24.4%	25.0%	12.5%	25.1%
Not Sure	Count	13	0	5	1	9	2	0	2	32
	% within Marital status	2.3%	.0%	5.3%	3.8%	5.6%	4.9%	.0%	12.5%	3.5%
Total	Count	563	14	94	26	162	41	4	16	920
	% within Marital status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

	-	-		Gender	
			Male	Female	Total
Self - Gvt should help poor people	1 - Gvt should directly help poor	Count	32	58	90
more	people	% within Gender	7.5%	11.6%	9.8%
	2	Count	29	34	63
		% within Gender	6.8%	6.8%	6.8%
	3	Count	40	67	107
		% within Gender	9.4%	13.5%	11.6%
	4	Count	54	92	146
		% within Gender	12.7%	18.5%	15.8%
	5	Count	66	67	133
		% within Gender	15.5%	13.5%	14.4%
	6	Count	61	59	120

	% within Gender	14.4%	11.8%	13.0%
7 - Poor are responsible for	Count	126	106	232
improving their own situations	% within Gender	29.6%	21.3%	25.1%
Not Sure	Count	17	15	32
	% within Gender	4.0%	3.0%	3.5%
Total	Count	425	498	923
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Obama - Gvt should help poor people more \* Ideology Crosstabulation

		-				Ideo	logy			
			1 - Extremely liberal	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Extremely conservative	Total
Obama - Gvt should help	1 - Gvt should directly help	Count	8	7	8	53	50	77	64	267
poor people more	poor people	% within Ideology	19.5%	9.6%	7.8%	20.1%	33.6%	45.8%	51.6%	29.0%
	2	Count	5	20	25	41	24	17	9	141
		% within Ideology	12.2%	27.4%	24.3%	15.5%	16.1%	10.1%	7.3%	15.3%
	3	Count	12	28	29	40	17	12	1	139
		% within Ideology	29.3%	38.4%	28.2%	15.2%	11.4%	7.1%	.8%	15.1%
	4	Count	7	6	19	59	19	8	6	124
		% within Ideology	17.1%	8.2%	18.4%	22.3%	12.8%	4.8%	4.8%	13.4%
	5	Count	2	7	8	25	13	9	2	66
		% within Ideology	4.9%	9.6%	7.8%	9.5%	8.7%	5.4%	1.6%	7.2%
	6	Count	4	1	9	8	10	9	6	47
		% within Ideology	9.8%	1.4%	8.7%	3.0%	6.7%	5.4%	4.8%	5.1%
	7 - Poor are responsible for	Count	1	4	0	18	13	31	32	99
	improving their own situations	% within Ideology	2.4%	5.5%	.0%	6.8%	8.7%	18.5%	25.8%	10.7%
	Not Sure	Count	2	0	5	20	3	5	4	39
		% within Ideology	4.9%	.0%	4.9%	7.6%	2.0%	3.0%	3.2%	4.2%
	Total	Count	41	73	103	264	149	168	124	922
		% within Ideology	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Obama - Gvt should help poor people more \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

						7 poi	nt Party ID				
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Obama - Gvt should	1 - Gvt should directly	y Count	23	21	6	39	50	36	88	4	267
help poor people more	help poor people	% within 7 point Party ID	14.9%	18.1%	7.5%	29.5%	45.9%	33.6%	48.6%	8.7%	28.9%
	2	Count	34	15	21	19	13	15	17	7	141
		% within 7 point Party ID	22.1%	12.9%	26.2%	14.4%	11.9%	14.0%	9.4%	15.2%	15.2%
	3	Count	40	20	31	21	6	8	9	5	140
		% within 7 point Party ID	26.0%	17.2%	38.8%	15.9%	5.5%	7.5%	5.0%	10.9%	15.1%
	4	Count	24	30	17	15	7	11	6	15	125
		% within 7 point Party ID	15.6%	25.9%	21.2%	11.4%	6.4%	10.3%	3.3%	32.6%	13.5%
	5	Count	15	13	2	8	4	15	8	2	67
		% within 7 point Party ID	9.7%	11.2%	2.5%	6.1%	3.7%	14.0%	4.4%	4.3%	7.2%
	6	Count	5	9	1	4	8	6	11	3	47
		% within 7 point Party ID	3.2%	7.8%	1.2%	3.0%	7.3%	5.6%	6.1%	6.5%	5.1%
	7 - Poor are	Count	8	7	1	14	20	11	37	0	98
	responsible for improving their own situations	% within 7 point Party ID	5.2%	6.0%	1.2%	10.6%	18.3%	10.3%	20.4%	.0%	10.6%
	Not Sure	Count	5	1	1	12	1	5	5	10	40
		% within 7 point Party ID	3.2%	.9%	1.2%	9.1%	.9%	4.7%	2.8%	21.7%	4.3%
	Total	Count	154	116	80	132	109	107	181	46	925
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Obama - Gvt should help poor people more \* Family income Crosstabulation

E.

		-	Family income															
			less than \$10,000	\$10,000 - \$14,999	\$15,000 - \$19,999	\$20,000 - \$24,999	\$25,000 - \$29,999	\$30,000 - \$39,999	\$40,000 - \$49,999	\$50,000 - \$59,999	\$60,000 - \$69,999	\$70,000 - \$79,999	\$80,000 - \$99,999	\$100,000 - \$119,999	\$120,000 - \$149,999	\$150,000 or more	Prefer not to say	Total
Obama - Gvt	1 - Gvt	Count	4	5	8	14	13	19	36	22	13	27	27	18	16	21	25	268
should help poor people more	should directly help poor people	% within Family income	14.3%	18.5%	25.8%	21.5%	19.7%	20.7%	34.0%	26.2%	22.4%	31.8%	36.0%	42.9%	39.0%	44.7%	32.1%	29.0%
	2	Count	2	3	6	9	12	14	19	9	15	13	9	5	8	7	9	140
		% within Family income	7.1%	11.1%	19.4%	13.8%	18.2%	15.2%	17.9%	10.7%	25.9%	15.3%	12.0%	11.9%	19.5%	14.9%	11.5%	15.1%
	3	Count	4	5	6	14	10	15	10	17	9	13	7	6	3	9	13	141
		% within Family income	14.3%	18.5%	19.4%	21.5%	15.2%	16.3%	9.4%	20.2%	15.5%	15.3%	9.3%	14.3%	7.3%	19.1%	16.7%	15.2%
	4	Count	3	6	3	9	11	22	11	13	7	9	9	8	6	1	7	125
		% within Family income	10.7%	22.2%	9.7%	13.8%	16.7%	23.9%	10.4%	15.5%	12.1%	10.6%	12.0%	19.0%	14.6%	2.1%	9.0%	13.5%
	5	Count	7	0	3	4	5	2	7	4	5	12	9	0	2	3	3	66
		% within Family income	25.0%	.0%	9.7%	6.2%	7.6%	2.2%	6.6%	4.8%	8.6%	14.1%	12.0%	.0%	4.9%	6.4%	3.8%	7.1%
	6	Count	0	4	2	6	5	8	3	3	3	2	2	2	1	2	4	47
		% within Family income	.0%	14.8%	6.5%	9.2%	7.6%	8.7%	2.8%	3.6%	5.2%	2.4%	2.7%	4.8%	2.4%	4.3%	5.1%	5.1%
	7 - Poor	Count	1	1	2	6	9	7	14	11	6	9	11	3	4	4	11	99

are responsible for improving their own situations	% e within Family income	3.6%	3.7%	6.5%	9.2%	13.6%	7.6%	13.2%	13.1%	10.3%	10.6%	14.7%	7.1%	9.8%	8.5%	14.1%	10.7%
Not Sure	Count	7	3	1	3	1	5	6	5	0	0	1	0	1	0	6	39
	% within Family income	25.0%	11.1%	3.2%	4.6%	1.5%	5.4%	5.7%	6.0%	.0%	.0%	1.3%	.0%	2.4%	.0%	7.7%	4.2%
Total	Count	28	27	31	65	66	92	106	84	58	85	75	42	41	47	78	925
	% within Family income	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		-			Edu	cation			
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Obama - Gvt should help	1 - Gvt should directly help	Count	23	61	83	20	62	20	269
poor people more	poor people	% within Education	26.4%	20.0%	34.6%	33.9%	38.3%	28.6%	29.1%
	2	Count	13	29	36	9	30	24	141
		% within Education	14.9%	9.5%	15.0%	15.3%	18.5%	34.3%	15.3%
	3	Count	6	45	39	9	28	12	139
		% within Education	6.9%	14.8%	16.2%	15.3%	17.3%	17.1%	15.1%
	4	Count	13	63	22	4	16	6	124
		% within Education	14.9%	20.7%	9.2%	6.8%	9.9%	8.6%	13.4%
	5	Count	8	25	19	5	8	2	67
		% within Education	9.2%	8.2%	7.9%	8.5%	4.9%	2.9%	7.3%
	6	Count	6	18	10	6	7	1	48
		% within Education	6.9%	5.9%	4.2%	10.2%	4.3%	1.4%	5.2%
7 - Poor are responsible f	Count	15	38	26	3	10	5	97	
	improving their own situations	% within Education	17.2%	12.5%	10.8%	5.1%	6.2%	7.1%	10.5%
	Not Sure	Count	3	26	5	3	1	0	38

# Obama - Gvt should help poor people more \* Education Crosstabulation

	% within Education	3.4%	8.5%	2.1%	5.1%	.6%	.0%	4.1%
Total	Count	87	305	240	59	162	70	923
	% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

	-				Church attenda	ance		
			More than once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Prefer not to say	Total
Obama - Gvt should help	1 - Gvt should directly help	Count	50	61	65	58	34	268
poor people more	poor people	% within Church attendance	29.8%	29.0%	29.1%	28.7%	29.6%	29.2%
	2	Count	16	41	33	32	16	138
		% within Church attendance	9.5%	19.5%	14.8%	15.8%	13.9%	15.0%
	3	Count	16	23	32	49	20	140
		% within Church attendance	9.5%	11.0%	14.3%	24.3%	17.4%	15.3%
	4	Count	23	26	34	22	18	123
		% within Church attendance	13.7%	12.4%	15.2%	10.9%	15.7%	13.4%
	5	Count	12	15	13	13	11	64
		% within Church attendance	7.1%	7.1%	5.8%	6.4%	9.6%	7.0%
	6	Count	8	18	12	3	6	47
		% within Church attendance	4.8%	8.6%	5.4%	1.5%	5.2%	5.1%
	7 - Poor are responsible for	Count	34	21	24	17	3	99
	improving their own situations	% within Church attendance	20.2%	10.0%	10.8%	8.4%	2.6%	10.8%
	Not Sure	Count	9	5	10	8	7	39
		% within Church attendance	5.4%	2.4%	4.5%	4.0%	6.1%	4.2%
	Total	Count	168	210	223	202	115	918
		% within Church attendance	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Obama - Gvt should help poor people more \* Church attendance Crosstabulation

Obama - Gvt should help poor people more \* Race Crosstabulation

			Race				
	African	Hispanic or	Asian/Pacific	Native			
White	American	Latino	Islander	American	Multi-racial	Don't know	Total

Obama - Gvt should help	1 - Gvt should directly	Count	190	13	50	1	1	7	5	267
poor people more	help poor people	% within Race	38.8%	15.7%	17.2%	11.1%	20.0%	22.6%	41.7%	29.0%
	2	Count	71	17	45	1	1	5	0	140
		% within Race	14.5%	20.5%	15.5%	11.1%	20.0%	16.1%	.0%	15.2%
	3	Count	65	18	45	2	0	9	0	139
		% within Race	13.3%	21.7%	15.5%	22.2%	.0%	29.0%	.0%	15.1%
	4	Count	41	14	60	0	0	4	4	123
		% within Race	8.4%	16.9%	20.6%	.0%	.0%	12.9%	33.3%	13.4%
	5	Count	18	5	37	4	1	1	0	66
		% within Race	3.7%	6.0%	12.7%	44.4%	20.0%	3.2%	.0%	7.2%
	6	Count	21	3	17	1	0	3	3	48
-		% within Race	4.3%	3.6%	5.8%	11.1%	.0%	9.7%	25.0%	5.2%
	7 - Poor are responsible	Count	64	9	24	0	2	0	0	99
	for improving their own situations	% within Race	13.1%	10.8%	8.2%	.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%	10.7%
	Not Sure	Count	20	4	13	0	0	2	0	39
		% within Race	4.1%	4.8%	4.5%	.0%	.0%	6.5%	.0%	4.2%
	Total	Count	490	83	291	9	5	31	12	921
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Obama - Gvt should help poor people more \* Marital status Crosstabulation

							Marital statu	JS			
			Married, living with spouse	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single, never married	Domestic partnership	Don't know	Prefer not to say	Total
Obama - Gvt should	1 - Gvt should directly	Count	193	1	15	7	35	11	0	6	268
help poor people more	help poor people	% within Marital status	34.3%	6.7%	16.0%	25.0%	21.3%	27.5%	.0%	42.9%	29.1%
	2	Count	83	1	17	4	28	8	0	0	141
		% within Marital status	14.7%	6.7%	18.1%	14.3%	17.1%	20.0%	.0%	.0%	15.3%
	3	Count	71	4	19	5	34	5	0	1	139
		% within Marital status	12.6%	26.7%	20.2%	17.9%	20.7%	12.5%	.0%	7.1%	15.1%

4	Count	57	4	17	5	29	8	1	3	124
	% within Marital status	10.1%	26.7%	18.1%	17.9%	17.7%	20.0%	25.0%	21.4%	13.4%
5	Count	45	1	3	1	11	1	3	1	66
	% within Marital status	8.0%	6.7%	3.2%	3.6%	6.7%	2.5%	75.0%	7.1%	7.2%
6	Count	29	2	1	2	10	3	0	0	47
	% within Marital status	5.2%	13.3%	1.1%	7.1%	6.1%	7.5%	.0%	.0%	5.1%
7 - Poor are	Count	70	2	17	1	6	2	0	0	98
responsible for improving their own situations	% within Marital status	12.4%	13.3%	18.1%	3.6%	3.7%	5.0%	.0%	.0%	10.6%
Not Sure	Count	15	0	5	3	11	2	0	3	39
	% within Marital status	2.7%	.0%	5.3%	10.7%	6.7%	5.0%	.0%	21.4%	4.2%
Total	Count	563	15	94	28	164	40	4	14	922
	% within Marital status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Obama - Gvt should he	lp poor	people more	* Gender	Crosstabulation
-----------------------	---------	-------------	----------	-----------------

		-		Gender	
			Male	Female	Total
Obama - Gvt should help poor	1 - Gvt should directly help poor	Count	132	136	268
people more	people	% within Gender	31.0%	27.3%	29.0%
	2	Count	71	70	141
		% within Gender	16.7%	14.0%	15.2%
	3	Count	54	86	140
		% within Gender	12.7%	17.2%	15.1%
	4	Count	45	79	124
		% within Gender	10.6%	15.8%	13.4%
	5	Count	28	38	66
		% within Gender	6.6%	7.6%	7.1%
	6	Count	28	20	48

	% within Gender	6.6%	4.0%	5.2%
7 - Poor are responsible for	Count	52	47	99
improving their own situations	% within Gender	12.2%	9.4%	10.7%
Not Sure	Count	16	23	39
	% within Gender	3.8%	4.6%	4.2%
Total	Count	426	499	925
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Republicans - Gvt should help poor people more \* Ideology Crosstabulation

	-	-				Ideo	logy			
			1 - Extremely liberal	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Extremely conservative	Total
Republicans - Gvt should	1 - Gvt should directly help	Count	5	4	4	38	12	5	15	83
help poor people more	poor people	% within Ideology	11.9%	5.5%	3.8%	14.4%	8.1%	3.0%	12.2%	9.0%
	2	Count	0	3	5	16	6	7	6	43
		% within Ideology	.0%	4.1%	4.8%	6.1%	4.0%	4.2%	4.9%	4.7%
	3	Count	2	8	11	29	16	13	6	85
		% within Ideology	4.8%	11.0%	10.6%	11.0%	10.7%	7.7%	4.9%	9.2%
	4	Count	4	3	14	50	31	28	15	145
		% within Ideology	9.5%	4.1%	13.5%	19.0%	20.8%	16.7%	12.2%	15.7%
	5	Count	1	4	5	28	40	45	14	137
		% within Ideology	2.4%	5.5%	4.8%	10.6%	26.8%	26.8%	11.4%	14.9%
	6	Count	10	10	11	16	17	33	20	117
		% within Ideology	23.8%	13.7%	10.6%	6.1%	11.4%	19.6%	16.3%	12.7%
	7 - Poor are responsible for	Count	18	41	43	50	19	31	43	245
	improving their own situations	% within Ideology	42.9%	56.2%	41.3%	19.0%	12.8%	18.5%	35.0%	26.6%
	Not Sure	Count	2	0	11	36	8	6	4	67
		% within Ideology	4.8%	.0%	10.6%	13.7%	5.4%	3.6%	3.3%	7.3%
	Total	Count	42	73	104	263	149	168	123	922
		% within Ideology	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Republicans - Gvt should help poor people more \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

						7 poi	nt Party ID				
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Republicans - Gvt	1 - Gvt should directly	Count	19	13	12	15	4	6	10	5	84
should help poor people more	help poor people	% within 7 point Party ID	12.4%	11.3%	15.2%	11.4%	3.6%	5.7%	5.6%	10.4%	9.1%
	2	Count	9	8	2	7	4	2	5	4	41
		% within 7 point Party ID	5.9%	7.0%	2.5%	5.3%	3.6%	1.9%	2.8%	8.3%	4.4%
	3	Count	14	10	8	15	12	11	12	3	85
		% within 7 point Party ID	9.2%	8.7%	10.1%	11.4%	10.8%	10.4%	6.7%	6.2%	9.2%
	4	Count	13	19	9	18	21	22	30	13	145
		% within 7 point Party ID	8.5%	16.5%	11.4%	13.6%	18.9%	20.8%	16.8%	27.1%	15.7%
	5	Count	6	14	2	16	27	27	40	5	137
		% within 7 point Party ID	3.9%	12.2%	2.5%	12.1%	24.3%	25.5%	22.3%	10.4%	14.8%
	6	Count	15	13	10	10	19	17	31	5	120
		% within 7 point Party ID	9.8%	11.3%	12.7%	7.6%	17.1%	16.0%	17.3%	10.4%	13.0%
	7 - Poor are	Count	68	32	34	27	20	15	47	0	243
	responsible for improving their own situations	% within 7 point Party ID	44.4%	27.8%	43.0%	20.5%	18.0%	14.2%	26.3%	.0%	26.3%
	Not Sure	Count	9	6	2	24	4	6	4	13	68
		% within 7 point Party ID	5.9%	5.2%	2.5%	18.2%	3.6%	5.7%	2.2%	27.1%	7.4%
	Total	Count	153	115	79	132	111	106	179	48	923
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Republicans -Gvt should help poor people more \* Family income

Crosstabulation

			Family income															
			less than \$10,000	\$10,000 - \$14,999	\$15,000 - \$19,999	\$20,000 - \$24,999	\$25,000 - \$29,999	\$30,000 - \$39,999	\$40,000 - \$49,999	\$50,000 - \$59,999	\$60,000 - \$69,999	\$70,000 - \$79,999	\$80,000 - \$99,999	\$100,000 - \$119,999	\$120,000 - \$149,999	\$150,000 or more	Prefer not to say	Total
Republicans -	1 - Gvt	Count	4	6	5	5	10	10	8	9	3	4	4	0	5	1	12	86
Gvt should help poor people more	should directly help poor people	% within Family income	15.4%	22.2%	16.1%	7.8%	14.7%	10.8%	7.5%	10.7%	5.4%	4.8%	5.3%	.0%	12.8%	2.2%	15.6%	9.3%
	2	Count	1	1	4	2	0	6	3	6	4	5	3	3	0	1	2	41
		% within Family income	3.8%	3.7%	12.9%	3.1%	.0%	6.5%	2.8%	7.1%	7.1%	6.0%	4.0%	7.0%	.0%	2.2%	2.6%	4.5%
	3	Count	0	3	5	8	10	8	8	5	3	14	6	2	2	5	5	84
		% within Family income	.0%	11.1%	16.1%	12.5%	14.7%	8.6%	7.5%	6.0%	5.4%	16.7%	8.0%	4.7%	5.1%	10.9%	6.5%	9.1%
	4	Count	2	7	3	9	5	21	18	9	9	17	13	9	6	5	13	146
		% within Family income	7.7%	25.9%	9.7%	14.1%	7.4%	22.6%	16.8%	10.7%	16.1%	20.2%	17.3%	20.9%	15.4%	10.9%	16.9%	15.9%
	5	Count	5	4	2	10	11	10	10	13	13	15	14	6	4	10	9	136
		% within Family income	19.2%	14.8%	6.5%	15.6%	16.2%	10.8%	9.3%	15.5%	23.2%	17.9%	18.7%	14.0%	10.3%	21.7%	11.7%	14.8%
	6	Count	1	1	4	7	9	10	19	8	8	11	12	6	4	12	7	119
		% within Family income	3.8%	3.7%	12.9%	10.9%	13.2%	10.8%	17.8%	9.5%	14.3%	13.1%	16.0%	14.0%	10.3%	26.1%	9.1%	12.9%
	7 - Poor	Count	4	4	7	15	15	21	33	27	14	18	19	17	17	12	18	241

are responsible for improving their own situations	% e within Family income	15.4%	14.8%	22.6%	23.4%	22.1%	22.6%	30.8%	32.1%	25.0%	21.4%	25.3%	39.5%	43.6%	26.1%	23.4%	26.2%
Not Sure	Count	9	1	1	8	8	7	8	7	2	0	4	0	1	0	11	67
	% within Family income	34.6%	3.7%	3.2%	12.5%	11.8%	7.5%	7.5%	8.3%	3.6%	.0%	5.3%	.0%	2.6%	.0%	14.3%	7.3%
Total	Count	26	27	31	64	68	93	107	84	56	84	75	43	39	46	77	920
	% within Family income	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

	Republic		poor poopio			11			
					Edu	cation			
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Republicans - Gvt should	1 - Gvt should directly help	Count	14	26	24	9	7	3	83
help poor people more	poor people	% within Education	16.1%	8.6%	10.1%	15.3%	4.3%	4.3%	9.0%
	2	Count	3	15	10	2	9	3	42
		% within Education	3.4%	5.0%	4.2%	3.4%	5.5%	4.3%	4.6%
	3	Count	13	26	25	2	15	4	85
		% within Education	14.9%	8.6%	10.5%	3.4%	9.2%	5.8%	9.3%
	4	Count	10	63	29	10	26	7	145
		% within Education	11.5%	20.9%	12.2%	16.9%	16.0%	10.1%	15.8%
	5	Count	9	47	35	12	19	15	137
		% within Education	10.3%	15.6%	14.7%	20.3%	11.7%	21.7%	14.9%
	6	Count	6	31	33	6	32	9	117
		% within Education	6.9%	10.3%	13.9%	10.2%	19.6%	13.0%	12.7%
	7 - Poor are responsible for	Count	22	55	73	15	50	28	243
	improving their own situations	% within Education	25.3%	18.2%	30.7%	25.4%	30.7%	40.6%	26.5%
	Not Sure	Count	10	39	9	3	5	0	66

### Republicans - Gvt should help poor people more \* Education Crosstabulation

	% within Education	11.5%	12.9%	3.8%	5.1%	3.1%	.0%	7.2%
Total	Count	87	302	238	59	163	69	918
	% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

					Church attenda	ance		
			More than once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Prefer not to say	Total
Republicans - Gvt should	1 - Gvt should directly help	Count	13	16	28	7	19	83
help poor people more	poor people	% within Church attendance	7.8%	7.6%	12.5%	3.5%	16.5%	9.1%
	2	Count	8	12	10	8	5	43
		% within Church attendance	4.8%	5.7%	4.5%	4.0%	4.3%	4.7%
	3	Count	13	21	20	15	16	85
		% within Church attendance	7.8%	10.0%	8.9%	7.4%	13.9%	9.3%
	4	Count	29	32	37	29	19	146
		% within Church attendance	17.5%	15.2%	16.5%	14.4%	16.5%	15.9%
	5	Count	20	34	30	26	24	134
		% within Church attendance	12.0%	16.2%	13.4%	12.9%	20.9%	14.6%
	6	Count	22	31	32	22	12	119
		% within Church attendance	13.3%	14.8%	14.3%	10.9%	10.4%	13.0%
	7 - Poor are responsible for	Count	46	55	53	75	12	241
	improving their own situations	% within Church attendance	27.7%	26.2%	23.7%	37.1%	10.4%	26.3%
	Not Sure	Count	15	9	14	20	8	66
		% within Church attendance	9.0%	4.3%	6.2%	9.9%	7.0%	7.2%
	Total	Count	166	210	224	202	115	917
		% within Church attendance	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Republicans - Gvt should help poor people more \* Church attendance Crosstabulation

Republicans - Gvt should help poor people more \* Race Crosstabulation

			Race				
	African	Hispanic or	Asian/Pacific	Native			
White	American	Latino	Islander	American	Multi-racial	Don't know	Total

Republicans - Gvt should	1 - Gvt should directly	Count	43	10	24	1	0	3	2	83
help poor people more	help poor people	% within Race	8.8%	12.0%	8.3%	10.0%	.0%	9.7%	16.7%	9.0%
	2	Count	18	8	13	1	0	1	0	41
		% within Race	3.7%	9.6%	4.5%	10.0%	.0%	3.2%	.0%	4.5%
	3	Count	28	11	39	2	0	2	2	84
		% within Race	5.7%	13.3%	13.4%	20.0%	.0%	6.5%	16.7%	9.1%
	4	Count	81	2	54	0	0	4	4	145
		% within Race	16.5%	2.4%	18.6%	.0%	.0%	12.9%	33.3%	15.8%
	5	Count	87	3	39	4	1	4	0	138
		% within Race	17.8%	3.6%	13.4%	40.0%	25.0%	12.9%	.0%	15.0%
	6	Count	83	9	20	0	1	3	3	119
		% within Race	16.9%	10.8%	6.9%	.0%	25.0%	9.7%	25.0%	12.9%
	7 - Poor are responsible	Count	116	37	73	2	2	12	1	243
-	for improving their own situations	% within Race	23.7%	44.6%	25.2%	20.0%	50.0%	38.7%	8.3%	26.4%
	Not Sure	Count	34	3	28	0	0	2	0	67
		% within Race	6.9%	3.6%	9.7%	.0%	.0%	6.5%	.0%	7.3%
	Total	Count	490	83	290	10	4	31	12	920
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Republicans - Gvt should help poor people more \* Marital status Crosstabulation

							Marital statu	ıs			
			Married, living with spouse	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single, never married	Domestic partnership	Don't know	Prefer not to say	Total
Republicans - Gvt	1 - Gvt should directly	Count	55	1	6	3	10	8	0	1	84
should help poor people more	help poor people	% within Marital status	9.7%	7.1%	6.4%	10.3%	6.1%	19.0%	.0%	7.1%	9.1%
	2	Count	26	1	5	4	6	1	0	1	44
		% within Marital status	4.6%	7.1%	5.3%	13.8%	3.7%	2.4%	.0%	7.1%	4.8%
	3	Count	53	1	7	3	19	2	0	0	85
		% within Marital status	9.4%	7.1%	7.4%	10.3%	11.7%	4.8%	.0%	.0%	9.2%

