# UT-Austin/Texas Tribune Statewide Poll February 2010 Crosstabs

Political Interest	3-10
Approval Ratings	
Obama Approval1	0-18
Perry Approval 1	
Hutchison Approval2	
Mood of Texans	
Country Right Direction/Wrong Track 3	33-38
Texas Right Direction/Wrong Track 3	
Country Economic Retrospection 4	3-50
Texas Economic Prospection 5	1-56
Family Economic Retrospection 5	
2010 Primary	
Likelihood of Voting in Democratic or Republican Primary 6	3-70
When Does Respondent Plan to Vote7	
Did Respondent Watch GOP Gubernatorial Primary Debates7	<b>′</b> 5-81
GOP Gubernatorial Primary Vote Intention 8	
Democratic Gubernatorial Primary Vote Intention8	38-94
Democratic Lieutenant Governor Primary Vote Intention 9	
Democratic Agriculture Commissioner Primary Vote Intention	
Hypothetical Gubernatorial General Election Matchups	
White vs. Perry 1	06-111
White vs. Hutchison1	
White vs. Medina 1	18-123
Shami vs. Perry1	24-129
Shami vs. Medina1	
Shami vs. Medina1	
Assessment of Policy Difference Between Perry and Hutchison1	
Assessment of Policy Difference Between White and Shami	

U.S. Congress Generic Ballot	154-160
State Legislature Generic Ballot	160-166
Party Choice with Tea Party Option	166-171
State Policy Issues	
Gay Marriage	171-177
Death Penalty	177-183
Fairness of Death Penalty	
Gambling Policy	189-196
Texas State Government as Model for Other States	196-203
Evolution Questions	
Origins of Humans	203-209
Origins and Development of Life	
Agree or Disagree: Humans Developed from Earlier Species	215-220
Agree or Disagree: Earliest Humans Lived with Dinosaurs	

#### Political interest \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation

		_				Ideology scale				
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Total
Political interest	Extremely interested	Count	21	35	36	69	47	129	67	404
		% within Ideology scale	75.0%	54.7%	46.2%	31.7%	38.2%	65.8%	78.8%	51.0%
	Somewhat interested	Count	6	25	32	107	67	61	11	309
		% within Ideology scale	21.4%	39.1%	41.0%	49.1%	54.5%	31.1%	12.9%	39.0%
	Not very interested	Count	1	4	9	26	8	5	5	58
		% within Ideology scale	3.6%	6.3%	11.5%	11.9%	6.5%	2.6%	5.9%	7.3%
	Not at all interested	Count	0	0	1	15	1	1	2	20
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	.0%	1.3%	6.9%	.8%	.5%	2.4%	2.5%
	Don't know	Count	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.1%
Total		Count	28	64	78	218	123	196	85	792
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Political interest \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

	-	-				7 point Pa	arty ID				
li .			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Political interest	Extremely interested	Count	114	26	20	23	48	36	130	1	398
		% within 7 point Party ID	53.5%	28.9%	47.6%	48.9%	82.8%	33.3%	66.7%	16.7%	52.4%
	Somewhat interested	Count	85	46	16	15	10	61	57	5	295
		% within 7 point Party ID	39.9%	51.1%	38.1%	31.9%	17.2%	56.5%	29.2%	83.3%	38.9%
	Not very interested	Count	10	13	4	6	0	10	7	0	50
		% within 7 point Party ID	4.7%	14.4%	9.5%	12.8%	.0%	9.3%	3.6%	.0%	6.6%
	Not at all interested	Count	4	4	2	3	0	1	1	0	15
		% within 7 point Party ID	1.9%	4.4%	4.8%	6.4%	.0%	.9%	.5%	.0%	2.0%
	Don't know	Count	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
		% within 7 point Party ID	.0%	1.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.1%
Total		Count	213	90	42	47	58	108	195	6	759

#### Political interest \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

	-	-				7 point Pa	arty ID				
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Political interest	Extremely interested	Count	114	26	20	23	48	36	130	1	398
		% within 7 point Party ID	53.5%	28.9%	47.6%	48.9%	82.8%	33.3%	66.7%	16.7%	52.4%
	Somewhat interested	Count	85	46	16	15	10	61	57	5	295
		% within 7 point Party ID	39.9%	51.1%	38.1%	31.9%	17.2%	56.5%	29.2%	83.3%	38.9%
	Not very interested	Count	10	13	4	6	0	10	7	0	50
		% within 7 point Party ID	4.7%	14.4%	9.5%	12.8%	.0%	9.3%	3.6%	.0%	6.6%
	Not at all interested	Count	4	4	2	3	0	1	1	0	15
		% within 7 point Party ID	1.9%	4.4%	4.8%	6.4%	.0%	.9%	.5%	.0%	2.0%
	Don't know	Count	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
		% within 7 point Party ID	.0%	1.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.1%
Total		Count	213	90	42	47	58	108	195	6	759
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Political interest \* Population density Crosstabulation

	-	-	Po	opulation densit	ty	
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Political interest	Extremely interested	Count	113	210	84	407
		% within Population density	46.1%	53.6%	52.8%	51.1%
	Somewhat interested	Count	104	152	54	310
		% within Population density	42.4%	38.8%	34.0%	38.9%
	Not very interested	Count	19	23	17	59
		% within Population density	7.8%	5.9%	10.7%	7.4%
	Not at all interested	Count	9	7	3	19
		% within Population density	3.7%	1.8%	1.9%	2.4%
	Don't know	Count	0	0	1	1
		% within Population density	.0%	.0%	.6%	.1%
Total	·	Count	245	392	159	796

# Political interest \* Population density Crosstabulation

	<del>-</del>	-	P	opulation densit	ty	
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Political interest	Extremely interested	Count	113	210	84	407
		% within Population density	46.1%	53.6%	52.8%	51.1%
	Somewhat interested	Count	104	152	54	310
		% within Population density	42.4%	38.8%	34.0%	38.9%
	Not very interested	Count	19	23	17	59
		% within Population density	7.8%	5.9%	10.7%	7.4%
	Not at all interested	Count	9	7	3	19
		% within Population density	3.7%	1.8%	1.9%	2.4%
	Don't know	Count	0	0	1	1
		% within Population density	.0%	.0%	.6%	.1%
Total		Count	245	392	159	796
		% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Political interest \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation

		<del>-</del>	L	ive in Houston, Da	llas-Fort Worth, Sa	ın Antonio, or Austi	n	
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas.	Total
Political interest	Extremely interested	Count	75	131	39	48	116	409
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	47.5%	53.9%	56.5%	55.8%	48.3%	51.4%
	Somewhat interested	Count	61	95	28	30	94	308
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	38.6%	39.1%	40.6%	34.9%	39.2%	38.7%
	Not very interested	Count	21	11	2	5	20	59
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	13.3%	4.5%	2.9%	5.8%	8.3%	7.4%

	Not at all interested	Count	1	6	0	3	9	19
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	.6%	2.5%	.0%	3.5%	3.8%	2.4%
	Don't know	Count	0	0	0	0	1	1
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.4%	.1%
Total	•	Count	158	243	69	86	240	796
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Political interest \* Education Crosstabulation

					Education	ı			
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Political interest	Extremely interested	Count	6	118	118	21	99	48	410
		% within Education	33.3%	42.4%	50.9%	56.8%	59.3%	72.7%	51.4%
	Somewhat interested	Count	8	113	94	13	63	18	309
		% within Education	44.4%	40.6%	40.5%	35.1%	37.7%	27.3%	38.7%
	Not very interested	Count	4	32	17	2	4	0	59
		% within Education	22.2%	11.5%	7.3%	5.4%	2.4%	.0%	7.4%
	Not at all interested	Count	0	14	3	1	1	0	19
		% within Education	.0%	5.0%	1.3%	2.7%	.6%	.0%	2.4%
	Don't know	Count	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
		% within Education	.0%	.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.1%
Total		Count	18	278	232	37	167	66	798
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Political interest \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation

				Frequency	attend religious s	ervices		
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
Political interest	Extremely interested	Count	72	82	49	120	85	408
		% within Frequency attend religious services	63.2%	48.5%	46.7%	52.2%	47.8%	51.3%
	Somewhat interested	Count	33	77	51	86	62	309
		% within Frequency attend religious services	28.9%	45.6%	48.6%	37.4%	34.8%	38.8%
	Not very interested	Count	5	7	5	21	21	59
		% within Frequency attend religious services	4.4%	4.1%	4.8%	9.1%	11.8%	7.4%
	Not at all interested	Count	4	3	0	3	9	19
		% within Frequency attend religious services	3.5%	1.8%	.0%	1.3%	5.1%	2.4%
	Don't know	Count	0	0	0	0	1	1
		% within Frequency attend religious services	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.6%	.1%
Total		Count	114	169	105	230	178	796
		% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Political interest \* Race Crosstabulation

-	-				R	ace			
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Total
Political interest	Extremely interested	Count	282	33	71	7	3	13	409
		% within Race	58.0%	29.7%	44.4%	77.8%	75.0%	46.4%	51.3%
	Somewhat interested	Count	164	63	68	1	1	12	309
		% within Race	33.7%	56.8%	42.5%	11.1%	25.0%	42.9%	38.7%
	Not very interested	Count	30	14	12	1	0	3	60

		% within Race	6.2%	12.6%	7.5%	11.1%	.0%	10.7%	7.5%
	Not at all interested	Count	9	1	9	0	0	0	19
		% within Race	1.9%	.9%	5.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.4%
	Don't know	Count	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
		% within Race	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.1%
Total	·	Count	486	111	160	9	4	28	798
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Political interest \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation

	<del>-</del>		Own or re	ent home	
			Own	Rent	Total
Political interest	Extremely interested	Count	330	76	406
		% within Own or rent home	55.7%	38.2%	51.3%
	Somewhat interested	Count	221	85	306
		% within Own or rent home	37.3%	42.7%	38.7%
	Not very interested Count			27	59
		% within Own or rent home	5.4%	13.6%	7.5%
	Not at all interested	Count	9	10	19
		% within Own or rent home	1.5%	5.0%	2.4%
	Don't know	Count	0	1	1
		% within Own or rent home	.0%	.5%	.1%
Total	•	Count	592	199	791
		% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Political interest \* Marital Status Crosstabulation

		Mari	tal Status			
Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	Total

Political interest	Extremely interested	Count	275	7	44	16	42	22	406
		% within Marital Status	56.9%	36.8%	44.0%	66.7%	32.6%	57.9%	51.2%
	Somewhat interested	Count	166	9	47	7	65	14	308
		% within Marital Status	34.4%	47.4%	47.0%	29.2%	50.4%	36.8%	38.8%
	Not very interested	Count	34	2	8	1	13	2	60
		% within Marital Status	7.0%	10.5%	8.0%	4.2%	10.1%	5.3%	7.6%
	Not at all interested	Count	7	1	1	0	9	0	18
		% within Marital Status	1.4%	5.3%	1.0%	.0%	7.0%	.0%	2.3%
	Don't know	Count	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
		% within Marital Status	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.1%
Total		Count	483	19	100	24	129	38	793
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Political interest \* Gender Crosstabulation

			Ger	nder	
			Male	Female	Total
Political interest	Extremely interested	Count	235	173	408
		% within Gender	64.9%	39.8%	51.2%
	Somewhat interested	Count	111	199	310
		% within Gender	30.7%	45.7%	38.9%
	Not very interested	Count	13	46	59
		% within Gender	3.6%	10.6%	7.4%
	Not at all interested	Count	3	16	19
		% within Gender	.8%	3.7%	2.4%
	Don't know	Count	0	1	1
		% within Gender	.0%	.2%	.1%
Total	•	Count	362	435	797
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Political interest \* Age Crosstabulation

	=	<del>-</del>	7.190 0.1000tabate				
				Age			
			60 and above	45-59	30-44	18-29	Total
Political interest	Extremely interested	Count	143	150	84	24	401
		% within Age	69.8%	57.7%	38.5%	24.0%	51.2%
	Somewhat interested	Count	59	96	99	51	305
		% within Age	28.8%	36.9%	45.4%	51.0%	39.0%
	Not very interested	Count	3	11	28	15	57
		% within Age	1.5%	4.2%	12.8%	15.0%	7.3%
	Not at all interested	Count	0	3	6	10	19
		% within Age	.0%	1.2%	2.8%	10.0%	2.4%
	Don't know	Count	0	0	1	0	1
		% within Age	.0%	.0%	.5%	.0%	.1%
Total		Count	205	260	218	100	783
		% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Barack Obama job approval \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation

	-	-				Ideology scale				
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Total
Barack Obama job approval	Approve strongly	Count	14	26	32	78	7	3	2	162
		% within Ideology scale	51.9%	40.6%	41.0%	35.8%	5.7%	1.5%	2.4%	20.5%
7	Approve somewhat	Count	8	32	30	61	21	4	3	159
		% within Ideology scale	29.6%	50.0%	38.5%	28.0%	17.1%	2.1%	3.6%	20.2%
	Neither approve nor	Count	4	3	9	16	16	6	4	58
	disapprove	% within Ideology scale	14.8%	4.7%	11.5%	7.3%	13.0%	3.1%	4.8%	7.4%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	1	2	4	26	27	16	2	78
		% within Ideology scale	3.7%	3.1%	5.1%	11.9%	22.0%	8.2%	2.4%	9.9%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	0	1	3	26	52	165	73	320
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	1.6%	3.8%	11.9%	42.3%	84.6%	86.9%	40.6%

	Don't know	Count	0	0	0	11	0	1	0	12
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	.0%	.0%	5.0%	.0%	.5%	.0%	1.5%
Total		Count	27	64	78	218	123	195	84	789
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Barack Obama job approval \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

	_	_	druok obama job								
						7 point Pa	arty ID				
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Barack Obama job approval	Approve strongly	Count	113	22	18	4	1	3	0	0	161
		% within 7 point Party ID	53.3%	24.4%	43.9%	8.7%	1.7%	2.7%	.0%	.0%	21.3%
	Approve somewhat	Count	76	41	15	7	0	16	1	0	156
		% within 7 point Party ID	35.8%	45.6%	36.6%	15.2%	.0%	14.5%	.5%	.0%	20.6%
	Neither approve nor	Count	9	13	4	5	2	9	10	2	54
disappro	disapprove	% within 7 point Party ID	4.2%	14.4%	9.8%	10.9%	3.4%	8.2%	5.2%	33.3%	7.1%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	9	9	1	7	9	25	14	1	75
		% within 7 point Party ID	4.2%	10.0%	2.4%	15.2%	15.3%	22.7%	7.3%	16.7%	9.9%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	4	5	3	20	47	57	167	3	306
		% within 7 point Party ID	1.9%	5.6%	7.3%	43.5%	79.7%	51.8%	86.5%	50.0%	40.4%
	Don't know	Count	1	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	5
		% within 7 point Party ID	.5%	.0%	.0%	6.5%	.0%	.0%	.5%	.0%	.7%
Total		Count	212	90	41	46	59	110	193	6	757
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Barack Obama job approval \* Population density Crosstabulation

	-	-	P	24.8%     20.5%     15.2       72     68     29.3%       17.4%     14.6       18     23		
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Barack Obama job approval	Approve strongly	Count	61	80	24	165
		% within Population density	24.8%	20.5%	15.2%	20.8%
	Approve somewhat	Count	72	68	23	163
		% within Population density	29.3%	17.4%	14.6%	20.5%
	Neither approve nor disappro	ve Count	18	23	17	58
		% within Population density	7.3%	5.9%	10.8%	7.3%

				4.4		70
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	27	41	11	79
		% within Population density	11.0%	10.5%	7.0%	9.9%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	63	176	80	319
		% within Population density	25.6%	45.0%	50.6%	40.1%
	Don't know	Count	5	3	3	11
		% within Population density	2.0%	.8%	1.9%	1.4%
Total		Count	246	391	158	795
		% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Barack Obama job approval \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation

	<del>-</del>	<del>-</del>	Live	e in Houston, Dal	las-Fort Worth, S	an Antonio, or Au	stin	
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas.	Total
Barack Obama job	Approve strongly	Count	39	55	12	20	39	165
approval		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	24.7%	22.7%	17.9%	23.3%	16.2%	20.8%
	Approve somewhat	Count	34	55	12	25	36	162
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	21.5%	22.7%	17.9%	29.1%	14.9%	20.4%
	Neither approve nor	Count	7	14	7	5	24	57
	disapprove	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	4.4%	5.8%	10.4%	5.8%	10.0%	7.2%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	23	23	6	3	24	79
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	14.6%	9.5%	9.0%	3.5%	10.0%	9.9%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	55	93	30	29	112	319
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	34.8%	38.4%	44.8%	33.7%	46.5%	40.2%
	Don't know	Count	0	2	0	4	6	12

	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	.0%	.8%	.0%	4.7%	2.5%	1.5%
Total	Count	158	242	67	86	241	794
	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Barack Obama job approval \* Education Crosstabulation

	-	_			Education				
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Barack Obama job approval	Approve strongly	Count	6	48	55	5	34	18	166
		% within Education	31.6%	17.2%	23.8%	13.5%	20.5%	27.3%	20.8%
	Approve somewhat	Count	2	54	47	8	35	17	163
		% within Education	10.5%	19.4%	20.3%	21.6%	21.1%	25.8%	20.4%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	2	26	16	3	8	4	59
		% within Education	10.5%	9.3%	6.9%	8.1%	4.8%	6.1%	7.4%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	0	35	22	5	11	4	77
		% within Education	.0%	12.5%	9.5%	13.5%	6.6%	6.1%	9.6%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	9	106	89	16	78	23	321
		% within Education	47.4%	38.0%	38.5%	43.2%	47.0%	34.8%	40.2%
	Don't know	Count	0	10	2	0	0	0	12
		% within Education	.0%	3.6%	.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.5%
Total		Count	19	279	231	37	166	66	798
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Barack Obama job approval \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation

		Frequency	attend religious s	ervices		
	More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
Barack Obama job approval Approve strongly Count	24	35	28	38	41	166

		% within Frequency attend religious services	21.4%	20.6%	26.7%	16.5%	22.8%	20.8%
	Approve somewhat	Count	14	39	12	60	38	163
	<u>-</u>	% within Frequency attend religious services	12.5%	22.9%	11.4%	26.0%	21.1%	20.4%
	Neither approve nor	Count	5	11	9	17	16	58
	disapprove	% within Frequency attend religious services	4.5%	6.5%	8.6%	7.4%	8.9%	7.3%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	11	12	14	27	15	79
		% within Frequency attend religious services	9.8%	7.1%	13.3%	11.7%	8.3%	9.9%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	58	71	41	88	62	320
		% within Frequency attend religious services	51.8%	41.8%	39.0%	38.1%	34.4%	40.1%
	Don't know	Count	0	2	1	1	8	12
		% within Frequency attend religious services	.0%	1.2%	1.0%	.4%	4.4%	1.5%
Total		Count	112	170	105	231	180	798
		% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Barack Obama job approval \* Race Crosstabulation

					R	ace			
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Total
Barack Obama job approval	Approve strongly	Count	74	51	34	3	0	5	167
		% within Race	15.2%	46.4%	21.3%	33.3%	.0%	17.9%	21.0%
	Approve somewhat	Count	78	37	38	2	0	7	162
		% within Race	16.0%	33.6%	23.8%	22.2%	.0%	25.0%	20.3%
	Neither approve nor	Count	27	11	16	3	0	1	58
	disapprove	% within Race	5.5%	10.0%	10.0%	33.3%	.0%	3.6%	7.3%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	44	7	20	1	0	6	78
		% within Race	9.0%	6.4%	12.5%	11.1%	.0%	21.4%	9.8%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	258	3	47	0	3	9	320

		% within Race	53.0%	2.7%	29.4%	.0%	100.0%	32.1%	40.2%
	Don't know	Count	6	1	5	0	0	0	12
		% within Race	1.2%	.9%	3.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.5%
Total	-	Count	487	110	160	9	3	28	797
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Barack Obama job approval \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation

			Own or re	ent home	
			Own	Rent	Total
Barack Obama job approval	Approve strongly	Count	120	45	165
		% within Own or rent home	20.2%	22.6%	20.8%
	Approve somewhat	Count	107	54	161
		% within Own or rent home	18.0%	27.1%	20.3%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	36	20	56
		% within Own or rent home	6.1%	10.1%	7.1%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	59	20	79
		% within Own or rent home	9.9%	10.1%	10.0%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	266	53	319
		% within Own or rent home	44.9%	26.6%	40.3%
	Don't know	Count	5	7	12
		% within Own or rent home	.8%	3.5%	1.5%
Total		Count	593	199	792
		% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Barack Obama job approval \* Marital Status Crosstabulation

			Marit	tal Status			
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	Total
Barack Obama job approval Approve strongly Count	91	8	23	7	26	8	163

	-	% within Marital Status	18.8%	42.1%	22.8%	28.0%	20.3%	20.5%	20.5%
	Approve somewhat	Count	71	3	25	3	45	16	163
		% within Marital Status	14.7%	15.8%	24.8%	12.0%	35.2%	41.0%	20.5%
	Neither approve nor	Count	36	3	11	2	5	1	58
	disapprove	% within Marital Status	7.4%	15.8%	10.9%	8.0%	3.9%	2.6%	7.3%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	43	1	11	2	19	4	80
		% within Marital Status	8.9%	5.3%	10.9%	8.0%	14.8%	10.3%	10.1%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	238	4	28	11	29	10	320
		% within Marital Status	49.2%	21.1%	27.7%	44.0%	22.7%	25.6%	40.2%
	Don't know	Count	5	0	3	0	4	0	12
		% within Marital Status	1.0%	.0%	3.0%	.0%	3.1%	.0%	1.5%
Total	·	Count	484	19	101	25	128	39	796
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Barack Obama job approval \* Gender Crosstabulation

			Gen	nder	
			Male	Female	Total
Barack Obama job approval	Approve strongly	Count	73	93	166
		% within Gender	20.1%	21.4%	20.8%
	Approve somewhat	Count	63	99	162
		% within Gender	17.4%	22.8%	20.3%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	18	40	58
		% within Gender	5.0%	9.2%	7.3%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	33	46	79
		% within Gender	9.1%	10.6%	9.9%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	175	146	321
		% within Gender	48.2%	33.6%	40.2%
	Don't know	Count	1	11	12
		% within Gender	.3%	2.5%	1.5%
Total		Count	363	435	798

# Barack Obama job approval \* Gender Crosstabulation

	<del>-</del>	-	Ger	nder	
			Male	Female	Total
Barack Obama job approval	Approve strongly	Count	73	93	166
		% within Gender	20.1%	21.4%	20.8%
	Approve somewhat	Count	63	99	162
		% within Gender	17.4%	22.8%	20.3%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	18	40	58
		% within Gender	5.0%	9.2%	7.3%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	33	46	79
		% within Gender	9.1%	10.6%	9.9%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	175	146	321
		% within Gender	48.2%	33.6%	40.2%
	Don't know	Count	1	11	12
		% within Gender	.3%	2.5%	1.5%
Total		Count	363	435	798
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Barack Obama job approval \* Age Crosstabulation

		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
				Age			
			60 and above	45-59	30-44	18-29	Total
Barack Obama job approval	Approve strongly	Count	47	63	39	13	162
		% within Age	22.9%	24.0%	17.7%	13.0%	20.6%
	Approve somewhat	Count	24	46	55	34	159
		% within Age	11.7%	17.6%	25.0%	34.0%	20.2%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	10	23	19	6	58
		% within Age	4.9%	8.8%	8.6%	6.0%	7.4%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	14	19	27	18	78

		% within Age	6.8%	7.3%	12.3%	18.0%	9.9%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	110	108	77	22	317
		% within Age	53.7%	41.2%	35.0%	22.0%	40.3%
	Don't know	Count	0	3	3	7	13
		% within Age	.0%	1.1%	1.4%	7.0%	1.7%
Total		Count	205	262	220	100	787
		% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rick Perry job approval \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation

		, ,	b approvar lucor							
						Ideology scale				
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Total
Rick Perry job approval	Approve strongly	Count	5	1	0	12	14	34	21	87
		% within Ideology scale	17.9%	1.6%	.0%	5.6%	11.5%	17.4%	24.7%	11.1%
	Approve somewhat	Count	1	3	10	30	38	97	39	218
		% within Ideology scale	3.6%	4.7%	13.0%	14.0%	31.1%	49.7%	45.9%	27.7%
	Neither approve nor	Count	2	11	9	52	29	25	4	132
	disapprove	% within Ideology scale	7.1%	17.2%	11.7%	24.2%	23.8%	12.8%	4.7%	16.8%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	4	9	20	42	15	32	12	134
		% within Ideology scale	14.3%	14.1%	26.0%	19.5%	12.3%	16.4%	14.1%	17.0%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	16	37	37	58	24	5	5	182
		% within Ideology scale	57.1%	57.8%	48.1%	27.0%	19.7%	2.6%	5.9%	23.2%
	Don't know	Count	0	3	1	21	2	2	4	33
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	4.7%	1.3%	9.8%	1.6%	1.0%	4.7%	4.2%
Total		Count	28	64	77	215	122	195	85	786
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Rick Perry job approval \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

		-				7 point Pa	arty ID				
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Rick Perry job approval	Approve strongly	Count	7	4	0	6	2	13	48	2	82

	<del>-</del>	% within 7 point Party ID	3.3%	4.5%	.0%	13.0%	3.4%	11.9%	24.7%	33.3%	10.9%
	Approve somewhat	Count	17	15	4	6	28	45	88	0	203
		% within 7 point Party ID	8.0%	16.9%	9.8%	13.0%	48.3%	41.3%	45.4%	.0%	26.9%
	Neither approve nor	Count	27	26	13	9	7	25	15	1	123
	disapprove	% within 7 point Party ID	12.7%	29.2%	31.7%	19.6%	12.1%	22.9%	7.7%	16.7%	16.3%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	33	20	10	10	17	15	28	1	134
		% within 7 point Party ID	15.6%	22.5%	24.4%	21.7%	29.3%	13.8%	14.4%	16.7%	17.7%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	118	18	14	13	3	10	10	0	186
		% within 7 point Party ID	55.7%	20.2%	34.1%	28.3%	5.2%	9.2%	5.2%	.0%	24.6%
	Don't know	Count	10	6	0	2	1	1	5	2	27
		% within 7 point Party ID	4.7%	6.7%	.0%	4.3%	1.7%	.9%	2.6%	33.3%	3.6%
Total		Count	212	89	41	46	58	109	194	6	755
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Rick Perry job approval \* Population density Crosstabulation

		-	P	opulation densi	ty	
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Rick Perry job approval	Approve strongly	Count	26	48	15	89
		% within Population density	10.8%	12.2%	9.5%	11.2%
	Approve somewhat	Count	54	114	48	216
		% within Population density	22.4%	29.0%	30.4%	27.3%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	41	63	29	133
		% within Population density	17.0%	16.0%	18.4%	16.8%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	41	59	35	135
		% within Population density	17.0%	15.0%	22.2%	17.0%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	70	91	24	185
		% within Population density	29.0%	23.2%	15.2%	23.4%
	Don't know	Count	9	18	7	34
		% within Population density	3.7%	4.6%	4.4%	4.3%
Total		Count	241	393	158	792
		% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Rick Perry job approval \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation

	-	-	Live	e in Houston, Dal	llas-Fort Worth, S	an Antonio, or Au	stin	
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas.	Total
Rick Perry job approval	Approve strongly	Count	16	19	8	8	37	88
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	10.1%	7.9%	11.8%	9.2%	15.4%	11.1%
	Approve somewhat	Count	40	68	25	18	67	218
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	25.3%	28.1%	36.8%	20.7%	27.9%	27.4%
	Neither approve nor	Count	27	36	15	11	44	133
	disapprove	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	17.1%	14.9%	22.1%	12.6%	18.3%	16.7%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	22	45	11	12	45	135
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	13.9%	18.6%	16.2%	13.8%	18.8%	17.0%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	48	69	9	32	28	186
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	30.4%	28.5%	13.2%	36.8%	11.7%	23.4%
	Don't know	Count	5	5	0	6	19	35
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	3.2%	2.1%	.0%	6.9%	7.9%	4.4%
Total		Count	158	242	68	87	240	795
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Rick Perry job approval \* Education Crosstabulation

	Tatal
Education	Total

			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Rick Perry job approval	Approve strongly	Count	4	31	21	4	23	7	90
		% within Education	22.2%	11.2%	9.1%	10.8%	13.8%	10.6%	11.3%
	Approve somewhat	Count	5	85	67	10	35	16	218
		% within Education	27.8%	30.6%	28.9%	27.0%	21.0%	24.2%	27.3%
	Neither approve nor	Count	1	54	38	5	28	8	134
	disapprove	% within Education	5.6%	19.4%	16.4%	13.5%	16.8%	12.1%	16.8%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	2	39	41	11	34	8	135
		% within Education	11.1%	14.0%	17.7%	29.7%	20.4%	12.1%	16.9%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	6	43	62	6	43	27	187
		% within Education	33.3%	15.5%	26.7%	16.2%	25.7%	40.9%	23.4%
	Don't know	Count	0	26	3	1	4	0	34
		% within Education	.0%	9.4%	1.3%	2.7%	2.4%	.0%	4.3%
Total	<u> </u>	Count	18	278	232	37	167	66	798
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Rick Perry job approval \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation

	-	-		Frequency	attend religious se	ervices		
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
Rick Perry job approval	Approve strongly	Count	17	16	10	27	18	88
		% within Frequency attend religious services	14.9%	9.5%	9.6%	11.7%	10.1%	11.1%
	Approve somewhat	Count	40	48	33	62	34	217
		% within Frequency attend religious services	35.1%	28.4%	31.7%	27.0%	19.1%	27.3%
	Neither approve nor	Count	16	33	14	35	36	134
	disapprove	% within Frequency attend religious services	14.0%	19.5%	13.5%	15.2%	20.2%	16.9%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	15	37	22	41	20	135
		% within Frequency attend religious services	13.2%	21.9%	21.2%	17.8%	11.2%	17.0%

	Disapprove strongly	Count	23	30	21	54	58	186
		% within Frequency attend religious services	20.2%	17.8%	20.2%	23.5%	32.6%	23.4%
	Don't know	Count	3	5	4	11	12	35
		% within Frequency attend religious services	2.6%	3.0%	3.8%	4.8%	6.7%	4.4%
Total	-	Count	114	169	104	230	178	795
		% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rick Perry job approval \* Race Crosstabulation

					R	ace			
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Total
Rick Perry job approval	Approve strongly	Count	58	4	21	2	1	3	89
		% within Race	11.9%	3.8%	13.0%	20.0%	25.0%	10.7%	11.2%
	Approve somewhat	Count	156	10	41	2	1	9	219
		% within Race	32.0%	9.5%	25.5%	20.0%	25.0%	32.1%	27.5%
	Neither approve nor	Count	60	30	38	1	1	4	134
	disapprove	% within Race	12.3%	28.6%	23.6%	10.0%	25.0%	14.3%	16.9%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	90	16	22	1	0	5	134
		% within Race	18.5%	15.2%	13.7%	10.0%	.0%	17.9%	16.9%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	105	40	30	4	1	6	186
		% within Race	21.6%	38.1%	18.6%	40.0%	25.0%	21.4%	23.4%
	Don't know	Count	18	5	9	0	0	1	33
		% within Race	3.7%	4.8%	5.6%	.0%	.0%	3.6%	4.2%
Total		Count	487	105	161	10	4	28	795
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Rick Perry job approval \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation

|--|

			Own	Rent	
Rick Perry job approval	Approve strongly	Count	64	22	86
		% within Own or rent home	10.8%	11.1%	10.9%
	Approve somewhat	Count	168	49	217
		% within Own or rent home	28.4%	24.6%	27.5%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	96	38	134
		% within Own or rent home	16.2%	19.1%	17.0%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	104	30	134
		% within Own or rent home	17.6%	15.1%	17.0%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	140	45	185
		% within Own or rent home	23.7%	22.6%	23.4%
	Don't know	Count	19	15	34
		% within Own or rent home	3.2%	7.5%	4.3%
Total	-	Count	591	199	790
		% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Rick Perry job approval \* Marital Status Crosstabulation

					Marit	al Status			
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	Total
Rick Perry job approval	Approve strongly	Count	62	1	8	2	13	3	89
		% within Marital Status	12.8%	5.3%	8.1%	7.7%	10.3%	7.7%	11.2%
	Approve somewhat	Count	145	5	25	8	31	5	219
		% within Marital Status	30.0%	26.3%	25.3%	30.8%	24.6%	12.8%	27.7%
	Neither approve nor	Count	77	5	18	3	21	8	132
	disapprove	% within Marital Status	15.9%	26.3%	18.2%	11.5%	16.7%	20.5%	16.7%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	80	5	18	9	17	5	134
		% within Marital Status	16.6%	26.3%	18.2%	34.6%	13.5%	12.8%	16.9%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	103	3	28	4	32	14	184
		% within Marital Status	21.3%	15.8%	28.3%	15.4%	25.4%	35.9%	23.2%

	Don't know	Count	16	0	2	0	12	4	34
		% within Marital Status	3.3%	.0%	2.0%	.0%	9.5%	10.3%	4.3%
Total	•	Count	483	19	99	26	126	39	792
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Rick Perry job approval \* Gender Crosstabulation