4	Count	98	2	13	3	19	7	0	3	145
	% within Marital status	17.3%	14.3%	13.8%	10.3%	11.7%	16.7%	.0%	21.4%	15.7%
5	Count	89	3	9	4	21	6	3	2	137
	% within Marital status	15.8%	21.4%	9.6%	13.8%	12.9%	14.3%	100.0%	14.3%	14.8%
6	Count	78	0	11	5	18	5	0	1	118
	% within Marital status	13.8%	.0%	11.7%	17.2%	11.0%	11.9%	.0%	7.1%	12.8%
7 - Poor are	Count	137	6	36	3	47	12	0	3	244
responsible for improving their own situations	% within Marital status	24.2%	42.9%	38.3%	10.3%	28.8%	28.6%	.0%	21.4%	26.4%
Not Sure	Count	29	0	7	4	23	1	0	3	67
	% within Marital status	5.1%	.0%	7.4%	13.8%	14.1%	2.4%	.0%	21.4%	7.3%
Total	Count	565	14	94	29	163	42	3	14	924
	% within Marital status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Republicans - Gvt should help poor people more \* Gender Crosstabulation

				Gender	
			Male	Female	Total
Republicans - Gvt should help poor people	1 - Gvt should directly help poor people	Count	25	59	84
more		% within Gender	5.9%	11.9%	9.1%
	2	Count	21	22	43
		% within Gender	4.9%	4.4%	4.7%
	3	Count	36	49	85
		% within Gender	8.5%	9.9%	9.2%
	4	Count	72	73	145
		% within Gender	16.9%	14.7%	15.7%
	5	Count	58	79	137
		% within Gender	13.6%	15.9%	14.9%
	6	Count	62	57	119

	% within Gender	14.6%	11.5%	12.9%
7 - Poor are responsible for improving their	Count	132	111	243
own situations	% within Gender	31.1%	22.3%	26.4%
Not Sure	Count	19	47	66
	% within Gender	4.5%	9.5%	7.2%
Total	Count	425	497	922
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Self - illegal immigrants status \* Ideology Crosstabulation

		-				Ideo	logy			
			1 - Extremely liberal	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Extremely conservative	Total
Self - illegal immigrants	1 - Illegal immigrants	Count	22	32	34	62	14	21	11	196
status	should be allowed legal status	% within Ideology	51.2%	45.1%	32.7%	23.7%	9.3%	12.5%	8.9%	21.3%
	2	Count	3	17	15	28	17	15	5	100
		% within Ideology	7.0%	23.9%	14.4%	10.7%	11.3%	8.9%	4.0%	10.8%
	3	Count	2	2	21	27	23	13	5	93
		% within Ideology	4.7%	2.8%	20.2%	10.3%	15.3%	7.7%	4.0%	10.1%
	4	Count	2	8	13	43	16	18	8	108
		% within Ideology	4.7%	11.3%	12.5%	16.4%	10.7%	10.7%	6.5%	11.7%
	5	Count	5	3	3	23	19	22	8	83
		% within Ideology	11.6%	4.2%	2.9%	8.8%	12.7%	13.1%	6.5%	9.0%
	6	Count	1	2	5	7	14	25	9	63
		% within Ideology	2.3%	2.8%	4.8%	2.7%	9.3%	14.9%	7.3%	6.8%
	7 - Illegal immigrants	Count	5	7	11	52	45	50	76	246
	should be deported	% within Ideology	11.6%	9.9%	10.6%	19.8%	30.0%	29.8%	61.3%	26.7%
	Not Sure	Count	3	0	2	20	2	4	2	33
		% within Ideology	7.0%	.0%	1.9%	7.6%	1.3%	2.4%	1.6%	3.6%
	Total	Count	43	71	104	262	150	168	124	922
		% within Ideology	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Self - illegal immigrants status \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

						7 poi	nt Party ID				
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Self - illegal	1 - Illegal immigrants	Count	63	31	23	30	13	14	14	8	196
immigrants status	should be allowed legal status	% within 7 point Party ID	40.6%	27.2%	29.5%	22.6%	11.9%	13.1%	7.9%	17.0%	21.3%
	2	Count	29	15	10	13	10	6	11	5	99
		% within 7 point Party ID	18.7%	13.2%	12.8%	9.8%	9.2%	5.6%	6.2%	10.6%	10.7%
	3	Count	9	15	10	12	9	22	14	2	93
		% within 7 point Party ID	5.8%	13.2%	12.8%	9.0%	8.3%	20.6%	7.9%	4.3%	10.1%
	4	Count	14	15	14	15	5	15	22	8	108
		% within 7 point Party ID	9.0%	13.2%	17.9%	11.3%	4.6%	14.0%	12.4%	17.0%	11.7%
	5	Count	10	6	7	10	14	10	20	6	83
		% within 7 point Party ID	6.5%	5.3%	9.0%	7.5%	12.8%	9.3%	11.2%	12.8%	9.0%
	6	Count	4	6	4	10	13	8	16	2	63
		% within 7 point Party ID	2.6%	5.3%	5.1%	7.5%	11.9%	7.5%	9.0%	4.3%	6.8%
	7 - Illegal immigrants	Count	24	25	7	33	44	30	79	4	246
	should be deported	% within 7 point Party ID	15.5%	21.9%	9.0%	24.8%	40.4%	28.0%	44.4%	8.5%	26.7%
	Not Sure	Count	2	1	3	10	1	2	2	12	33
		% within 7 point Party ID	1.3%	.9%	3.8%	7.5%	.9%	1.9%	1.1%	25.5%	3.6%
	Total	Count	155	114	78	133	109	107	178	47	921
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Self - illegal immigrants status \* Family income Crosstabulation

		-	Family income															
			less	\$10,000	\$15,000	\$20,000	\$25,000	\$30,000	\$40,000	\$50,000	\$60,000	\$70,000	\$80,000	\$100,000	\$120,000	¢450.000	Prefer	
			\$10,000	- \$14,999	- \$19,999	- \$24,999	- \$29,999	- \$39,999	- \$49,999	- \$59,999	- \$69,999	- \$79,999	- \$99,999	- \$119,999	- \$149,999	or more	say	Total
Self - illegal	1 - Illegal	Count	4	6	7	15	13	20	21	28	11	24	15	8	6	5	14	197
immigrants status	should be allowed legal status	<sup>3</sup> % within Family income	15.4%	22.2%	22.6%	23.8%	19.4%	21.7%	19.8%	33.7%	19.6%	28.9%	20.5%	18.6%	14.6%	10.9%	17.7%	21.5%
	2	Count	1	2	6	10	8	8	9	10	9	8	7	5	3	5	8	99
		% within Family income	3.8%	7.4%	19.4%	15.9%	11.9%	8.7%	8.5%	12.0%	16.1%	9.6%	9.6%	11.6%	7.3%	10.9%	10.1%	10.8%
	3	Count	1	1	3	3	7	14	13	6	8	6	3	6	5	11	5	92
		% within Family income	3.8%	3.7%	9.7%	4.8%	10.4%	15.2%	12.3%	7.2%	14.3%	7.2%	4.1%	14.0%	12.2%	23.9%	6.3%	10.0%
	4	Count	1	5	4	8	8	16	11	8	6	7	10	4	10	2	6	106
		% within Family income	3.8%	18.5%	12.9%	12.7%	11.9%	17.4%	10.4%	9.6%	10.7%	8.4%	13.7%	9.3%	24.4%	4.3%	7.6%	11.6%
	5	Count	3	4	2	2	10	5	11	6	4	7	6	4	2	7	7	80
		% within Family income	11.5%	14.8%	6.5%	3.2%	14.9%	5.4%	10.4%	7.2%	7.1%	8.4%	8.2%	9.3%	4.9%	15.2%	8.9%	8.7%
	6	Count	0	2	1	4	2	10	5	2	5	6	9	3	2	6	8	65
		% within Family income	.0%	7.4%	3.2%	6.3%	3.0%	10.9%	4.7%	2.4%	8.9%	7.2%	12.3%	7.0%	4.9%	13.0%	10.1%	7.1%
	7 - Illegal	Count	12	6	8	18	12	18	31	22	13	25	22	13	10	10	23	243

immigrants should be deported	s % within Family income	46.2%	22.2%	25.8%	28.6%	17.9%	19.6%	29.2%	26.5%	23.2%	30.1%	30.1%	30.2%	24.4%	21.7%	29.1%	26.5%
Not Sure	Count	4	1	0	3	7	1	5	1	0	0	1	0	3	0	8	34
	% within Family income	15.4%	3.7%	.0%	4.8%	10.4%	1.1%	4.7%	1.2%	.0%	.0%	1.4%	.0%	7.3%	.0%	10.1%	3.7%
Total	Count	26	27	31	63	67	92	106	83	56	83	73	43	41	46	79	916
	% within Family income	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Self - illegal immigrants status \* Education Crosstabulation

	-				Edu	ication			
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Self - illegal immigrants	1 - Illegal immigrants should	Count	24	68	45	11	28	20	196
status	be allowed legal status	% within Education	27.6%	22.3%	19.1%	18.6%	17.2%	28.2%	21.3%
	2	Count	13	25	29	5	18	8	98
		% within Education	14.9%	8.2%	12.3%	8.5%	11.0%	11.3%	10.6%
	3	Count	3	20	23	7	32	8	93
		% within Education	3.4%	6.6%	9.7%	11.9%	19.6%	11.3%	10.1%
	4	Count	10	29	31	8	20	10	108
		% within Education	11.5%	9.5%	13.1%	13.6%	12.3%	14.1%	11.7%
	5	Count	3	33	21	5	15	6	83
		% within Education	3.4%	10.8%	8.9%	8.5%	9.2%	8.5%	9.0%
	6	Count	0	25	15	5	14	5	64
		% within Education	.0%	8.2%	6.4%	8.5%	8.6%	7.0%	6.9%
	7 - Illegal immigrants should	Count	28	85	69	17	33	13	245
	be deported	% within Education	32.2%	27.9%	29.2%	28.8%	20.2%	18.3%	26.6%
	Not Sure	Count	6	20	3	1	3	1	34
		% within Education	6.9%	6.6%	1.3%	1.7%	1.8%	1.4%	3.7%

Total	Count	87	305	236	59	163	71	921
	% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

					Church attenda	ance		
			More than once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Prefer not to say	Total
Self - illegal immigrants	1 - Illegal immigrants should	Count	24	49	47	55	18	193
status	be allowed legal status	% within Church attendance	14.3%	23.3%	21.0%	27.4%	15.8%	21.0%
	2	Count	19	22	28	21	10	100
		% within Church attendance	11.3%	10.5%	12.5%	10.4%	8.8%	10.9%
	3	Count	16	17	26	19	14	92
		% within Church attendance	9.5%	8.1%	11.6%	9.5%	12.3%	10.0%
	4	Count	18	22	31	22	14	107
		% within Church attendance	10.7%	10.5%	13.8%	10.9%	12.3%	11.7%
	5	Count	16	25	20	10	11	82
		% within Church attendance	9.5%	11.9%	8.9%	5.0%	9.6%	8.9%
	6	Count	10	16	21	11	7	65
		% within Church attendance	6.0%	7.6%	9.4%	5.5%	6.1%	7.1%
	7 - Illegal immigrants should	Count	57	54	45	55	34	245
	be deported	% within Church attendance	33.9%	25.7%	20.1%	27.4%	29.8%	26.7%
	Not Sure	Count	8	5	6	8	6	33
		% within Church attendance	4.8%	2.4%	2.7%	4.0%	5.3%	3.6%
	Total	Count	168	210	224	201	114	917
		% within Church attendance	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Self - illegal immigrants status \* Church attendance Crosstabulation

Self - illegal immigrant	s status * Race	<b>Crosstabulation</b>
--------------------------	-----------------	------------------------

						Race				
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Don't know	Total
Self - illegal immigrants	1 - Illegal immigrants	Count	59	16	106	1	1	12	1	196
status	should be allowed legal status	% within Race	12.0%	19.5%	36.7%	11.1%	25.0%	37.5%	7.7%	21.3%
	2	Count	45	7	42	3	0	2	0	99
		% within Race	9.2%	8.5%	14.5%	33.3%	.0%	6.2%	.0%	10.8%
	3	Count	48	11	29	2	0	2	0	92
		% within Race	9.8%	13.4%	10.0%	22.2%	.0%	6.2%	.0%	10.0%
	4	Count	64	9	28	1	0	1	6	109
	4 5	% within Race	13.0%	11.0%	9.7%	11.1%	.0%	3.1%	46.2%	11.8%
	5	Count	42	8	24	0	1	6	2	83
		% within Race	8.6%	9.8%	8.3%	.0%	25.0%	18.8%	15.4%	9.0%
	6	Count	37	7	15	1	0	4	0	64
		% within Race	7.5%	8.5%	5.2%	11.1%	.0%	12.5%	.0%	7.0%
	7 - Illegal immigrants	Count	184	21	32	1	2	3	1	244
	should be deported	% within Race	37.5%	25.6%	11.1%	11.1%	50.0%	9.4%	7.7%	26.5%
	Not Sure	Count	12	3	13	0	0	2	3	33
		% within Race	2.4%	3.7%	4.5%	.0%	.0%	6.2%	23.1%	3.6%
	Total	Count	491	82	289	9	4	32	13	920
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Self - illegal immigrants status \* Marital status Crosstabulation

							Marital statu	ıs			
			Married, living with spouse	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single, never married	Domestic partnership	Don't know	Prefer not to say	Total
Self - illegal	1 - Illegal immigrants	Count	107	4	26	5	41	9	0	5	197
immigrants status	should be allowed legal status	% within Marital status	19.0%	26.7%	27.4%	17.9%	25.0%	22.5%	.0%	35.7%	21.4%
	2	Count	67	4	7	2	18	1	0	0	99
		% within Marital status	11.9%	26.7%	7.4%	7.1%	11.0%	2.5%	.0%	.0%	10.7%
	3	Count	51	2	11	2	22	4	0	1	93
		% within Marital status	9.1%	13.3%	11.6%	7.1%	13.4%	10.0%	.0%	7.1%	10.1%
	4	Count	58	0	14	1	25	5	1	3	107
	5	% within Marital status	10.3%	.0%	14.7%	3.6%	15.2%	12.5%	25.0%	21.4%	11.6%
		Count	51	2	4	3	17	4	0	2	83
		% within Marital status	9.1%	13.3%	4.2%	10.7%	10.4%	10.0%	.0%	14.3%	9.0%
	6	Count	39	1	6	4	12	2	0	0	64
		% within Marital status	6.9%	6.7%	6.3%	14.3%	7.3%	5.0%	.0%	.0%	6.9%
	7 - Illegal immigrants	Count	175	1	23	10	24	9	3	1	246
	should be deported	% within Marital status	31.1%	6.7%	24.2%	35.7%	14.6%	22.5%	75.0%	7.1%	26.7%
	Not Sure	Count	14	1	4	1	5	6	0	2	33
		% within Marital status	2.5%	6.7%	4.2%	3.6%	3.0%	15.0%	.0%	14.3%	3.6%
	Total	Count	562	15	95	28	164	40	4	14	922
		% within Marital status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

				Gender	
			Male	Female	Total
Self - illegal immigrants status	1 - Illegal immigrants should be	Count	84	113	197
	allowed legal status	% within Gender	19.8%	22.8%	21.4%
	2	Count	47	52	99
		% within Gender	11.1%	10.5%	10.7%
	3	Count	46	47	93
		% within Gender	10.8%	9.5%	10.1%
	4	Count	38	70	108
		% within Gender	8.9%	14.1%	11.7%
	5	Count	35	47	82
		% within Gender	8.2%	9.5%	8.9%
	6	Count	41	23	64
		% within Gender	9.6%	4.6%	6.9%
	7 - Illegal immigrants should be	Count	117	128	245
	deported	% within Gender	27.5%	25.8%	26.6%
	Not Sure	Count	17	16	33
		% within Gender	4.0%	3.2%	3.6%
	Total	Count	425	496	921
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Self - illegal immigrants status \* Gender Crosstabulation

						Ideo	ogy			
			1 - Extremely liberal	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Extremely conservative	Total
Obama - illegal immigrants	1 - Illegal immigrants	Count	5	17	20	53	53	75	66	289
status	should be allowed legal status	% within Ideology	11.6%	23.6%	18.9%	20.2%	35.3%	45.2%	54.5%	31.4%
	2	Count	6	17	20	38	22	23	13	139
		% within Ideology	14.0%	23.6%	18.9%	14.5%	14.7%	13.9%	10.7%	15.1%
	3	Count	12	20	30	24	15	11	5	117
		% within Ideology	27.9%	27.8%	28.3%	9.2%	10.0%	6.6%	4.1%	12.7%
	4	Count	11	12	18	58	21	14	2	136
		% within Ideology	25.6%	16.7%	17.0%	22.1%	14.0%	8.4%	1.7%	14.8%
	5	Count	1	0	2	18	10	7	0	38
		% within Ideology	2.3%	.0%	1.9%	6.9%	6.7%	4.2%	.0%	4.1%
	6	Count	0	3	3	5	5	3	1	20
		% within Ideology	.0%	4.2%	2.8%	1.9%	3.3%	1.8%	.8%	2.2%
	7 - Illegal immigrants	Count	3	1	2	27	13	15	25	86
	should be deported	% within Ideology	7.0%	1.4%	1.9%	10.3%	8.7%	9.0%	20.7%	9.3%
	Not Sure	Count	5	2	11	39	11	18	9	95
		% within Ideology	11.6%	2.8%	10.4%	14.9%	7.3%	10.8%	7.4%	10.3%
	Total	Count	43	72	106	262	150	166	121	920
		% within Ideology	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Obama - illegal immigrants status \* Ideology Crosstabulation

# Obama - illegal immigrants status \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

						7 poi	nt Party ID				
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Obama - illegal	1 - Illegal immigrants	Count	26	30	13	40	52	33	89	4	287
immigrants status	should be allowed legal status	% within 7 point Party ID	16.9%	25.4%	16.7%	30.5%	47.3%	31.1%	50.0%	8.9%	31.2%
	2	Count	38	10	14	22	13	11	25	7	140
		% within 7 point Party ID	24.7%	8.5%	17.9%	16.8%	11.8%	10.4%	14.0%	15.6%	15.2%
	3	Count	30	22	22	7	12	10	11	3	117
		% within 7 point Party ID	19.5%	18.6%	28.2%	5.3%	10.9%	9.4%	6.2%	6.7%	12.7%
	4	Count	33	22	15	13	5	25	11	11	135
		% within 7 point Party ID	21.4%	18.6%	19.2%	9.9%	4.5%	23.6%	6.2%	24.4%	14.7%
	5	Count	4	9	1	9	2	5	5	3	38
		% within 7 point Party ID	2.6%	7.6%	1.3%	6.9%	1.8%	4.7%	2.8%	6.7%	4.1%
	6	Count	6	4	2	1	5	2	1	0	21
		% within 7 point Party ID	3.9%	3.4%	2.6%	.8%	4.5%	1.9%	.6%	.0%	2.3%
	7 - Illegal immigrants	Count	11	14	1	14	14	9	21	1	85
	snouid be deported	% within 7 point Party ID	7.1%	11.9%	1.3%	10.7%	12.7%	8.5%	11.8%	2.2%	9.2%
	Not Sure	Count	6	7	10	25	7	11	15	16	97
		% within 7 point Party ID	3.9%	5.9%	12.8%	19.1%	6.4%	10.4%	8.4%	35.6%	10.5%
	Total	Count	154	118	78	131	110	106	178	45	920
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Obama - illegal immigrants status \* Family income Crosstabulation

E.

			Family income															
			less	\$10,000	\$15,000	\$20,000	\$25,000	\$30,000	\$40,000	\$50,000	\$60,000	\$70,000	\$80,000	\$100,000	\$120,000	\$150.000	Prefer	
			than \$10,000	- \$14,999	- \$19,999	- \$24,999	- \$29,999	- \$39,999	- \$49,999	- \$59,999	- \$69,999	- \$79,999	- \$99,999	- \$119,999	- \$149,999	\$150,000 or more	not to say	Total
Obama - illegal immigrants status	1 - Illegal immigrants should be allowed legal status	Count % within Family income	4 14.8%	2 7.4%	5 15.6%	14 21.5%	11 16.9%	26 28.3%	38 35.8%	29 34.1%	17 30.4%	39 46.4%	28 37.8%	16 38.1%	13 32.5%	18 39.1%	28 35.9%	288 31.3%
	2	Count	0	1	8	7	12	9	16	16	7	18	12	10	6	6	11	139
		% within Family income	.0%	3.7%	25.0%	10.8%	18.5%	9.8%	15.1%	18.8%	12.5%	21.4%	16.2%	23.8%	15.0%	13.0%	14.1%	15.1%
i	3	Count	5	1	7	15	10	13	9	11	9	6	10	4	1	11	4	116
		% within Family income	18.5%	3.7%	21.9%	23.1%	15.4%	14.1%	8.5%	12.9%	16.1%	7.1%	13.5%	9.5%	2.5%	23.9%	5.1%	12.6%
	4	Count	3	6	5	9	11	16	17	12	10	9	8	6	6	7	10	135
		% within Family income	11.1%	22.2%	15.6%	13.8%	16.9%	17.4%	16.0%	14.1%	17.9%	10.7%	10.8%	14.3%	15.0%	15.2%	12.8%	14.7%
	5	Count	2	5	0	1	2	6	4	4	4	0	5	1	3	0	2	39
		% within Family income	7.4%	18.5%	.0%	1.5%	3.1%	6.5%	3.8%	4.7%	7.1%	.0%	6.8%	2.4%	7.5%	.0%	2.6%	4.2%
	6	Count	3	3	0	1	1	4	1	2	1	1	2	0	0	1	0	20
		% within Family income	11.1%	11.1%	.0%	1.5%	1.5%	4.3%	.9%	2.4%	1.8%	1.2%	2.7%	.0%	.0%	2.2%	.0%	2.2%
	7 - Illegal	Count	6	6	6	9	9	10	6	4	4	7	2	2	6	3	5	85

immigrants should be deported	within Family	22.2%	22.2%	18.8%	13.8%	13.8%	10.9%	5.7%	4.7%	7.1%	8.3%	2.7%	4.8%	15.0%	6.5%	6.4%	9.2%
Not Sure	Count	4	3	1	9	9	8	15	7	4	4	7	3	5	0	18	97
	% within Family income	14.8%	11.1%	3.1%	13.8%	13.8%	8.7%	14.2%	8.2%	7.1%	4.8%	9.5%	7.1%	12.5%	.0%	23.1%	10.6%
Total	Count	27	27	32	65	65	92	106	85	56	84	74	42	40	46	78	919
	% within Family income	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

					Edu	cation			
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Obama - illegal immigrants	1 - Illegal immigrants should	Count	33	77	74	20	61	24	289
status	be allowed legal status	% within Education	37.5%	25.4%	31.1%	33.3%	37.9%	34.3%	31.4%
	2	Count	13	27	45	7	32	16	140
		% within Education	14.8%	8.9%	18.9%	11.7%	19.9%	22.9%	15.2%
	3	Count	5	33	35	7	23	13	116
		% within Education	5.7%	10.9%	14.7%	11.7%	14.3%	18.6%	12.6%
	4	Count	10	53	33	9	24	6	135
		% within Education	11.4%	17.5%	13.9%	15.0%	14.9%	8.6%	14.7%
	5	Count	3	16	7	7	2	3	38
		% within Education	3.4%	5.3%	2.9%	11.7%	1.2%	4.3%	4.1%
	6	Count	3	11	2	2	1	1	20
		% within Education	3.4%	3.6%	.8%	3.3%	.6%	1.4%	2.2%
	7 - Illegal immigrants should	Count	12	37	23	5	6	3	86
	be deported	% within Education	13.6%	12.2%	9.7%	8.3%	3.7%	4.3%	9.3%
	Not Sure C 77 Total C	Count	9	49	19	3	12	4	96
		% within Education	10.2%	16.2%	8.0%	5.0%	7.5%	5.7%	10.4%
		Count	88	303	238	60	161	70	920
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Obama - illegal immigrants status \* Education Crosstabulation

					Church attenda	ince		
			More than once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Prefer not to say	Total
Obama - illegal immigrants	1 - Illegal immigrants should	Count	57	76	70	57	28	288
status	be allowed legal status	% within Church attendance	34.8%	36.4%	31.1%	28.4%	24.8%	31.6%
	2	Count	13	34	39	28	25	139
		% within Church attendance	7.9%	16.3%	17.3%	13.9%	22.1%	15.2%
	3	Count	14	22	21	41	16	114
		% within Church attendance	8.5%	10.5%	9.3%	20.4%	14.2%	12.5%
	4	Count	26	19	43	31	15	134
		% within Church attendance	15.9%	9.1%	19.1%	15.4%	13.3%	14.7%
	5	Count	8	15	7	4	3	37
		% within Church attendance	4.9%	7.2%	3.1%	2.0%	2.7%	4.1%
	6	Count	0	5	6	7	1	19
		% within Church attendance	.0%	2.4%	2.7%	3.5%	.9%	2.1%
	7 - Illegal immigrants should	Count	25	16	16	11	16	84
	be deported	% within Church attendance	15.2%	7.7%	7.1%	5.5%	14.2%	9.2%
	Not Sure	Count	21	22	23	22	9	97
		% within Church attendance	12.8%	10.5%	10.2%	10.9%	8.0%	10.6%
	Total	Count	164	209	225	201	113	912
		% within Church attendance	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Obama - illegal immigrants status \* Church attendance Crosstabulation