	-	-	Gen	ıder	
			Male	Female	Total
Rick Perry job approval	Approve strongly	Count	46	43	89
		% within Gender	12.7%	9.9%	11.2%
	Approve somewhat	Count	107	111	218
		% within Gender	29.6%	25.5%	27.4%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	60	74	134
		% within Gender	16.6%	17.0%	16.8%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	46	89	135
		% within Gender	12.7%	20.5%	17.0%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	97	89	186
		% within Gender	26.9%	20.5%	23.4%
	Don't know	Count	5	29	34
		% within Gender	1.4%	6.7%	4.3%
Total	•	Count	361	435	796
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Rick Perry job approval \* Age Crosstabulation

		-		Age			
			60 and above	45-59	30-44	18-29	Total
Rick Perry job approval	Approve strongly	Count	26	26	25	9	86
		% within Age	12.7%	10.0%	11.6%	9.0%	11.0%

	Approve somewhat	Count	66	79	52	19	216
	ripprovo comownat	% within Age	32.2%			_	
	Neither approve nor disapprove		29	32	52	18	131
		% within Age	14.1%	12.3%	24.1%	18.0%	16.8%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	36	48	30	20	134
		% within Age	17.6%	18.5%	13.9%	20.0%	17.2%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	47	74	46	14	181
		% within Age	22.9%	28.5%	21.3%	14.0%	23.2%
	Don't know	Count	1	1	11	20	33
		% within Age	.5%	.4%	5.1%	20.0%	4.2%
Total		Count	205	260	216	100	781
		% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Kay Bailey Hutchison job approval \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation

						Ideology scale				
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Total
Kay Bailey Hutchison job	Approve strongly	Count	3	3	4	13	7	23	7	60
approval		% within Ideology scale	11.1%	4.7%	5.2%	6.0%	5.6%	11.8%	8.3%	7.6%
	Approve somewhat	Count	2	10	12	38	48	77	35	222
		% within Ideology scale	7.4%	15.6%	15.6%	17.6%	38.7%	39.5%	41.7%	28.2%
	Neither approve nor	Count	2	10	15	70	29	40	12	178
	disapprove	% within Ideology scale	7.4%	15.6%	19.5%	32.4%	23.4%	20.5%	14.3%	22.6%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	7	18	23	27	24	42	16	157
		% within Ideology scale	25.9%	28.1%	29.9%	12.5%	19.4%	21.5%	19.0%	19.9%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	13	20	21	32	13	11	8	118
		% within Ideology scale	48.1%	31.3%	27.3%	14.8%	10.5%	5.6%	9.5%	15.0%
	Don't know	Count	0	3	2	36	3	2	6	52
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	4.7%	2.6%	16.7%	2.4%	1.0%	7.1%	6.6%
Total	<u>-</u>	Count	27	64	77	216	124	195	84	787
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Kay Bailey Hutchison job approval \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

	-	<u>-</u>				7 point Pa	arty ID				
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Kay Bailey Hutchison job	Approve strongly	Count	7	2	1	3	4	11	23	3	54
approval		% within 7 point Party ID	3.3%	2.2%	2.4%	6.7%	6.8%	10.3%	12.0%	50.0%	7.2%
	Approve somewhat	Count	35	20	6	14	15	47	77	0	214
		% within 7 point Party ID	16.6%	22.0%	14.3%	31.1%	25.4%	43.9%	40.1%	.0%	28.4%
	Neither approve nor	Count	43	36	12	6	16	23	31	0	167
	disapprove	% within 7 point Party ID	20.4%	39.6%	28.6%	13.3%	27.1%	21.5%	16.1%	.0%	22.2%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	47	15	13	7	16	16	42	0	156
		% within 7 point Party ID	22.3%	16.5%	31.0%	15.6%	27.1%	15.0%	21.9%	.0%	20.7%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	61	8	10	13	7	7	11	1	118
		% within 7 point Party ID	28.9%	8.8%	23.8%	28.9%	11.9%	6.5%	5.7%	16.7%	15.7%
	Don't know	Count	18	10	0	2	1	3	8	2	44
		% within 7 point Party ID	8.5%	11.0%	.0%	4.4%	1.7%	2.8%	4.2%	33.3%	5.8%
Total	•	Count	211	91	42	45	59	107	192	6	753
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Kay Bailey Hutchison job approval \* Population density Crosstabulation

	-	-	Po	opulation densi	ty	
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Kay Bailey Hutchison job	Approve strongly	Count	18	27	15	60
approval		% within Population density	7.3%	6.9%	9.4%	7.6%
	Approve somewhat	Count	54	117	52	223
		% within Population density	22.0%	30.1%	32.7%	28.1%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	64	80	37	181
		% within Population density	26.0%	20.6%	23.3%	22.8%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	47	86	23	156
		% within Population density	19.1%	22.1%	14.5%	19.6%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	46	54	21	121
		% within Population density	18.7%	13.9%	13.2%	15.2%

	Don't know	Count	17	25	11	53
		% within Population density	6.9%	6.4%	6.9%	6.7%
Total	•	Count	246	389	159	794
		% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Kay Bailey Hutchison job approval \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation

	-		Live	e in Houston, Dal	las-Fort Worth, S	an Antonio, or Au	stin	
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas.	Total
Kay Bailey Hutchison job	Approve strongly	Count	7	18	1	5	27	58
approval		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	4.5%	7.4%	1.5%	5.8%	11.3%	7.3%
	Approve somewhat	Count	41	78	20	16	68	223
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	26.1%	32.1%	29.9%	18.6%	28.5%	28.2%
	Neither approve nor	Count	34	43	24	22	57	180
	disapprove	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	21.7%	17.7%	35.8%	25.6%	23.8%	22.7%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	44	50	10	14	38	156
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	28.0%	20.6%	14.9%	16.3%	15.9%	19.7%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	19	45	10	23	24	121
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	12.1%	18.5%	14.9%	26.7%	10.0%	15.3%
	Don't know	Count	12	9	2	6	25	54
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	7.6%	3.7%	3.0%	7.0%	10.5%	6.8%
Total		Count	157	243	67	86	239	792

#### Kay Bailey Hutchison job approval \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation

	-	-	Live	e in Houston, Dal	las-Fort Worth, S	an Antonio, or Au	stin	
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas.	Total
Kay Bailey Hutchison job	Approve strongly	Count	7	18	1	5	27	58
approval		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	4.5%	7.4%	1.5%	5.8%	11.3%	7.3%
	Approve somewhat	Count	41	78	20	16	68	223
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	26.1%	32.1%	29.9%	18.6%	28.5%	28.2%
	Neither approve nor	Count	34	43	24	22	57	180
	disapprove	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	21.7%	17.7%	35.8%	25.6%	23.8%	22.7%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	44	50	10	14	38	156
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	28.0%	20.6%	14.9%	16.3%	15.9%	19.7%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	19	45	10	23	24	121
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	12.1%	18.5%	14.9%	26.7%	10.0%	15.3%
	Don't know	Count	12	9	2	6	25	54
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	7.6%	3.7%	3.0%	7.0%	10.5%	6.8%
Total	•	Count	157	243	67	86	239	792
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Kay Bailey Hutchison job approval \* Education Crosstabulation

Education Tota
----------------

			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Kay Bailey Hutchison job	Approve strongly	Count	0	23	16	4	11	6	60
approval		% within Education	.0%	8.2%	6.9%	10.8%	6.6%	9.1%	7.5%
	Approve somewhat	Count	9	68	62	9	57	18	223
		% within Education	50.0%	24.4%	26.8%	24.3%	34.3%	27.3%	28.0%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	6	70	55	9	32	11	183
		% within Education	33.3%	25.1%	23.8%	24.3%	19.3%	16.7%	23.0%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	1	40	51	6	42	17	157
		% within Education	5.6%	14.3%	22.1%	16.2%	25.3%	25.8%	19.7%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	1	41	39	6	22	13	122
		% within Education	5.6%	14.7%	16.9%	16.2%	13.3%	19.7%	15.3%
	Don't know	Count	1	37	8	3	2	1	52
		% within Education	5.6%	13.3%	3.5%	8.1%	1.2%	1.5%	6.5%
Total	<u> </u>	Count	18	279	231	37	166	66	797
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Kay Bailey Hutchison job approval \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation

	-	-		Frequency	attend religious s	ervices		
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
Kay Bailey Hutchison job	Approve strongly	Count	11	12	8	16	12	59
approval		% within Frequency attend religious services	9.6%	7.1%	7.5%	7.0%	6.6%	7.4%
	Approve somewhat	Count	38	47	31	75	33	224
		% within Frequency attend religious services	33.3%	28.0%	29.2%	32.9%	18.2%	28.1%
		Count	23	46	20	47	45	181
		% within Frequency attend religious services	20.2%	27.4%	18.9%	20.6%	24.9%	22.7%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	23	38	24	39	34	158
	• •	% within Frequency attend religious services	20.2%	22.6%	22.6%	17.1%	18.8%	19.8%

	Disapprove strongly	Count	14	19	16	32	41	122
		% within Frequency attend religious services	12.3%	11.3%	15.1%	14.0%	22.7%	15.3%
	Don't know	Count	5	6	7	19	16	53
		% within Frequency attend religious services	4.4%	3.6%	6.6%	8.3%	8.8%	6.6%
Total		Count	114	168	106	228	181	797
		% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Kay Bailey Hutchison job approval \* Race Crosstabulation

	-	_			R	ace			
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Total
Kay Bailey Hutchison job	Approve strongly	Count	45	4	6	2	0	2	59
approval		% within Race	9.2%	3.7%	3.8%	20.0%	.0%	7.7%	7.4%
	Approve somewhat	Count	153	15	45	1	1	8	223
		% within Race	31.4%	13.9%	28.1%	10.0%	33.3%	30.8%	28.1%
	Neither approve nor	Count	90	37	45	1	1	7	181
	disapprove	% within Race	18.4%	34.3%	28.1%	10.0%	33.3%	26.9%	22.8%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	95	23	31	4	1	2	156
		% within Race	19.5%	21.3%	19.4%	40.0%	33.3%	7.7%	19.6%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	79	16	20	2	0	6	123
		% within Race	16.2%	14.8%	12.5%	20.0%	.0%	23.1%	15.5%
	Don't know	Count	26	13	13	0	0	1	53
		% within Race	5.3%	12.0%	8.1%	.0%	.0%	3.8%	6.7%
Total		Count	488	108	160	10	3	26	795
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Kay Bailey Hutchison job approval \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation

Own or rent home	Total

			Own	Rent	
Kay Bailey Hutchison job	Approve strongly	Count	45	13	58
approval		% within Own or rent home	7.6%	6.5%	7.3%
	Approve somewhat	Count	175	47	222
		% within Own or rent home	29.6%	23.6%	28.1%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	131	48	179
		% within Own or rent home	22.1%	24.1%	22.6%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	122	36	158
		% within Own or rent home	20.6%	18.1%	20.0%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	90	32	122
		% within Own or rent home	15.2%	16.1%	15.4%
	Don't know	Count	29	23	52
		% within Own or rent home	4.9%	11.6%	6.6%
Total		Count	592	199	791
		% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Kay Bailey Hutchison job approval \* Marital Status Crosstabulation

					Marit	al Status			
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	Total
Kay Bailey Hutchison job	Approve strongly	Count	33	1	13	6	6	0	59
approval		% within Marital Status	6.9%	5.3%	13.0%	24.0%	4.6%	.0%	7.4%
	Approve somewhat	Count	138	9	25	6	35	8	221
		% within Marital Status	28.8%	47.4%	25.0%	24.0%	26.9%	21.1%	27.9%
	Neither approve nor	Count	115	6	21	4	26	9	181
	disapprove	% within Marital Status	24.0%	31.6%	21.0%	16.0%	20.0%	23.7%	22.9%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	106	3	19	5	16	8	157
		% within Marital Status	22.1%	15.8%	19.0%	20.0%	12.3%	21.1%	19.8%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	62	0	18	4	28	9	121
		% within Marital Status	12.9%	.0%	18.0%	16.0%	21.5%	23.7%	15.3%

	Don't know Count		26	0	4	0	19	4	53
		% within Marital Status	5.4%	.0%	4.0%	.0%	14.6%	10.5%	6.7%
Total	•	Count	480	19	100	25	130	38	792
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Kay Bailey Hutchison job approval \* Gender Crosstabulation

			Gen	der	
			Male	Female	Total
Kay Bailey Hutchison job	Approve strongly	Count	18	42	60
approval		% within Gender	5.0%	9.7%	7.5%
	Approve somewhat	Count	114	110	224
		% within Gender	31.4%	25.3%	28.1%
	Neither approve nor disapprove	Count	76	105	181
		% within Gender	20.9%	24.2%	22.7%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	76	81	157
		% within Gender	20.9%	18.7%	19.7%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	68	54	122
		% within Gender	18.7%	12.4%	15.3%
	Don't know	Count	11	42	53
		% within Gender	3.0%	9.7%	6.6%
Total		Count	363	434	797
1		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Kay Bailey Hutchison job approval \* Age Crosstabulation

	<u>-</u>	·					
			60 and above	45-59	30-44	18-29	Total
Kay Bailey Hutchison job	Approve strongly	Count	18	21	19	2	60
approval		% within Age	8.8%	8.0%	8.7%	2.0%	7.6%

	Approve somewhat	Count	64	79	55	24	222
	Approve somewhat					ľ	
		% within Age	31.2%	30.3%	25.1%	24.0%	28.3%
	Neither approve nor disapp	rove Count	50	53	61	16	180
		% within Age	24.4%	20.3%	27.9%	16.0%	22.9%
	Disapprove somewhat	Count	44	58	35	16	153
		% within Age	21.5%	22.2%	16.0%	16.0%	19.5%
	Disapprove strongly	Count	27	43	32	15	117
		% within Age	13.2%	16.5%	14.6%	15.0%	14.9%
	Don't know	Count	2	7	17	27	53
		% within Age	1.0%	2.7%	7.8%	27.0%	6.8%
Total		Count	205	261	219	100	785
		% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Country headed in the right direction \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation

	_	-				Ideology scale				
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Total
Country headed in the right	Right direction	Count	16	41	44	102	28	8	4	243
direction		% within Ideology scale	57.1%	63.1%	57.1%	46.6%	22.8%	4.1%	4.7%	30.6%
	Wrong track	Count	11	11	20	65	82	177	77	443
		% within Ideology scale	39.3%	16.9%	26.0%	29.7%	66.7%	90.8%	89.5%	55.9%
	Don't know	Count	1	13	13	52	13	10	5	107
		% within Ideology scale	3.6%	20.0%	16.9%	23.7%	10.6%	5.1%	5.8%	13.5%
Total		Count	28	65	77	219	123	195	86	793
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Country headed in the right direction \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

	-					7 point Pa	rty ID				
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Country headed in the right	Right direction	Count	140	49	22	8	2	18	4	0	243

direction	_	% within 7 point Party ID	65.7%	54.4%	53.7%	17.4%	3.4%	16.5%	2.1%	.0%	32.2%
	Wrong track	Count	29	27	11	33	54	81	181	6	422
		% within 7 point Party ID	13.6%	30.0%	26.8%	71.7%	93.1%	74.3%	94.3%	100.0%	55.9%
	Don't know	Count	44	14	8	5	2	10	7	0	90
		% within 7 point Party ID	20.7%	15.6%	19.5%	10.9%	3.4%	9.2%	3.6%	.0%	11.9%
Total	•	Count	213	90	41	46	58	109	192	6	755
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Country headed in the right direction \* Population density Crosstabulation

			Po	opulation densit	ty	
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Country headed in the right	Right direction	Count	94	111	39	244
direction		% within Population density	38.2%	28.2%	24.7%	30.6%
	Wrong track	Count	119	231	96	446
		% within Population density	48.4%	58.8%	60.8%	56.0%
	Don't know	Count	33	51	23	107
		% within Population density	13.4%	13.0%	14.6%	13.4%
Total		Count	246	393	158	797
		% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Country headed in the right direction \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation

			Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin						
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas.	Total	
Country headed in the right	Right direction	Count	52	83	22	33	54	244	
direction		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	32.9%	34.2%	32.4%	38.4%	22.5%	30.7%	
	Wrong track	Count	84	132	38	40	151	445	

		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	53.2%	54.3%	55.9%	46.5%	62.9%	56.0%
	Don't know	Count	22	28	8	13	35	106
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	13.9%	11.5%	11.8%	15.1%	14.6%	13.3%
Total	<u>.</u>	Count	158	243	68	86	240	795
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Country headed in the right direction \* Education Crosstabulation

	_	-			Education	1			
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Country headed in the right	Right direction	Count	6	74	80	11	49	25	245
direction		% within Education	31.6%	26.4%	34.3%	29.7%	29.5%	37.9%	30.6%
	Wrong track	Count	10	165	123	20	96	34	448
		% within Education	52.6%	58.9%	52.8%	54.1%	57.8%	51.5%	55.9%
	Don't know	Count	3	41	30	6	21	7	108
		% within Education	15.8%	14.6%	12.9%	16.2%	12.7%	10.6%	13.5%
Total		Count	19	280	233	37	166	66	801
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Country headed in the right direction \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation

_	-	Frequency attend religious services					
		More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
Country headed in the right Right direction	Count	33	47	32	77	56	245
direction	% within Frequency attend religious services	28.9%	28.0%	30.5%	33.3%	31.1%	30.7%

	Wrong track	Count	72	97	59	120	98	446
		% within Frequency attend religious services	63.2%	57.7%	56.2%	51.9%	54.4%	55.9%
	Don't know	Count	9	24	14	34	26	107
		% within Frequency attend religious services	7.9%	14.3%	13.3%	14.7%	14.4%	13.4%
Total	·	Count	114	168	105	231	180	798
		% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Country headed in the right direction \* Race Crosstabulation

		<u>-</u>			R	ace			
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Total
Country headed in the right	Right direction	Count	121	57	54	5	0	7	244
direction		% within Race	24.8%	52.3%	34.0%	55.6%	.0%	25.9%	30.7%
	Wrong track	Count	319	23	78	3	3	18	444
		% within Race	65.5%	21.1%	49.1%	33.3%	100.0%	66.7%	55.9%
	Don't know	Count	47	29	27	1	0	2	106
		% within Race	9.7%	26.6%	17.0%	11.1%	.0%	7.4%	13.4%
Total		Count	487	109	159	9	3	27	794
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Country headed in the right direction \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation

	-	·	Own or re	ent home	
			Own	Rent	Total
Country headed in the right	Right direction	Count	174	68	242
direction		% within Own or rent home	29.3%	34.2%	30.5%
	Wrong track	Count	345	99	444
		% within Own or rent home	58.1%	49.7%	56.0%

	Don't know	Count	75	32	107
		% within Own or rent home	12.6%	16.1%	13.5%
Total	•	Count	594	199	793
		% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Country headed in the right direction \* Marital Status Crosstabulation

	-	-			Marit	al Status			
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	Total
Country headed in the right	Right direction	Count	125	8	37	9	47	16	242
direction		% within Marital Status	25.9%	42.1%	37.0%	34.6%	36.2%	42.1%	30.4%
	Wrong track	Count	301	9	46	15	56	19	446
		% within Marital Status	62.4%	47.4%	46.0%	57.7%	43.1%	50.0%	56.1%
	Don't know	Count	56	2	17	2	27	3	107
		% within Marital Status	11.6%	10.5%	17.0%	7.7%	20.8%	7.9%	13.5%
Total		Count	482	19	100	26	130	38	795
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## Country headed in the right direction \* Gender Crosstabulation

-	_		Ger	nder	
			Male	Female	Total
Country headed in the right	Right direction	Count	106	140	246
direction		% within Gender	29.2%	32.1%	30.8%
	Wrong track	Count	223	223	446
		% within Gender	61.4%	51.1%	55.8%
	Don't know	Count	34	73	107
		% within Gender	9.4%	16.7%	13.4%
Total	-	Count	363	436	799

### Country headed in the right direction \* Gender Crosstabulation

-	<u> </u>		Gen	der	
			Male	Female	Total
Country headed in the right	Right direction	Count	106	140	246
direction		% within Gender	29.2%	32.1%	30.8%
	Wrong track	Count	223	223	446
		% within Gender	61.4%	51.1%	55.8%
	Don't know	Count	34	73	107
		% within Gender	9.4%	16.7%	13.4%
Total	-	Count	363	436	799
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## Country headed in the right direction \* Age Crosstabulation

				Age			
			60 and above	45-59	30-44	18-29	Total
Country headed in the right	Right direction	Count	56	89	65	29	239
direction		% within Age	27.2%	34.0%	29.8%	29.0%	30.4%
	Wrong track	Count	134	137	121	49	441
		% within Age	65.0%	52.3%	55.5%	49.0%	56.1%
	Don't know	Count	16	36	32	22	106
		% within Age	7.8%	13.7%	14.7%	22.0%	13.5%
Total	-	Count	206	262	218	100	786
		% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### State of Texas headed in the right direction \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation

			Ideology scale				
Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Total

State of Texas headed in	Right direction	Count	6	8	10	70	73	125	45	337
the right direction		% within Ideology scale	21.4%	12.3%	12.8%	32.4%	59.8%	64.1%	51.7%	42.6%
	Wrong track	Count	17	46	54	86	34	38	19	294
		% within Ideology scale	60.7%	70.8%	69.2%	39.8%	27.9%	19.5%	21.8%	37.2%
	Don't know	Count	5	11	14	60	15	32	23	160
		% within Ideology scale	17.9%	16.9%	17.9%	27.8%	12.3%	16.4%	26.4%	20.2%
Total		Count	28	65	78	216	122	195	87	791
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### State of Texas headed in the right direction \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

	<u>-</u>	_				7 point Pa	ırty ID				
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
State of Texas headed in the	Right direction	Count	45	37	10	15	35	63	120	0	325
right direction		% within 7 point Party ID	21.5%	41.1%	23.8%	32.6%	59.3%	57.8%	62.2%	.0%	43.1%
	Wrong track	Count	126	33	23	26	18	26	39	0	291
		% within 7 point Party ID	60.3%	36.7%	54.8%	56.5%	30.5%	23.9%	20.2%	.0%	38.6%
	Don't know	Count	38	20	9	5	6	20	34	6	138
		% within 7 point Party ID	18.2%	22.2%	21.4%	10.9%	10.2%	18.3%	17.6%	100.0%	18.3%
Total	•	Count	209	90	42	46	59	109	193	6	754
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# State of Texas headed in the right direction \* Population density Crosstabulation

			Po	opulation densi	ty	
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
State of Texas headed in the	Right direction	Count	95	172	75	342
right direction		% within Population density	38.9%	44.0%	47.5%	43.1%
	Wrong track	Count	104	143	47	294
		% within Population density	42.6%	36.6%	29.7%	37.1%
	Don't know	Count	45	76	36	157
		% within Population density	18.4%	19.4%	22.8%	19.8%

Total	Count	244	391	158	793
	% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### State of Texas headed in the right direction \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation

	-		Li	ve in Houston, Da	llas-Fort Worth, Sa	an Antonio, or Aust	in	
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas.	Total
State of Texas headed in the	Right direction	Count	63	95	42	31	112	343
right direction		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	40.4%	38.8%	61.8%	36.9%	46.5%	43.2%
	Wrong track	Count	65	106	19	34	69	293
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	41.7%	43.3%	27.9%	40.5%	28.6%	36.9%
	Don't know	Count	28	44	7	19	60	158
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	17.9%	18.0%	10.3%	22.6%	24.9%	19.9%
Total	-	Count	156	245	68	84	241	794
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## State of Texas headed in the right direction \* Education Crosstabulation

	<del>-</del>	_			Education	1			
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
State of Texas headed in	Right direction	Count	8	121	91	18	78	26	342
the right direction		% within Education	42.1%	43.5%	39.1%	50.0%	47.3%	39.4%	42.9%
	Wrong track	Count	8	84	96	12	63	33	296
		% within Education	42.1%	30.2%	41.2%	33.3%	38.2%	50.0%	37.1%

	Don't know	Count	3	73	46	6	24	7	159
		% within Education	15.8%	26.3%	19.7%	16.7%	14.5%	10.6%	19.9%
Total	-	Count	19	278	233	36	165	66	797
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# State of Texas headed in the right direction \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation

		-		Frequency	attend religious s	ervices		
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
State of Texas headed in	Right direction	Count	57	76	45	102	62	342
the right direction		% within Frequency attend religious services	50.0%	45.5%	42.9%	44.5%	34.6%	43.1%
	Wrong track	Count	38	59	36	79	81	293
		% within Frequency attend religious services	33.3%	35.3%	34.3%	34.5%	45.3%	36.9%
	Don't know	Count	19	32	24	48	36	159
		% within Frequency attend religious services	16.7%	19.2%	22.9%	21.0%	20.1%	20.0%
Total		Count	114	167	105	229	179	794
		% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## State of Texas headed in the right direction \* Race Crosstabulation

	-	-		217 30 78 2 3						
			White	African American			Native American	Multi-racial	Total	
State of Texas headed in the	Right direction	Count	217	30	78	2	3	10	340	
right direction		% within Race	44.6%	27.5%	49.4%	22.2%	75.0%	37.0%	42.8%	
	Wrong track	Count	176	48	52	4	1	14	295	
		% within Race	36.1%	44.0%	32.9%	44.4%	25.0%	51.9%	37.2%	
	Don't know	Count	94	31	28	3	0	3	159	

	% within Race	19.3%	28.4%	17.7%	33.3%	.0%	11.1%	20.0%
Total	Count	487	109	158	9	4	27	794
	% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### State of Texas headed in the right direction \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation

			_		
			Own or re	ent home	
			Own	Rent	Total
State of Texas headed in the	Right direction	Count	266	74	340
right direction		% within Own or rent home	45.0%	37.2%	43.0%
	Wrong track	Count	214	81	295
		% within Own or rent home	36.2%	40.7%	37.3%
	Don't know	Count	111	44	155
		% within Own or rent home	18.8%	22.1%	19.6%
Total	<del>-</del>	Count	591	199	790
		% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# State of Texas headed in the right direction \* Marital Status Crosstabulation

	-	-			Marit	al Status			
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	Total
State of Texas headed in	Right direction	Count	220	10	31	10	53	16	340
the right direction		% within Marital Status	45.8%	52.6%	31.0%	41.7%	40.8%	42.1%	43.0%
	Wrong track	Count	170	7	41	9	46	20	293
		% within Marital Status	35.4%	36.8%	41.0%	37.5%	35.4%	52.6%	37.0%
	Don't know	Count	90	2	28	5	31	2	158
		% within Marital Status	18.8%	10.5%	28.0%	20.8%	23.8%	5.3%	20.0%
Total		Count	480	19	100	24	130	38	791
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### State of Texas headed in the right direction \* Gender Crosstabulation

-	-		-		
			Ger	nder	
			Male	Female	Total
State of Texas headed in the	Right direction	Count	179	163	342
right direction		% within Gender	49.3%	37.6%	43.0%
	Wrong track	Count	134	161	295
		% within Gender	36.9%	37.2%	37.1%
	Don't know	Count	50	109	159
		% within Gender	13.8%	25.2%	20.0%
Total		Count	363	433	796
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## State of Texas headed in the right direction \* Age Crosstabulation

				Age			
			60 and above	45-59	30-44	18-29	Total
State of Texas headed in the	Right direction	Count	95	110	90	39	334
right direction		% within Age	46.3%	42.5%	41.5%	39.0%	42.8%
	Wrong track	Count	79	97	81	32	289
		% within Age	38.5%	37.5%	37.3%	32.0%	37.0%
	Don't know	Count	31	52	46	29	158
		% within Age	15.1%	20.1%	21.2%	29.0%	20.2%
Total	<u>-</u>	Count	205	259	217	100	781
		% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Compared to year ago country economically better off \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation

Ideology scale Total

			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	
Compared to year ago country	A lot better off	Count	4	4	6	9	0	0	0	23
economically better off		% within Ideology scale	13.8%	6.3%	7.7%	4.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.9%
	Somewhat better off	Count	12	32	37	74	29	14	5	203
		% within Ideology scale	41.4%	50.0%	47.4%	33.8%	23.6%	7.1%	5.8%	25.5%
	About the same	Count	3	15	18	53	24	27	12	152
		% within Ideology scale	10.3%	23.4%	23.1%	24.2%	19.5%	13.7%	14.0%	19.1%
	Somewhat worse off	Count	7	6	8	50	45	56	12	184
		% within Ideology scale	24.1%	9.4%	10.3%	22.8%	36.6%	28.4%	14.0%	23.1%
	A lot worse off	Count	3	7	9	27	25	100	57	228
		% within Ideology scale	10.3%	10.9%	11.5%	12.3%	20.3%	50.8%	66.3%	28.6%
	Don't know	Count	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	6
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.8%
Total	•	Count	29	64	78	219	123	197	86	796
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Compared to year ago country economically better off \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

	-	-				7 point Pa	arty ID				
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Compared to year ago	A lot better off	Count	19	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	24
country economically better off		% within 7 point Party ID	9.0%	4.4%	.0%	.0%	1.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.2%
	Somewhat better off	Count	106	28	22	9	3	19	10	0	197
		% within 7 point Party ID	50.0%	31.1%	55.0%	19.6%	5.2%	17.4%	5.2%	.0%	26.1%
	About the same	Count	31	33	10	9	7	22	28	1	141
_		% within 7 point Party ID	14.6%	36.7%	25.0%	19.6%	12.1%	20.2%	14.4%	16.7%	18.7%
	Somewhat worse off	Count	26	19	6	13	19	39	46	2	170
		% within 7 point Party ID	12.3%	21.1%	15.0%	28.3%	32.8%	35.8%	23.7%	33.3%	22.5%
	A lot worse off	Count	27	6	2	14	28	29	110	3	219
		% within 7 point Party ID	12.7%	6.7%	5.0%	30.4%	48.3%	26.6%	56.7%	50.0%	29.0%
	Don't know	Count	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	4
		% within 7 point Party ID	1.4%	.0%	.0%	2.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5%
Total	-	Count	212	90	40	46	58	109	194	6	755
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Compared to year ago country economically better off \* Population density Crosstabulation

	-	-	Po	opulation densi	ty	
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Compared to year ago country	A lot better off	Count	7	12	5	24
economically better off		% within Population density	2.8%	3.1%	3.1%	3.0%
	Somewhat better off	Count	80	90	32	202
		% within Population density	32.5%	22.9%	20.0%	25.3%
	About the same	Count	45	79	28	152
		% within Population density	18.3%	20.1%	17.5%	19.0%
	Somewhat worse off	Count	63	78	43	184
		% within Population density	25.6%	19.8%	26.9%	23.0%
	A lot worse off	Count	51	129	51	231
		% within Population density	20.7%	32.8%	31.9%	28.9%
	Don't know	Count	0	5	1	6
		% within Population density	.0%	1.3%	.6%	.8%
Total	-	Count	246	393	160	799
		% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Compared to year ago country economically better off \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation

	-	-	Liv	e in Houston, Dal	las-Fort Worth, Sa	an Antonio, or Aus	stin	
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas.	Total
Compared to year ago	A lot better off	Count	7	10	1	1	4	23
country economically better off		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	4.4%	4.1%	1.5%	1.2%	1.7%	2.9%
	Somewhat better off	Count	41	77	15	28	41	202
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	25.8%	31.6%	22.4%	32.6%	16.9%	25.3%

	About the same	Count	38	40	14	14	46	152
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	23.9%	16.4%	20.9%	16.3%	19.0%	19.0%
	Somewhat worse off	Count	36	43	11	17	77	184
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	22.6%	17.6%	16.4%	19.8%	31.8%	23.1%
	A lot worse off	Count	36	74	26	25	69	230
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	22.6%	30.3%	38.8%	29.1%	28.5%	28.8%
	Don't know	Count	1	0	0	1	5	7
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	.6%	.0%	.0%	1.2%	2.1%	.9%
Total		Count	159	244	67	86	242	798
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## Compared to year ago country economically better off \* Education Crosstabulation

					Education				
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Compared to year ago country economically better off	A lot better off	Count	0	5	8	2	6	3	24
		% within Education	.0%	1.8%	3.4%	5.6%	3.6%	4.5%	3.0%
	Somewhat better off	Count	5	57	61	8	42	28	201
		% within Education	27.8%	20.4%	26.3%	22.2%	25.3%	42.4%	25.2%
	About the same	Count	2	60	51	6	22	10	151
		% within Education	11.1%	21.5%	22.0%	16.7%	13.3%	15.2%	18.9%
	Somewhat worse off	Count	6	66	51	6	47	8	184
		% within Education	33.3%	23.7%	22.0%	16.7%	28.3%	12.1%	23.1%
	A lot worse off	Count	5	87	59	14	49	17	231
		% within Education	27.8%	31.2%	25.4%	38.9%	29.5%	25.8%	29.0%

	Don't know Count		0	4	2	0	0	0	6
		% within Education	.0%	1.4%	.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.8%
Total	·	Count	18	279	232	36	166	66	797
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Compared to year ago country economically better off \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation

				Frequency	attend religious se	ervices		
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
Compared to year ago	A lot better off	Count	2	5	5	8	4	24
country economically better off		% within Frequency attend religious services	1.8%	3.0%	4.8%	3.5%	2.2%	3.0%
	Somewhat better off	Count	32	36	27	61	45	201
		% within Frequency attend religious services	28.1%	21.3%	26.0%	26.5%	25.1%	25.3%
	About the same	Count	18	37	16	45	36	152
		% within Frequency attend religious services	15.8%	21.9%	15.4%	19.6%	20.1%	19.1%
	Somewhat worse off	Count	22	41	23	53	44	183
		% within Frequency attend religious services	19.3%	24.3%	22.1%	23.0%	24.6%	23.0%
	A lot worse off	Count	40	48	32	62	48	230
		% within Frequency attend religious services	35.1%	28.4%	30.8%	27.0%	26.8%	28.9%
	Don't know	Count	0	2	1	1	2	6
		% within Frequency attend religious services	.0%	1.2%	1.0%	.4%	1.1%	.8%
Total	•	Count	114	169	104	230	179	796
		% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## Compared to year ago country economically better off \* Race Crosstabulation

_	
Raca	Total
Nace	IUlai

			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	
Compared to year ago	A lot better off	Count	10	8	4	1	0	0	23
country economically better off		% within Race	2.0%	7.3%	2.5%	12.5%	.0%	.0%	2.9%
G.I.	Somewhat better off	Count	106	42	41	5	0	7	201
		% within Race	21.7%	38.2%	25.6%	62.5%	.0%	25.9%	25.2%
	About the same	Count	82	24	39	1	0	6	152
		% within Race	16.8%	21.8%	24.4%	12.5%	.0%	22.2%	19.0%
	Somewhat worse off	Count	117	19	41	1	1	6	185
		% within Race	23.9%	17.3%	25.6%	12.5%	25.0%	22.2%	23.2%
	A lot worse off	Count	171	14	35	0	3	8	231
		% within Race	35.0%	12.7%	21.9%	.0%	75.0%	29.6%	28.9%
	Don't know	Count	3	3	0	0	0	0	6
		% within Race	.6%	2.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.8%
Total	-	Count	489	110	160	8	4	27	798
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## Compared to year ago country economically better off \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation

	-	<del>-</del>	Own or re	ent home	
			Own	Rent	Total
Compared to year ago country	A lot better off	A lot better off Count		5	23
economically better off		% within Own or rent home	3.0%	2.5%	2.9%
	Somewhat better off	Count	150	51	201
		% within Own or rent home	25.3%	25.5%	25.3%
	About the same Count		111	40	151
		% within Own or rent home	18.7%	20.0%	19.0%
	Somewhat worse off	Count	132	51	183
		% within Own or rent home	22.2%	25.5%	23.0%
	A lot worse off	Count	181	49	230
		% within Own or rent home	30.5%	24.5%	29.0%

	Don't know	Count	2	4	6
		% within Own or rent home	.3%	2.0%	.8%
Total	•	Count	594	200	794
		% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## Compared to year ago country economically better off \* Marital Status Crosstabulation

	-	-			Marit	al Status			
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	Total
Compared to year ago	A lot better off	Count	14	2	1	0	3	2	22
country economically better off		% within Marital Status	2.9%	10.0%	1.0%	.0%	2.3%	5.4%	2.8%
	Somewhat better off	Count	111	4	29	7	39	11	201
		% within Marital Status	22.9%	20.0%	28.7%	28.0%	30.2%	29.7%	25.3%
	About the same	Count	81	6	23	2	31	7	150
		% within Marital Status	16.7%	30.0%	22.8%	8.0%	24.0%	18.9%	18.8%
	Somewhat worse off	Count	103	6	23	8	41	5	186
		% within Marital Status	21.3%	30.0%	22.8%	32.0%	31.8%	13.5%	23.4%
	A lot worse off	Count	172	2	25	8	12	12	231
		% within Marital Status	35.5%	10.0%	24.8%	32.0%	9.3%	32.4%	29.0%
	Don't know	Count	3	0	0	0	3	0	6
		% within Marital Status	.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.3%	.0%	.8%
Total		Count	484	20	101	25	129	37	796
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Compared to year ago country economically better off \* Gender Crosstabulation

	-	-	Gen	der	
			Male	Female	Total
Compared to year ago country	A lot better off	Count	12	12	24
economically better off		% within Gender	3.3%	2.7%	3.0%
	Somewhat better off	Count	93	109	202

		% within Gender	25.7%	24.9%	25.3%
	About the same	Count	50	102	152
		% within Gender	13.8%	23.3%	19.0%
	Somewhat worse off	Count	89	95	184
		% within Gender	24.6%	21.7%	23.0%
	A lot worse off	Count	118	113	231
		% within Gender	32.6%	25.9%	28.9%
	Don't know	Count	0	6	6
		% within Gender	.0%	1.4%	.8%
Total		Count	362	437	799
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## Compared to year ago country economically better off \* Age Crosstabulation

				Age			
			60 and above	45-59	30-44	18-29	Total
Compared to year ago country	A lot better off	Count	8	8	6	0	22
economically better off		% within Age	3.9%	3.1%	2.7%	.0%	2.8%
	Somewhat better off	Count	53	61	54	29	197
		% within Age	25.6%	23.3%	24.7%	29.0%	25.0%
	About the same	Count	21	48	54	26	149
		% within Age	10.1%	18.3%	24.7%	26.0%	18.9%
	Somewhat worse off	Count	43	57	54	29	183
		% within Age	20.8%	21.8%	24.7%	29.0%	23.2%
	A lot worse off	Count	82	87	51	11	231
		% within Age	39.6%	33.2%	23.3%	11.0%	29.3%
	Don't know	Count	0	1	0	5	6
		% within Age	.0%	.4%	.0%	5.0%	.8%
Total		Count	207	262	219	100	788
		% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Texas economic conditions getting better \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation

	-	-				Ideology scale				
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Total
Texas economic conditions	Better	Count	9	23	25	77	55	80	36	305
getting better		% within Ideology scale	33.3%	35.4%	32.1%	35.2%	44.7%	40.8%	42.4%	38.5%
	Worse	Count	14	27	42	87	44	70	30	314
		% within Ideology scale	51.9%	41.5%	53.8%	39.7%	35.8%	35.7%	35.3%	39.6%
	Don't know	Count	4	15	11	55	24	46	19	174
		% within Ideology scale	14.8%	23.1%	14.1%	25.1%	19.5%	23.5%	22.4%	21.9%
Total	•	Count	27	65	78	219	123	196	85	793
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Texas economic conditions getting better \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

		_				7 point Pa	arty ID				
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Texas economic	Better	Count	78	40	16	13	19	44	89	0	299
conditions getting better Worse	% within 7 point Party ID	36.6%	44.4%	39.0%	28.3%	32.2%	40.4%	45.9%	.0%	39.4%	
	Worse	Count	92	30	17	23	29	42	66	6	305
		% within 7 point Party ID	43.2%	33.3%	41.5%	50.0%	49.2%	38.5%	34.0%	100.0%	40.2%
	Don't know	Count	43	20	8	10	11	23	39	0	154
		% within 7 point Party ID	20.2%	22.2%	19.5%	21.7%	18.6%	21.1%	20.1%	.0%	20.3%
Total		Count	213	90	41	46	59	109	194	6	758
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## Texas economic conditions getting better \* Population density Crosstabulation

•	Р	opulation densi	ty	
	Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total

Texas economic conditions	Better	Count	86	168	53	307
etting better		% within Population density	35.0%	42.7%	33.1%	38.4%
	Worse	Count	103	146	67	316
			41.9%	37.2%	41.9%	39.5%
	Don't know	Count	57	79	40	176
		% within Population density	23.2%	20.1%	25.0%	22.0%
Total		Count	246	393	160	799
		% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Texas economic conditions getting better \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation

		-	L	ive in Houston, Da	llas-Fort Worth, Sa	n Antonio, or Austi	n	
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas.	Total
Texas economic conditions	Better	Count	58	89	36	36	88	307
getting better	Worse	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	36.5%	36.3%	52.9%	41.9%	36.5%	38.4%
	Worse	Count	72	96	20	36	92	316
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	45.3%	39.2%	29.4%	41.9%	38.2%	39.5%
	Don't know	Count	29	60	12	14	61	176
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	18.2%	24.5%	17.6%	16.3%	25.3%	22.0%
Total		Count	159	245	68	86	241	799
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## Texas economic conditions getting better \* Education Crosstabulation

|--|

			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Texas economic conditions	Better	Count	5	101	82	14	78	27	307
getting better		% within Education	27.8%	36.1%	35.3%	37.8%	46.7%	40.9%	38.4%
	Worse	Count	9	107	93	15	63	30	317
		% within Education	50.0%	38.2%	40.1%	40.5%	37.7%	45.5%	39.6%
	Don't know	Count	4	72	57	8	26	9	176
		% within Education	22.2%	25.7%	24.6%	21.6%	15.6%	13.6%	22.0%
Total		Count	18	280	232	37	167	66	800
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## Texas economic conditions getting better \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation

	-	-		Frequency	attend religious s	ervices		
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
Texas economic conditions getting better	Better	Count	39	73	46	89	60	307
		% within Frequency attend religious services	34.5%	42.9%	43.8%	38.5%	33.3%	38.4%
	9	Count	46	69	41	84	76	316
		% within Frequency attend religious services	40.7%	40.6%	39.0%	36.4%	42.2%	39.5%
	Don't know	Count	28	28	18	58	44	176
		% within Frequency attend religious services	24.8%	16.5%	17.1%	25.1%	24.4%	22.0%
Total	•	Count	113	170	105	231	180	799
		% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Texas economic conditions getting better \* Race Crosstabulation

Race	Total
------	-------

			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	
Texas economic	Better	Count	182	42	67	4	0	12	307
conditions getting better		% within Race	37.2%	38.5%	41.9%	44.4%	.0%	44.4%	38.5%
	Worse	Count	200	42	54	4	3	12	315
		% within Race	40.9%	38.5%	33.8%	44.4%	100.0%	44.4%	39.5%
	Don't know	Count	107	25	39	1	0	3	175
		% within Race	21.9%	22.9%	24.4%	11.1%	.0%	11.1%	22.0%
Total		Count	489	109	160	9	3	27	797
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## Texas economic conditions getting better \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation

	<u>-</u>	-	Own or re	ent home	
			Own	Rent	Total
Texas economic conditions getting better	Better	Count	233	73	306
		% within Own or rent home	39.1%	36.5%	38.4%
	Worse	Count	236	78	314
		% within Own or rent home	39.6%	39.0%	39.4%
	Don't know	Count	127	49	176
		% within Own or rent home	21.3%	24.5%	22.1%
Total		Count	596	200	796
		% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Texas economic conditions getting better \* Marital Status Crosstabulation

	_	-		Marital Status						
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	Total	
Texas economic conditions	Better	Count	192	9	28	6	58	14	307	
getting better		% within Marital Status	39.7%	47.4%	27.7%	24.0%	45.0%	36.8%	38.6%	

	Worse	Count	195	8	47	10	34	19	313
		% within Marital Status	40.3%	42.1%	46.5%	40.0%	26.4%	50.0%	39.3%
	Don't know	Count	97	2	26	9	37	5	176
		% within Marital Status	20.0%	10.5%	25.7%	36.0%	28.7%	13.2%	22.1%
Total	·	Count	484	19	101	25	129	38	796
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Texas economic conditions getting better \* Gender Crosstabulation

	<u>-</u>	-	Gen	der	
			Male	Female	Total
Texas economic conditions Better		Count	152	155	307
getting better		% within Gender	41.9%	35.4%	38.3%
	Worse	Count	149	169	318
		% within Gender	41.0%	38.6%	39.7%
	Don't know	Count	62	114	176
		% within Gender	17.1%	26.0%	22.0%
Total		Count	363	438	801
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Texas economic conditions getting better \* Age Crosstabulation

	-	-		Age			
			60 and above	45-59	30-44	18-29	Total
Texas economic conditions	Better	Count	76	83	100	45	304
getting better		% within Age	36.9%	31.8%	45.7%	44.6%	38.6%
	Worse	Count	89	120	73	30	312
		% within Age	43.2%	46.0%	33.3%	29.7%	39.6%
	Don't know	Count	41	58	46	26	171
		% within Age	19.9%	22.2%	21.0%	25.7%	21.7%

Total	Count	206	261	219		787
	% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Compared to year ago family economically better off \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation

	-	•				Ideology scale				
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Total
Compared to year ago family	A lot better off	Count	3	2	2	11	2	3	1	24
economically better off		% within Ideology scale	10.3%	3.1%	2.6%	5.0%	1.6%	1.5%	1.2%	3.0%
	Somewhat better off	Count	7	10	15	26	20	19	11	108
		% within Ideology scale	24.1%	15.4%	19.2%	11.9%	16.4%	9.6%	12.9%	13.6%
	About the same	Count	10	25	29	93	36	100	28	321
		% within Ideology scale	34.5%	38.5%	37.2%	42.7%	29.5%	50.8%	32.9%	40.4%
	Somewhat worse off	Count	8	19	23	56	46	50	30	232
		% within Ideology scale	27.6%	29.2%	29.5%	25.7%	37.7%	25.4%	35.3%	29.2%
	A lot worse off	Count	1	9	9	20	18	25	15	97
		% within Ideology scale	3.4%	13.8%	11.5%	9.2%	14.8%	12.7%	17.6%	12.2%
	Don't know	Count	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	12
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	.0%	.0%	5.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.5%
Total		Count	29	65	78	218	122	197	85	794
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Compared to year ago family economically better off \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

	-	_				7 point Pa	arty ID				
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Compared to year ago family	A lot better off	Count	9	4	3	2	0	2	4	0	24
economically better off		% within 7 point Party ID	4.2%	4.4%	7.3%	4.3%	.0%	1.9%	2.1%	.0%	3.2%
-	Somewhat better off	Count	35	11	8	6	5	12	25	2	104
		% within 7 point Party ID	16.5%	12.2%	19.5%	13.0%	8.5%	11.2%	12.9%	33.3%	13.8%
	About the same	Count	93	33	17	15	23	45	83	0	309
		% within 7 point Party ID	43.9%	36.7%	41.5%	32.6%	39.0%	42.1%	42.8%	.0%	40.9%
	Somewhat worse off	Count	51	33	9	12	23	33	54	3	218
		% within 7 point Party ID	24.1%	36.7%	22.0%	26.1%	39.0%	30.8%	27.8%	50.0%	28.9%

	A lot worse off	Count	21	8	4	11	8	15	28	1	96
		% within 7 point Party ID	9.9%	8.9%	9.8%	23.9%	13.6%	14.0%	14.4%	16.7%	12.7%
	Don't know	Count	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
		% within 7 point Party ID	1.4%	1.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5%
Total		Count	212	90	41	46	59	107	194	6	755
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## Compared to year ago family economically better off \* Population density Crosstabulation

			Po	opulation densi	ty	
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Compared to year ago family	A lot better off	Count	10	14	1	25
economically better off	_	% within Population density	4.1%	3.6%	.6%	3.1%
	Somewhat better off	Count	34	50	24	108
		% within Population density	13.9%	12.8%	15.1%	13.6%
	About the same	Count	108	155	60	323
		% within Population density	44.1%	39.5%	37.7%	40.6%
	Somewhat worse off	Count	70	107	52	229
		% within Population density	28.6%	27.3%	32.7%	28.8%
	A lot worse off	Count	21	59	19	99
		% within Population density	8.6%	15.1%	11.9%	12.4%
	Don't know	Count	2	7	3	12
		% within Population density	.8%	1.8%	1.9%	1.5%
Total		Count	245	392	159	796
		% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Compared to year ago family economically better off \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation

Live	e in Houston, Dal	las-Fort Worth, Sa	an Antonio, or Aus	tin		l
	,	Yes, I live in the		No, I live in		l
Yes, I live in the	Dallas-Fort	San Antonio	Yes, I live in the	another part of		ı
Houston area.	Worth area	area	Austin area	Texas.	Total	ı

Compared to year ago	A lot better off	Count	6	9	1	2	6	24
family economically better off		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	3.8%	3.7%	1.5%	2.3%	2.5%	3.0%
	Somewhat better off	Count	18	34	8	14	34	108
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	11.4%	14.0%	11.8%	16.3%	14.0%	13.6%
	About the same	Count	66	92	32	37	97	324
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	41.8%	37.9%	47.1%	43.0%	40.1%	40.7%
	Somewhat worse off	Count	51	65	17	24	73	230
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	32.3%	26.7%	25.0%	27.9%	30.2%	28.9%
	A lot worse off	Count	17	39	9	8	26	99
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	10.8%	16.0%	13.2%	9.3%	10.7%	12.4%
	Don't know	Count	0	4	1	1	6	12
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	.0%	1.6%	1.5%	1.2%	2.5%	1.5%
Total		Count	158	243	68	86	242	797
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Compared to year ago family economically better off \* Education Crosstabulation

	-	_			Education				
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
	A lot better off	Count	0	9	4	1	8	2	24
economically better off		% within Education	.0%	3.2%	1.7%	2.7%	4.8%	3.1%	3.0%
	Somewhat better off	Count	1	24	38	5	26	14	108
		% within Education	5.9%	8.6%	16.4%	13.5%	15.7%	21.5%	13.6%