						Race				
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Don't know	Total
Obama - illegal	1 - Illegal immigrants	Count	177	16	81	1	2	8	4	289
immigrants status	should be allowed legal status	% within Race	36.1%	19.5%	28.2%	11.1%	40.0%	25.0%	30.8%	31.5%
	2	Count	76	8	51	1	0	3	0	139
		% within Race	15.5%	9.8%	17.8%	11.1%	.0%	9.4%	.0%	15.1%
	3	Count	45	20	45	2	1	3	0	116
		% within Race	9.2%	24.4%	15.7%	22.2%	20.0%	9.4%	.0%	12.6%
	4	Count	59	17	47	3	0	6	4	136
	4   5	% within Race	12.0%	20.7%	16.4%	33.3%	.0%	18.8%	30.8%	14.8%
	5	Count	11	7	14	0	0	5	2	39
		% within Race	2.2%	8.5%	4.9%	.0%	.0%	15.6%	15.4%	4.2%
	6	Count	5	3	10	1	0	0	0	19
		% within Race	1.0%	3.7%	3.5%	11.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.1%
	7 - Illegal immigrants	Count	63	6	12	1	2	0	0	84
	should be deported	% within Race	12.9%	7.3%	4.2%	11.1%	40.0%	.0%	.0%	9.2%
	Not Sure	Count	54	5	27	0	0	7	3	96
		% within Race	11.0%	6.1%	9.4%	.0%	.0%	21.9%	23.1%	10.5%
	Total	Count	490	82	287	9	5	32	13	918
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Obama - illegal immigrants status \* Race Crosstabulation
							Marital statu	IS			
			Married, living with spouse	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single, never married	Domestic partnership	Don't know	Prefer not to say	Total
Obama - illegal	1 - Illegal immigrants	Count	206	1	23	9	34	10	0	5	288
immigrants status	should be allowed legal status	% within Marital status	36.8%	7.1%	24.5%	33.3%	20.7%	23.8%	.0%	33.3%	31.3%
	2	Count	89	2	17	4	21	6	0	0	139
		% within Marital status	15.9%	14.3%	18.1%	14.8%	12.8%	14.3%	.0%	.0%	15.1%
	3	Count	53	4	16	0	34	9	0	1	117
		% within Marital status	9.5%	28.6%	17.0%	.0%	20.7%	21.4%	.0%	6.7%	12.7%
	4	Count	79	3	13	2	30	4	1	3	135
	4 5	% within Marital status	14.1%	21.4%	13.8%	7.4%	18.3%	9.5%	25.0%	20.0%	14.7%
	5	Count	21	1	3	4	6	1	0	1	37
		% within Marital status	3.8%	7.1%	3.2%	14.8%	3.7%	2.4%	.0%	6.7%	4.0%
	6	Count	12	0	2	0	3	0	3	0	20
		% within Marital status	2.1%	.0%	2.1%	.0%	1.8%	.0%	75.0%	.0%	2.2%
	7 - Illegal immigrants	Count	57	0	13	2	10	4	0	0	86
	should be deported	% within Marital status	10.2%	.0%	13.8%	7.4%	6.1%	9.5%	.0%	.0%	9.3%
	Not Sure	Count	43	3	7	6	26	8	0	5	98
		% within Marital status	7.7%	21.4%	7.4%	22.2%	15.9%	19.0%	.0%	33.3%	10.7%
	Total	Count	560	14	94	27	164	42	4	15	920
		% within Marital status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Obama - illegal immigrants status \* Marital status Crosstabulation

				Gender	
			Male	Female	Total
Obama - illegal immigrants status	1 - Illegal immigrants should be	Count	153	135	288
	allowed legal status	% within Gender	36.1%	27.3%	31.3%
	2	Count	68	71	139
		% within Gender	16.0%	14.3%	15.1%
	3	Count	64	52	116
		% within Gender	15.1%	10.5%	12.6%
	4 5	Count	47	89	136
		% within Gender	11.1%	18.0%	14.8%
	5	Count	13	24	37
		% within Gender	3.1%	4.8%	4.0%
	6	Count	9	11	20
		% within Gender	2.1%	2.2%	2.2%
	7 - Illegal immigrants should be	Count	32	54	86
	deported	% within Gender	7.5%	10.9%	9.4%
	Not Sure	Count	38	59	97
		% within Gender	9.0%	11.9%	10.6%
	Total	Count	424	495	919
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Obama - illegal immigrants status \* Gender Crosstabulation

						Ideo	logy			
			1 - Extremely liberal	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Extremely conservative	Total
Republican - illegal	1 - Illegal immigrants	Count	2	11	3	31	11	10	16	84
immigrants status	should be allowed legal status	% within Ideology	4.7%	15.3%	2.9%	11.8%	7.4%	6.0%	13.2%	9.2%
	2	Count	0	1	6	17	7	8	4	43
		% within Ideology	.0%	1.4%	5.7%	6.5%	4.7%	4.8%	3.3%	4.7%
	3	Count	2	3	7	13	11	17	6	59
		% within Ideology	4.7%	4.2%	6.7%	5.0%	7.4%	10.2%	5.0%	6.4%
	4	Count	2	4	11	45	32	29	30	153
		% within Ideology	4.7%	5.6%	10.5%	17.2%	21.6%	17.4%	24.8%	16.7%
	5	Count	3	6	13	26	34	44	17	143
		% within Ideology	7.0%	8.3%	12.4%	9.9%	23.0%	26.3%	14.0%	15.6%
	6	Count	4	11	10	28	16	28	11	108
		% within Ideology	9.3%	15.3%	9.5%	10.7%	10.8%	16.8%	9.1%	11.8%
	7 - Illegal immigrants	Count	26	34	41	64	27	19	32	243
	should be deported	% within Ideology	60.5%	47.2%	39.0%	24.4%	18.2%	11.4%	26.4%	26.5%
	Not Sure	Count	4	2	14	38	10	12	5	85
		% within Ideology	9.3%	2.8%	13.3%	14.5%	6.8%	7.2%	4.1%	9.3%
	Total	Count	43	72	105	262	148	167	121	918
		% within Ideology	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Republican - illegal immigrants status \* Ideology Crosstabulation

	-					7 poi	nt Party ID				
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Republican - illegal	1 - Illegal immigrants	Count	14	16	11	14	6	4	16	3	84
immigrants status	should be allowed legal status	% within 7 point Party ID	9.1%	13.9%	13.8%	10.6%	5.5%	3.8%	8.9%	6.2%	9.1%
	2	Count	10	5	2	9	7	2	5	5	45
		% within 7 point Party ID	6.5%	4.3%	2.5%	6.8%	6.4%	1.9%	2.8%	10.4%	4.9%
	3	Count	4	6	1	8	8	13	17	3	60
	4	% within 7 point Party ID	2.6%	5.2%	1.2%	6.1%	7.3%	12.3%	9.5%	6.2%	6.5%
	4	Count	10	17	7	24	23	24	37	10	152
		% within 7 point Party ID	6.5%	14.8%	8.8%	18.2%	21.1%	22.6%	20.7%	20.8%	16.5%
	5	Count	16	13	6	15	25	23	42	6	146
	5	% within 7 point Party ID	10.4%	11.3%	7.5%	11.4%	22.9%	21.7%	23.5%	12.5%	15.8%
	6	Count	22	9	10	9	17	15	24	0	106
		% within 7 point Party ID	14.3%	7.8%	12.5%	6.8%	15.6%	14.2%	13.4%	.0%	11.5%
	7 - Illegal immigrants	Count	74	38	34	33	17	17	29	2	244
	should be deported	% within 7 point Party ID	48.1%	33.0%	42.5%	25.0%	15.6%	16.0%	16.2%	4.2%	26.4%
	Not Sure	Count	4	11	9	20	6	8	9	19	86
	Total	% within 7 point Party ID	2.6%	9.6%	11.2%	15.2%	5.5%	7.5%	5.0%	39.6%	9.3%
		Count	154	115	80	132	109	106	179	48	923
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Republican - illegal immigrants status \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

# Republican -illegal immigrants status \* Family income

Crosstabulation F

		- <u></u>	Family income	ļ														
			less than \$10,000	\$10,000 - \$14,999	\$15,000 - \$19,999	\$20,000 - \$24,999	\$25,000 , \$29,999	\$30,000 \$39,999	\$40,000 - \$49,999	\$50,000 \$59,999	\$60,000 - \$69,999	\$70,000 - \$79,999	) \$80,000 - \$99,995	\$100,000 - \$119,999	\$120,000 - \$149,99§	\$150,000 or more	Prefer not to say	Total
Republican - illegal immigrants status	1 - Illegal immigrants should be allowed legal status	Count % within Family income	3 11.5%	7.1%	3.2%	1.6%	5 , 7.5%	5.5%	7.4%	21	5 8.8%	20.5%	3 5 4.1%	4.8%	5.1%	2.2%	9	85 9.3%
	2	Count % within Family income	0 .0%	3.6%	6 , 19.4%	10 , 15.6%	, .0%	6 , 6.6%	2	4.8%	4	, 1.2%	3 5 4.1%	4.8%	1 , 2.6%	2 5 4.3%	2	44
	3	Count % within Family income	3.8%	3.6%	0, .0%	6.2%	7	8.8%	4	4.8%	3.5%	9.6%	6.8%	3 , 7.1%	.0%	4 8.7%	8	59 , 6.4%
	4	Count % within Family income	1 3.8%	39.3%	6.5%	14 , 21.9%	8 , 11.9%	14 , 15.4%	29 26.9%	, 9.5%	7	14 , 16.9%	14 5 19.2%	5 , 11.9%	4	26.1%	11 , 13.9%	154 , 16.8%
	5	Count % within Family income	5 19.2%	4	10 , 32.3%	3 , 4.7%	11 , 16.4%	16 17.6%	14	9	17 , 29.8%	12 , 14.5%	12 5 16.4%	9	, 20.5%	8	8.9%	145 15.8%
	6	Count	1	0	) 2	. 4	12	. 7	17	6	, 3	, 9	) 15	6	, 7	' 8	9	106

	% within Family income	3.8%	.0%	6.5%	6.2%	17.9%	7.7%	15.7%	7.1%	5.3%	10.8%	20.5%	14.3%	17.9%	17.4%	11.4%	11.5%
7 - Illegal immigrants should be deported	Count % within Family	9 34.6%	8 28.6%	10 32.3%	18 28.1%	17 25.4%	29 31.9%	26 24.1%	24 28.6%	13 22.8%	17 20.5%	14 19.2%	13 31.0%	14 35.9%	11 23.9%	19 24.1%	242 26.4%
Not Sure	Count	6	1	0	10	7	6	8	8	6	5	7	2	3	0	14	83
	% within Family income	23.1%	3.6%	.0%	15.6%	10.4%	6.6%	7.4%	9.5%	10.5%	6.0%	9.6%	4.8%	7.7%	.0%	17.7%	9.0%
Total	Count	26	28	31	64	67	91	108	84	57	83	73	42	39	46	79	918
	% within Family income	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

					Edu	cation			
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Republican - illegal	1 - Illegal immigrants should	Count	20	30	18	5	6	6	85
immigrants status	be allowed legal status	% within Education	23.0%	9.8%	7.6%	8.3%	3.7%	8.5%	9.2%
	2	Count	6	14	13	3	7	1	44
		% within Education	6.9%	4.6%	5.5%	5.0%	4.3%	1.4%	4.8%
	3	Count	3	16	16	5	13	6	59
		% within Education	3.4%	5.2%	6.7%	8.3%	8.0%	8.5%	6.4%
	4	Count	12	55	46	7	21	11	152
		% within Education	13.8%	18.0%	19.3%	11.7%	13.0%	15.5%	16.5%
	5	Count	7	44	34	14	36	11	146
		% within Education	8.0%	14.4%	14.3%	23.3%	22.2%	15.5%	15.8%
	6	Count	3	29	33	6	25	12	108
		% within Education	3.4%	9.5%	13.9%	10.0%	15.4%	16.9%	11.7%
	7 - Illegal immigrants should	Count	23	74	61	17	46	23	244
	be deported	% within Education	26.4%	24.2%	25.6%	28.3%	28.4%	32.4%	26.4%
	Not Sure	Count	13	44	17	3	8	1	86
		% within Education	14.9%	14.4%	7.1%	5.0%	4.9%	1.4%	9.3%
	Total	Count	87	306	238	60	162	71	924
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Republican - illegal immigrants status \* Education Crosstabulation

		-			Church attenda	ance		
			More than once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Prefer not to say	Total
Republican - illegal	1 - Illegal immigrants should	Count	9	23	29	14	8	83
immigrants status	be allowed legal status	% within Church attendance	5.4%	11.0%	13.0%	7.0%	7.0%	9.1%
	2	Count	6	11	16	4	7	44
		% within Church attendance	3.6%	5.3%	7.2%	2.0%	6.1%	4.8%
	3	Count	11	13	16	8	11	59
		% within Church attendance	6.6%	6.2%	7.2%	4.0%	9.6%	6.4%
	4	Count	34	28	38	30	22	152
		% within Church attendance	20.4%	13.4%	17.0%	14.9%	19.1%	16.6%
	5	Count	29	38	26	33	19	145
		% within Church attendance	17.4%	18.2%	11.7%	16.4%	16.5%	15.8%
	6	Count	20	24	25	29	9	107
		% within Church attendance	12.0%	11.5%	11.2%	14.4%	7.8%	11.7%
	7 - Illegal immigrants should	Count	43	53	55	63	26	240
	be deported	% within Church attendance	25.7%	25.4%	24.7%	31.3%	22.6%	26.2%
	Not Sure	Count	15	19	18	20	13	85
		% within Church attendance	9.0%	9.1%	8.1%	10.0%	11.3%	9.3%
	Total	Count	167	209	223	201	115	915
		% within Church attendance	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Republican - illegal immigrants status \* Church attendance Crosstabulation

	-	_				Race				
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Don't know	Total
Republican - illegal	1 - Illegal immigrants	Count	27	8	44	1	1	1	3	85
immigrants status	should be allowed legal status	% within Race	5.5%	9.6%	15.2%	11.1%	25.0%	3.1%	23.1%	9.2%
	2	Count	19	3	19	0	0	1	1	43
		% within Race	3.9%	3.6%	6.6%	.0%	.0%	3.1%	7.7%	4.7%
	3	Count	30	4	19	2	0	4	0	59
		% within Race	6.1%	4.8%	6.6%	22.2%	.0%	12.5%	.0%	6.4%
	4	Count	92	10	43	0	0	5	3	153
		% within Race	18.7%	12.0%	14.8%	.0%	.0%	15.6%	23.1%	16.6%
	5	Count	93	7	44	0	0	0	2	146
		% within Race	18.9%	8.4%	15.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	15.4%	15.8%
	6	Count	63	12	22	3	1	7	0	108
		% within Race	12.8%	14.5%	7.6%	33.3%	25.0%	21.9%	.0%	11.7%
	7 - Illegal immigrants	Count	123	34	67	3	2	11	1	241
	should be deported	% within Race	25.1%	41.0%	23.1%	33.3%	50.0%	34.4%	7.7%	26.1%
	Not Sure	Count	44	5	32	0	0	3	3	87
		% within Race	9.0%	6.0%	11.0%	.0%	.0%	9.4%	23.1%	9.4%
	Total	Count	491	83	290	9	4	32	13	922
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Republican - illegal immigrants status \* Race Crosstabulation

							Marital statu	IS			
			Married, living with spouse	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single, never married	Domestic partnership	Don't know	Prefer not to say	Total
Republican - illegal	1 - Illegal immigrants	Count	61	1	12	2	6	2	0	0	84
immigrants status	should be allowed legal status	% within Marital status	10.8%	6.7%	12.9%	6.9%	3.7%	5.0%	.0%	.0%	9.1%
	2	Count	29	0	3	3	7	1	0	1	44
		% within Marital status	5.2%	.0%	3.2%	10.3%	4.3%	2.5%	.0%	7.1%	4.8%
	3	Count	37	1	5	2	10	4	0	0	59
		% within Marital status	6.6%	6.7%	5.4%	6.9%	6.1%	10.0%	.0%	.0%	6.4%
	4	Count	99	1	15	5	26	4	1	3	154
		% within Marital status	17.6%	6.7%	16.1%	17.2%	15.9%	10.0%	25.0%	21.4%	16.7%
	5	Count	91	5	14	5	17	9	3	0	144
		% within Marital status	16.2%	33.3%	15.1%	17.2%	10.4%	22.5%	75.0%	.0%	15.6%
	6	Count	68	1	7	3	22	3	0	3	107
		% within Marital status	12.1%	6.7%	7.5%	10.3%	13.4%	7.5%	.0%	21.4%	11.6%
	7 - Illegal immigrants	Count	142	5	30	5	47	11	0	4	244
	should be deported	% within Marital status	25.2%	33.3%	32.3%	17.2%	28.7%	27.5%	.0%	28.6%	26.5%
	Not Sure	Count	36	1	7	4	29	6	0	3	86
	Total	% within Marital status	6.4%	6.7%	7.5%	13.8%	17.7%	15.0%	.0%	21.4%	9.3%
		Count	563	15	93	29	164	40	4	14	922
		% within Marital status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Republican - illegal immigrants status \* Marital status Crosstabulation

				Gender	
			Male	Female	Total
Republican - illegal immigrants status	1 - Illegal immigrants should be allowed legal	Count	34	50	84
	status	% within Gender	8.0%	10.0%	9.1%
	2	Count	29	16	45
		% within Gender	6.8%	3.2%	4.9%
	3	Count	34	25	59
		% within Gender	8.0%	5.0%	6.4%
	4	Count	57	96	153
		% within Gender	13.4%	19.3%	16.6%
	5	Count	77	69	146
		% within Gender	18.1%	13.9%	15.8%
	6	Count	54	53	107
		% within Gender	12.7%	10.6%	11.6%
	7 - Illegal immigrants should be deported	Count	111	11 132	243
		% within Gender	26.1%	26.5%	26.3%
	Not Sure	Count	29	57	86
		% within Gender	6.8%	11.4%	9.3%
	Total	Count	425	498	923
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Republican - illegal immigrants status \* Gender Crosstabulation

		-				Ideo	logy			
			1 - Extremely liberal	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Extremely conservative	Total
Self - federal govt health	1 - Gvt should provide	Count	22	41	40	66	22	8	10	209
insurance	health insurance for everyone	% within Ideology	51.2%	57.7%	37.7%	25.1%	14.8%	4.7%	8.1%	22.6%
	2	Count	3	11	17	28	11	7	1	78
		% within Ideology	7.0%	15.5%	16.0%	10.6%	7.4%	4.1%	.8%	8.4%
	3	Count	4	7	21	27	13	3	3	78
		% within Ideology	9.3%	9.9%	19.8%	10.3%	8.7%	1.8%	2.4%	8.4%
	4	Count	7	8	10	68	21	22	12	148
		% within Ideology	16.3%	11.3%	9.4%	25.9%	14.1%	13.0%	9.7%	16.0%
	5	Count	0	3	5	14	14	21	6	63
		% within Ideology	.0%	4.2%	4.7%	5.3%	9.4%	12.4%	4.8%	6.8%
	6	Count	4	1	3	12	25	31	7	83
		% within Ideology	9.3%	1.4%	2.8%	4.6%	16.8%	18.3%	5.6%	9.0%
	7 - Individuals should be	Count	2	0	6	31	38	74	81	232
	responsible for health insurance	% within Ideology	4.7%	.0%	5.7%	11.8%	25.5%	43.8%	65.3%	25.1%
	Not Sure	Count	1	0	4	17	5	3	4	34
		% within Ideology	2.3%	.0%	3.8%	6.5%	3.4%	1.8%	3.2%	3.7%
	Total	Count	43	71	106	263	149	169	124	925
		% within Ideology	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Self - federal govt health insurance \* Ideology Crosstabulation

						7 poi	nt Party ID				
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Self - federal govt	1 - Gvt should provide	Count	83	36	34	25	5	11	8	6	208
health insurance	health insurance for everyone	% within 7 point Party ID	53.2%	31.3%	43.0%	18.9%	4.5%	10.4%	4.5%	12.5%	22.5%
	2	Count	16	16	16	11	4	3	7	5	78
		% within 7 point Party ID	10.3%	13.9%	20.3%	8.3%	3.6%	2.8%	3.9%	10.4%	8.4%
	3	Count	16	14	14	13	6	5	6	4	78
		% within 7 point Party ID	10.3%	12.2%	17.7%	9.8%	5.5%	4.7%	3.4%	8.3%	8.4%
	4	Count	21	24	9	23	16	22	20	12	147
		% within 7 point Party ID	13.5%	20.9%	11.4%	17.4%	14.5%	20.8%	11.2%	25.0%	15.9%
	5	Count	5	8	2	7	7	13	17	3	62
		% within 7 point Party ID	3.2%	7.0%	2.5%	5.3%	6.4%	12.3%	9.5%	6.2%	6.7%
	6	Count	8	6	1	10	13	16	24	6	84
		% within 7 point Party ID	5.1%	5.2%	1.3%	7.6%	11.8%	15.1%	13.4%	12.5%	9.1%
	7 - Individuals should	Count	5	9	2	32	55	33	94	5	235
	be responsible for health insurance	% within 7 point Party ID	3.2%	7.8%	2.5%	24.2%	50.0%	31.1%	52.5%	10.4%	25.4%
	Not Sure	Count	2	2	1	11	4	3	3	7	33
		% within 7 point Party ID	1.3%	1.7%	1.3%	8.3%	3.6%	2.8%	1.7%	14.6%	3.6%
	Total	Count	156	115	79	132	110	106	179	48	925
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Self - federal govt health insurance \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

#### Self - federal govt health insurance \* Family income Crosstabulation

		-	Family income															
			less	\$10,000	\$15,000	\$20,000	\$25,000	\$30,000	\$40,000	\$50,000	\$60,000	\$70,000	\$80,000	\$100,000	\$120,000	\$150.000	Prefer	
			than \$10,000	- \$14,999	\$19,999	\$24,999	- \$29,999	- \$39,999	- \$49,999	- \$59,999	- \$69,999	- \$79,999	- \$99,999	- \$119,999	- \$149,999	\$150,000 or more	not to say	Total
Self - federal govt health	1 - Gvt should	Count %	10	5	15	20	15	20	21	30	11	15	13	7	6	5	16	209
Insurance	health insurance for everyone	within Family income	38.5%	17.9%	48.4%	30.8%	23.1%	21.7%	19.6%	35.7%	19.6%	18.1%	17.6%	16.3%	14.6%	10.6%	20.3%	22.7%
	2	Count	0	4	3	5	4	10	9	6	5	8	6	2	5	6	3	76
		% within Family income	.0%	14.3%	9.7%	7.7%	6.2%	10.9%	8.4%	7.1%	8.9%	9.6%	8.1%	4.7%	12.2%	12.8%	3.8%	8.3%
	3	Count	4	1	2	. 6	7	11	6	4	5	12	4	4	1	5	3	75
		% within Family income	15.4%	3.6%	6.5%	9.2%	10.8%	12.0%	5.6%	4.8%	8.9%	14.5%	5.4%	9.3%	2.4%	10.6%	3.8%	8.1%
	4	Count	3	8	4	17	7	15	12	14	12	11	10	7	9	5	14	148
		% within Family income	11.5%	28.6%	12.9%	26.2%	10.8%	16.3%	11.2%	16.7%	21.4%	13.3%	13.5%	16.3%	22.0%	10.6%	17.7%	16.1%
	5	Count	0	5	<sup>,</sup> 1	1	11	7	10	3	5	4	7	2	2	3	5	66
		% within Family income	.0%	17.9%	3.2%	1.5%	16.9%	7.6%	9.3%	3.6%	8.9%	4.8%	9.5%	4.7%	4.9%	6.4%	6.3%	7.2%
	6	Count	3	0	2	. 3	8	11	10	7	4	9	6	1	2	8	8	82
		% within Family income	11.5%	.0%	6.5%	4.6%	12.3%	12.0%	9.3%	8.3%	7.1%	10.8%	8.1%	2.3%	4.9%	17.0%	10.1%	8.9%

7 - Individuals should be responsible for health insurance	Count % within Family income	2 7.7%	4 14.3%	2 6.5%	10 15.4%	10 15.4%	16 17.4%	32 29.9%	18 21.4%	14 25.0%	23 27.7%	27 36.5%	18 41.9%	15 36.6%	15 31.9%	26 32.9%	232 25.2%
Not Sure	Count % within Family income	4 15.4%	1 3.6%	2 6.5%	3 4.6%	3 4.6%	2 2.2%	7 6.5%	2	0 .0%	1 1.2%	1 1.4%	2 4.7%	1 2.4%	0 .0%	4 5.1%	33 3.6%
Total	Count % within Family income	26 100.0%	28 100.0%	31 100.0%	65 100.0%	65 100.0%	92 100.0%	107 100.0%	84 100.0%	56 100.0%	83 100.0%	74 100.0%	43 100.0%	41 100.0%	47 100.0%	79 100.0%	921 100.0%

					Edu	cation			
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Self - federal govt health	1 - Gvt should provide heal	th Count	24	58	60	12	35	20	209
insurance	insurance for everyone	% within Education	27.6%	19.2%	25.2%	20.3%	21.5%	28.2%	22.7%
	2	Count	3	29	27	1	14	3	77
		% within Education	3.4%	9.6%	11.3%	1.7%	8.6%	4.2%	8.4%
	3	Count	10	23	18	9	12	6	78
		% within Education	11.5%	7.6%	7.6%	15.3%	7.4%	8.5%	8.5%
	4	Count	18	64	22	9	18	15	146
		% within Education	20.7%	21.2%	9.2%	15.3%	11.0%	21.1%	15.9%
	5	Count	0	33	15	2	11	2	63
		% within Education	.0%	10.9%	6.3%	3.4%	6.7%	2.8%	6.8%
	6	Count	10	15	21	8	20	9	83
		% within Education	11.5%	5.0%	8.8%	13.6%	12.3%	12.7%	9.0%
	7 - Individuals should be	Count	16	61	70	17	53	16	233
	responsible for health insurance	% within Education	18.4%	20.2%	29.4%	28.8%	32.5%	22.5%	25.3%
	Not Sure	Count	6	19	5	1	0	0	31
		% within Education	6.9%	6.3%	2.1%	1.7%	.0%	.0%	3.4%
	Total	Count	87	302	238	59	163	71	920
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Self - federal govt health insurance \* Education Crosstabulation

					Church attenda	ance		
			More than once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Prefer not to say	Total
Self - federal govt health	1 - Gvt should provide	Count	26	42	51	61	26	206
insurance	health insurance for everyone	% within Church attendance	15.5%	20.1%	22.8%	30.7%	22.6%	22.5%
	2	Count	5	15	17	31	9	77
		% within Church attendance	3.0%	7.2%	7.6%	15.6%	7.8%	8.4%
	3	Count	10	15	23	12	17	77
		% within Church attendance	6.0%	7.2%	10.3%	6.0%	14.8%	8.4%
	4	Count	23	34	36	27	25	145
		% within Church attendance	13.7%	16.3%	16.1%	13.6%	21.7%	15.8%
	5	Count	12	17	13	14	7	63
		% within Church attendance	7.1%	8.1%	5.8%	7.0%	6.1%	6.9%
	6	Count	19	28	20	9	6	82
		% within Church attendance	11.3%	13.4%	8.9%	4.5%	5.2%	9.0%
	7 - Individuals should be	Count	65	52	58	39	19	233
	responsible for health insurance	% within Church attendance	38.7%	24.9%	25.9%	19.6%	16.5%	25.5%
	Not Sure	Count	8	6	6	6	6	32
		% within Church attendance	4.8%	2.9%	2.7%	3.0%	5.2%	3.5%
	Total	Count	168	209	224	199	115	915
		% within Church attendance	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Self - federal govt health insurance \* Church attendance Crosstabulation

#### Self - federal govt health insurance \* Race Crosstabulation

						Race				
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Don't know	Total
Self - federal govt health	1 - Gvt should provide	Count	87	30	71	7	2	10	1	208
insurance	health insurance for everyone	% within Race	17.6%	36.1%	24.5%	70.0%	50.0%	32.3%	8.3%	22.5%
	2	Count	34	8	34	1	0	2	0	79
		% within Race	6.9%	9.6%	11.7%	10.0%	.0%	6.5%	.0%	8.6%
	3	Count	26	12	35	1	0	4	0	78
		% within Race	5.3%	14.5%	12.1%	10.0%	.0%	12.9%	.0%	8.5%
	4	Count	75	14	45	1	0	8	4	147
		% within Race	15.2%	16.9%	15.5%	10.0%	.0%	25.8%	33.3%	15.9%
	5	Count	38	3	20	0	0	2	0	63
		% within Race	7.7%	3.6%	6.9%	.0%	.0%	6.5%	.0%	6.8%
	6	Count	49	3	27	0	0	1	3	83
		% within Race	9.9%	3.6%	9.3%	.0%	.0%	3.2%	25.0%	9.0%
	7 - Individuals should be	Count	170	10	44	0	2	2	4	232
r ir N	responsible for health insurance	% within Race	34.5%	12.0%	15.2%	.0%	50.0%	6.5%	33.3%	25.1%
	Not Sure	Count	14	3	14	0	0	2	0	33
		% within Race	2.8%	3.6%	4.8%	.0%	.0%	6.5%	.0%	3.6%
	Total	Count	493	83	290	10	4	31	12	923
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

							Marital statu	IS			
			Married, living with spouse	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single, never married	Domestic partnership	Don't know	Prefer not to say	Total
Self - federal govt	1 - Gvt should provide	Count	111	4	29	2	47	13	0	4	210
ealth insurance	health insurance for everyone	% within Marital status	19.7%	28.6%	31.2%	7.1%	28.5%	31.7%	.0%	26.7%	22.7%
	2	Count	45	0	8	2	20	3	0	1	79
		% within Marital status	8.0%	.0%	8.6%	7.1%	12.1%	7.3%	.0%	6.7%	8.5%
	3	Count	42	2	9	3	17	4	0	0	77
		% within Marital status	7.4%	14.3%	9.7%	10.7%	10.3%	9.8%	.0%	.0%	8.3%
	4	Count	84	4	12	10	28	6	0	4	148
		% within Marital status	14.9%	28.6%	12.9%	35.7%	17.0%	14.6%	.0%	26.7%	16.0%
	5	Count	41	1	6	3	9	3	0	0	63
		% within Marital status	7.3%	7.1%	6.5%	10.7%	5.5%	7.3%	.0%	.0%	6.8%
	6	Count	48	0	8	3	14	5	3	1	82
		% within Marital status	8.5%	.0%	8.6%	10.7%	8.5%	12.2%	75.0%	6.7%	8.9%
	7 - Individuals should	Count	174	1	20	3	25	6	1	3	233
	be responsible for health insurance	% within Marital status	30.9%	7.1%	21.5%	10.7%	15.2%	14.6%	25.0%	20.0%	25.2%
	Not Sure	Count	19	2	1	2	5	1	0	2	32
		% within Marital status	3.4%	14.3%	1.1%	7.1%	3.0%	2.4%	.0%	13.3%	3.5%
	Total	Count	564	14	93	28	165	41	4	15	924
		% within Marital status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Self - federal govt health insurance \* Marital status Crosstabulation

				Gender	
			Male	Female	Total
Self - federal govt health	1 - Gvt should provide health	Count	78	131	209
insurance	insurance for everyone	% within Gender	18.4%	26.4%	22.7%
	2	Count	30	48	78
		% within Gender	7.1%	9.7%	8.5%
	3	Count	30	47	77
		% within Gender	7.1%	9.5%	8.4%
	4	Count	58	89	147
		% within Gender	13.6%	17.9%	15.9%
	5	Count	24	39	63
		% within Gender	5.6%	7.8%	6.8%
	6	Count	51	31	82
		% within Gender	12.0%	6.2%	8.9%
	7 - Individuals should be	Count	137	96	233
	responsible for health insurance	% within Gender	32.2%	19.3%	25.3%
	Not Sure	Count	17	16	33
		% within Gender	4.0%	3.2%	3.6%
	Total	Count	425	497	922
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Self - federal govt health insurance \* Gender Crosstabulation

						Ideo	logy			
			1 - Extremely liberal	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Extremely conservative	Total
Obama - federal govt	1 - Gvt should provide	Count	7	22	30	95	80	106	84	424
health insurance	health insurance for everyone	% within Ideology	16.7%	30.1%	28.6%	36.1%	53.7%	62.7%	68.3%	45.9%
	2	Count	11	26	29	41	25	19	3	154
		% within Ideology	26.2%	35.6%	27.6%	15.6%	16.8%	11.2%	2.4%	16.7%
	3	Count	9	19	18	29	9	6	4	94
		% within Ideology	21.4%	26.0%	17.1%	11.0%	6.0%	3.6%	3.3%	10.2%
	4	Count	6	4	15	44	8	10	4	91
		% within Ideology	14.3%	5.5%	14.3%	16.7%	5.4%	5.9%	3.3%	9.8%
	5	Count	1	1	5	12	11	5	3	38
		% within Ideology	2.4%	1.4%	4.8%	4.6%	7.4%	3.0%	2.4%	4.1%
	6	Count	4	1	1	2	3	3	2	16
		% within Ideology	9.5%	1.4%	1.0%	.8%	2.0%	1.8%	1.6%	1.7%
	7 - Individuals should be	Count	2	0	1	8	10	13	19	53
	responsible for health insurance	% within Ideology	4.8%	.0%	1.0%	3.0%	6.7%	7.7%	15.4%	5.7%
	Not Sure	Count	2	0	6	32	3	7	4	54
		% within Ideology	4.8%	.0%	5.7%	12.2%	2.0%	4.1%	3.3%	5.8%
	Total	Count	42	73	105	263	149	169	123	924
		% within Ideology	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Obama - federal govt health insurance \* Ideology Crosstabulation

						7 poi	nt Party ID				
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Obama - federal govt	1 - Gvt should provide	Count	48	42	26	61	67	56	113	11	424
health insurance	health insurance for everyone	% within 7 point Party ID	31.2%	36.5%	32.9%	46.2%	60.9%	52.8%	62.8%	22.9%	45.9%
	2	Count	46	20	23	21	11	18	12	3	154
		% within 7 point Party ID	29.9%	17.4%	29.1%	15.9%	10.0%	17.0%	6.7%	6.2%	16.7%
	3	Count	27	19	9	11	8	10	6	3	93
4		% within 7 point Party ID	17.5%	16.5%	11.4%	8.3%	7.3%	9.4%	3.3%	6.2%	10.1%
	4	Count	21	13	16	6	6	9	6	13	90
4 		% within 7 point Party ID	13.6%	11.3%	20.3%	4.5%	5.5%	8.5%	3.3%	27.1%	9.7%
	5	Count	4	7	2	10	2	2	8	4	39
		% within 7 point Party ID	2.6%	6.1%	2.5%	7.6%	1.8%	1.9%	4.4%	8.3%	4.2%
	6	Count	1	2	0	1	1	2	5	3	15
		% within 7 point Party ID	.6%	1.7%	.0%	.8%	.9%	1.9%	2.8%	6.2%	1.6%
	7 - Individuals should	Count	4	5	1	4	14	5	21	0	54
	be responsible for health insurance	% within 7 point Party ID	2.6%	4.3%	1.3%	3.0%	12.7%	4.7%	11.7%	.0%	5.8%
	Not Sure	Count	3	7	2	18	1	4	9	11	55
_		% within 7 point Party ID	1.9%	6.1%	2.5%	13.6%	.9%	3.8%	5.0%	22.9%	6.0%
	Total	Count	154	115	79	132	110	106	180	48	924
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Obama - federal govt health insurance \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

#### Obama - federal

### govt health insurance \*

Family income Crosstabulation

-

			Family income								_							
			less	\$10,000	\$15,000	\$20,000	\$25,000	\$30,000	\$40,000	\$50,000	\$60,000	\$70,000	\$80,000	\$100,000	\$120,000	÷	Prefer	
			than \$10,000	\$14,999	\$19,999	\$24,999	- \$29,999	- \$39,999	- \$49,999	\$59,999	- \$69,999	- \$79,999	- \$99,999	- \$119,999	- \$149,999	\$150,000 or more	not to say	Total
Obama - federal	1 - Gvt	Count	9	9	15	29	20	43	53	39	20	43	39	22	20	24	39	424
insurance	provide health insurance for everyone	% within Family income	34.6%	32.1%	50.0%	44.6%	29.9%	46.7%	50.0%	45.9%	34.5%	51.2%	52.0%	52.4%	50.0%	52.2%	49.4%	45.9%
	2	Count	3	3	10	8	6	14	22	12	14	18	12	6	5	9	10	152
		% within Family income	11.5%	10.7%	33.3%	12.3%	9.0%	15.2%	20.8%	14.1%	24.1%	21.4%	16.0%	14.3%	12.5%	19.6%	12.7%	16.5%
	3	Count	3	4	1	4	11	8	9	7	8	13	6	5	2	5	7	93
		% within Family income	11.5%	14.3%	3.3%	6.2%	16.4%	8.7%	8.5%	8.2%	13.8%	15.5%	8.0%	11.9%	5.0%	10.9%	8.9%	10.1%
	4	Count	1	5	2	11	8	15	6	9	6	6	6	5	4	2	3	89
		% within Family income	3.8%	17.9%	6.7%	16.9%	11.9%	16.3%	5.7%	10.6%	10.3%	7.1%	8.0%	11.9%	10.0%	4.3%	3.8%	9.6%
	5	Count	3	5	0	1	4	6	5	4	4	1	2	0	2	0	3	40
		% within Family income	11.5%	17.9%	.0%	1.5%	6.0%	6.5%	4.7%	4.7%	6.9%	1.2%	2.7%	.0%	5.0%	.0%	3.8%	4.3%
	6	Count	0	0	0	1	6	1	1	3	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	17
	_	% within Family income	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.5%	9.0%	1.1%	.9%	3.5%	1.7%	.0%	1.3%	.0%	2.5%	2.2%	1.3%	1.8%

7 - Indiv shou respo for h insur	Count iduals ld be onsible ealth ance	2 7.7%	1 3.6%	1 3.3%	6 9.2%	6 9.0%	2 2.2%	2 1.9%	6 7.1%	3 5.2%	3 3.6%	6 8.0%	2 4.8%	5 12.5%	3 6.5%	5 6.3%	53 5.7%
Not S	Sure Count % within Family income	5 19.2%	1 3.6%	1 3.3%	5 7.7%	6 9.0%	3 3.3%	8 7.5%	5 5.9%	2 3.4%	0 .0%	3 4.0%	2 4.8%	1 2.5%	2 4.3%	11 13.9%	55 6.0%
Tota	Count % within Family income	26 100.0%	28 100.0%	30 100.0%	65 100.0%	67 100.0%	92 100.0%	106 100.0%	85 100.0%	58 100.0%	84 100.0%	75 100.0%	42 100.0%	40 100.0%	46 100.0%	79 100.0%	923 100.0%

					Edu	cation			
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Obama - federal govt health	1 - Gvt should provide healt	h Count	35	124	119	29	86	31	424
insurance	insurance for everyone	% within Education	39.8%	40.9%	50.0%	48.3%	53.1%	43.7%	46.0%
	2	Count	8	37	46	10	35	18	154
		% within Education	9.1%	12.2%	19.3%	16.7%	21.6%	25.4%	16.7%
	3	Count	10	29	21	5	15	13	93
		% within Education	11.4%	9.6%	8.8%	8.3%	9.3%	18.3%	10.1%
	4	Count	13	36	22	6	13	2	92
	5	% within Education	14.8%	11.9%	9.2%	10.0%	8.0%	2.8%	10.0%
		Count	6	21	6	1	1	3	38
		% within Education	6.8%	6.9%	2.5%	1.7%	.6%	4.2%	4.1%
	6	Count	3	5	1	1	3	2	15
		% within Education	3.4%	1.7%	.4%	1.7%	1.9%	2.8%	1.6%
	7 - Individuals should be	Count	3	20	15	7	6	1	52
	responsible for health insurance	% within Education	3.4%	6.6%	6.3%	11.7%	3.7%	1.4%	5.6%
	Not Sure	Count	10	31	8	1	3	1	54
		% within Education	11.4%	10.2%	3.4%	1.7%	1.9%	1.4%	5.9%
	Total	Count	88	303	238	60	162	71	922
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Obama - federal govt health insurance \* Education Crosstabulation

					Church attenda	ance		
			More than once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Prefer not to say	Total
Dbama - federal govt health	1 - Gvt should provide	Count	81	99	101	92	50	423
nsurance	health insurance for everyone	% within Church attendance	48.2%	47.1%	45.1%	46.0%	43.9%	46.2%
	2	Count	14	39	38	42	18	151
		% within Church attendance	8.3%	18.6%	17.0%	21.0%	15.8%	16.5%
	3	Count	17	19	26	24	7	93
		% within Church attendance	10.1%	9.0%	11.6%	12.0%	6.1%	10.2%
	4	Count	11	14	28	17	21	91
		% within Church attendance	6.5%	6.7%	12.5%	8.5%	18.4%	9.9%
	5	Count	8	15	7	6	2	38
		% within Church attendance	4.8%	7.1%	3.1%	3.0%	1.8%	4.1%
	6	Count	4	4	6	1	0	15
		% within Church attendance	2.4%	1.9%	2.7%	.5%	.0%	1.6%
	7 - Individuals should be	Count	19	13	11	5	4	52
	responsible for health insurance	% within Church attendance	11.3%	6.2%	4.9%	2.5%	3.5%	5.7%
n N	Not Sure	Count	14	7	7	13	12	53
		% within Church attendance	8.3%	3.3%	3.1%	6.5%	10.5%	5.8%
	Total	Count	168	210	224	200	114	916
		% within Church attendance	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Obama - federal govt health insurance \* Church attendance Crosstabulation

						Race				
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Don't know	Total
Obama - federal govt	1 - Gvt should provide	Count	272	27	101	3	2	14	5	424
health insurance	health insurance for everyone	% within Race	55.4%	32.5%	34.9%	30.0%	50.0%	43.8%	38.5%	46.0%
	2	Count	79	21	50	1	0	3	0	154
		% within Race	16.1%	25.3%	17.3%	10.0%	.0%	9.4%	.0%	16.7%
	3	Count	39	11	32	5	0	5	0	92
		% within Race	7.9%	13.3%	11.1%	50.0%	.0%	15.6%	.0%	10.0%
	4	Count	32	11	41	1	0	3	3	91
		% within Race	6.5%	13.3%	14.2%	10.0%	.0%	9.4%	23.1%	9.9%
	5	Count	13	3	18	0	0	3	2	39
		% within Race	2.6%	3.6%	6.2%	.0%	.0%	9.4%	15.4%	4.2%
	6	Count	4	2	5	0	0	1	3	15
		% within Race	.8%	2.4%	1.7%	.0%	.0%	3.1%	23.1%	1.6%
	7 - Individuals should be	Count	30	5	16	0	2	0	0	53
	responsible for health insurance	% within Race	6.1%	6.0%	5.5%	.0%	50.0%	.0%	.0%	5.7%
	Not Sure	Count	22	3	26	0	0	3	0	54
		% within Race	4.5%	3.6%	9.0%	.0%	.0%	9.4%	.0%	5.9%
	Total	Count	491	83	289	10	4	32	13	922
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Obama - federal govt health insurance \* Race Crosstabulation

							Marital statu	IS			
			Married, living with spouse	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single, never married	Domestic partnership	Don't know	Prefer not to say	Total
Obama - federal govt	1 - Gvt should provide	Count	291	3	35	14	57	19	1	5	425
health insurance	health insurance for everyone	% within Marital status	51.6%	21.4%	37.2%	50.0%	35.0%	46.3%	25.0%	35.7%	46.1%
	2	Count	83	3	18	4	36	8	0	1	153
		% within Marital status	14.7%	21.4%	19.1%	14.3%	22.1%	19.5%	.0%	7.1%	16.6%
	3	Count	55	4	10	0	21	3	0	0	93
		% within Marital status	9.8%	28.6%	10.6%	.0%	12.9%	7.3%	.0%	.0%	10.1%
	4	Count	44	2	15	4	20	3	0	4	92
		% within Marital status	7.8%	14.3%	16.0%	14.3%	12.3%	7.3%	.0%	28.6%	10.0%
	5	Count	20	0	2	3	7	1	3	1	37
		% within Marital status	3.5%	.0%	2.1%	10.7%	4.3%	2.4%	75.0%	7.1%	4.0%
	6	Count	8	1	2	1	0	4	0	0	16
		% within Marital status	1.4%	7.1%	2.1%	3.6%	.0%	9.8%	.0%	.0%	1.7%
	7 - Individuals should	Count	37	1	8	0	4	2	0	1	53
	be responsible for health insurance	% within Marital status	6.6%	7.1%	8.5%	.0%	2.5%	4.9%	.0%	7.1%	5.7%
	Not Sure	Count	26	0	4	2	18	1	0	2	53
		% within Marital status	4.6%	.0%	4.3%	7.1%	11.0%	2.4%	.0%	14.3%	5.7%
	Total	Count	564	14	94	28	163	41	4	14	922
		% within Marital status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Obama - federal govt health insurance \* Marital status Crosstabulation

				Gender	
			Male	Female	Total
Dbama - federal govt health	1 - Gvt should provide health	Count	205	221	426
nsurance	insurance for everyone	% within Gender	48.1%	44.4%	46.1%
	2	Count	77	77	154
		% within Gender	18.1%	15.5%	16.7%
	3	Count	36	56	92
		% within Gender	8.5%	11.2%	10.0%
	4	Count	34	57	91
		% within Gender	8.0%	11.4%	9.8%
	5	Count	16	22	38
		% within Gender	3.8%	4.4%	4.1%
	6	Count	6	10	16
		% within Gender	1.4%	2.0%	1.7%
	7 - Individuals should be	Count	33	20	53
	responsible for health insurance	% within Gender	7.7%	4.0%	5.7%
	Not Sure	Count	19	35	54
		% within Gender	4.5%	7.0%	5.8%
	Total	Count	426	498	924
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Obama - federal govt health insurance \* Gender Crosstabulation

						Ideo	logy			
			1 - Extremely liberal	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Extremely conservative	Total
Republican - federal govt	1 - Gvt should provide	Count	2	4	7	36	15	9	9	82
health insurance	health insurance for everyone	% within Ideology	4.5%	5.5%	6.7%	13.6%	10.1%	5.4%	7.3%	8.9%
	2	Count	3	1	1	18	7	7	4	41
		% within Ideology	6.8%	1.4%	1.0%	6.8%	4.7%	4.2%	3.3%	4.4%
	3	Count	0	6	4	15	8	9	6	48
		% within Ideology	.0%	8.2%	3.8%	5.7%	5.4%	5.4%	4.9%	5.2%
	4	Count	3	6	6	49	30	20	21	135
		% within Ideology	6.8%	8.2%	5.8%	18.6%	20.1%	12.0%	17.1%	14.6%
	5	Count	3	6	12	25	32	46	20	144
		% within Ideology	6.8%	8.2%	11.5%	9.5%	21.5%	27.7%	16.3%	15.6%
	6	Count	5	10	16	24	24	34	19	132
		% within Ideology	11.4%	13.7%	15.4%	9.1%	16.1%	20.5%	15.4%	14.3%
	7 - Individuals should be	Count	26	40	47	62	27	31	39	272
	7 - Individuals should be responsible for health insurance	% within Ideology	59.1%	54.8%	45.2%	23.5%	18.1%	18.7%	31.7%	29.5%
	Not Sure	Count	2	0	11	35	6	10	5	69
		% within Ideology	4.5%	.0%	10.6%	13.3%	4.0%	6.0%	4.1%	7.5%
	Total	Count	44	73	104	264	149	166	123	923
		% within Ideology	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Republican - federal govt health insurance \* Ideology Crosstabulation

		-				7 poi	nt Party ID				
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Republican - federal	1 - Gvt should provide	e Count	24	20	9	10	4	9	5	1	82
govt health insurance	health insurance for everyone	% within 7 point Party ID	15.7%	17.1%	11.5%	7.6%	3.7%	8.4%	2.8%	2.3%	8.9%
	2	Count	1	5	5	14	1	4	5	5	40
		% within 7 point Party ID	.7%	4.3%	6.4%	10.6%	.9%	3.7%	2.8%	11.4%	4.4%
	3	Count	6	7	1	11	6	7	9	1	48
	4 C	% within 7 point Party ID	3.9%	6.0%	1.3%	8.3%	5.5%	6.5%	5.0%	2.3%	5.2%
	4 C 9 F	Count	13	12	1	19	23	22	34	11	135
		% within 7 point Party ID	8.5%	10.3%	1.3%	14.4%	21.1%	20.6%	19.0%	25.0%	14.7%
	5	Count	6	18	9	15	25	30	36	2	141
		% within 7 point Party ID	3.9%	15.4%	11.5%	11.4%	22.9%	28.0%	20.1%	4.5%	15.3%
	6	Count	14	11	14	15	25	15	32	5	131
		% within 7 point Party ID	9.2%	9.4%	17.9%	11.4%	22.9%	14.0%	17.9%	11.4%	14.3%
	7 - Individuals should	Count	86	34	33	26	24	14	50	5	272
	7 - Individuals should ( be responsible for health insurance Not Sure	% within 7 point Party ID	56.2%	29.1%	42.3%	19.7%	22.0%	13.1%	27.9%	11.4%	29.6%
		Count	3	10	6	22	1	6	8	14	70
		% within 7 point Party ID	2.0%	8.5%	7.7%	16.7%	.9%	5.6%	4.5%	31.8%	7.6%
	Total	Count	153	117	78	132	109	107	179	44	919
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Republican - federal govt health insurance \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

## Republican -federal govt health

insurance \* Family income Crosstabulation

			Family income															
			less than \$10,000	\$10,000 - \$14,999	\$15,000 - \$19,999	\$20,000 - \$24,999	\$25,000 - \$29,999	\$30,000 - \$39,999	\$40,000 - \$49,999	\$50,000 - \$59,999	\$60,000 - \$69,999	\$70,000 - \$79,999	\$80,000 - \$99,999	\$100,000 - \$119,999	\$120,000 - \$149,999	\$150,000 or more	Prefer not to say	Total
Republican - federal govt health insurance	1 - Gvt should provide health insurance for everyone	Count % within Family income	8 28.6%	4	4 12.9%	9 14.1%	9 14.1%	10 11.0%	6 5.7%	15 17.6%	3 5.2%	2	3 4.0%	1 2.3%	2 5.0%	0.0%	6 7.5%	82 8.9%
	2	Count % within Family income	1 3.6%	1 3.6%	4 12.9%	1 1.6%	2 3.1%	5 5.5%	4 3.8%	4.7%	5 8.6%	8 9.5%	1.3%	1 2.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	4 5.0%	41 4.4%
	3	Count % within Family income	0 .0%	4 14.3%	2 6.5%	2 3.1%	3 4.7%	5 5.5%	5 4.7%	2	4 6.9%	11 13.1%	3	1 2.3%	1 2.5%	3 6.5%	3 3.8%	49 5.3%
	4	Count % within Family income	1 3.6%	8 28.6%	4 12.9%	18 28.1%	8 12.5%	18 19.8%	12 11.3%	5 5.9%	8 13.8%	11 13.1%	15 20.0%	3 7.0%	6 15.0%	7 15.2%	11 13.8%	135 14.6%
	5	Count % within Family income	0 .0%	2 7.1%	4 12.9%	7 10.9%	12 18.8%	14 15.4%	24 22.6%	9 10.6%	10 17.2%	12 14.3%	14 18.7%	7 16.3%	6 15.0%	12 26.1%	9 11.2%	142 15.4%
	6	Count % within Family income	0 .0%	0.0%	8 25.8%	7 10.9%	6 9.4%	8 8.8%	21 19.8%	13 15.3%	9 15.5%	11 13.1%	11 14.7%	9 20.9%	6 15.0%	9 19.6%	14 17.5%	132 14.3%

7 - Individu should respon for hea insurar	Count uals % be within sible Family lth income	9 32.1%	8 28.6%	5 16.1%	13 20.3%	18 28.1%	25 27.5%	26 24.5%	31 36.5%	17 29.3%	24 28.6%	24 32.0%	19 44.2%	18 45.0%	15 32.6%	19 23.8%	271 29.4%
Not Su	re Count % within Family income	9 32.1%	1 3.6%	0.0%	7 10.9%	6 9.4%	6 6.6%	8 7.5%	6 7.1%	2 3.4%	5 6.0%	4 5.3%	2 4.7%	1 2.5%	0 .0%	14 17.5%	71 7.7%
Total	Count % within Family income	28 100.0%	28 100.0%	31 100.0%	64 100.0%	64 100.0%	91 100.0%	106 100.0%	85 100.0%	58 100.0%	84 100.0%	75 100.0%	43 100.0%	40 100.0%	46 100.0%	80 100.0%	923 100.0%

					Edu	cation			
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Republican - federal govt	1 - Gvt should provide heal	h Count	13	38	18	4	8	2	83
health insurance	insurance for everyone	% within Education	14.9%	12.5%	7.6%	6.7%	4.9%	2.8%	9.0%
	2	Count	6	16	10	1	5	2	40
		% within Education	6.9%	5.3%	4.2%	1.7%	3.1%	2.8%	4.3%
	3	Count	3	17	17	4	6	1	48
		% within Education	3.4%	5.6%	7.1%	6.7%	3.7%	1.4%	5.2%
	4	Count	22	48	35	7	15	9	136
		% within Education	25.3%	15.8%	14.7%	11.7%	9.2%	12.5%	14.7%
	5	Count	0	50	33	11	39	9	142
		% within Education	.0%	16.5%	13.9%	18.3%	23.9%	12.5%	15.4%
	6	Count	6	35	33	5	35	18	132
		% within Education	6.9%	11.6%	13.9%	8.3%	21.5%	25.0%	14.3%
	7 - Individuals should be	Count	24	60	80	27	51	30	272
	7 - Individuals should be responsible for health insurance	% within Education	27.6%	19.8%	33.6%	45.0%	31.3%	41.7%	29.5%
	Not Sure	Count	13	39	12	1	4	1	70
		% within Education	14.9%	12.9%	5.0%	1.7%	2.5%	1.4%	7.6%
	Total	Count	87	303	238	60	163	72	923
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Republican - federal govt health insurance \* Education Crosstabulation

					Church attenda	ance		
			More than once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Prefer not to say	Total
Republican - federal govt	1 - Gvt should provide	Count	12	24	17	12	17	82
health insurance	health insurance for everyone	% within Church attendance	7.2%	11.4%	7.6%	6.0%	14.8%	9.0%
	2	Count	2	10	14	12	3	41
		% within Church attendance	1.2%	4.7%	6.3%	6.0%	2.6%	4.5%
	3	Count	12	14	10	7	5	48
		% within Church attendance	7.2%	6.6%	4.5%	3.5%	4.3%	5.2%
	4	Count	20	29	40	21	25	135
	5	% within Church attendance	12.0%	13.7%	17.9%	10.5%	21.7%	14.8%
		Count	23	36	33	35	14	141
		% within Church attendance	13.9%	17.1%	14.8%	17.5%	12.2%	15.4%
	6	Count	26	31	34	23	16	130
		% within Church attendance	15.7%	14.7%	15.2%	11.5%	13.9%	14.2%
	7 - Individuals should be	Count	54	58	63	74	18	267
	7 - Individuals should be responsible for health insurance Not Sure Total	% within Church attendance	32.5%	27.5%	28.3%	37.0%	15.7%	29.2%
		Count	17	9	12	16	17	71
		% within Church attendance	10.2%	4.3%	5.4%	8.0%	14.8%	7.8%
		Count	166	211	223	200	115	915
		% within Church attendance	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Republican - federal govt health insurance \* Church attendance Crosstabulation