	About the same	Count	5	106	98	15	68	30	322
		% within Education	29.4%	38.0%	42.2%	40.5%	41.0%	46.2%	40.5%
	Somewhat worse off	Count	10	90	61	12	43	15	231
		% within Education	58.8%	32.3%	26.3%	32.4%	25.9%	23.1%	29.0%
	A lot worse off	Count	1	39	30	4	21	4	99
		% within Education	5.9%	14.0%	12.9%	10.8%	12.7%	6.2%	12.4%
	Don't know	Count	0	11	1	0	0	0	12
		% within Education	.0%	3.9%	.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.5%
Total		Count	17	279	232	37	166	65	796
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## Compared to year ago family economically better off \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation

				Frequency	attend religious se	ervices		
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
Compared to year ago family	A lot better off	Count	0	4	2	10	8	24
economically better off		% within Frequency attend religious services	.0%	2.4%	1.9%	4.3%	4.5%	3.0%
	Somewhat better off	Count	23	22	8	31	24	108
Al		% within Frequency attend religious services	20.2%	13.0%	7.7%	13.4%	13.5%	13.6%
	About the same	Count	46	84	45	92	57	324
, -		% within Frequency attend religious services	40.4%	49.7%	43.3%	39.7%	32.0%	40.7%
	Somewhat worse off	Count	33	39	31	68	60	231
		% within Frequency attend religious services	28.9%	23.1%	29.8%	29.3%	33.7%	29.0%
	A lot worse off	Count	12	18	16	31	22	99
		% within Frequency attend religious services	10.5%	10.7%	15.4%	13.4%	12.4%	12.4%
Do	Don't know	Count	0	2	2	0	7	11
		% within Frequency attend religious services	.0%	1.2%	1.9%	.0%	3.9%	1.4%
Total		Count	114	169	104	232	178	797

### Compared to year ago family economically better off \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation

				Frequency	attend religious se	ervices		
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
Compared to year ago family	A lot better off	Count	0	4	2	10	8	24
economically better off		% within Frequency attend religious services	.0%	2.4%	1.9%	4.3%	4.5%	3.0%
	Somewhat better off	Count	23	22	8	31	24	108
		% within Frequency attend religious services	20.2%	13.0%	7.7%	13.4%	13.5%	13.6%
	About the same	Count	46	84	45	92	57	324
		% within Frequency attend religious services	40.4%	49.7%	43.3%	39.7%	32.0%	40.7%
	Somewhat worse off	Count	33	39	31	68	60	231
		% within Frequency attend religious services	28.9%	23.1%	29.8%	29.3%	33.7%	29.0%
	A lot worse off	Count	12	18	16	31	22	99
		% within Frequency attend religious services	10.5%	10.7%	15.4%	13.4%	12.4%	12.4%
	Don't know	Count	0	2	2	0	7	11
		% within Frequency attend religious services	.0%	1.2%	1.9%	.0%	3.9%	1.4%
Total		Count	114	169	104	232	178	797
		% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Compared to year ago family economically better off \* Race Crosstabulation

		-			R	ace			
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Total
Compared to year ago	A lot better off	Count	11	6	6	1	0	0	24
family economically better off		% within Race	2.3%	5.4%	3.7%	11.1%	.0%	.0%	3.0%
···	Somewhat better off	Count	63	18	19	3	0	5	108
		% within Race	13.0%	16.2%	11.7%	33.3%	.0%	18.5%	13.6%

	About the same	Count	200	42	70	2	0	8	322
		% within Race	41.2%	37.8%	43.2%	22.2%	.0%	29.6%	40.4%
	Somewhat worse off	Count	143	30	48	2	1	8	232
		% within Race	29.5%	27.0%	29.6%	22.2%	33.3%	29.6%	29.1%
	A lot worse off	Count	64	9	17	1	2	6	99
		% within Race	13.2%	8.1%	10.5%	11.1%	66.7%	22.2%	12.4%
	Don't know	Count	4	6	2	0	0	0	12
		% within Race	.8%	5.4%	1.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.5%
Total		Count	485	111	162	9	3	27	797
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Compared to year ago family economically better off \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation

	-	<del>.</del>	Own or re	ent home	
			Own	Rent	Total
Compared to year ago family	A lot better off	Count	13	11	24
economically better off		% within Own or rent home	2.2%	5.5%	3.0%
	Somewhat better off	Count	83	25	108
		% within Own or rent home	14.0%	12.6%	13.6%
	About the same	Count	250	71	321
		% within Own or rent home	42.2%	35.7%	40.5%
	Somewhat worse off	Count	180	49	229
		% within Own or rent home	30.4%	24.6%	28.9%
	A lot worse off	Count	64	34	98
		% within Own or rent home	10.8%	17.1%	12.4%
	Don't know	Count	3	9	12
		% within Own or rent home	.5%	4.5%	1.5%
Total	-	Count	593	199	792
		% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Compared to year ago family economically better off \* Marital Status Crosstabulation

	-	<u>-</u>			Marit	al Status			
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	Total
Compared to year ago family	A lot better off	Count	13	2	0	0	8	1	24
economically better off		% within Marital Status	2.7%	10.0%	.0%	.0%	6.2%	2.6%	3.0%
	Somewhat better off	Count	72	2	10	0	14	9	107
		% within Marital Status	14.9%	10.0%	10.0%	.0%	10.9%	23.1%	13.4%
	About the same	Count	189	6	43	11	59	14	322
		% within Marital Status	39.0%	30.0%	43.0%	44.0%	45.7%	35.9%	40.4%
	Somewhat worse off	Count	146	9	33	9	28	8	233
		% within Marital Status	30.2%	45.0%	33.0%	36.0%	21.7%	20.5%	29.2%
	A lot worse off	Count	59	1	13	5	14	7	99
		% within Marital Status	12.2%	5.0%	13.0%	20.0%	10.9%	17.9%	12.4%
	Don't know	Count	5	0	1	0	6	0	12
		% within Marital Status	1.0%	.0%	1.0%	.0%	4.7%	.0%	1.5%
Total	•	Count	484	20	100	25	129	39	797
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Compared to year ago family economically better off \* Gender Crosstabulation

			Ger	nder	
			Male	Female	Total
Compared to year ago family	A lot better off	Count	13	11	24
economically better off		% within Gender	3.6%	2.5%	3.0%
	Somewhat better off	what better off Count		51	107
		% within Gender	15.5%	11.7%	13.4%
	About the same	Count	150	174	324
		% within Gender	41.6%	39.8%	40.6%
	Somewhat worse off	Count	101	131	232
		% within Gender	28.0%	30.0%	29.1%

	A lot worse off	Count	41	58	99
		% within Gender	11.4%	13.3%	12.4%
	Don't know	Count	0	12	12
		% within Gender	.0%	2.7%	1.5%
Total	<u>.</u>	Count	361	437	798
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## Compared to year ago family economically better off \* Age Crosstabulation

	<del>-</del>	-		Age			
			60 and above	45-59	30-44	18-29	Total
Compared to year ago family	A lot better off	Count	1	5	14	4	24
economically better off		% within Age	.5%	1.9%	6.4%	4.0%	3.1%
	Somewhat better off	Count	25	29	31	19	104
		% within Age	12.2%	11.1%	14.2%	18.8%	13.2%
	About the same	Count	81	112	83	40	316
		% within Age	39.5%	42.9%	37.9%	39.6%	40.2%
	Somewhat worse off	Count	79	80	53	20	232
		% within Age	38.5%	30.7%	24.2%	19.8%	29.5%
	A lot worse off	Count	18	35	34	11	98
		% within Age	8.8%	13.4%	15.5%	10.9%	12.5%
	Don't know	Count	1	0	4	7	12
		% within Age	.5%	.0%	1.8%	6.9%	1.5%
Total	•	Count	205	261	219	101	786
		% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### 2010 primary elections in Texas \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation

	Ideology scale						
Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Total

2010 primary elections in	Definitely vote in the	Count	1	1	4	21	52	130	68	277
Texas	Republican primary	% within Ideology scale	3.6%	1.5%	5.2%	9.7%	42.6%	66.3%	79.1%	35.1%
	Probably vote in the	Count	0	3	3	22	21	39	3	91
	Republican primary	% within Ideology scale	.0%	4.6%	3.9%	10.2%	17.2%	19.9%	3.5%	11.5%
	Definitely vote in the	Count	19	42	38	78	13	10	6	206
	Democratic primary	% within Ideology scale	67.9%	64.6%	49.4%	36.1%	10.7%	5.1%	7.0%	26.1%
	Probably vote in the Democratic primary	Count	3	8	14	25	6	1	0	57
		% within Ideology scale	10.7%	12.3%	18.2%	11.6%	4.9%	.5%	.0%	7.2%
	I only vote in general	Count	2	5	8	20	11	8	4	58
	elections	% within Ideology scale	7.1%	7.7%	10.4%	9.3%	9.0%	4.1%	4.7%	7.3%
	Don't Know	Count	3	6	10	50	19	8	5	101
		% within Ideology scale	10.7%	9.2%	13.0%	23.1%	15.6%	4.1%	5.8%	12.8%
Total		Count	28	65	77	216	122	196	86	790
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### 2010 primary elections in Texas \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

	-	<del>-</del>				7 point Pa	arty ID				
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
2010 primary elections in	Definitely vote in the	Count	5	4	1	8	30	57	161	1	267
Texas	Republican primary	% within 7 point Party ID	2.4%	4.4%	2.4%	17.8%	50.8%	52.8%	82.6%	16.7%	35.3%
	Probably vote in the	Count	4	6	4	7	17	24	26	0	88
Republican primary  Definitely vote in the Democratic primary	% within 7 point Party ID	1.9%	6.6%	9.8%	15.6%	28.8%	22.2%	13.3%	.0%	11.6%	
		Count	150	36	13	3	3	2	0	0	207
		% within 7 point Party ID	70.8%	39.6%	31.7%	6.7%	5.1%	1.9%	.0%	.0%	27.3%
	Probably vote in the	Count	24	18	8	3	1	0	0	0	54
	Democratic primary	% within 7 point Party ID	11.3%	19.8%	19.5%	6.7%	1.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	7.1%
	I only vote in general	Count	9	12	8	8	6	8	4	2	57
	elections	% within 7 point Party ID	4.2%	13.2%	19.5%	17.8%	10.2%	7.4%	2.1%	33.3%	7.5%
	Don't Know	Count	20	15	7	16	2	17	4	3	84
		% within 7 point Party ID	9.4%	16.5%	17.1%	35.6%	3.4%	15.7%	2.1%	50.0%	11.1%
Total	•	Count	212	91	41	45	59	108	195	6	757
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

			Po	opulation densi	ty	
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
2010 primary elections in Texas		Count	56	152	71	279
	Republican primary	% within Population density	23.0%	38.6%	44.4%	35.0%
	Probably vote in the Republican	Count	30	42	21	93
	primary	% within Population density	12.3%	10.7%	13.1%	11.7%
	Definitely vote in the Democratic primary	Count	85	96	26	207
		% within Population density	35.0%	24.4%	16.3%	26.0%
	Probably vote in the Democratic	Count	22	27	9	58
	primary	% within Population density	9.1%	6.9%	5.6%	7.3%
	I only vote in general elections	Count	20	29	9	58
		% within Population density	8.2%	7.4%	5.6%	7.3%
	Don't Know	Count	30	48	24	102
		% within Population density	12.3%	12.2%	15.0%	12.8%
Total		Count	243	394	160	797
		% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### 2010 primary elections in Texas \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation

			Live	e in Houston, Dal	las-Fort Worth, S	an Antonio, or Au	stin	
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas.	Total
2010 primary elections in	Definitely vote in the	Count	46	84	23	25	100	278
Fexas Republican primary	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	29.1%	34.6%	33.8%	29.1%	41.5%	34.9%	
	Probably vote in the	Count	12	34	9	7	30	92
	Probably vote in the Republican primary	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	7.6%	14.0%	13.2%	8.1%	12.4%	11.6%
	Definitely vote in the	Count	43	77	18	25	45	208

	Democratic primary	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	27.2%	31.7%	26.5%	29.1%	18.7%	26.1%
	Probably vote in the	Count	15	17	5	7	13	57
	Democratic primary	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	9.5%	7.0%	7.4%	8.1%	5.4%	7.2%
	I only vote in general	Count	19	13	6	5	16	59
	elections	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	12.0%	5.3%	8.8%	5.8%	6.6%	7.4%
	Don't Know	Count	23	18	7	17	37	102
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	14.6%	7.4%	10.3%	19.8%	15.4%	12.8%
Total	·	Count	158	243	68	86	241	796
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### 2010 primary elections in Texas \* Education Crosstabulation

-	-	_			Education	ı			
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
2010 primary elections in	Definitely vote in the	Count	5	90	76	14	70	24	279
Texas	Republican primary	% within Education	26.3%	32.3%	32.8%	38.9%	41.9%	36.4%	34.9%
	Probably vote in the	Count	1	38	20	5	20	8	92
	Republican primary	% within Education	5.3%	13.6%	8.6%	13.9%	12.0%	12.1%	11.5%
	Definitely vote in the	Count	3	60	67	9	46	23	208
	Democratic primary	% within Education	15.8%	21.5%	28.9%	25.0%	27.5%	34.8%	26.0%
	Probably vote in the	Count	2	21	21	3	5	6	58
	Democratic primary	% within Education	10.5%	7.5%	9.1%	8.3%	3.0%	9.1%	7.3%
	I only vote in general	Count	0	21	19	2	13	4	59
	elections	% within Education	.0%	7.5%	8.2%	5.6%	7.8%	6.1%	7.4%
	Don't Know	Count	8	49	29	3	13	1	103

	% within Education	42.1%	17.6%	12.5%	8.3%	7.8%	1.5%	12.9%
Total	Count	19	279	232	36	167	66	799
	% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## 2010 primary elections in Texas \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation

	<del>-</del>	-		Frequency	attend religious s	ervices		
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
2010 primary elections in	Definitely vote in the	Count	57	65	39	74	44	279
Texas	Republican primary	% within Frequency attend religious services	50.0%	38.7%	37.9%	32.2%	24.4%	35.1%
	Probably vote in the	Count	12	19	9	32	19	91
	Republican primary	% within Frequency attend religious services	10.5%	11.3%	8.7%	13.9%	10.6%	11.4%
	Definitely vote in the	Count	36	42	27	59	43	207
	Democratic primary	% within Frequency attend religious services	31.6%	25.0%	26.2%	25.7%	23.9%	26.0%
	Probably vote in the	Count	3	11	12	11	21	58
	Democratic primary	% within Frequency attend religious services	2.6%	6.5%	11.7%	4.8%	11.7%	7.3%
	I only vote in general	Count	4	13	3	17	20	57
	elections	% within Frequency attend religious services	3.5%	7.7%	2.9%	7.4%	11.1%	7.2%
	Don't Know	Count	2	18	13	37	33	103
		% within Frequency attend religious services	1.8%	10.7%	12.6%	16.1%	18.3%	13.0%
Total		Count	114	168	103	230	180	795
		% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### 2010 primary elections in Texas \* Race Crosstabulation

Dana	Total
Race	Total

			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	
2010 primary elections in	Definitely vote in the	Count	223	3	36	3	3	11	279
Texas	Republican primary	% within Race	45.8%	2.7%	22.6%	33.3%	75.0%	39.3%	35.0%
	Probably vote in the Republican primary	Count	62	4	22	0	1	3	92
	Republican primary	% within Race	12.7%	3.6%	13.8%	.0%	25.0%	10.7%	11.5%
	Definitely vote in the	Count	96	62	42	4	0	4	208
	Democratic primary	% within Race	19.7%	56.4%	26.4%	44.4%	.0%	14.3%	26.1%
	Probably vote in the	Count	24	13	19	0	0	1	57
	Democratic primary	% within Race	4.9%	11.8%	11.9%	.0%	.0%	3.6%	7.2%
	I only vote in general	Count	29	10	15	1	0	3	58
	elections	% within Race	6.0%	9.1%	9.4%	11.1%	.0%	10.7%	7.3%
	Don't Know	Count	53	18	25	1	0	6	103
		% within Race	10.9%	16.4%	15.7%	11.1%	.0%	21.4%	12.9%
Total	•	Count	487	110	159	9	4	28	797
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## 2010 primary elections in Texas \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation

	-		Own or re	ent home	
			Own	Rent	Total
2010 primary elections in Texas	-	Count	234	44	278
	Republican primary	% within Own or rent home	39.3%	22.2%	35.1%
	Probably vote in the Republican	Count	78	14	92
	primary	% within Own or rent home	13.1%	7.1%	11.6%
	Definitely vote in the	Count	148	58	206
	Democratic primary	% within Own or rent home	24.9%	29.3%	26.0%
	Probably vote in the Democratic	Count	35	22	57
	primary	% within Own or rent home	5.9%	11.1%	7.2%
	I only vote in general elections	Count	35	22	57
		% within Own or rent home	5.9%	11.1%	7.2%