						Race				
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Don't know	Total
Republican - federal govt	1 - Gvt should provide	Count	37	7	32	3	1	1	0	81
health insurance	health insurance for everyone	% within Race	7.5%	8.5%	11.1%	30.0%	25.0%	3.2%	.0%	8.8%
	2	Count	20	4	13	0	0	1	2	40
		% within Race	4.1%	4.9%	4.5%	.0%	.0%	3.2%	16.7%	4.4%
	3	Count	20	6	18	2	0	1	1	48
		% within Race	4.1%	7.3%	6.2%	20.0%	.0%	3.2%	8.3%	5.2%
	4	Count	69	7	46	1	0	7	4	134
		% within Race	14.1%	8.5%	16.0%	10.0%	.0%	22.6%	33.3%	14.6%
	5	Count	97	7	34	1	0	2	1	142
		% within Race	19.8%	8.5%	11.8%	10.0%	.0%	6.5%	8.3%	15.5%
	6	Count	78	15	32	1	1	2	3	132
		% within Race	15.9%	18.3%	11.1%	10.0%	25.0%	6.5%	25.0%	14.4%
	7 - Individuals should be	Count	138	34	81	2	2	12	1	270
	responsible for health insurance	% within Race	28.1%	41.5%	28.1%	20.0%	50.0%	38.7%	8.3%	29.4%
	Not Sure	Count	32	2	32	0	0	5	0	71
		% within Race	6.5%	2.4%	11.1%	.0%	.0%	16.1%	.0%	7.7%
	Total	Count	491	82	288	10	4	31	12	918
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Republican - federal govt health insurance \* Race Crosstabulation
							Marital statu	IS			
			Married, living with spouse	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single, never married	Domestic partnership	Don't know	Prefer not to say	Total
Republican - federal	1 - Gvt should provide	Count	54	1	10	4	8	5	0	0	82
govt health insurance	health insurance for everyone	% within Marital status	9.6%	7.1%	10.6%	13.8%	4.9%	12.2%	.0%	.0%	8.9%
	2	Count	31	1	2	2	2	3	0	0	41
		% within Marital status	5.5%	7.1%	2.1%	6.9%	1.2%	7.3%	.0%	.0%	4.5%
	3	Count	29	1	4	1	11	3	0	0	49
		% within Marital status	5.2%	7.1%	4.3%	3.4%	6.7%	7.3%	.0%	.0%	5.3%
	4	Count	88	1	11	8	20	2	1	3	134
		% within Marital status	15.7%	7.1%	11.7%	27.6%	12.2%	4.9%	25.0%	21.4%	14.5%
	5	Count	92	2	11	6	24	6	0	1	142
		% within Marital status	16.4%	14.3%	11.7%	20.7%	14.6%	14.6%	.0%	7.1%	15.4%
	6	Count	77	4	17	3	21	9	0	1	132
		% within Marital status	13.7%	28.6%	18.1%	10.3%	12.8%	22.0%	.0%	7.1%	14.3%
	7 - Individuals should	Count	158	3	32	3	53	12	3	6	270
	be responsible for health insurance	% within Marital status	28.2%	21.4%	34.0%	10.3%	32.3%	29.3%	75.0%	42.9%	29.3%
	Not Sure	Count	32	1	7	2	25	1	0	3	71
		% within Marital status	5.7%	7.1%	7.4%	6.9%	15.2%	2.4%	.0%	21.4%	7.7%
	Total	Count	561	14	94	29	164	41	4	14	921
		% within Marital status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Republican - federal govt health insurance \* Marital status Crosstabulation

				Gender	
			Male	Female	Total
Republican - federal govt health	1 - Gvt should provide health	Count	20	62	82
insurance	insurance for everyone	% within Gender	4.7%	12.6%	8.9%
	2	Count	17	23	40
		% within Gender	4.0%	4.7%	4.3%
	3	Count	21	27	48
		% within Gender	4.9%	5.5%	5.2%
	4	Count	60	75	135
	1 - Gvt should provide health         insurance for everyone         2         3         4         5         6         7 - Individuals should be         responsible for health insurance         Not Sure         Total	% within Gender	14.1%	15.2%	14.7%
	5	Count	74	68	142
		% within Gender	17.4%	13.8%	15.4%
	6	Count	66	66	132
		% within Gender	15.5%	13.4%	14.3%
	7 - Individuals should be	Count	148	123	271
	responsible for health insurance	% within Gender	34.7%	24.9%	29.5%
	Not Sure	Count	20	50	70
-		% within Gender	4.7%	10.1%	7.6%
	Total	Count	426	494	920
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Republican - federal govt health insurance \* Gender Crosstabulation

		_				Ideo	logy			
			1 - Extremely liberal	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Extremely conservative	Total
Support reinstating	Strongly support	Count	19	31	30	44	31	31	28	214
legislative control over		% within Ideology	45.2%	43.1%	28.6%	16.8%	20.7%	18.6%	23.0%	23.3%
	Somewhat support	Count	6	25	37	78	51	54	31	282
		% within Ideology	14.3%	34.7%	35.2%	29.8%	34.0%	32.3%	25.4%	30.7%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	0	5	12	35	29	23	15	119
		% within Ideology	.0%	6.9%	11.4%	13.4%	19.3%	13.8%	12.3%	12.9%
	Strongly oppose	Count	2	2	6	18	9	26	29	92
		% within Ideology	4.8%	2.8%	5.7%	6.9%	6.0%	15.6%	23.8%	10.0%
	Don't know	Count	15	9	20	87	30	33	19	213
		% within Ideology	35.7%	12.5%	19.0%	33.2%	20.0%	19.8%	15.6%	23.2%
	Total	Count	42	72	105	262	150	167	122	920
		% within Ideology	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Support reinstating legislative control over tuition \* Ideology Crosstabulation

	-	_				7 ро	int Party ID				
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Support reinstating	Strongly support	Count	58	32	24	25	20	13	39	5	216
legislative control over tuition		% within 7 point Party ID	37.9%	27.8%	31.2%	18.7%	18.2%	12.3%	21.7%	10.9%	23.5%
	Somewhat	Count	42	34	30	36	33	38	60	10	283
	support	% within 7 point Party ID	27.5%	29.6%	39.0%	26.9%	30.0%	35.8%	33.3%	21.7%	30.7%
	Somewhat	Count	17	12	4	20	22	18	22	3	118
	oppose	% within 7 point Party ID	11.1%	10.4%	5.2%	14.9%	20.0%	17.0%	12.2%	6.5%	12.8%
	Strongly oppose	Count	10	8	5	16	17	7	27	2	92
		% within 7 point Party ID	6.5%	7.0%	6.5%	11.9%	15.5%	6.6%	15.0%	4.3%	10.0%
	Don't know	Count	26	29	14	37	18	30	32	26	212
		% within 7 point Party ID	17.0%	25.2%	18.2%	27.6%	16.4%	28.3%	17.8%	56.5%	23.0%
	Total	Count	153	115	77	134	110	106	180	46	921
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Support reinstating legislative control over tuition \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

# Support reinstating legislative control over tuition \* Family income Crosstabulation

	-	-		Family income														
			less than	\$10,000	\$15,000	\$20,000	\$25,000	\$30,000	\$40,000	\$50,000	\$60,000	\$70,000	\$80,000	\$100,000	\$120,000	\$150.000	Prefer	
			\$10,000	\$14,999	\$19,999	\$24,999	\$29,999	\$39,999	\$49,999	\$59,999	\$69,999	\$79,999	\$99,999	\$119,999	\$149,999	or more	say	Total
Support	Strongly	Count	5	4	7	17	11	26	18	20	11	22	21	15	14	10	17	218
reinstating legislative control over tuition	support	% within Family income	18.5%	13.8%	21.9%	26.6%	16.7%	28.6%	17.0%	23.5%	19.0%	26.2%	28.4%	34.1%	35.0%	21.7%	21.5%	23.6%
	Somewha	t Count	2	12	17	14	17	22	34	25	22	32	25	13	14	16	18	283
	support	% within Family income	7.4%	41.4%	53.1%	21.9%	25.8%	24.2%	32.1%	29.4%	37.9%	38.1%	33.8%	29.5%	35.0%	34.8%	22.8%	30.6%
	Somewha	t Count	5	5	4	8	9	14	13	5	7	10	8	8	7	9	6	118
	oppose	% within Family income	18.5%	17.2%	12.5%	12.5%	13.6%	15.4%	12.3%	5.9%	12.1%	11.9%	10.8%	18.2%	17.5%	19.6%	7.6%	12.8%
	Strongly	Count	4	2	0	6	4	4	17	13	4	8	7	1	3	6	13	92
	oppose	% within Family income	14.8%	6.9%	.0%	9.4%	6.1%	4.4%	16.0%	15.3%	6.9%	9.5%	9.5%	2.3%	7.5%	13.0%	16.5%	9.9%
	Don't	Count	11	6	4	19	25	25	24	22	14	12	13	7	2	5	25	214
	KNOW	% within Family income	40.7%	20.7%	12.5%	29.7%	37.9%	27.5%	22.6%	25.9%	24.1%	14.3%	17.6%	15.9%	5.0%	10.9%	31.6%	23.1%
	Total	Count	27	29	32	64	66	91	106	85	58	84	74	44	40	46	79	925
		% within Family income	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

	_				Edu	ication			
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Support reinstating legislative	Strongly support	Count	14	56	59	14	47	25	215
control over tuition		% within Education	15.9%	18.4%	24.7%	23.7%	29.0%	35.7%	23.3%
	Somewhat support	Count	23	78	85	21	53	22	282
		% within Education	26.1%	25.7%	35.6%	35.6%	32.7%	31.4%	30.6%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	13	45	24	8	23	6	119
		% within Education	14.8%	14.8%	10.0%	13.6%	14.2%	8.6%	12.9%
	Strongly oppose	Count	10	28	24	5	17	8	92
		% within Education	11.4%	9.2%	10.0%	8.5%	10.5%	11.4%	10.0%
	Don't know	Count	28	97	47	11	22	9	214
		% within Education	31.8%	31.9%	19.7%	18.6%	13.6%	12.9%	23.2%
	Total	Count	88	304	239	59	162	70	922
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Support reinstating legislative control over tuition \* Education Crosstabulation

# Support reinstating legislative control over tuition \* Church attendance Crosstabulation

	-				Church attenda	ance		
			More than once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Prefer not to say	Total
Support reinstating	Strongly support	Count	33	55	48	56	20	212
legislative control over tuition		% within Church attendance	19.6%	26.1%	21.4%	27.7%	17.2%	23.0%
	Somewhat support	Count	49	71	82	48	32	282
		% within Church attendance	29.2%	33.6%	36.6%	23.8%	27.6%	30.6%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	21	30	25	27	17	120
		% within Church attendance	12.5%	14.2%	11.2%	13.4%	14.7%	13.0%
	Strongly oppose	Count	22	19	26	17	8	92
		% within Church attendance	13.1%	9.0%	11.6%	8.4%	6.9%	10.0%
	Don't know	Count	43	36	43	54	39	215
		% within Church attendance	25.6%	17.1%	19.2%	26.7%	33.6%	23.3%

Total	Count	168	211	224	202	116	921
	% within Church attendance	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

						Race						
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Don't know	Total		
Support reinstating	Strongly support	Count	114	29	61	1	1	8	1	215		
legislative control over		% within Race	23.1%	34.9%	21.0%	11.1%	20.0%	26.7%	8.3%	23.3%		
	Somewhat support	Count	140	29	96	4	2	11	0	282		
		% within Race	28.4%	34.9%	33.1%	44.4%	40.0%	36.7%	.0%	30.6%		
	Somewhat oppose	Count	62	7	43	0	0	1	7	120		
		% within Race	12.6%	8.4%	14.8%	.0%	.0%	3.3%	58.3%	13.0%		
	Strongly oppose	Count	62	6	21	0	2	2	0	93		
		% within Race	12.6%	7.2%	7.2%	.0%	40.0%	6.7%	.0%	10.1%		
	Don't know	Count	115	12	69	4	0	8	4	212		
		% within Race	23.3%	14.5%	23.8%	44.4%	.0%	26.7%	33.3%	23.0%		
	Total	Count	493	83	290	9	5	30	12	922		
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		

#### Support reinstating legislative control over tuition \* Race Crosstabulation

# Support reinstating legislative control over tuition \* Marital status Crosstabulation

							Marital statu	IS			
			Married, living with spouse	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single, never married	Domestic partnership	Don't know	Prefer not to say	Total
Support reinstating	Strongly support	Count	127	2	23	4	42	11	1	4	214
legislative control over tuition		% within Marital status	22.5%	14.3%	24.5%	14.3%	25.8%	26.8%	25.0%	28.6%	23.2%
	Somewhat	Count	173	5	32	9	49	14	0	1	283
	support	% within Marital status	30.7%	35.7%	34.0%	32.1%	30.1%	34.1%	.0%	7.1%	30.7%
	Somewhat	Count	84	1	8	3	12	4	3	4	119
	oppose	% within Marital status	14.9%	7.1%	8.5%	10.7%	7.4%	9.8%	75.0%	28.6%	12.9%
	Strongly oppose	Count	61	1	11	3	13	3	0	1	93
		% within Marital status	10.8%	7.1%	11.7%	10.7%	8.0%	7.3%	.0%	7.1%	10.1%
	Don't know	Count	119	5	20	9	47	9	0	4	213
		% within Marital status	21.1%	35.7%	21.3%	32.1%	28.8%	22.0%	.0%	28.6%	23.1%
	Total	Count	564	14	94	28	163	41	4	14	922
		% within Marital status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

				Gender	
			Male	Female	Total
Support reinstating legislative	Strongly support	Count	114	102	216
control over tuition		% within Gender	26.8%	20.4%	23.4%
	Somewhat support	Count	141	142	283
		% within Gender	33.1%	28.5%	30.6%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	57	62	119
		% within Gender	13.4%	12.4%	12.9%
	Strongly oppose	Count	41	52	93
		% within Gender	9.6%	10.4%	10.1%
	Don't know	Count	73	141	214
		% within Gender	17.1%	28.3%	23.1%
	Total	Count	426	499	925
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Support reinstating legislative control over tuition \* Gender Crosstabulation

# State revenue used for education \* Ideology Crosstabulation

						Ideo	logy			
			1 - Extremely liberal	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Extremely conservative	Total
State revenue used for	Make college education	Count	23	57	48	99	64	54	36	381
education	more affordable for all Texans	% within Ideology	54.8%	79.2%	46.2%	37.6%	43.2%	32.3%	29.3%	41.5%
	Make college education	Count	3	7	31	50	29	20	9	149
	more affordable for most needy	% within Ideology	7.1%	9.7%	29.8%	19.0%	19.6%	12.0%	7.3%	16.2%
	No changes in the	Count	6	0	11	33	24	41	46	161
	affordability of college education for Tex	% within Ideology	14.3%	.0%	10.6%	12.5%	16.2%	24.6%	37.4%	17.5%
	State revenues should be	Count	1	2	1	6	9	16	17	52
	decreased	% within Ideology	2.4%	2.8%	1.0%	2.3%	6.1%	9.6%	13.8%	5.7%
	Don't know	Count	9	6	13	75	22	36	15	176
		% within Ideology	21.4%	8.3%	12.5%	28.5%	14.9%	21.6%	12.2%	19.2%
	Total	Count	42	72	104	263	148	167	123	919

						Ideo	logy			
			1 - Extremely liberal	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Extremely conservative	Total
State revenue used for	Make college education	Count	23	57	48	99	64	54	36	381
education	more affordable for all Texans	% within Ideology	54.8%	79.2%	46.2%	37.6%	43.2%	32.3%	29.3%	41.5%
	Make college education	Count	3	7	31	50	29	20	9	149
	more affordable for most needy	% within Ideology	7.1%	9.7%	29.8%	19.0%	19.6%	12.0%	7.3%	16.2%
	No changes in the	Count	6	0	11	33	24	41	46	161
	affordability of college education for Tex	% within Ideology	14.3%	.0%	10.6%	12.5%	16.2%	24.6%	37.4%	17.5%
	State revenues should be	Count	1	2	1	6	9	16	17	52
	decreased	% within Ideology	2.4%	2.8%	1.0%	2.3%	6.1%	9.6%	13.8%	5.7%
	Don't know	Count	9	6	13	75	22	36	15	176
		% within Ideology	21.4%	8.3%	12.5%	28.5%	14.9%	21.6%	12.2%	19.2%
	Total	Count	42	72	104	263	148	167	123	919
		% within Ideology	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

State revenue used for education \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

	-	-				7 poi	nt Party ID				
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
State revenue used	Make college	Count	97	44	37	46	38	44	57	19	382
for education	education more affordable for all Texans	% within 7 point Party ID	63.0%	38.3%	47.4%	34.6%	34.9%	41.1%	32.0%	41.3%	41.5%
	Make college	Count	32	36	16	23	13	12	18	0	150
	education more affordable for most needy	% within 7 point Party ID	20.8%	31.3%	20.5%	17.3%	11.9%	11.2%	10.1%	.0%	16.3%
	No changes in the	Count	12	13	8	12	33	24	54	5	161
	affordability of college education for Tex	% within 7 point Party ID	7.8%	11.3%	10.3%	9.0%	30.3%	22.4%	30.3%	10.9%	17.5%
	State revenues	Count	1	2	2	16	11	7	15	0	54

should be decreased	% within 7 point Party ID	.6%	1.7%	2.6%	12.0%	10.1%	6.5%	8.4%	.0%	5.9%
Don't know	Count	12	20	15	36	14	20	34	22	173
_	% within 7 point Party ID	7.8%	17.4%	19.2%	27.1%	12.8%	18.7%	19.1%	47.8%	18.8%
Total	Count	154	115	78	133	109	107	178	46	920
	% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

State revenue

used for

education \* Family income Crosstabulation

Г

			Family income															
			less than \$10,000	\$10,000 - \$14,999	\$15,000 - \$19,999	\$20,000 - \$24,999	\$25,000 - \$29,999	\$30,000 - \$39,999	\$40,000 - \$49,999	\$50,000 - \$59,999	\$60,000 - \$69,999	\$70,000 - \$79,999	\$80,000 - \$99,999	\$100,000 - \$119,999	\$120,000 - \$149,999	\$150,000 or more	Prefer not to say	Total
State revenue used for education	Make college education more affordable for all Texans	Count % within Family income	15 55.6%	8 27.6%	16 50.0%	32 49.2%	26 40.0%	41 44.6%	39 36.4%	36 42.9%	24 42.1%	40 47.6%	30 40.5%	19 44.2%	14 35.0%	13 27.7%	31 39.2%	384 41.5%
	Make college education more affordable for most needy	Count % within Family income	3.7%	9 31.0%	7 21.9%	9 13.8%	16 24.6%	13 14.1%	19 17.8%	12 14.3%	8	14 16.7%	13 17.6%	3 7.0%	8 20.0%	10 21.3%	7 8.9%	149 16.1%
	No changes in the affordability of college education for Tex	Count % within Family income	3.7%	3 10.3%	6 18.8%	11 16.9%	6 9.2%	21 22.8%	16 15.0%	19 22.6%	7 12.3%	16 19.0%	11 14.9%	11 25.6%	9 22.5%	13 27.7%	11 13.9%	161 17.4%
	State	Count	1	1	1	2	1	1	7	4	4	5	5	4	4	8	7	55

revenues should be decreased	% within Family income	3.7%	3.4%	3.1%	3.1%	1.5%	1.1%	6.5%	4.8%	7.0%	6.0%	6.8%	9.3%	10.0%	17.0%	8.9%	5.9%
Don't know	Count	9	8	2	11	16	16	26	13	14	9	15	6	5	3	23	176
	% within Family income	33.3%	27.6%	6.2%	16.9%	24.6%	17.4%	24.3%	15.5%	24.6%	10.7%	20.3%	14.0%	12.5%	6.4%	29.1%	19.0%
Total	Count	27	29	32	65	65	92	107	84	57	84	74	43	40	47	79	925
	% within Family income	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### State revenue used for education \* Education Crosstabulation

	-				Edu	cation			
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
State revenue used for	Make college education	Count	29	110	116	25	72	31	383
education	more affordable for all Texans	% within Education	33.0%	36.3%	48.5%	42.4%	44.4%	43.7%	41.5%
	Make college education	Count	21	53	28	7	27	14	150
	more affordable for most needy	% within Education	23.9%	17.5%	11.7%	11.9%	16.7%	19.7%	16.3%
	No changes in the	Count	13	59	38	10	29	12	161
	affordability of college education for Tex	% within Education	14.8%	19.5%	15.9%	16.9%	17.9%	16.9%	17.5%
	State revenues should be	Count	0	15	15	4	11	8	53
	decreased	% within Education	.0%	5.0%	6.3%	6.8%	6.8%	11.3%	5.7%
	Don't know	Count	25	66	42	13	23	6	175
		% within Education	28.4%	21.8%	17.6%	22.0%	14.2%	8.5%	19.0%
	Total	Count	88	303	239	59	162	71	922
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

	-				Church attenda	ance		
			More than once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Prefer not to say	Total
State revenue used for	Make college education	Count	64	91	103	89	34	381
education	more affordable for all Texans	% within Church attendance	38.3%	43.1%	46.0%	44.3%	29.3%	41.5%
	Make college education	Count	25	39	28	35	23	150
	more affordable for most needy	% within Church attendance	15.0%	18.5%	12.5%	17.4%	19.8%	16.3%
	No changes in the	Count	30	41	49	24	17	161
	affordability of college education for Tex	% within Church attendance	18.0%	19.4%	21.9%	11.9%	14.7%	17.5%
	State revenues should be	Count	15	10	13	14	2	54
	decreased	% within Church attendance	9.0%	4.7%	5.8%	7.0%	1.7%	5.9%
	Don't know	Count	33	30	31	39	40	173
		% within Church attendance	19.8%	14.2%	13.8%	19.4%	34.5%	18.8%
	Total	Count	167	211	224	201	116	919
		% within Church attendance	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# State revenue used for education \* Church attendance Crosstabulation

	-					Race				
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Don't know	Total
State revenue used for	Make college education	Count	184	45	132	6	0	14	1	382
education	more affordable for all Texans	% within Race	37.4%	54.2%	45.7%	60.0%	.0%	43.8%	8.3%	41.4%
	Make college education	Count	62	17	56	3	0	11	1	150
	more affordable for most needy	% within Race	12.6%	20.5%	19.4%	30.0%	.0%	34.4%	8.3%	16.3%
	No changes in the	Count	101	12	46	0	1	1	0	161
	affordability of college education for Tex	% within Race	20.5%	14.5%	15.9%	.0%	25.0%	3.1%	.0%	17.5%
	State revenues should be	Count	42	0	7	0	2	0	3	54
	decreased	% within Race	8.5%	.0%	2.4%	.0%	50.0%	.0%	25.0%	5.9%
	Don't know	Count	103	9	48	1	1	6	7	175
		% within Race	20.9%	10.8%	16.6%	10.0%	25.0%	18.8%	58.3%	19.0%
	Total	Count	492	83	289	10	4	32	12	922
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### State revenue used for education \* Race Crosstabulation

#### State revenue used for education \* Marital status Crosstabulation

							Marital statu	IS			
			Married, living with spouse	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single, never married	Domestic partnership	Don't know	Prefer not to say	Total
State revenue used for	Make college	Count	233	4	46	10	67	15	3	6	384
education	education more affordable for all Texans	% within Marital status	41.3%	26.7%	48.4%	35.7%	41.1%	36.6%	75.0%	40.0%	41.5%
	Make college Cou education more	Count	75	4	16	6	39	7	1	3	151
	education more affordable for most needy	% within Marital status	13.3%	26.7%	16.8%	21.4%	23.9%	17.1%	25.0%	20.0%	16.3%
	No changes in the	Count	112	2	15	5	22	7	0	0	163
	education for Tex	% within Marital status	19.9%	13.3%	15.8%	17.9%	13.5%	17.1%	.0%	.0%	17.6%
	State revenues should	Count	43	1	2	2	3	1	0	1	53
	be decreased	% within Marital status	7.6%	6.7%	2.1%	7.1%	1.8%	2.4%	.0%	6.7%	5.7%
	Don't know	Count	101	4	16	5	32	11	0	5	174
		% within Marital status	17.9%	26.7%	16.8%	17.9%	19.6%	26.8%	.0%	33.3%	18.8%
	Total	Count	564	15	95	28	163	41	4	15	925
		% within Marital status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

				Gender	
			Male	Female	Total
State revenue used for education	Make college education more	Count	172	211	383
	affordable for all Texans	% within Gender	40.4%	42.4%	41.5%
	Make college education more	Count	70	80	150
	affordable for most needy	% within Gender	16.4%	16.1%	16.2%
	No changes in the affordability of	Count	81	81	162
	college education for Tex	% within Gender	19.0%	16.3%	17.5%
	State revenues should be	Count	37	17	54
	decreased	% within Gender	8.7%	3.4%	5.8%
	Don't know	Count	66	109	175
		% within Gender	15.5%	21.9%	18.9%
	Total	Count	426	498	924
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

State revenue used for education \* Gender Crosstabulation

						Ideo	logy			
			1 - Extremely liberal	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Extremely conservative	Total
Support - in-state tuition	Strongly support	Count	15	16	19	25	12	19	9	115
rates for illegal immigrants		% within Ideology	34.1%	21.9%	18.4%	9.5%	8.1%	11.3%	7.3%	12.5%
	Somewhat support	Count	7	20	17	41	14	14	3	116
		% within Ideology	15.9%	27.4%	16.5%	15.6%	9.4%	8.3%	2.4%	12.6%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	4	9	23	37	23	21	3	120
		% within Ideology	9.1%	12.3%	22.3%	14.1%	15.4%	12.5%	2.4%	13.0%
	Strongly opposed	Count	9	21	28	83	92	99	99	431
		% within Ideology	20.5%	28.8%	27.2%	31.7%	61.7%	58.9%	80.5%	46.7%
	Don't know	Count	9	7	16	76	8	15	9	140
		% within Ideology	20.5%	9.6%	15.5%	29.0%	5.4%	8.9%	7.3%	15.2%
	Total	Count	44	73	103	262	149	168	123	922
		% within Ideology	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Support - in-state tuition rates for illegal immigrants \* Ideology Crosstabulation

Support - in-state tuition rates for illegal immigrants \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

		-				7 po	int Party ID				
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Support - in-state	Strongly support	Count	34	14	12	9	17	9	13	8	116
uition rates for illegal nmigrants د		% within 7 point Party ID	22.2%	12.2%	15.6%	6.8%	15.5%	8.3%	7.3%	17.0%	12.6%
	Somewhat support Somewhat oppose	Count	41	15	11	15	4	6	14	9	115
- -		% within 7 point Party ID	26.8%	13.0%	14.3%	11.3%	3.6%	5.6%	7.8%	19.1%	12.5%
		Count	21	23	16	8	13	20	17	1	119
		% within 7 point Party ID	13.7%	20.0%	20.8%	6.0%	11.8%	18.5%	9.5%	2.1%	12.9%
St	Strongly	Count	36	37	22	. 77	73	61	119	7	432
	opposed	% within 7 point Party ID	23.5%	32.2%	28.6%	57.9%	66.4%	56.5%	66.5%	14.9%	46.9%

Don't know	Count	21	26	16	24	3	12	16	22	140
	% within 7 point Party ID	13.7%	22.6%	20.8%	18.0%	2.7%	11.1%	8.9%	46.8%	15.2%
Total	Count	153	115	77	133	110	108	179	47	922
	% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Support - instate tuition rates for illegal immigrants \* Family income Crosstabulation

			_	Family income														
			less than \$10,000	\$10,000 - \$14,999	\$15,000 - \$19,999	\$20,000 - \$24,999	\$25,000 - \$29,999	\$30,000 - \$39,999	\$40,000 - \$49,999	\$50,000 - \$59,999	\$60,000 - \$69,999	\$70,000 - \$79,999	\$80,000 - \$99,999	\$100,000 - \$119,999	\$120,000 - \$149,999	\$150,000 or more	Prefer not to say	Total
Support - in- Stro state tuition rates supp for illegal immigrants	ongly C port <sub>%</sub> w F ir	Count % vithin Camily	2 7.7%	7 25.0%	4 12.9%	12 19.0%	13 19.7%	10 10.9%	8 7.4%	13 15.3%	6 10.5%	14 16.7%	5 6.7%	4 9.5%	5.0%	6 12.8%	11 13.8%	117 12.7%

	Somewhat	t Count	6	7	5	10	8	10	16	12	9	9	8	4	5	2	5	116
	Support	% within Family income	23.1%	25.0%	16.1%	15.9%	12.1%	10.9%	14.8%	14.1%	15.8%	10.7%	10.7%	9.5%	12.5%	4.3%	6.2%	12.6%
	Somewhat	t Count	0	4	7	6	3	14	13	8	13	14	8	9	3	11	7	120
	oppose	% within Family income	.0%	14.3%	22.6%	9.5%	4.5%	15.2%	12.0%	9.4%	22.8%	16.7%	10.7%	21.4%	7.5%	23.4%	8.8%	13.0%
	Strongly	Count	10	7	12	20	22	43	56	37	24	41	48	20	24	26	42	432
	opposed	% within Family income	38.5%	25.0%	38.7%	31.7%	33.3%	46.7%	51.9%	43.5%	42.1%	48.8%	64.0%	47.6%	60.0%	55.3%	52.5%	46.8%
-	Don't	Count	8	3	3	15	20	15	15	15	5	6	6	5	6	2	15	139
	know	% within Family income	30.8%	10.7%	9.7%	23.8%	30.3%	16.3%	13.9%	17.6%	8.8%	7.1%	8.0%	11.9%	15.0%	4.3%	18.8%	15.0%
	Total	Count	26	28	31	63	66	92	108	85	57	84	75	42	40	47	80	924
		% within Family income	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Support - in-state tuition rates for illegal immigrants \* Education Crosstabulation

	-				Edu	lcation			
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Support - in-state tuition rates	Strongly support	Count	3	34	29	10	21	18	115
for illegal immigrants		% within Education	3.4%	11.2%	12.2%	16.4%	13.0%	25.7%	12.5%
	Somewhat support	Count	19	38	27	7	16	7	114
		% within Education	21.8%	12.5%	11.4%	11.5%	9.9%	10.0%	12.4%
-	Somewhat oppose	Count	3	41	30	11	26	9	120
		% within Education	3.4%	13.5%	12.7%	18.0%	16.0%	12.9%	13.0%
	Strongly opposed	Count	30	135	128	26	82	31	432

	% within Education	34.5%	44.4%	54.0%	42.6%	50.6%	44.3%	46.9%
Don't know	Count	32	56	23	7	17	5	140
	% within Education	36.8%	18.4%	9.7%	11.5%	10.5%	7.1%	15.2%
Total	Count	87	304	237	61	162	70	921
	% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Support - in-state tuition rates for illegal immigrants \* Church attendance Crosstabulation

	-	-			Church attenda	ince		
			More than once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Prefer not to say	Total
Support - in-state tuition	Strongly support	Count	19	22	38	28	8	115
rates for illegal immigrants		% within Church attendance	11.3%	10.4%	17.0%	13.9%	6.9%	12.5%
	Somewhat support	Count	12	34	34	23	10	113
		% within Church attendance	7.1%	16.1%	15.2%	11.4%	8.6%	12.3%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	20	37	30	23	9	119
		% within Church attendance	11.9%	17.5%	13.5%	11.4%	7.8%	12.9%
	Strongly opposed	Count	89	100	99	92	53	433
		% within Church attendance	53.0%	47.4%	44.4%	45.8%	45.7%	47.1%
	Don't know	Count	28	18	22	35	36	139
		% within Church attendance	16.7%	8.5%	9.9%	17.4%	31.0%	15.1%
	Total	Count	168	211	223	201	116	919
		% within Church attendance	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Support - in-state tuition rates for illegal immigrants \* Race Crosstabulation

	-					Race				
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Don't know	Total
Support - in-state tuition	Strongly support	Count	51	8	50	1	0	4	1	115
rates for illegal immigrants		% within Race	10.4%	9.8%	17.2%	11.1%	.0%	13.3%	8.3%	12.5%
	Somewhat support	Count	33	14	59	1	0	5	3	115
		% within Race	6.7%	17.1%	20.3%	11.1%	.0%	16.7%	25.0%	12.5%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	45	18	48	5	0	3	0	119

	% within Race	9.1%	22.0%	16.6%	55.6%	.0%	10.0%	.0%	12.9%
Strongly opposed	Count	303	28	77	1	4	13	4	430
	% within Race	61.6%	34.1%	26.6%	11.1%	100.0%	43.3%	33.3%	46.8%
Don't know	Count	60	14	56	1	0	5	4	140
	% within Race	12.2%	17.1%	19.3%	11.1%	.0%	16.7%	33.3%	15.2%
Total	Count	492	82	290	9	4	30	12	919
	% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Support - in-state tuition rates for illegal immigrants \* Marital status Crosstabulation

		-					Marital statu	IS			
			Married, living with spouse	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single, never married	Domestic partnership	Don't know	Prefer not to say	Total
Support - in-state tuition	Strongly support	Count	75	4	8	2	22	2	0	2	115
rates for illegal immigrants		% within Marital status	13.3%	26.7%	8.5%	6.9%	13.5%	4.9%	.0%	13.3%	12.4%
	Somewhat	Count	60	1	15	1	24	8	3	4	116
s ر	support	% within Marital status	10.6%	6.7%	16.0%	3.4%	14.7%	19.5%	75.0%	26.7%	12.5%
-	Somewhat oppose	Count	71	5	12	3	22	7	0	0	120
		% within Marital status	12.6%	33.3%	12.8%	10.3%	13.5%	17.1%	.0%	.0%	13.0%
	Strongly opposed	Count	293	2	40	15	61	16	1	7	435
		% within Marital status	52.0%	13.3%	42.6%	51.7%	37.4%	39.0%	25.0%	46.7%	47.0%
	Don't know	Count	65	3	19	8	34	8	0	2	139
T	9 S	% within Marital status	11.5%	20.0%	20.2%	27.6%	20.9%	19.5%	.0%	13.3%	15.0%
	Total	Count	564	15	94	29	163	41	4	15	925
		% within Marital status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

				Gender	
			Male	Female	Total
Support - in-state tuition rates for	Strongly support	Count	53	63	116
illegal immigrants		% within Gender	12.4%	12.7%	12.6%
	Somewhat support	Count	53	63	116
		% within Gender	12.4%	12.7%	12.6%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	47	72	119
		% within Gender	11.0%	14.5%	12.9%
	Strongly opposed	Count	225	207	432
		% within Gender	52.8%	41.6%	46.8%
	Don't know	Count	48	92	140
		% within Gender	11.3%	18.5%	15.2%
	Total	Count	497	923	
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Support - in-state tuition rates for illegal immigrants \* Gender Crosstabulation

Support - continuing bilingual education in Texas \* Ideology Crosstabulation

	-	-				Ideo	logy			
			1 - Extremely liberal	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Extremely conservative	Total
Support - continuing	Strongly support	Count	23	35	32	59	17	23	14	203
bilingual education in Texas		% within Ideology	54.8%	49.3%	30.8%	22.8%	11.4%	13.8%	11.5%	22.2%
	Somewhat support	Count	2	13	33	60	42	32	11	193
		% within Ideology	4.8%	18.3%	31.7%	23.2%	28.2%	19.2%	9.0%	21.1%
	Somewhat oppose Strongly opposed	Count	5	10	20	32	35	34	11	147
		% within Ideology	11.9%	14.1%	19.2%	12.4%	23.5%	20.4%	9.0%	16.1%
		Count	10	10	13	64	51	78	80	306
		% within Ideology	23.8%	14.1%	12.5%	24.7%	34.2%	46.7%	65.6%	33.5%
- - -	Don't know	Count	2	3	6	44	4	0	6	65
		% within Ideology	4.8%	4.2%	5.8%	17.0%	2.7%	.0%	4.9%	7.1%
	Total	Count	42	71	104	259	149	167	122	914

						Ideo	logy			
			1 - Extremely liberal	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Extremely conservative	Total
Support - continuing	Strongly support	Count	23	35	32	59	17	23	14	203
bilingual education in Texas		% within Ideology	54.8%	49.3%	30.8%	22.8%	11.4%	13.8%	11.5%	22.2%
	Somewhat support	Count	2	13	33	60	42	32	11	193
-		% within Ideology	4.8%	18.3%	31.7%	23.2%	28.2%	19.2%	9.0%	21.1%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	5	10	20	32	35	34	11	147
	Somewhat oppose	% within Ideology	11.9%	14.1%	19.2%	12.4%	23.5%	20.4%	9.0%	16.1%
	Strongly opposed	Count	10	10	13	64	51	78	80	306
		% within Ideology	23.8%	14.1%	12.5%	24.7%	34.2%	46.7%	65.6%	33.5%
	Don't know	Count	2	3	6	44	4	0	6	65
T		% within Ideology	4.8%	4.2%	5.8%	17.0%	2.7%	.0%	4.9%	7.1%
	Total	Count	42	71	104	259	149	167	122	914
		% within Ideology	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Support - continuing bilingual education in Texas \* Ideology Crosstabulation

Support - continuing bilingual education in Texas \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

						7 ро	int Party ID				
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Support - continuing	Strongly support	Count	65	29	30	20	13	14	18	16	205
bilingual education in Texas	Somewhat	% within 7 point Party ID	43.3%	25.0%	38.5%	15.2%	11.8%	13.0%	10.0%	34.0%	22.3%
	Somewhat support	Count	43	26	19	21	20	26	30	9	194
		% within 7 point Party ID	28.7%	22.4%	24.4%	15.9%	18.2%	24.1%	16.7%	19.1%	21.1%
	Somewhat C oppose	Count	16	24	12	24	17	29	23	2	147
c 		% within 7 point Party ID	10.7%	20.7%	15.4%	18.2%	15.5%	26.9%	12.8%	4.3%	16.0%
	Strongly	Count	18	27	11	49	59	36	102	7	309

opposed	% within 7 point Party ID	12.0%	23.3%	14.1%	37.1%	53.6%	33.3%	56.7%	14.9%	33.6%
Don't know	Count	8	10	6	18	1	3	7	13	66
	% within 7 point Party ID	5.3%	8.6%	7.7%	13.6%	.9%	2.8%	3.9%	27.7%	7.2%
Total	Count	150	116	78	132	110	108	180	47	921
	% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Support continuing bilingual education in Texas \* Family income Crosstabulation

		-		Family income														
			less than \$10,000	\$10,000 - \$14,999	\$15,000 - \$19,999	\$20,000 - \$24,999	\$25,000 - \$29,999	\$30,000 - \$39,999	\$40,000 - \$49,999	\$50,000 - \$59,999	\$60,000 - \$69,999	\$70,000 - \$79,999	\$80,000 - \$99,999	\$100,000 - \$119,999	\$120,000 - \$149,999	\$150,000 or more	Prefer not to say	Total
Support -	Strongly	Count	3	2	8	20	18	28	17	24	11	29	11	5	9	9	10	204
continuing bilingual education in Texas	support	% within Family income	12.0%	7.4%	25.8%	30.8%	27.7%	30.4%	15.9%	28.6%	19.6%	34.5%	14.9%	11.9%	22.5%	19.1%	12.7%	22.2%
	Somewha	t Count	6	5	11	8	13	18	25	18	14	12	17	10	12	6	17	192
	support	% within Family income	24.0%	18.5%	35.5%	12.3%	20.0%	19.6%	23.4%	21.4%	25.0%	14.3%	23.0%	23.8%	30.0%	12.8%	21.5%	20.9%
	Somewha	t Count	4	4	2	9	6	16	19	9	10	15	16	6	7	12	12	147
	oppose	% within Family income	16.0%	14.8%	6.5%	13.8%	9.2%	17.4%	17.8%	10.7%	17.9%	17.9%	21.6%	14.3%	17.5%	25.5%	15.2%	16.0%
	Strongly	Count	6	8	8	22	21	23	37	28	19	25	28	21	11	20	32	309

opposed	% within Family income	24.0%	29.6%	25.8%	33.8%	32.3%	25.0%	34.6%	33.3%	33.9%	29.8%	37.8%	50.0%	27.5%	42.6%	40.5%	33.7%
Don't know	Count % within Family income	6 24.0%	8 29.6%	2 6.5%	6 9.2%	7 10.8%	7 7.6%	9 8.4%	5 6.0%	2 3.6%	3 3.6%	2 2.7%	0 .0%	1 2.5%	0.0%	8 10.1%	66 7.2%
Total	Count % within Family income	25 100.0%	27 100.0%	31 100.0%	65 100.0%	65 100.0%	92 100.0%	107 100.0%	84 100.0%	56 100.0%	84 100.0%	74 100.0%	42 100.0%	40 100.0%	47 100.0%	79 100.0%	918 100.0%

Support - continuing bilingual education in Texas \* Education Crosstabulation

	_		Education								
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total		
Support - continuing bilingual	Strongly support	Count	23	61	54	12	34	18	202		
education in Texas		% within Education	26.1%	20.3%	22.8%	20.0%	21.0%	25.7%	22.0%		
	Somewhat support	Count	9	70	46	10	40	19	194		
		% within Education	10.2%	23.3%	19.4%	16.7%	24.7%	27.1%	21.1%		
	Somewhat oppose	Count	6	48	37	14	30	12	147		
		% within Education	6.8%	15.9%	15.6%	23.3%	18.5%	17.1%	16.0%		
	Strongly opposed	Count	31	92	88	22	54	21	308		
		% within Education	35.2%	30.6%	37.1%	36.7%	33.3%	30.0%	33.6%		
	Don't know	Count	19	30	12	2	4	0	67		
- T		% within Education	21.6%	10.0%	5.1%	3.3%	2.5%	.0%	7.3%		
	Total	Count	88	301	237	60	162	70	918		
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		

	-	-			Church attenda	ince		
			More than once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Prefer not to say	Total
Support - continuing bilingual	Strongly support	Count	38	38	59	37	28	200
education in Texas		% within Church attendance	22.6%	18.4%	26.3%	18.7%	24.1%	21.9%
	Somewhat support	Count	28	57	57	40	11	193
	Somewhat oppose	% within Church attendance	16.7%	27.7%	25.4%	20.2%	9.5%	21.2%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	23	38	36	31	18	146
		% within Church attendance	13.7%	18.4%	16.1%	15.7%	15.5%	16.0%
	Strongly opposed	Count	70	65	67	68	37	307
		% within Church attendance	41.7%	31.6%	29.9%	34.3%	31.9%	33.7%
	Don't know	Count	9	8	5	22	22	66
7		% within Church attendance	5.4%	3.9%	2.2%	11.1%	19.0%	7.2%
	Total	Count	168	206	224	198	116	912
		% within Church attendance	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Support - continuing bilingual education in Texas \* Church attendance Crosstabulation

# Support - continuing bilingual education in Texas \* Race Crosstabulation

						Race				
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Don't know	Total
Support - continuing	Strongly support	Count	67	19	104	3	0	9	1	203
bilingual education in		% within Race	13.7%	23.8%	36.0%	33.3%	.0%	29.0%	8.3%	22.2%
	Somewhat support	Count	83	20	74	2	0	10	3	192
		% within Race	16.9%	25.0%	25.6%	22.2%	.0%	32.3%	25.0%	21.0%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	83	15	42	2	1	2	1	146
	Somewhat oppose	% within Race	16.9%	18.8%	14.5%	22.2%	25.0%	6.5%	8.3%	16.0%
	Strongly opposed	Count	230	17	44	2	3	7	4	307
		% within Race	46.9%	21.2%	15.2%	22.2%	75.0%	22.6%	33.3%	33.6%
	Don't know	Count	27	9	25	0	0	3	3	67
		% within Race	5.5%	11.2%	8.7%	.0%	.0%	9.7%	25.0%	7.3%
	Total	Count	490	80	289	9	4	31	12	915

						Race				
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Don't know	Total
Support - continuing	Strongly support	Count	67	19	104	3	0	9	1	203
oilingual education in		% within Race	13.7%	23.8%	36.0%	33.3%	.0%	29.0%	8.3%	22.2%
	Somewhat support	Count	83	20	74	2	0	10	3	192
	Somewhat oppose	% within Race	16.9%	25.0%	25.6%	22.2%	.0%	32.3%	25.0%	21.0%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	83	15	42	2	1	2	1	146
		% within Race	16.9%	18.8%	14.5%	22.2%	25.0%	6.5%	8.3%	16.0%
	Strongly opposed	Count	230	17	44	2	3	7	4	307
		% within Race	46.9%	21.2%	15.2%	22.2%	75.0%	22.6%	33.3%	33.6%
	Don't know	Count	27	9	25	0	0	3	3	67
		% within Race	5.5%	11.2%	8.7%	.0%	.0%	9.7%	25.0%	7.3%
	Total	Count	490	80	289	9	4	31	12	915
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Support - continuing bilingual education in Texas \* Race Crosstabulation

Support - continuing bilingual education in Texas \* Marital status Crosstabulation

							Marital statu	IS			
			Married, living with spouse	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single, never married	Domestic partnership	Don't know	Prefer not to say	Total
Support - continuing	Strongly support	Count	110	2	21	5	50	11	0	4	203
bilingual education in Texas		% within Marital status	19.6%	14.3%	22.6%	17.9%	30.7%	26.8%	.0%	28.6%	22.1%
	Somewhat	Count	121	4	16	5	37	4	5	1	193
	support	% within Marital status	21.6%	28.6%	17.2%	17.9%	22.7%	9.8%	100.0%	7.1%	21.0%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	85	4	25	4	22	6	0	1	147
		% within Marital status	15.2%	28.6%	26.9%	14.3%	13.5%	14.6%	.0%	7.1%	16.0%
	Strongly opposed	Count	215	2	28	9	38	13	0	3	308
	0,7,11	% within Marital status	38.3%	14.3%	30.1%	32.1%	23.3%	31.7%	.0%	21.4%	33.5%
	Don't know	Count	30	2	3	5	16	7	0	5	68

	% within Marital status	5.3%	14.3%	3.2%	17.9%	9.8%	17.1%	.0%	35.7%	7.4%
Total	Count	561	14	93	28	163	41	5	14	919
	% within Marital status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Support - continuing bilingual education in Texas \* Gender Crosstabulation

				Gender	
			Male	Female	Total
Support - continuing bilingual	Strongly support	Count	82	121	203
education in Texas		% within Gender	19.4%	24.4%	22.1%
	Somewhat support	Count	94	99	193
		% within Gender	22.2%	20.0%	21.0%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	64	83	147
		% within Gender	15.1%	16.8%	16.0%
	Strongly opposed	Count	157	151	308
		% within Gender	37.1%	30.5%	33.6%
	Don't know	Count	26	41	67
		% within Gender	6.1%	8.3%	7.3%
	Total	Count	423	495	918
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

						Ideo	logy			
			1 - Extremely liberal	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Extremely conservative	Total
Support - enforce federal	Strongly support	Count	11	11	14	57	62	83	85	323
immigration laws		% within Ideology	26.2%	15.3%	13.5%	21.8%	41.6%	49.7%	69.7%	35.2%
	Somewhat support	Count	4	21	29	72	48	46	16	236
		% within Ideology	9.5%	29.2%	27.9%	27.6%	32.2%	27.5%	13.1%	25.7%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	1	6	22	28	10	9	4	80
		% within Ideology	2.4%	8.3%	21.2%	10.7%	6.7%	5.4%	3.3%	8.7%
	Strongly opposed	Count	20	28	22	48	18	21	6	163
		% within Ideology	47.6%	38.9%	21.2%	18.4%	12.1%	12.6%	4.9%	17.8%
	Don't know	Count	6	6	17	56	11	8	11	115
-		% within Ideology	14.3%	8.3%	16.3%	21.5%	7.4%	4.8%	9.0%	12.5%
	Total	Count	42	72	104	261	149	167	122	917
		% within Ideology	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Support - enforce federal immigration laws \* Ideology Crosstabulation

Support - enforce federal immigration laws \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

	-	-				7 ро	int Party ID				
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Support - enforce	Strongly support	Count	32	25	8	53	56	35	110	4	323
federal immigration laws		% within 7 point Party ID	20.8%	21.6%	10.3%	39.8%	50.9%	32.7%	61.8%	8.7%	35.0%
	Somewhat support	Count	30	37	22	29	27	39	38	14	236
		% within 7 point Party ID	19.5%	31.9%	28.2%	21.8%	24.5%	36.4%	21.3%	30.4%	25.6%
	Somewhat	Count	23	13	14	10	4	4	13	5 1	82
	oppose	% within 7 point Party ID	14.9%	11.2%	17.9%	7.5%	3.6%	3.7%	7.3%	2.2%	8.9%
	Strongly	Count	54	25	21	20	10	15	8	12	165
	opposed	% within 7 point Party ID	35.1%	21.6%	26.9%	15.0%	9.1%	14.0%	4.5%	26.1%	17.9%

	Don'	t know	Cou	Int			15	16	6	13	2	21	13	1	4	9	15	116
			% w Part	/ithin 7 pc ty ID	pint	ę	9.7%	13.8%	,	16.7%	15.89	%	11.8%	13.19	%	5.1%	32.6%	12.6%
	Tota	I	Cou	Int			154	116	6	78	13	3	110	10	7	178	46	922
			% w Part	vithin 7 pc ty ID	pint	100	0.0%	100.0%	5 10	00.0%	100.04	% 1	00.0%	100.04	% 10	00.0% 1	00.0%	100.0%
Support - enforce federal immigration laws * Family income Crosstabulation																		
				Family income														
			less	\$10,000	\$15,000	\$20,000	\$25,000	\$30,000	\$40,000	\$50,000	\$60,000	\$70,000	\$80,000	\$100,000	\$120,000	¢150.000	Prefer	
			\$10,000	- \$14,999	- \$19,999	- \$24,999	- \$29,999	- \$39,999	- \$49,999	- \$59,999	- \$69,999	- \$79,999	- \$99,999	- \$119,999	- \$149,999	or more	say	Total
Support -	Strongly	Count	9	8	11	17	25	30	40	26	14	33	29	17	16	22	2 26	6 323
immigration laws	oupport	% within Family income	33.3%	28.6%	34.4%	26.6%	37.9%	33.0%	37.4%	30.2%	25.0%	39.3%	39.2%	40.5%	40.0%	46.8%	6 33.3%	35.0%
	Somewhat	t Count	6	8	9	15	13	21	32	17	22	23	18	13	14	(	3 21	238
	support	% within Family income	22.2%	28.6%	28.1%	23.4%	19.7%	23.1%	29.9%	19.8%	39.3%	27.4%	24.3%	31.0%	35.0%	12.8%	6 26.9%	5.8% <sup>25.8%</sup>
	Somewhat	t Count	1	0	3	10	7	11	6	10	8	5	7	2	4	Į	5 4	4 83
	oppose	% within Family income	3.7%	.0%	9.4%	15.6%	10.6%	12.1%	5.6%	11.6%	14.3%	6.0%	9.5%	4.8%	10.0%	10.6%	ő 5.1%	ő 9.0%
	Strongly	Count	5	7	4	13	10	14	14	25	10	15	15	4	5	12	2 10	) 163
	opposed	% within Family income	18.5%	25.0%	12.5%	20.3%	15.2%	15.4%	13.1%	29.1%	17.9%	17.9%	20.3%	9.5%	12.5%	25.5%	6 12.8%	й 17.7%
	Don't	Count	6	5	5	9	11	15	15	8	2	8	5	6	1		2 17	7 115

know	% within Family income	22.2%	17.9%	15.6%	14.1%	16.7%	16.5%	14.0%	9.3%	3.6%	9.5%	6.8%	14.3%	2.5%	4.3%	21.8%	12.5%
Total	Count	27	28	32	64	66	91	107	86	56	84	74	42	40	47	78	922
	% within Family income	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Support - enforce federal immigration laws \* Education Crosstabulation

	-	-			Edu	ication			
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Support - enforce federal	Strongly support	Count	37	100	90	25	53	18	323
immigration laws		% within Education	42.5%	32.9%	37.7%	42.4%	32.7%	25.7%	35.1%
	Somewhat support	Count	13	78	69	13	49	15	237
		% within Education	14.9%	25.7%	28.9%	22.0%	30.2%	21.4%	25.7%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	6	38	16	4	9	9	82
		% within Education	6.9%	12.5%	6.7%	6.8%	5.6%	12.9%	8.9%
	Strongly opposed	Count	18	42	38	10	36	20	164
		% within Education	20.7%	13.8%	15.9%	16.9%	22.2%	28.6%	17.8%
	Don't know	Count	13	46	26	7	15	8	115
		% within Education	14.9%	15.1%	10.9%	11.9%	9.3%	11.4%	12.5%
	Total	Count	87	304	239	59	162	70	921
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

					Church attenda	ance		
			More than once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Prefer not to say	Total
Support - enforce federal	Strongly support	Count	74	68	75	65	41	323
immigration laws		% within Church attendance	44.3%	32.2%	33.3%	32.3%	36.0%	35.2%
	Somewhat support	Count	34	64	63	48	27	236
	Somewhat support	% within Church attendance	20.4%	30.3%	28.0%	23.9%	23.7%	25.7%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	11	19	25	15	13	83
		% within Church attendance	6.6%	9.0%	11.1%	7.5%	11.4%	9.0%
	Strongly opposed	Count	23	36	44	45	14	162
		% within Church attendance	13.8%	17.1%	19.6%	22.4%	12.3%	17.6%
	Don't know	Count	25	24	18	28	19	114
		% within Church attendance	15.0%	11.4%	8.0%	13.9%	16.7%	12.4%
	Total	Count	167	211	225	201	114	918
		% within Church attendance	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Support - enforce federal immigration laws \* Church attendance Crosstabulation