	Don't Know	Count	65	38	103
		% within Own or rent home	10.9%	19.2%	13.0%
Total	•	Count	595	198	793
		% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## 2010 primary elections in Texas \* Marital Status Crosstabulation

	<u>-</u>				Marit	al Status			
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	Total
2010 primary elections in	Definitely vote in the	Count	211	5	24	12	21	7	280
Texas	Republican primary	% within Marital Status	43.7%	25.0%	24.2%	48.0%	16.2%	17.9%	35.2%
	Probably vote in the Republican primary  Definitely vote in the	Count	57	0	15	1	17	3	93
		% within Marital Status	11.8%	.0%	15.2%	4.0%	13.1%	7.7%	11.7%
		Count	103	6	31	9	38	17	204
	Democratic primary	% within Marital Status	21.3%	30.0%	31.3%	36.0%	29.2%	43.6%	25.6%
	Probably vote in the	Count	29	1	8	0	16	4	58
	Democratic primary	% within Marital Status	6.0%	5.0%	8.1%	.0%	12.3%	10.3%	7.3%
	I only vote in general	Count	28	5	8	1	14	3	59
	elections	% within Marital Status	5.8%	25.0%	8.1%	4.0%	10.8%	7.7%	7.4%
	Don't Know	Count	55	3	13	2	24	5	102
		% within Marital Status	11.4%	15.0%	13.1%	8.0%	18.5%	12.8%	12.8%
Total		Count	483	20	99	25	130	39	796
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# 2010 primary elections in Texas \* Gender Crosstabulation

	•	-	Gen		
			Male	Female	Total
2010 primary elections in Texas	Definitely vote in the	Count	145	134	279
	Republican primary	% within Gender	39.9%	30.9%	35.0%
	Probably vote in the Republican	Count	49	44	93

	primary	% within Gender	13.5%	10.1%	11.7%
	Definitely vote in the	Count	92	116	208
	Democratic primary	% within Gender	25.3%	26.7%	26.1%
	Probably vote in the Democration	Count	18	39	57
	primary	% within Gender	5.0%	9.0%	7.2%
	I only vote in general elections	Count	26	32	58
		% within Gender	7.2%	7.4%	7.3%
	Don't Know	Count	33	69	102
		% within Gender	9.1%	15.9%	12.8%
Total		Count	363	434	797
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## 2010 primary elections in Texas \* Age Crosstabulation

				Age			
			60 and above	45-59	30-44	18-29	Total
2010 primary elections in Texas	•	Count	98	94	63	21	276
	Republican primary	% within Age	47.8%	36.0%	28.8%	21.0%	35.2%
	Probably vote in the Republican	Count	18	33	32	7	90
	primary	% within Age	8.8%	12.6%	14.6%	7.0%	11.5%
	Definitely vote in the Democratic primary	Count	65	66	50	22	203
		% within Age	31.7%	25.3%	22.8%	22.0%	25.9%
	Probably vote in the Democratic	Count	4	24	12	16	56
	primary	% within Age	2.0%	9.2%	5.5%	16.0%	7.1%
	I only vote in general elections	Count	11	15	16	15	57
		% within Age	5.4%	5.7%	7.3%	15.0%	7.3%
	Don't Know	Count	9	29	46	19	103
		% within Age	4.4%	11.1%	21.0%	19.0%	13.1%
Total		Count	205	261	219	100	785
		% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Vote intent 2010 primary election \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation

	-	-		Ideology scale						
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Total
election booth	Vote early at the polling	Count	13	28	46	75	42	113	52	369
	booth	% within Ideology scale	56.5%	52.8%	78.0%	51.4%	45.2%	62.4%	67.5%	58.4%
	Vote early by mail	Count	0	5	1	14	9	10	6	45
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	9.4%	1.7%	9.6%	9.7%	5.5%	7.8%	7.1%
	Vote on election day	Count	10	20	12	57	42	58	19	218
		% within Ideology scale	43.5%	37.7%	20.3%	39.0%	45.2%	32.0%	24.7%	34.5%
Total		Count	23	53	59	146	93	181	77	632
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Vote intent 2010 primary election \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

	<u>-</u>			7 point Party ID							
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
election bo	Vote early at the polling	Count	104	32	20	11	30	42	120	1	360
	booth	% within 7 point Party ID	56.8%	50.8%	76.9%	52.4%	58.8%	50.6%	64.2%	100.0%	58.5%
	Vote early by mail	Count	14	7	2	3	5	3	9	0	43
		% within 7 point Party ID	7.7%	11.1%	7.7%	14.3%	9.8%	3.6%	4.8%	.0%	7.0%
	Vote on election day	Count	65	24	4	7	16	38	58	0	212
		% within 7 point Party ID	35.5%	38.1%	15.4%	33.3%	31.4%	45.8%	31.0%	.0%	34.5%
Total	•	Count	183	63	26	21	51	83	187	1	615
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## Vote intent 2010 primary election \* Population density Crosstabulation

			Po			
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Vote intent 2010 primary Vote	Vote early at the polling booth	Count	112	186	73	371
election		% within Population density	58.0%	58.9%	57.9%	58.4%

Vote ea	arly by mail	Count	17	20	9	46
		% within Population density	8.8%	6.3%	7.1%	7.2%
Vote or	n election day	Count	64	110	44	218
		% within Population density	33.2%	34.8%	34.9%	34.3%
Total	•	Count	193	316	126	635
		% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Vote intent 2010 primary election \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation

	_	-	Live	e in Houston, Dal	las-Fort Worth, S	an Antonio, or Au	ıstin	
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas.	Total
Vote intent 2010 primary	Vote early at the polling	Count	67	117	33	48	107	372
election	booth	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	57.8%	54.9%	61.1%	73.8%	56.9%	58.5%
	Vote early by mail	Count	6	19	7	2	12	46
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	5.2%	8.9%	13.0%	3.1%	6.4%	7.2%
	Vote on election day	Count	43	77	14	15	69	218
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	37.1%	36.2%	25.9%	23.1%	36.7%	34.3%
Total		Count	116	213	54	65	188	636
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Vote intent 2010 primary election \* Education Crosstabulation

			Education						
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Vote intent 2010 primary	Vote early at the polling	Count	5	107	107	16	93	45	373

election	booth	% within Education	45.5%	51.2%	57.8%	51.6%	66.0%	72.6%	58.4%
	Vote early by mail	Count	0	20	15	1	10	1	47
		% within Education	.0%	9.6%	8.1%	3.2%	7.1%	1.6%	7.4%
	Vote on election day	Count	6	82	63	14	38	16	219
		% within Education	54.5%	39.2%	34.1%	45.2%	27.0%	25.8%	34.3%
Total		Count	11	209	185	31	141	62	639
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## Vote intent 2010 primary election \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation

	<del>-</del>			Frequency	attend religious s	ervices		
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
Vote intent 2010 primary	Vote early at the polling	Count	67	90	45	101	69	372
election	booth	% within Frequency attend religious services	62.0%	65.7%	51.7%	57.1%	54.8%	58.6%
	Vote early by mail	Count	12	8	4	15	7	46
		% within Frequency attend religious services	11.1%	5.8%	4.6%	8.5%	5.6%	7.2%
	Vote on election day	Count	29	39	38	61	50	217
		% within Frequency attend religious services	26.9%	28.5%	43.7%	34.5%	39.7%	34.2%
Total	-	Count	108	137	87	177	126	635
		% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Vote intent 2010 primary election \* Race Crosstabulation

	-	_			R	ace			
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Total
Vote intent 2010 primary	Vote early at the polling	Count	246	43	69	5	1	7	371
election	booth	% within Race	60.6%	52.4%	58.5%	71.4%	33.3%	36.8%	58.4%
	Vote early by mail	Count	25	8	12	0	1	0	46

		% within Race	6.2%	9.8%	10.2%	.0%	33.3%	.0%	7.2%
	Vote on election day	Count	135	31	37	2	1	12	218
		% within Race	33.3%	37.8%	31.4%	28.6%	33.3%	63.2%	34.3%
Total	•	Count	406	82	118	7	3	19	635
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Vote intent 2010 primary election \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation

			Own or re	ent home	
			Own	Rent	Total
Vote intent 2010 primary	Vote early at the polling booth	Count	295	76	371
election		% within Own or rent home	59.7%	55.1%	58.7%
	Vote early by mail	Count	36	9	45
		% within Own or rent home	7.3%	6.5%	7.1%
	Vote on election day	Count	163	53	216
		% within Own or rent home	33.0%	38.4%	34.2%
Total	•	Count	494	138	632
		% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## Vote intent 2010 primary election \* Marital Status Crosstabulation

					Marit	al Status			
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	Total
Vote intent 2010 primary	Vote early at the polling	Count	240	6	47	9	52	16	370
election	booth	% within Marital Status	60.0%	54.5%	60.3%	40.9%	57.1%	51.6%	58.5%
	Vote early by mail	Count	23	1	5	4	9	3	45
		% within Marital Status	5.8%	9.1%	6.4%	18.2%	9.9%	9.7%	7.1%
	Vote on election day	Count	137	4	26	9	30	12	218
		% within Marital Status	34.3%	36.4%	33.3%	40.9%	33.0%	38.7%	34.4%
Total		Count	400	11	78	22	91	31	633
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Vote intent 2010 primary election \* Gender Crosstabulation

	•	-	Gen	der	
			Male	Female	Total
Vote intent 2010 primary	Vote early at the polling booth	Count	176	196	372
election		% within Gender	58.3%	58.9%	58.6%
	Vote early by mail	Count	18	27	45
		% within Gender	6.0%	8.1%	7.1%
	Vote on election day	Count	108	110	218
		% within Gender	35.8%	33.0%	34.3%
Total		Count	302	333	635
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# **Vote intent 2010 primary election \* Age Crosstabulation**

	-			Age			
			60 and above	45-59	30-44	18-29	Total
Vote intent 2010 primary	Vote early at the polling booth	Count	114	130	88	36	368
election		% within Age	61.3%	59.9%	56.4%	53.7%	58.8%
	Vote early by mail	Count	18	14	2	10	44
		% within Age	9.7%	6.5%	1.3%	14.9%	7.0%
	Vote on election day	Count	54	73	66	21	214
		% within Age	29.0%	33.6%	42.3%	31.3%	34.2%
Total		Count	186	217	156	67	626
		% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## Amount of first two Republican gubernatorial primary debates watched \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation

	Í
Ideology scale	Total

			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	
Amount of first two	I watched both of them	Count	0	5	5	25	13	18	11	77
Republican gubernatorial primary debates watched		% within Ideology scale	.0%	7.8%	6.5%	11.5%	10.6%	9.2%	12.9%	9.7%
,,	I watched one of them	Count	1	4	11	16	12	29	12	85
		% within Ideology scale	3.7%	6.3%	14.3%	7.3%	9.8%	14.8%	14.1%	10.8%
	I have seen clips of one or	Count	13	21	20	61	44	78	39	276
	both of them	% within Ideology scale	48.1%	32.8%	26.0%	28.0%	35.8%	39.8%	45.9%	34.9%
	I haven't seen anything of	Count	13	34	41	116	54	71	23	352
	them	% within Ideology scale	48.1%	53.1%	53.2%	53.2%	43.9%	36.2%	27.1%	44.6%
Total	•	Count	27	64	77	218	123	196	85	790
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Amount of first two Republican gubernatorial primary debates watched \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

-	-					7 point Pa	arty ID				
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Amount of first two	I watched both of them	Count	21	8	4	7	7	8	21	0	76
Republican gubernatorial primary debates watched		% within 7 point Party ID	9.9%	8.9%	9.8%	15.6%	12.3%	7.4%	10.9%	.0%	10.1%
	I watched one of them	Count	24	3	5	2	7	13	30	0	84
		% within 7 point Party ID	11.3%	3.3%	12.2%	4.4%	12.3%	12.0%	15.5%	.0%	11.2%
	I have seen clips of one or	Count	65	25	9	16	22	38	80	4	259
	both of them	% within 7 point Party ID	30.5%	27.8%	22.0%	35.6%	38.6%	35.2%	41.5%	66.7%	34.4%
	I haven't seen anything of	Count	103	54	23	20	21	49	62	2	334
	them	% within 7 point Party ID	48.4%	60.0%	56.1%	44.4%	36.8%	45.4%	32.1%	33.3%	44.4%
Total		Count	213	90	41	45	57	108	193	6	753
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Amount of first two Republican gubernatorial primary debates watched \* Population density Crosstabulation

			P	opulation densi	ty	
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Amount of first two Republican	I watched both of them	Count	24	40	14	78
gubernatorial primary debates		% within Population density	9.8%	10.2%	8.8%	9.8%

watched	I watched one of them	Count	16	47	21	84
		% within Population density	6.5%	12.0%	13.1%	10.5%
	I have seen clips of one or both	Count	77	147	53	277
	of them	% within Population density	31.4%	37.5%	33.1%	34.8%
	I haven't seen anything of them	Count	128	158	72	358
		% within Population density	52.2%	40.3%	45.0%	44.9%
Total		Count	245	392	160	797
		% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## Amount of first two Republican gubernatorial primary debates watched \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation

			Live	e in Houston, Dal	las-Fort Worth, S	an Antonio, or Au	ıstin	
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas.	Total
Amount of first two	I watched both of them	Count	14	26	9	8	22	79
Republican gubernatorial primary debates watched		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	8.8%	10.7%	13.0%	9.2%	9.2%	9.9%
	I watched one of them	Count	18	32	5	9	21	85
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	11.3%	13.1%	7.2%	10.3%	8.8%	10.6%
	I have seen clips of one or	Count	52	96	25	33	71	277
	both of them	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	32.7%	39.3%	36.2%	37.9%	29.6%	34.7%
	I haven't seen anything of	Count	75	90	30	37	126	358
	them	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	47.2%	36.9%	43.5%	42.5%	52.5%	44.8%
Total		Count	159	244	69	87	240	799
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## Amount of first two Republican gubernatorial primary debates watched \* Education Crosstabulation

	-	_			Education				
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Amount of first two	I watched both of them	Count	4	26	18	3	22	5	78
Republican gubernatorial primary debates watched		% within Education	22.2%	9.3%	7.8%	8.3%	13.2%	7.6%	9.8%
illiary debates watched	I watched one of them	Count	1	27	26	4	22	5	85
		% within Education	5.6%	9.6%	11.2%	11.1%	13.2%	7.6%	10.6%
	I have seen clips of one or	Count	8	81	80	13	61	36	279
	both of them	% within Education	44.4%	28.9%	34.5%	36.1%	36.5%	54.5%	34.9%
	I haven't seen anything of	Count	5	146	108	16	62	20	357
	them	% within Education	27.8%	52.1%	46.6%	44.4%	37.1%	30.3%	44.7%
Total		Count	18	280	232	36	167	66	799
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## Amount of first two Republican gubernatorial primary debates watched \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation

	-	-		Frequency	attend religious se	ervices		
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
Amount of first two	I watched both of them	Count	11	18	15	18	16	78
Republican gubernatorial primary debates watched		% within Frequency attend religious services	9.7%	10.6%	14.4%	7.8%	8.9%	9.8%
	I watched one of them	Count	19	17	12	23	12	83
		% within Frequency attend religious services	16.8%	10.0%	11.5%	10.0%	6.7%	10.4%
	I have seen clips of one or	Count	34	61	43	86	54	278
	both of them	% within Frequency attend religious services	30.1%	35.9%	41.3%	37.4%	30.2%	34.9%
	I haven't seen anything of	Count	49	74	34	103	97	357
	them	% within Frequency attend religious services	43.4%	43.5%	32.7%	44.8%	54.2%	44.8%
Total	<del>.</del>	Count	113	170	104	230	179	796

#### Amount of first two Republican gubernatorial primary debates watched \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation

	-	-		Frequency	attend religious s	ervices		
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
Amount of first two	I watched both of them	Count	11	18	15	18	16	78
Republican gubernatorial primary debates watched		% within Frequency attend religious services	9.7%	10.6%	14.4%	7.8%	8.9%	9.8%
	I watched one of them	Count	19	17	12	23	12	83
		% within Frequency attend religious services	16.8%	10.0%	11.5%	10.0%	6.7%	10.4%
	I have seen clips of one or	Count	34	61	43	86	54	278
	both of them	% within Frequency attend religious services	30.1%	35.9%	41.3%	37.4%	30.2%	34.9%
	I haven't seen anything of	Count	49	74	34	103	97	357
	them	% within Frequency attend religious services	43.4%	43.5%	32.7%	44.8%	54.2%	44.8%
Total		Count	113	170	104	230	179	796
		% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## Amount of first two Republican gubernatorial primary debates watched \* Race Crosstabulation