Support - enforce federal immigration laws \* Race Crosstabulation

Race

			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Don't know	Total
Support - enforce federal	Strongly support	Count	228	26	57	0	2	4	5	322
immigration laws		% within Race	46.4%	31.7%	19.7%	.0%	40.0%	12.5%	38.5%	34.9%
	Somewhat support	Count	134	28	57	5	2	12	0	238
		% within Race	27.3%	34.1%	19.7%	45.5%	40.0%	37.5%	.0%	25.8%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	27	9	44	0	0	0	2	82
		% within Race	5.5%	11.0%	15.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	15.4%	8.9%
	Strongly opposed	Count	45	13	91	4	1	8	3	165
		% within Race	9.2%	15.9%	31.5%	36.4%	20.0%	25.0%	23.1%	17.9%
	Don't know	Count	57	6	40	2	0	8	3	116
		% within Race	11.6%	7.3%	13.8%	18.2%	.0%	25.0%	23.1%	12.6%
	Total	Count	491	82	289	11	5	32	13	923
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Support - enforce federal immigration laws \* Marital status Crosstabulation

							Marital statu	IS			
			Married, living with spouse	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single, never married	Domestic partnership	Don't know	Prefer not to say	Total
Support - enforce	Strongly support	Count	233	3	30	10	29	11	3	4	323
federal immigration laws		% within Marital status	41.5%	20.0%	32.3%	34.5%	17.8%	27.5%	75.0%	26.7%	35.1%
	Somewhat	Count	124	3	28	12	50	16	1	2	236
	support	% within Marital status	22.1%	20.0%	30.1%	41.4%	30.7%	40.0%	25.0%	13.3%	25.6%
	Somewhat	Count	49	2	5	1	18	6	0	1	82
	oppose	% within Marital status	8.7%	13.3%	5.4%	3.4%	11.0%	15.0%	.0%	6.7%	8.9%
	Strongly opposed	Count	100	1	18	4	36	3	0	3	165
	Strongly opposed	% within Marital status	17.8%	6.7%	19.4%	13.8%	22.1%	7.5%	.0%	20.0%	17.9%
	Don't know	Count	56	6	12	2	30	4	0	5	115
		% within Marital status	10.0%	40.0%	12.9%	6.9%	18.4%	10.0%	.0%	33.3%	12.5%

Total	Count	562	15	93	29	163	40	4	15	921
	% within Marital status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Support - enforce federal immigration laws \* Gender Crosstabulation

				Gender	
			Male	Female	Total
Support - enforce federal	Strongly support	Count	169	155	324
immigration laws		% within Gender	39.7%	31.2%	35.1%
	Somewhat support	Count	104	133	237
		% within Gender	24.4%	26.8%	25.7%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	34	49	83
		% within Gender	8.0%	9.9%	9.0%
	Strongly opposed	Count	84	80	164
		% within Gender	19.7%	16.1%	17.8%
	Don't know	Count	35	79	114
		% within Gender	8.2%	15.9%	12.4%
	Total	Count	426	496	922
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Photo ID to vote \* Ideology Crosstabulation

						Ideo	logy			
			1 - Extremely liberal	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Extremely conservative	Total
Photo ID to vote	Agree	Count	16	34	58	144	118	156	111	637
		% within Ideology	38.1%	47.2%	55.2%	55.0%	79.7%	92.9%	91.7%	69.4%
	Disagree	Count	16	29	33	45	20	5	7	155
		% within Ideology	38.1%	40.3%	31.4%	17.2%	13.5%	3.0%	5.8%	16.9%
	Don't know	Count	10	9	14	73	10	7	3	126
		% within Ideology	23.8%	12.5%	13.3%	27.9%	6.8%	4.2%	2.5%	13.7%
	Total	Count	42	72	105	262	148	168	121	918
		% within Ideology	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Photo ID to vote \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

-	-					7 ро	int Party ID				
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Photo ID to	Agree	Count	72	82	34	93	89	91	159	19	639
vote		% within 7 point Party ID	46.8%	70.7%	43.6%	70.5%	80.9%	85.8%	88.8%	40.4%	69.3%
	Disagree	Count	64	20	24	18	14	6	7	5	158
		% within 7 point Party ID	41.6%	17.2%	30.8%	13.6%	12.7%	5.7%	3.9%	10.6%	17.1%
	Don't know	Count	18	14	20	21	7	9	13	23	125
		% within 7 point Party ID	11.7%	12.1%	25.6%	15.9%	6.4%	8.5%	7.3%	48.9%	13.6%
	Total	Count	154	116	78	132	110	106	179	47	922
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Photo ID to vote \* Family income

# Crosstabulation

			Family income															
			less than \$10.000	\$10,000 - \$14,999	\$15,000 - \$19,999	\$20,000 - \$24,999	\$25,000 - \$29,999	\$30,000 - \$39,999	\$40,000 - \$49,999	\$50,000 - \$59,999	\$60,000 - \$69,999	\$70,000 - \$79,999	\$80,000 - \$99,999	\$100,000 - \$119,999	\$120,000 - \$149,999	\$150,000 or more	Prefer not to say	Total
Photo ID to vote	Agree	Count	14	9	27	34	47	63	74	61	38	62	61	33	27	34	55	639
		% within Family income	51.9%	32.1%	87.1%	52.3%	71.2%	68.5%	69.2%	72.6%	66.7%	74.7%	83.6%	78.6%	67.5%	72.3%	70.5%	69.5%
	Disagree	Count	6	8	3	13	10	16	14	18	13	13	7	6	10	9	12	158
		% within Family income	22.2%	28.6%	9.7%	20.0%	15.2%	17.4%	13.1%	21.4%	22.8%	15.7%	9.6%	14.3%	25.0%	19.1%	15.4%	17.2%
	Don't	Count	7	11	1	18	9	13	19	5	6	8	5	3	3	4	11	123
	KHOW	% within Family income	25.9%	39.3%	3.2%	27.7%	13.6%	14.1%	17.8%	6.0%	10.5%	9.6%	6.8%	7.1%	7.5%	8.5%	14.1%	13.4%
	Total	Count	27	28	31	65	66	92	107	84	57	83	73	42	40	47	78	920
		% within Family income	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	-				Edu	ucation												
------------------	------------	--------------------	--------	-------------------------	--------------	---------	--------	-----------	--------									
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total									
Photo ID to vote	Agree	Count	54	211	173	45	110	46	639									
		% within Education	61.4%	69.4%	72.4%	75.0%	67.9%	65.7%	69.2%									
	Disagree	Count	16	35	43	8	34	21	157									
		% within Education	18.2%	11.5%	18.0%	13.3%	21.0%	30.0%	17.0%									
	Don't know	Count	18	58	23	7	18	3	127									
		% within Education	20.5%	19.1%	9.6%	11.7%	11.1%	4.3%	13.8%									
	Total	Count	88	304	239	60	162	70	923									
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%									

### Photo ID to vote \* Education Crosstabulation

Photo ID to vote \* Church attendance Crosstabulation

					Church attenda	nce		
			More than once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Prefer not to say	Total
Photo ID to vote	Agree	Count	125	168	143	125	77	638
		% within Church attendance	74.4%	79.6%	64.1%	62.2%	67.0%	69.5%
	Disagree	Count	21	28	46	46	13	154
		% within Church attendance	12.5%	13.3%	20.6%	22.9%	11.3%	16.8%
	Don't know	Count	22	15	34	30	25	126
		% within Church attendance	13.1%	7.1%	15.2%	14.9%	21.7%	13.7%
	Total	Count	168	211	223	201	115	918
		% within Church attendance	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Photo ID to vote \* Race Crosstabulation

						Race				
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Don't know	Total
Photo ID to vote	Agree	Count	360	53	202	2	3	12	4	636
		% within Race	73.5%	64.6%	69.9%	22.2%	75.0%	40.0%	33.3%	69.4%
	Disagree	Count	71	22	47	3	1	11	1	156
		% within Race	14.5%	26.8%	16.3%	33.3%	25.0%	36.7%	8.3%	17.0%
	Don't know	Count	59	7	40	4	0	7	7	124
		% within Race	12.0%	8.5%	13.8%	44.4%	.0%	23.3%	58.3%	13.5%
	Total	Count	490	82	289	9	4	30	12	916
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Photo ID to vote \* Marital status Crosstabulation

	-						Marital statu	S			
			Married, living with spouse	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single, never married	Domestic partnership	Don't know	Prefer not to say	Total
Photo ID to vote	Agree	Count	420	11	63	18	98	22	1	6	639
i		% within Marital status	74.7%	78.6%	66.3%	62.1%	59.8%	53.7%	25.0%	42.9%	69.2%
i	Disagree	Count	79	1	22	6	34	10	3	2	157
i		% within Marital status	14.1%	7.1%	23.2%	20.7%	20.7%	24.4%	75.0%	14.3%	17.0%
1	Don't know	Count	63	2	10	5	32	9	0	6	127
		% within Marital status	11.2%	14.3%	10.5%	17.2%	19.5%	22.0%	.0%	42.9%	13.8%
	Total	Count	562	14	95	29	164	41	4	14	923
		% within Marital status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Photo ID to vote \* Gender Crosstabulation

				Gender	
			Male	Female	Total
Photo ID to vote	Agree	Count	290	349	639
		% within Gender	68.2%	70.2%	69.3%
	Disagree	Count	96	61	157
		% within Gender	22.6%	12.3%	17.0%
	Don't know	Count	39	87	126
		% within Gender	9.2%	17.5%	13.7%
	Total	Count	425	497	922
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Gambling policy \* Ideology Crosstabulation

						Ideo	logy			
			1 - Extremely liberal	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Extremely conservative	Total
Gambling policy	Banning all gambling and	Count	1	8	6	12	8	22	37	94
	gaming in Texas	% within Ideology	2.3%	11.1%	5.8%	4.6%	5.4%	13.1%	30.6%	10.2%
	Leaving current gambling	Count	5	7	15	40	30	47	19	163
	laws unchanged	% within Ideology	11.6%	9.7%	14.4%	15.3%	20.1%	28.0%	15.7%	17.7%
	Allowing limited expansion of	Count	2	14	22	32	24	14	9	117
	gambling, but only in existing	% within Ideology	4.7%	19.4%	21.2%	12.2%	16.1%	8.3%	7.4%	12.7%
	Expanding gambling but only	Count	4	7	13	19	6	12	2	63
	to Indian reservations	% within Ideology	9.3%	9.7%	12.5%	7.3%	4.0%	7.1%	1.7%	6.9%
	Allowing full casino gambling	Count	26	29	37	115	72	54	36	369
	in Texas	% within Ideology	60.5%	40.3%	35.6%	43.9%	48.3%	32.1%	29.8%	40.2%
	Don't know	Count	5	7	11	44	9	19	18	113
		% within Ideology	11.6%	9.7%	10.6%	16.8%	6.0%	11.3%	14.9%	12.3%
	Total	Count	43	72	104	262	149	168	121	919
		% within Ideology	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Gambling policy \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

						7 pc	oint Party ID				
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Gambling	Banning all gambling	Count	3	4	6	5 15	15	13	32	5	93
policy	and gaming in Texas	% within 7 point Party ID	1.9%	3.5%	7.7%	11.4%	13.6%	12.1%	17.9%	10.9%	10.1%
	Leaving current	Count	23	14	14	19	20	22	48	4	164
	gambling laws unchanged	% within 7 point Party ID	14.9%	12.2%	17.9%	14.4%	18.2%	20.6%	26.8%	8.7%	17.8%
	Allowing limited	Count	30	19	9	14	12	13	18	1	116
	expansion of gambling, but only in existing	% within 7 point Party ID	19.5%	16.5%	11.5%	10.6%	10.9%	12.1%	10.1%	2.2%	12.6%
	Expanding gambling	Count	17	9	3	12	4	5	14	1	65
	but only to Indian reservations	% within 7 point Party ID	11.0%	7.8%	3.8%	9.1%	3.6%	4.7%	7.8%	2.2%	7.1%
	Allowing full casino	Count	64	58	37	52	55	44	44	16	370
	gambling in Texas	% within 7 point Party ID	41.6%	50.4%	47.4%	39.4%	50.0%	41.1%	24.6%	34.8%	40.2%
	Don't know	Count	17	11	9	20	4	10	23	19	113
		% within 7 point Party ID	11.0%	9.6%	11.5%	15.2%	3.6%	9.3%	12.8%	41.3%	12.3%
	Total	Count	154	115	78	132	110	107	179	46	921
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Gambling policy \* Family income

Crosstabulation

		ļ	Family income															
			less than \$10,000	\$10,000 - \$14,999	\$15,000 - \$19,999	\$20,000 \$24,999	\$25,000 - \$29,999	\$30,000 - \$39,999	\$40,000 - \$49,999	\$50,000 \$59,999	\$60,000 - \$69,999	\$70,000 \$79,999	\$80,000 - \$99,999	\$100,000 - \$119,999	\$120,000 - \$149,999	\$150,000 or more	Prefer not to say	Total
Gambling policy	Banning all	Count	0	3	, 4	7	4	, 8	9	9 9	5	, 10	) 13	. 10	, 2	. 3	7	94
	gambling and gaming in Texas	% within Family income	.0%	10.3%	12.9%	, 10.9%	, 6.1%	, 8.6%	8.4%	10.7%	8.9%	11.9%	, 17.6%	23.3%	4.9%	6.2%	8.9%	10.2%
	Leaving	Count	4	6	7	11	9	13	20	8	11	10	11	11	12	. 12	18	163
	current gambling laws unchanged	% within Family income	15.4%	20.7%	22.6%	17.2%	, 13.6%	, 14.0%	18.7%	, 9.5%	19.6%	11.9%	14.9%	25.6%	29.3%	25.0%	22.8%	17.6%
	Allowing	Count	6	, 0	3	i 11	13	, 10	11	12	. 12	12	7	6	, 5	3	7	118
	limited expansion of gambling, but only in existing	% within Family income	23.1%	.0%	9.7%	17.2%	, 19.7%	, 10.8%	10.3%	, 14.3%	21.4%	14.3%	9.5%	14.0%	, 12.2%	6.2%	8.9%	12.8%
	Expanding	Count	3	, 3	, 0	v 7	4	4	6	<del>5</del> ز	, 6	8	; 3	2	. 2	. 6	6	65
	gambling but only to Indian reservations	% within Family income	11.5%	10.3%	.0%	10.9%	, 6.1%	, 4.3%	5.6%	, 6.0%	10.7%	9.5%	4.1%	4.7%	4.9%	12.5%	7.6%	7.0%
	Allowing full	Count	8	, <u>1</u> 1	13	<i>,</i> 22	31	45	43	35	, 20	36	33	12	. 16	22	25	372
	casino gambling in Texas	% within Family income	30.8%	37.9%	41.9%	, 34.4%	, 47.0%	48.4%	40.2%	, 41.7%	35.7%	42.9%	44.6%	27.9%	39.0%	45.8%	31.6%	40.2%
	Don't know	Count	5	6	4	6	5	, 13	18	5 15	, 2	8	5 7	2	. 4	2	16	113
		% within Family income	19.2%	20.7%	12.9%	9.4%	7.6%	14.0%	16.8%	, 17.9%	3.6%	9.5%	9.5%	4.7%	9.8%	4.2%	20.3%	12.2%
	Total	Count	26	29	31	64	66	, 93	107	84	56	84	74	43	, 41	48	79	925

# Gambling policy \* Family income

Crosstabulation

			Family income															
			less than \$10,000	\$10,000 - \$14,999	\$15,000 - \$19,999	\$20,000 - \$24,999	\$25,000 - \$29,999	\$30,000 - \$39,999	\$40,000 - \$49,999	\$50,000 - \$59,999	\$60,000 - \$69,999	\$70,000 - \$79,999	\$80,000 - \$99,999	\$100,000 - \$119,999	\$120,000 - \$149,999	\$150,000 or more	Prefer not to say	Total
Gambling policy	Banning all gambling and gaming in Texas	Count % within Family	0 .0%	3 10.3%	4 12.9%	7 10.9%	4 6.1%	8.6%	9 8.4%	9 10.7%	5 8.9%	10 11.9%	13 17.6%	10 23.3%	2 4.9%	3 6.2%	7 8.9%	94
	Leaving current gambling laws unchanged	Count % within Family	4 15.4%	6 20.7%	22.6%	11	9 13.6%	13	20	8 9.5%	11 19.6%	10 11.9%	11 14.9%	11 25.6%	12 29.3%	12 25.0%	18 22.8%	163 17.6%
	Allowing limited expansion of gambling, but only in existing	Count % within Family income	6 23.1%	0.0%	9.7%	11	13 19.7%	10	11	12 14.3%	12 21.4%	12	7 9.5%	6	12.2%	3 6.2%	8.9%	118
	Expanding gambling but only to Indian reservations	Count % within Family income	3 11.5%	3 10.3%	0.0%	7	4 6.1%	4.3%	6 5.6%	5 6.0%	6 10.7%	8 9.5%	3	2 4.7%	2	6 12.5%	6 7.6%	65 7.0%
	Allowing full casino gambling in Texas	Count % within Family income	8 30.8%	11 37.9%	13 41.9%	22 34.4%	31 47.0%	45 48.4%	43 40.2%	35 41.7%	20 35.7%	36 42.9%	33 44.6%	12 27.9%	16 39.0%	22 45.8%	25 31.6%	372 40.2%
	Don't know	Count % within Family income	5 19.2%	6 20.7%	4	6 9.4%	5 7.6%	13 14.0%	18 16.8%	15 17.9%	3.6%	9.5%	7 9.5%	2 4.7%	9.8%	2 4.2%	16 20.3%	113 12.2%
	Total	Count % within Family income	26 100.0%	29 100.0%	31 100.0%	64 100.0%	66 100.0%	93 100.0%	107 100.0%	84 100.0%	56 100.0%	84	74 100.0%	43 100.0%	41	48 100.0%	79 100.0%	925 100.0%

		-			Edu	cation			
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Gambling policy	Banning all gambling and	Count	6	27	27	8	15	11	94
	gaming in Texas	% within Education	6.9%	8.9%	11.3%	13.1%	9.3%	15.7%	10.2%
	Leaving current gambling laws	Count	9	49	33	13	47	13	164
	unchanged	% within Education	10.3%	16.1%	13.9%	21.3%	29.0%	18.6%	17.8%
	Allowing limited expansion of	Count	7	45	32	11	16	6	117
	gambling, but only in existing	% within Education	8.0%	14.8%	13.4%	18.0%	9.9%	8.6%	12.7%
	Expanding gambling but only	Count	9	11	18	2	15	9	64
	to Indian reservations	% within Education	10.3%	3.6%	7.6%	3.3%	9.3%	12.9%	6.9%
	Allowing full casino gambling	Count	40	123	105	22	56	24	370
	in Texas	% within Education	46.0%	40.5%	44.1%	36.1%	34.6%	34.3%	40.1%
	Don't know	Count	16	49	23	5	13	7	113
		% within Education	18.4%	16.1%	9.7%	8.2%	8.0%	10.0%	12.3%
	Total	Count	87	304	238	61	162	70	922
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Gambling policy \* Education Crosstabulation

Gambling policy \* Church attendance Crosstabulation

	-	-			Church attenda	ince		
			More than once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Prefer not to say	Total
Gambling policy	Banning all gambling and	Count	39	24	14	8	9	94
	gaming in Texas	% within Church attendance	23.2%	11.4%	6.3%	4.0%	7.8%	10.3%
	Leaving current gambling	Count	36	53	41	18	15	163
	laws unchanged	% within Church attendance	21.4%	25.2%	18.4%	9.0%	13.0%	17.8%
	Allowing limited expansion of	Count	24	34	30	15	13	116
	gambling, but only in existing	% within Church attendance	14.3%	16.2%	13.5%	7.5%	11.3%	12.7%
	Expanding gambling but only	Count	7	16	18	16	4	61
	to Indian reservations	% within Church attendance	4.2%	7.6%	8.1%	8.0%	3.5%	6.7%

Allowing full casino gambling	Count	44	63	98	109	56	370
in Texas	% within Church attendance	26.2%	30.0%	43.9%	54.5%	48.7%	40.4%
Don't know	Count	18	20	22	34	18	112
	% within Church attendance	10.7%	9.5%	9.9%	17.0%	15.7%	12.2%
Total	Count	168	210	223	200	115	916
	% within Church attendance	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Gambling policy \* Race Crosstabulation

		_				Race				
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Don't know	Total
Gambling policy	Banning all gambling and	Count	62	6	25	1	1	0	0	95
	gaming in Texas	% within Race	12.6%	7.2%	8.7%	10.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%	10.3%
	Leaving current gambling	Count	88	16	49	1	0	7	2	163
	Allowing limited expansion	% within Race	17.8%	19.3%	17.0%	10.0%	.0%	22.6%	15.4%	17.6%
	Allowing limited expansion	Count	40	16	54	2	1	4	1	118
	of gambling, but only in existing	% within Race	8.1%	19.3%	18.7%	20.0%	20.0%	12.9%	7.7%	12.8%
	Expanding gambling but	Count	37	8	13	5	1	0	0	64
	only to Indian reservations	% within Race	7.5%	9.6%	4.5%	50.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%	6.9%
	Allowing full casino	Count	208	28	111	1	2	14	7	371
	gambling in Texas	% within Race	42.2%	33.7%	38.4%	10.0%	40.0%	45.2%	53.8%	40.2%
	Don't know	Count	58	9	37	0	0	6	3	113
		% within Race	11.8%	10.8%	12.8%	.0%	.0%	19.4%	23.1%	12.2%
	Total	Count	493	83	289	10	5	31	13	924
	Total	% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Gambling policy \* Marital status Crosstabulation

							Marital statu	S			
			Married, living with spouse	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single, never married	Domestic partnership	Don't know	Prefer not to say	Total
Gambling policy	Banning all gambling	Count	67	0	7	6	13	0	0	1	94
	and gaming in Texas	% within Marital status	11.9%	.0%	7.4%	21.4%	7.9%	.0%	.0%	6.7%	10.2%
	Leaving current	Count	96	4	9	7	33	8	3	2	162
	gambling laws unchanged	% within Marital status	17.0%	28.6%	9.5%	25.0%	20.1%	19.5%	75.0%	13.3%	17.5%
	Allowing limited	Count	70	3	15	2	21	5	0	2	118
	expansion of gambling, but only in existing	% within Marital status	12.4%	21.4%	15.8%	7.1%	12.8%	12.2%	.0%	13.3%	12.8%
	Expanding gambling but	Count	37	1	8	0	13	5	0	1	65
	only to Indian reservations	% within Marital status	6.6%	7.1%	8.4%	.0%	7.9%	12.2%	.0%	6.7%	7.0%
	Allowing full casino	Count	230	3	43	6	64	21	0	4	371
	gambling in Texas	% within Marital status	40.8%	21.4%	45.3%	21.4%	39.0%	51.2%	.0%	26.7%	40.1%
	Don't know	Count	64	3	13	7	20	2	1	5	115
		% within Marital status	11.3%	21.4%	13.7%	25.0%	12.2%	4.9%	25.0%	33.3%	12.4%
	Total	Count	564	14	95	28	164	41	4	15	925
		% within Marital status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

				Gender	
			Male	Female	Total
Gambling policy	Banning all gambling and gaming	Count	49	45	94
	in Texas	% within Gender	11.5%	9.0%	10.2%
	Leaving current gambling laws	Count	68	95	163
	unchanged	% within Gender	16.0%	19.1%	17.7%
	Allowing limited expansion of	Count	35	82	117
	gambling, but only in existing	% within Gender	8.2%	16.5%	12.7%
	Expanding gambling but only to	Count	34	30	64
	Indian reservations	% within Gender	8.0%	6.0%	6.9%
	Allowing full casino gambling in	Count	199	172	371
	Texas	% within Gender	46.8%	34.5%	40.2%
	Don't know	Count	40	74	114
		% within Gender	9.4%	14.9%	12.4%
	Total	Count	425	498	923
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Gambling policy \* Gender Crosstabulation

### Gay marriage \* Ideology Crosstabulation

						Ideo	logy			
			1 - Extremely liberal	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Extremely conservative	Total
Gay marriage	Right to marriage	Count	29	56	65	88	16	9	3	266
		% within Ideology	69.0%	77.8%	62.5%	33.7%	10.8%	5.4%	2.5%	29.0%
	Right to civil unions but not to	Count	4	6	24	62	70	88	38	292
	marriage	% within Ideology	9.5%	8.3%	23.1%	23.8%	47.3%	52.4%	31.1%	31.8%
	Should not have the right to	Count	5	9	13	65	53	68	77	290
	civil unions or marriage	% within Ideology	11.9%	12.5%	12.5%	24.9%	35.8%	40.5%	63.1%	31.6%
	Don't know	Count	4	1	2	46	9	3	4	69
		% within Ideology	9.5%	1.4%	1.9%	17.6%	6.1%	1.8%	3.3%	7.5%
	Total	Count	42	72	104	261	148	168	122	917
		% within Ideology	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Gay marriage \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

	-					7 pc	int Party ID				
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Gay	Right to marriage	Count	81	43	50	44	10	16	8	16	268
marriage		% within 7 point Party ID	52.3%	37.4%	64.1%	33.3%	9.2%	15.0%	4.5%	34.0%	29.1%
	Right to civil unions but	Count	24	28	19	43	62	42	69	7	294
	not to marriage	% within 7 point Party ID	15.5%	24.3%	24.4%	32.6%	56.9%	39.3%	38.5%	14.9%	31.9%
	Should not have the	Count	36	34	6	33	33	44	95	10	291
	right to civil unions or marriage	% within 7 point Party ID	23.2%	29.6%	7.7%	25.0%	30.3%	41.1%	53.1%	21.3%	31.6%
	Don't know	Count	14	10	3	12	4	5	7	14	69
		% within 7 point Party ID	9.0%	8.7%	3.8%	9.1%	3.7%	4.7%	3.9%	29.8%	7.5%
	Total	Count	155	115	78	132	109	107	179	47	922
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Gay marriage \* Family income Crosstabulation

			Family income															
			less than \$10,000	\$10,000 - \$14 999	\$15,000 - \$10,000	\$20,000 - \$24 999	\$25,000 - \$29,999	\$30,000 - \$30,000	\$40,000 - \$40,000	\$50,000 - \$50,000	\$60,000 - \$69,999	\$70,000 - \$79,999	\$80,000 - \$99 999	\$100,000 - \$119,999	\$120,000 - \$1/9,999	\$150,000	Prefer not to	Total
Gay marriage	Right to	Count	φ10,000 7	<del>پ ۱۹</del> ,335 10	<del>14</del>	φ <u>2</u> 4,333 25	φ <u>2</u> 3,333 14	400,000 29	φ <del>1</del> 3,333 28	400,000 25	19 19	<del>1</del> 3,333 21	21	13	13	11	3ay 19	269
	mamage	% within Family income	26.9%	34.5%	45.2%	39.1%	21.2%	31.9%	26.2%	29.8%	33.9%	25.0%	28.0%	29.5%	32.5%	23.4%	24.1%	29.1%
	Right to civil unions but not to marriage	Count % within Family income	2 7.7%	4 13.8%	5 16.1%	21 32.8%	20 30.3%	28 30.8%	32 29.9%	24 28.6%	20 35.7%	33 39.3%	26 34.7%	17 38.6%	15 37.5%	22 46.8%	24 30.4%	293 31.7%
	Should not have the right to civil unions or marriage	Count % within Family income	13 50.0%	9 31.0%	11 35.5%	9 14.1%	27 40.9%	27 29.7%	41 38.3%	28 33.3%	15 26.8%	25 29.8%	26 34.7%	13 29.5%	9 22.5%	12 25.5%	26 32.9%	291 31.5%
	Don't know	Count % within Family income	4 15.4%	6 20.7%	1 3.2%	9 14.1%	5 7.6%	7 7.7%	6 5.6%	7 8.3%	2 3.6%	5 6.0%	2 2.7%	1 2.3%	3 7.5%	2 4.3%	10 12.7%	70 7.6%
	Total	Count % within Family income	26 100.0%	29 100.0%	31 100.0%	64 100.0%	66 100.0%	91 100.0%	107 100.0%	84 100.0%	56 100.0%	84 100.0%	75 100.0%	44 100.0%	40 100.0%	47 100.0%	79 100.0%	923 100.0%

	-	-	Education									
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total			
Gay marriage	Right to marriage	Count	20	82	74	13	57	24	270			
		% within Education	22.5%	27.0%	31.1%	22.0%	35.0%	33.8%	29.2%			
	Right to civil unions but not to	Count	30	79	72	26	60	26	293			
	marriage	% within Education	33.7%	26.0%	30.3%	44.1%	36.8%	36.6%	31.7%			
	Should not have the right to	Count	27	107	79	19	43	17	292			
	civil unions or marriage	% within Education	30.3%	35.2%	33.2%	32.2%	26.4%	23.9%	31.6%			
	Don't know	Count	12	36	13	1	3	4	69			
		% within Education	13.5%	11.8%	5.5%	1.7%	1.8%	5.6%	7.5%			
	Total	Count	89	304	238	59	163	71	924			
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			

### Gay marriage \* Education Crosstabulation

Gay marriage \* Church attendance Crosstabulation

-		-			Church attenda	nce		
			More than once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Prefer not to say	Total
Gay marriage	Right to marriage	Count	13	42	78	103	32	268
		% within Church attendance	7.8%	19.9%	35.0%	51.2%	27.8%	29.3%
	Right to civil unions but not to	Count	57	73	79	49	31	289
	marriage	% within Church attendance	34.3%	34.6%	35.4%	24.4%	27.0%	31.6%
	Should not have the right to	Count	90	80	50	35	35	290
	civil unions or marriage	% within Church attendance	54.2%	37.9%	22.4%	17.4%	30.4%	31.7%
	Don't know	Count	6	16	16	14	17	69
		% within Church attendance	3.6%	7.6%	7.2%	7.0%	14.8%	7.5%
	Total	Count	166	211	223	201	115	916
		% within Church attendance	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Gay marriage \* Race Crosstabulation

						Race				
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Don't know	Total
Gay marriage	Right to marriage	Count	137	18	91	6	0	16	1	269
		% within Race	27.8%	21.7%	31.6%	60.0%	.0%	51.6%	9.1%	29.3%
	Right to civil unions but not	Count	164	22	90	2	1	11	2	292
	to marriage	% within Race	33.3%	26.5%	31.2%	20.0%	25.0%	35.5%	18.2%	31.8%
	Should not have the right	Count	170	28	79	2	3	4	4	290
	to civil unions or marriage	% within Race	34.6%	33.7%	27.4%	20.0%	75.0%	12.9%	36.4%	31.6%
	Don't know	Count	21	15	28	0	0	0	4	68
		% within Race	4.3%	18.1%	9.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	36.4%	7.4%
	Total	Count	492	83	288	10	4	31	11	919
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Gay marriage \* Marital status Crosstabulation

	-	-					Marital statu	IS			
			Married, living with spouse	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single, never married	Domestic partnership	Don't know	Prefer not to say	Total
Gay marriage	Right to marriage	Count	129	5	28	3	76	21	0	7	269
		% within Marital status	22.9%	35.7%	29.5%	10.7%	47.2%	52.5%	.0%	50.0%	29.2%
	Right to civil unions but	Count	200	6	26	9	45	6	0	1	293
	not to marriage	% within Marital status	35.5%	42.9%	27.4%	32.1%	28.0%	15.0%	.0%	7.1%	31.8%
	Should not have the	Count	198	2	33	11	29	10	5	2	290
	right to civil unions or marriage	% within Marital status	35.2%	14.3%	34.7%	39.3%	18.0%	25.0%	100.0%	14.3%	31.5%
	Don't know	Count	36	1	8	5	11	3	0	4	68
		% within Marital status	6.4%	7.1%	8.4%	17.9%	6.8%	7.5%	.0%	28.6%	7.4%
	Total	Count	563	14	95	28	161	40	5	14	920
		% within Marital status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		_		Gender	
			Male	Female	Total
Gay marriage	Right to marriage	Count	117	151	268
		% within Gender	27.5%	30.4%	29.1%
	Right to civil unions but not to	Count	164	130	294
	marriage	% within Gender	38.6%	26.2%	31.9%
	Should not have the right to civil	Count	121	170	291
	unions or marriage	% within Gender	28.5%	34.3%	31.6%
	Don't know	Count	23	45	68
		% within Gender		9.1%	7.4%
	Total	Count	425	496	921
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Gay marriage \* Gender Crosstabulation

Ban smoking in restaurants \* Ideology Crosstabulation

						Ideo	logy			
			1 - Extremely liberal	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Extremely conservative	Total
Ban smoking in restaurants	Yes	Count	32	52	71	149	98	103	73	578
		% within Ideology	74.4%	72.2%	68.9%	56.7%	65.8%	61.7%	60.3%	63.0%
	No	Count	10	17	30	79	45	59	43	283
		% within Ideology	23.3%	23.6%	29.1%	30.0%	30.2%	35.3%	35.5%	30.8%
	Don't Know	Count	1	3	2	35	6	5	5	57
		% within Ideology	2.3%	4.2%	1.9%	13.3%	4.0%	3.0%	4.1%	6.2%
	Total	Count	43	72	103	263	149	167	121	918
		% within Ideology	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Ban smoking in restaurants \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

						7 po	int Party ID				
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Ban smoking in	Yes	Count	108	82	56	69	56	74	110	23	578
restaurants		% within 7 point Party ID	70.1%	71.3%	71.8%	52.7%	51.4%	69.8%	61.5%	48.9%	62.9%
	No	Count	34	27	19	51	49	27	65	12	284
		% within 7 point Party ID	22.1%	23.5%	24.4%	38.9%	45.0%	25.5%	36.3%	25.5%	30.9%
	Don't Know	/ Count	12	6	3	11	4	5	4	12	57
		% within 7 point Party ID	7.8%	5.2%	3.8%	8.4%	3.7%	4.7%	2.2%	25.5%	6.2%
	Total	Count	154	115	78	131	109	106	179	47	919
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Ban smoking in restaurants \* Family income Crosstabulation

			Family income															
			less than \$10,000	\$10,000 - \$14,999	\$15,000 - \$19,999	\$20,000 - \$24,999	\$25,000 - \$29,999	\$30,000 - \$39,999	\$40,000 - \$49,999	\$50,000 - \$59,999	\$60,000 - \$69,999	\$70,000 - \$79,999	\$80,000 - \$99,999	\$100,000 - \$119,999	\$120,000 - \$149,999	\$150,000 or more	Prefer not to say	Total
Ban smoking in	Yes	Count	15	12	18	36	34	61	59	65	38	58	47	31	23	26	54	577
restaurants		% within Family income	55.6%	42.9%	58.1%	56.2%	51.5%	66.3%	57.3%	76.5%	67.9%	69.0%	63.5%	73.8%	57.5%	55.3%	68.4%	62.9%
	No	Count	8	10	12	22	26	26	35	17	17	25	20	10	16	21	20	285
		% within Family income	29.6%	35.7%	38.7%	34.4%	39.4%	28.3%	34.0%	20.0%	30.4%	29.8%	27.0%	23.8%	40.0%	44.7%	25.3%	31.0%
	Don't	Count	4	6	1	6	6	5	9	3	1	1	7	1	1	0	5	56
	Know	% within Family income	14.8%	21.4%	3.2%	9.4%	9.1%	5.4%	8.7%	3.5%	1.8%	1.2%	9.5%	2.4%	2.5%	.0%	6.3%	6.1%
	Total	Count	27	28	31	64	66	92	103	85	56	84	74	42	40	47	79	918
		% within Family income	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

	-	_			Edu	ucation			
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Ban smoking in restaurants	Yes	Count	48	198	132	42	109	50	579
		% within Education	54.5%	65.8%	55.2%	70.0%	66.9%	71.4%	62.9%
	No Cor		28	84	93	15	48	16	284
		% within Education	31.8%	27.9%	38.9%	25.0%	29.4%	22.9%	30.8%
	Don't Know	Count	12	19	14	3	6	4	58
		% within Education	13.6%	6.3%	5.9%	5.0%	3.7%	5.7%	6.3%
	Total	Count	88	301	239	60	163	70	921
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Ban smoking in restaurants \* Education Crosstabulation

Ban smoking in restaurants \* Church attendance Crosstabulation

					Church attenda	nce		
			More than once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Prefer not to say	Total
Ban smoking in restaurants	Yes	Count	108	146	137	114	70	575
		% within Church attendance	63.9%	69.9%	61.2%	57.0%	60.3%	62.6%
	No	Count	49	54	76	73	32	284
		% within Church attendance	29.0%	25.8%	33.9%	36.5%	27.6%	30.9%
	Don't Know	Count	12	9	11	13	14	59
		% within Church attendance	7.1%	4.3%	4.9%	6.5%	12.1%	6.4%
	Total	Count	169	209	224	200	116	918
		% within Church attendance	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Ban smoking in restaurants \* Race Crosstabulation

						Race				
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Don't know	Total
Ban smoking in restaurants	Yes	Count	272	59	212	9	2	23	0	577
		% within Race	55.4%	72.0%	73.6%	90.0%	50.0%	74.2%	.0%	62.9%
	No	Count	191	15	59	1	2	7	9	284
		% within Race	38.9%	18.3%	20.5%	10.0%	50.0%	22.6%	75.0%	30.9%
	Don't Know	Count	28	8	17	0	0	1	3	57
		% within Race	5.7%	9.8%	5.9%	.0%	.0%	3.2%	25.0%	6.2%
	Total	Count	491	82	288	10	4	31	12	918
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Ban smoking in restaurants \* Marital status Crosstabulation

	-	-					Marital statu	s			
			Married, living with spouse	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single, never married	Domestic partnership	Don't know	Prefer not to say	Total
Ban smoking in	Yes	Count	363	7	60	16	103	20	5	5	579
restaurants		% within Marital status	64.6%	46.7%	63.8%	59.3%	63.6%	48.8%	100.0%	33.3%	62.9%
	No	Count	176	6	27	8	46	14	0	7	284
		% within Marital status	31.3%	40.0%	28.7%	29.6%	28.4%	34.1%	.0%	46.7%	30.8%
	Don't Know	Count	23	2	7	3	13	7	0	3	58
		% within Marital status	4.1%	13.3%	7.4%	11.1%	8.0%	17.1%	.0%	20.0%	6.3%
	Total	Count	562	15	94	27	162	41	5	15	921
		% within Marital status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

				Gender	
			Male	Female	Total
Ban smoking in restaurants	Yes	Count	246	333	579
		% within Gender	58.0%	67.0%	62.9%
	No	Count	150	135	285
		% within Gender	35.4%	27.2%	30.9%
	Don't Know	Count	28	29	57
		% within Gender	6.6%	5.8%	6.2%
	Total	Count	424	497	921
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Ban smoking in restaurants \* Gender Crosstabulation

Federal stimulus money \* Ideology Crosstabulation

	-					Ideo	logy			
			1 - Extremely liberal	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Extremely conservative	Total
Federal stimulus money	Accept	Count	30	57	62	100	46	24	14	333
		% within Ideology	69.8%	78.1%	59.0%	38.2%	30.9%	14.6%	11.5%	36.3%
	Reject Count		6	8	18	74	76	115	94	391
		% within Ideology	14.0%	11.0%	17.1%	28.2%	51.0%	70.1%	77.0%	42.6%
	Don't know	Count	7	8	25	88	27	25	14	194
		% within Ideology	16.3%	11.0%	23.8%	33.6%	18.1%	15.2%	11.5%	21.1%
	Total	Count	43	73	105	262	149	164	122	918
		% within Ideology	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Federal stimulus money \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

						7 pc	int Party ID				
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Federal stimulus	Accept	Count	117	55	49	40	15	14	27	15	332
money		% within 7 point Party ID	76.0%	48.7%	62.8%	30.1%	13.8%	13.2%	15.1%	32.6%	36.2%
Rej	Reject	Count	19	23	9	63	78	67	127	5	391
		% within 7 point Party ID	12.3%	20.4%	11.5%	47.4%	71.6%	63.2%	70.9%	10.9%	42.6%
	Don't kno	w Count	18	35	20	30	16	25	25	26	195
		% within 7 point Party ID	11.7%	31.0%	25.6%	22.6%	14.7%	23.6%	14.0%	56.5%	21.2%
	Total	Count	154	113	78	133	109	106	179	46	918
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Federal stimulus money \* Family income Crosstabulation

			Family income															
			less than \$10,000	\$10,000 - \$14,999	\$15,000 - \$19,999	\$20,000 - \$24,999	\$25,000 - \$29,999	\$30,000 - \$39,999	\$40,000 - \$49,999	\$50,000 - \$59,999	\$60,000 - \$69,999	\$70,000 - \$79,999	\$80,000 - \$99,999	\$100,000 - \$119,999	\$120,000 - \$149,999	\$150,000 or more	Prefer not to say	Total
Federal stimulus	Accept	Count	13	11	20	33	26	32	33	25	25	28	24	15	13	13	22	333
money		% within Family income	48.1%	39.3%	64.5%	51.6%	39.4%	34.8%	30.8%	30.9%	43.9%	32.9%	32.4%	35.7%	31.7%	28.3%	27.8%	36.2%
	Reject	Count	7	5	6	16	27	38	46	33	22	42	40	23	23	30	33	391
		% within Family income	25.9%	17.9%	19.4%	25.0%	40.9%	41.3%	43.0%	40.7%	38.6%	49.4%	54.1%	54.8%	56.1%	65.2%	41.8%	42.5%
	Don't	Count	7	12	5	15	13	22	28	23	10	15	10	4	5	3	24	196
	know	% within Family income	25.9%	42.9%	16.1%	23.4%	19.7%	23.9%	26.2%	28.4%	17.5%	17.6%	13.5%	9.5%	12.2%	6.5%	30.4%	21.3%
	Total	Count	27	28	31	64	66	92	107	81	57	85	74	42	41	46	79	920
		% within Family income	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

	-	-			Edu	lcation			
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Federal stimulus money	Accept	Count	37	89	96	22	58	32	334
		% within Education	44.0%	29.3%	40.2%	36.7%	35.6%	45.1%	36.3%
	Reject	Count	26	122	109	30	78	27	392
		% within Education	31.0%	40.1%	45.6%	50.0%	47.9%	38.0%	42.6%
	Don't know	Count	21	93	34	8	27	12	195
		% within Education	25.0%	30.6%	14.2%	13.3%	16.6%	16.9%	21.2%
	Total	Count	84	304	239	60	163	71	921
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Federal stimulus money \* Education Crosstabulation

Federal stimulus money \* Church attendance Crosstabulation

					Church attenda	nce		
			More than once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Prefer not to say	Total
Federal stimulus money	Accept	Count	45	73	92	83	37	330
		% within Church attendance	26.8%	34.6%	41.1%	42.1%	31.9%	36.0%
	Reject	Count	83	105	85	72	46	391
		% within Church attendance	49.4%	49.8%	37.9%	36.5%	39.7%	42.7%
	Don't know	Count	40	33	47	42	33	195
		% within Church attendance	23.8%	15.6%	21.0%	21.3%	28.4%	21.3%
	Total	Count	168	211	224	197	116	916
		% within Church attendance	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Federal stimulus money \* Race Crosstabulation

						Race				
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Don't know	Total
Federal stimulus money	Accept	Count	135	58	114	8	1	15	1	332
		% within Race	27.4%	70.7%	39.7%	80.0%	25.0%	48.4%	8.3%	36.2%
	Reject	Count	255	10	110	1	3	8	4	391
		% within Race	51.8%	12.2%	38.3%	10.0%	75.0%	25.8%	33.3%	42.6%
	Don't know	Count	102	14	63	1	0	8	7	195
		% within Race	20.7%	17.1%	22.0%	10.0%	.0%	25.8%	58.3%	21.2%
	Total	Count	492	82	287	10	4	31	12	918
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Federal stimulus money \* Marital status Crosstabulation

	<u>-</u>	-					Marital statu	S			
			Married, living with spouse	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single, never married	Domestic partnership	Don't know	Prefer not to say	Total
Federal stimulus	Accept	Count	165	6	40	16	81	17	3	5	333
money		% within Marital status	29.3%	42.9%	44.0%	55.2%	49.7%	41.5%	75.0%	35.7%	36.2%
	Reject	Count	283	3	30	6	52	14	0	4	392
		% within Marital status	50.2%	21.4%	33.0%	20.7%	31.9%	34.1%	.0%	28.6%	42.6%
	Don't know	Count	116	5	21	7	30	10	1	5	195
		% within Marital status	20.6%	35.7%	23.1%	24.1%	18.4%	24.4%	25.0%	35.7%	21.2%
	Total	Count	564	14	91	29	163	41	4	14	920
		% within Marital status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

				Gender	
			Male	Female	Total
ederal stimulus money	Accept	Count	157	176	333
		% within Gender	36.9%	35.6%	36.2%
	Reject	Count	210	182	392
		% within Gender	49.3%	36.8%	42.6%
	Don't know	Count	59	136	195
		% within Gender	13.8%	27.5%	21.2%
	Total	Count	426	494	920
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Federal stimulus money \* Gender Crosstabulation

### Geographic ID \* Ideology Crosstabulation

						Ideo	logy			
			1 - Extremely liberal	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Extremely conservative	Total
Geographic ID	The American South	Count	8	4	5	19	11	13	10	70
		% within Ideology	19.0%	5.6%	4.8%	7.3%	7.3%	7.7%	8.2%	7.6%
	The State of Texas	Count	15	26	42	146	88	98	77	492
		% within Ideology	35.7%	36.1%	40.0%	56.2%	58.7%	58.3%	63.1%	53.5%
	My region within Texas	Count	0	4	10	16	8	16	14	68
		% within Ideology	.0%	5.6%	9.5%	6.2%	5.3%	9.5%	11.5%	7.4%
	My hometown or city	Count	8	18	25	41	19	16	9	136
		% within Ideology	19.0%	25.0%	23.8%	15.8%	12.7%	9.5%	7.4%	14.8%
	I don't really identify with any	Count	11	20	23	38	24	25	12	153
	of these areas	% within Ideology	26.2%	27.8%	21.9%	14.6%	16.0%	14.9%	9.8%	16.6%
	Total	Count	42	72	105	260	150	168	122	919
		% within Ideology	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Geographic ID \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

						7 po	int Party ID				
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Geographic	The American South	Count	11	7	8	12	6	11	13	1	69
ID		% within 7 point Party ID	7.1%	6.1%	10.1%	9.1%	5.5%	10.2%	7.3%	2.4%	7.5%
	The State of Texas	Count	69	48	25	86	72	65	112	17	494
		% within 7 point Party ID	44.8%	41.7%	31.6%	65.2%	65.5%	60.2%	62.6%	40.5%	53.8%
	My region within Texas	Count	7	14	8	2	5	5	22	3	66
		% within 7 point Party ID	4.5%	12.2%	10.1%	1.5%	4.5%	4.6%	12.3%	7.1%	7.2%
	My hometown or city	Count	33	25	19	9	8	14	17	10	135
		% within 7 point Party ID	21.4%	21.7%	24.1%	6.8%	7.3%	13.0%	9.5%	23.8%	14.7%
	I don't really identify	Count	34	21	19	23	19	13	15	11	155
	with any of these areas	% within 7 point Party ID	22.1%	18.3%	24.1%	17.4%	17.3%	12.0%	8.4%	26.2%	16.9%
	Total	Count	154	115	79	132	110	108	179	42	919
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Geographic ID \* Family income Crosstabulation

			Family income															
			less than \$10,000	\$10,000 - \$14,999	\$15,000 - \$19,999	\$20,000 - \$24,999	\$25,000 - \$29,999	\$30,000 - \$39,999	\$40,000 - \$49,999	\$50,000 - \$59,999	\$60,000 - \$69,999	\$70,000 - \$79,999	\$80,000 - \$99,999	\$100,000 - \$119,999	\$120,000 - \$149,999	\$150,000 or more	Prefer not to say	Total
Geographic ID	The American South	Count % within Family income	1 3.8%	4 16.0%	4 12.5%	6 9.5%	1 1.5%	8 8.8%	9 8.5%	6 7.2%	3 5.3%	10 11.9%	4 5.3%	7 16.3%	2 5.1%	2 4.3%	2 2.5%	69 7.5%
	The State of Texas	Count % within Family income	12 46.2%	12 48.0%	17 53.1%	29 46.0%	41 61.2%	49 53.8%	64 60.4%	33 39.8%	33 57.9%	49 58.3%	39 52.0%	27 62.8%	22 56.4%	30 65.2%	36 45.6%	493 53.8%
	My region within Texas	Count % within Family income	1 3.8%	1 4.0%	1 3.1%	7 11.1%	3 4.5%	8 8.8%	10 9.4%	7 8.4%	2 3.5%	6 7.1%	7 9.3%	2 4.7%	1 2.6%	2 4.3%	9 11.4%	67 7.3%
	My hometown or city	Count % within Family income	3 11.5%	4 16.0%	9 28.1%	7 11.1%	10 14.9%	11 12.1%	13 12.3%	21 25.3%	8 14.0%	6 7.1%	10 13.3%	2 4.7%	6 15.4%	8 17.4%	14 17.7%	132 14.4%
	I don't really identify with any of these areas	Count % within Family income	9 34.6%	4 16.0%	1 3.1%	14 22.2%	12 17.9%	15 16.5%	10 9.4%	16 19.3%	11 19.3%	13 15.5%	15 20.0%	5	8 20.5%	4 8.7%	18 22.8%	155 16.9%
	Total	Count % within Family income	26 100.0%	25 100.0%	32 100.0%	63 100.0%	67 100.0%	91 100.0%	106 100.0%	83 100.0%	57 100.0%	84 100.0%	75	43	39 100.0%	46 100.0%	79 100.0%	916 100.0%

### Geographic ID \* Education Crosstabulation

					Edu	ication			
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Geographic ID	The American South	Count	3	26	17	7	11	6	70
		% within Education	3.5%	8.5%	7.1%	11.5%	6.8%	8.6%	7.6%
	The State of Texas	Count	53	164	128	36	81	32	494
		% within Education	62.4%	53.8%	53.6%	59.0%	50.0%	45.7%	53.6%
	My region within Texas	Count	3	25	13	6	18	2	67
		% within Education	3.5%	8.2%	5.4%	9.8%	11.1%	2.9%	7.3%
	My hometown or city	Count	7	45	41	4	27	11	135
		% within Education	8.2%	14.8%	17.2%	6.6%	16.7%	15.7%	14.6%
	I don't really identify with any	Count	19	45	40	8	25	19	156
	of these areas	% within Education	22.4%	14.8%	16.7%	13.1%	15.4%	27.1%	16.9%
	Total	Count	85	305	239	61	162	70	922
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Geographic ID \* Church attendance Crosstabulation

					Church attenda	ince		
			More than once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Prefer not to say	Total
Geographic ID	The American South	Count	10	15	17	18	9	69
		% within Church attendance	6.0%	7.1%	7.6%	9.0%	8.0%	7.5%
	The State of Texas	Count	104	120	121	87	62	494
		% within Church attendance	61.9%	57.1%	54.0%	43.3%	54.9%	53.9%
	My region within Texas	Count	16	17	11	13	11	68
		% within Church attendance	9.5%	8.1%	4.9%	6.5%	9.7%	7.4%
	My hometown or city	Count	17	31	38	37	12	135
		% within Church attendance	10.1%	14.8%	17.0%	18.4%	10.6%	14.7%
	I don't really identify with any	Count	21	27	37	46	19	150
	of these areas	% within Church attendance	12.5%	12.9%	16.5%	22.9%	16.8%	16.4%
	Total	Count	168	210	224	201	113	916
		% within Church attendance	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

u.	-					Race				
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Don't know	Total
Geographic ID	The American South	Count	46	11	9	0	1	2	0	69
		% within Race	9.4%	13.4%	3.1%	.0%	25.0%	6.2%	.0%	7.5%
	The State of Texas	Count	278	40	154	5	3	12	1	493
		% within Race	56.6%	48.8%	53.1%	50.0%	75.0%	37.5%	10.0%	53.6%
	My region within Texas	Count	42	4	18	0	0	4	0	68
		% within Race	8.6%	4.9%	6.2%	.0%	.0%	12.5%	.0%	7.4%
	My hometown or city	Count	48	12	65	4	0	3	2	134
		% within Race	9.8%	14.6%	22.4%	40.0%	.0%	9.4%	20.0%	14.6%
	I don't really identify with	Count	77	15	44	1	0	11	7	155
	any of these areas	% within Race	15.7%	18.3%	15.2%	10.0%	.0%	34.4%	70.0%	16.9%
	Total	Count	491	82	290	10	4	32	10	919
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Geographic ID \* Race Crosstabulation

		-					Marital statu	S			
			Married, living with spouse	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single, never married	Domestic partnership	Don't know	Prefer not to say	Total
Geographic ID	The American South	Count	44	0	9	3	8	5	0	0	69
		% within Marital status	7.8%	.0%	9.6%	10.7%	4.9%	11.9%	.0%	.0%	7.5%
	The State of Texas	Count	313	8	46	19	83	18	0	8	495
		% within Marital status	55.4%	57.1%	48.9%	67.9%	50.6%	42.9%	.0%	72.7%	53.7%
	My region within Texas	Count	45	2	6	1	13	2	0	0	69
		% within Marital status	8.0%	14.3%	6.4%	3.6%	7.9%	4.8%	.0%	.0%	7.5%
	My hometown or city	Count	72	1	12	2	39	6	1	0	133
		% within Marital status	12.7%	7.1%	12.8%	7.1%	23.8%	14.3%	25.0%	.0%	14.4%
	I don't really identify with	Count	91	3	21	3	21	11	3	3	156
	any of these areas	% within Marital status	16.1%	21.4%	22.3%	10.7%	12.8%	26.2%	75.0%	27.3%	16.9%
	Total	Count	565	14	94	28	164	42	4	11	922
		% within Marital status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Geographic ID \* Marital status Crosstabulation

	-	-		Gender	
			Male	Female	Total
Geographic ID	The American South	Count	24	46	70
		% within Gender	5.6%	9.3%	7.6%
	The State of Texas	Count	238	256	494
		% within Gender	55.7%	51.6%	53.5%
	My region within Texas	Count	32	36	68
		% within Gender	7.5%	7.3%	7.4%
	My hometown or city	Count	50	85	135
		% within Gender	11.7%	17.1%	14.6%
	I don't really identify with any of	Count	83	73	156
	these areas	% within Gender	19.4%	14.7%	16.9%
	Total	Count	427	496	923
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Geographic ID \* Gender Crosstabulation