	-				R	ace			
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Total
Amount of first two	I watched both of them	Count	53	6	12	2	1	3	77
Republican gubernatorial primary debates watched		% within Race	10.9%	5.5%	7.5%	22.2%	33.3%	11.1%	9.7%
primary debates wateried	I watched one of them	Count	61	5	12	1	0	5	84
		% within Race	12.5%	4.6%	7.5%	11.1%	.0%	18.5%	10.6%
	I have seen clips of one or	Count	191	29	46	2	2	7	277
	both of them	% within Race	39.1%	26.6%	28.8%	22.2%	66.7%	25.9%	34.8%
	I haven't seen anything of	Count	183	69	90	4	0	12	358
	them	% within Race	37.5%	63.3%	56.3%	44.4%	.0%	44.4%	45.0%
Total		Count	488	109	160	9	3	27	796
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Amount of first two Republican gubernatorial primary debates watched \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation

			Own or re	ent home	
			Own	Rent	Total
Amount of first two Republican	I watched both of them	Count	60	17	77
gubernatorial primary debates watched		% within Own or rent home	10.1%	8.5%	9.7%
vatorieu	I watched one of them	Count	70	15	85
		% within Own or rent home	11.8%	7.5%	10.7%
	I have seen clips of one or both	Count	218	56	274
	of them	% within Own or rent home	36.7%	28.0%	34.5%
	I haven't seen anything of them	Count	246	112	358
		% within Own or rent home	41.4%	56.0%	45.1%
Total		Count	594	200	794
		% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## Amount of first two Republican gubernatorial primary debates watched \* Marital Status Crosstabulation

	-	-			Marit	tal Status			
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	Total
Amount of first two	I watched both of them	Count	50	4	10	4	7	1	76
Republican gubernatorial orimary debates watched		% within Marital Status	10.4%	20.0%	9.9%	15.4%	5.4%	2.6%	9.5%
	I watched one of them	Count	53	1	7	8	9	7	85
		% within Marital Status	11.0%	5.0%	6.9%	30.8%	6.9%	18.4%	10.7%
	I have seen clips of one or	Count	180	7	32	9	33	18	279
	both of them	% within Marital Status	37.3%	35.0%	31.7%	34.6%	25.4%	47.4%	35.0%
	I haven't seen anything of	Count	199	8	52	5	81	12	357
	them	% within Marital Status	41.3%	40.0%	51.5%	19.2%	62.3%	31.6%	44.8%
Total	•	Count	482	20	101	26	130	38	797
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Amount of first two Republican gubernatorial primary debates watched \* Gender Crosstabulation

	-		Gen	der	
			Male	Female	Total
Amount of first two Republican	I watched both of them	Count	42	36	78
gubernatorial primary debates watched		% within Gender	11.6%	8.2%	9.8%
waterieu	I watched one of them	Count	44	41	85
		% within Gender	12.1%	9.4%	10.6%
	I have seen clips of one or both	Count	121	158	279
	of them	% within Gender	33.3%	36.2%	34.9%
	I haven't seen anything of them	Count	156	202	358
		% within Gender	43.0%	46.2%	44.8%
Total		Count	363	437	800
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Amount of first two Republican gubernatorial primary debates watched \* Age Crosstabulation

	-	-		Age			
			60 and above	45-59	30-44	18-29	Total
Amount of first two Republican	I watched both of them	Count	23	29	20	6	78
gubernatorial primary debates watched	Lwatchad and of them	% within Age	11.2%	11.1%	9.1%	6.1%	9.9%
	I watched one of them	Count	31	26	19	7	83
		% within Age	15.0%	9.9%	8.7%	7.1%	10.6%
	I have seen clips of one or both	Count	90	90	65	28	273
	of them	% within Age	43.7%	34.4%	29.7%	28.3%	34.7%
	I haven't seen anything of them	Count	62	117	115	58	352
		% within Age	30.1%	44.7%	52.5%	58.6%	44.8%
Total		Count	206	262	219	99	786
		% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### 2010 Republican primary election for governor vote choice if held today \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation

		_				Ideology scale				
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Total
2010 Republican primary	Rick Perry	Count	0	0	1	15	28	81	38	163
election for governor vote choice if held today		% within Ideology scale	.0%	.0%	14.3%	34.1%	39.4%	48.2%	54.3%	44.7%
,	Kay Bailey Hutchison	Count	1	2	3	11	19	30	9	75
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	50.0%	42.9%	25.0%	26.8%	17.9%	12.9%	20.5%
	Debra Medina	Count	0	2	1	7	12	31	17	70
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	50.0%	14.3%	15.9%	16.9%	18.5%	24.3%	19.2%
	Don't know	Count	0	0	2	11	12	26	6	57
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	.0%	28.6%	25.0%	16.9%	15.5%	8.6%	15.6%
Total		Count	1	4	7	44	71	168	70	365
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### 2010 Republican primary election for governor vote choice if held today \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

						7 point Pa	arty ID				
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
2010 Republican primary	Rick Perry	Count	3	4	1	2	14	30	107	0	161
election for governor vote choice if held today		% within 7 point Party ID	30.0%	44.4%	20.0%	13.3%	29.8%	37.0%	56.9%	.0%	45.2%
	Kay Bailey Hutchison	Count	4	3	1	4	5	20	36	0	73
_		% within 7 point Party ID	40.0%	33.3%	20.0%	26.7%	10.6%	24.7%	19.1%	.0%	20.5%
	Debra Medina	Count	3	0	2	4	21	16	19	1	66
		% within 7 point Party ID	30.0%	.0%	40.0%	26.7%	44.7%	19.8%	10.1%	100.0%	18.5%
	Don't know	Count	0	2	1	5	7	15	26	0	56
		% within 7 point Party ID	.0%	22.2%	20.0%	33.3%	14.9%	18.5%	13.8%	.0%	15.7%
Total	•	Count	10	9	5	15	47	81	188	1	356
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## 2010 Republican primary election for governor vote choice if held today \* Population density Crosstabulation

	Population density	Total

			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
2010 Republican primary	Rick Perry	Count	41	89	33	163
election for governor vote choice if held today		% within Population density	48.2%	46.8%	36.3%	44.5%
onolog ii nola today	Kay Bailey Hutchison	Count	19	34	23	76
		% within Population density	22.4%	17.9%	25.3%	20.8%
	Debra Medina	Count	13	37	19	69
		% within Population density	15.3%	19.5%	20.9%	18.9%
	Don't know	Count	12	30	16	58
		% within Population density	14.1%	15.8%	17.6%	15.8%
Total	•	Count	85	190	91	366
		% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## 2010 Republican primary election for governor vote choice if held today \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation

			Liv	e in Houston, Dal	las-Fort Worth, Sa	an Antonio, or Aus	stin	
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas.	Total
2010 Republican primary	Rick Perry	Count	25	48	21	14	56	164
election for governor vote choice if held today		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	44.6%	41.4%	63.6%	42.4%	43.8%	44.8%
	Kay Bailey Hutchison	Count	9	27	3	3	33	75
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	16.1%	23.3%	9.1%	9.1%	25.8%	20.5%
	Debra Medina	Count	12	25	5	9	18	69
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	21.4%	21.6%	15.2%	27.3%	14.1%	18.9%
	Don't know	Count	10	16	4	7	21	58
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	17.9%	13.8%	12.1%	21.2%	16.4%	15.8%
Total	-	Count	56	116	33	33	128	366

2010 Republican primary election for governor vote choice if held today \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation

			Liv	e in Houston, Dal	las-Fort Worth, Sa	an Antonio, or Aus	tin	
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas.	Total
2010 Republican primary	Rick Perry	Count	25	48	21	14	56	164
election for governor vote choice if held today		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	44.6%	41.4%	63.6%	42.4%	43.8%	44.8%
	Kay Bailey Hutchison	Count	9	27	3	3	33	75
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	16.1%	23.3%	9.1%	9.1%	25.8%	20.5%
	Debra Medina	Count	12	25	5	9	18	69
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	21.4%	21.6%	15.2%	27.3%	14.1%	18.9%
	Don't know	Count	10	16	4	7	21	58
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	17.9%	13.8%	12.1%	21.2%	16.4%	15.8%
Total	-	Count	56	116	33	33	128	366
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### 2010 Republican primary election for governor vote choice if held today \* Education Crosstabulation

	<u>-</u>	-			Education				
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
2010 Republican primary	Rick Perry	Count	4	51	44	9	43	14	165
election for governor vote choice if held today		% within Education	66.7%	41.5%	45.8%	47.4%	47.8%	43.8%	45.1%
onoice ii noic teacy	Kay Bailey Hutchison	Count	0	25	21	3	18	9	76
		% within Education	.0%	20.3%	21.9%	15.8%	20.0%	28.1%	20.8%
	Debra Medina	Count	1	24	17	3	18	5	68
		% within Education	16.7%	19.5%	17.7%	15.8%	20.0%	15.6%	18.6%

	Don't know	Count	1	23	14	4	11	4	57
		% within Education	16.7%	18.7%	14.6%	21.1%	12.2%	12.5%	15.6%
Total	•	Count	6	123	96	19	90	32	366
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### 2010 Republican primary election for governor vote choice if held today \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation

				Frequency	attend religious se	ervices		
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
2010 Republican primary	Rick Perry	Count	32	34	26	51	22	165
election for governor vote choice if held today		% within Frequency attend religious services	47.1%	40.5%	54.2%	47.7%	37.3%	45.1%
	Kay Bailey Hutchison	Count	10	21	10	18	15	74
	% within Frequency attended	% within Frequency attend religious services	14.7%	25.0%	20.8%	16.8%	25.4%	20.2%
	Debra Medina	Count	12	21	7	24	6	70
		% within Frequency attend religious services	17.6%	25.0%	14.6%	22.4%	10.2%	19.1%
	Don't know	Count	14	8	5	14	16	57
		% within Frequency attend religious services	20.6%	9.5%	10.4%	13.1%	27.1%	15.6%
Total	•	Count	68	84	48	107	59	366
		% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# 2010 Republican primary election for governor vote choice if held today \* Race Crosstabulation

	-	-			R	ace			
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Total
2010 Republican primary	Rick Perry	Count	123	2	30	2	2	6	165
election for governor vote choice if held today		% within Race	43.5%	28.6%	52.6%	66.7%	50.0%	42.9%	44.8%
choice ii held teday	Kay Bailey Hutchison	Count	64	2	6	1	1	2	76
		% within Race	22.6%	28.6%	10.5%	33.3%	25.0%	14.3%	20.7%

	Debra Medina	Count	51	1	13	0	1	3	69
		% within Race	18.0%	14.3%	22.8%	.0%	25.0%	21.4%	18.8%
	Don't know	Count	45	2	8	0	0	3	58
		% within Race	15.9%	28.6%	14.0%	.0%	.0%	21.4%	15.8%
Total		Count	283	7	57	3	4	14	368
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## 2010 Republican primary election for governor vote choice if held today \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation

			Own or re	nt home	
			Own	Rent	Total
2010 Republican primary	Rick Perry	Count	137	26	163
election for governor vote choice if held today		% within Own or rent home	44.8%	44.1%	44.7%
onoloo ii nola today	Kay Bailey Hutchison	Count	61	14	75
		% within Own or rent home	19.9%	23.7%	20.5%
	Debra Medina	Count	58	11	69
		% within Own or rent home	19.0%	18.6%	18.9%
	Don't know	Count	50	8	58
		% within Own or rent home	16.3%	13.6%	15.9%
Total	·	Count	306	59	365
		% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## 2010 Republican primary election for governor vote choice if held today \* Marital Status Crosstabulation

	<u>-</u>	_			Marit	al Status			
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	Total
2010 Republican primary	Rick Perry	Count	121	1	12	7	17	6	164
election for governor vote choice if held today		% within Marital Status	45.8%	25.0%	30.8%	58.3%	45.9%	66.7%	44.9%
onered in the today	Kay Bailey Hutchison	Count	48	1	14	4	7	1	75
		% within Marital Status	18.2%	25.0%	35.9%	33.3%	18.9%	11.1%	20.5%

	Debra Medina	Count	54	2	7	0	6	0	69
		% within Marital Status	20.5%	50.0%	17.9%	.0%	16.2%	.0%	18.9%
	Don't know	Count	41	0	6	1	7	2	57
		% within Marital Status	15.5%	.0%	15.4%	8.3%	18.9%	22.2%	15.6%
Total		Count	264	4	39	12	37	9	365
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# 2010 Republican primary election for governor vote choice if held today \* Gender Crosstabulation

			Gen	der	
			Male	Female	Total
2010 Republican primary	Rick Perry	Count	97	68	165
election for governor vote choice if held today		% within Gender	50.0%	39.1%	44.8%
choice if field today	Kay Bailey Hutchison	Count	35	40	75
		% within Gender	18.0%	23.0%	20.4%
	Debra Medina	Count	36	34	70
		% within Gender	18.6%	19.5%	19.0%
	Don't know	Count	26	32	58
		% within Gender	13.4%	18.4%	15.8%
Total	•	Count	194	174	368
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# 2010 Republican primary election for governor vote choice if held today \* Age Crosstabulation

	<u>-</u>	-		Age			
			60 and above	45-59	30-44	18-29	Total
2010 Republican primary	Rick Perry	Count	58	55	38	11	162
election for governor vote choice if held today		% within Age	50.9%	43.0%	40.9%	37.9%	44.5%
choice if field today	Kay Bailey Hutchison	Count	27	28	17	4	76
		% within Age	23.7%	21.9%	18.3%	13.8%	20.9%

	Debra Medina	Count	19	26	16	8	69
		% within Age	16.7%	20.3%	17.2%	27.6%	19.0%
	Don't know	Count	10	19	22	6	57
		% within Age	8.8%	14.8%	23.7%	20.7%	15.7%
Total	·	Count	114	128	93	29	364
		% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### 2010 Democratic primary election for governor vote choice \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation

						Ideology scale				
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Total
2010 Democratic primary	Bill White	Count	12	26	38	43	8	3	2	132
election for governor vote choice		% within Ideology scale	52.2%	53.1%	73.1%	42.2%	42.1%	30.0%	40.0%	50.8%
	Farouk Shami	Count	5	5	5	11	2	1	0	29
		% within Ideology scale	21.7%	10.2%	9.6%	10.8%	10.5%	10.0%	.0%	11.2%
	Someone else	Count	0	4	3	9	2	2	2	22
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	8.2%	5.8%	8.8%	10.5%	20.0%	40.0%	8.5%
	Don't know	Count	6	14	6	39	7	4	1	77
		% within Ideology scale	26.1%	28.6%	11.5%	38.2%	36.8%	40.0%	20.0%	29.6%
Total		Count	23	49	52	102	19	10	5	260
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# 2010 Democratic primary election for governor vote choice \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

					7 point P	arty ID			
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Total
2010 Democratic primary	Bill White	Count	94	23	13	2	1	0	133
election for governor vote choice		% within 7 point Party ID	54.0%	42.6%	65.0%	33.3%	25.0%	.0%	51.2%
	Farouk Shami	Count	15	7	4	2	0	0	28
		% within 7 point Party ID	8.6%	13.0%	20.0%	33.3%	.0%	.0%	10.8%

	Someone else	Count	18	4	0	1	2	0	25
		% within 7 point Party ID	10.3%	7.4%	.0%	16.7%	50.0%	.0%	9.6%
	Don't know	Count	47	20	3	1	1	2	74
		% within 7 point Party ID	27.0%	37.0%	15.0%	16.7%	25.0%	100.0%	28.5%
Total		Count	174	54	20	6	4	2	260
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## 2010 Democratic primary election for governor vote choice \* Population density Crosstabulation

			Po	opulation densi	ty	
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
2010 Democratic primary	Bill White	Count	48	64	20	132
election for governor vote choice		% within Population density	44.9%	52.5%	58.8%	50.2%
	Farouk Shami	Count	15	12	2	29
		% within Population density	14.0%	9.8%	5.9%	11.0%
	Someone else	Count	11	11	1	23
		% within Population density	10.3%	9.0%	2.9%	8.7%
	Don't know	Count	33	35	11	79
		% within Population density	30.8%	28.7%	32.4%	30.0%
Total	<u>-</u>	Count	107	122	34	263
		% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### 2010 Democratic primary election for governor vote choice \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation

	<u>-</u>	-	Li	ve in Houston, Dal	las-Fort Worth, Sa	an Antonio, or Aust	in	
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas.	Total
2010 Democratic primary election for governor vote choice	Bill White	Count % within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	43 72.9%	-	10 45.5%		14 23.7%	133 50.0%

	Farouk Shami	Count	2	14	4	2	8	30
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	3.4%	14.9%	18.2%	6.3%	13.6%	11.3%
	Someone else	Count	1	8	3	1	11	24
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	1.7%	8.5%	13.6%	3.1%	18.6%	9.0%
	Don't know	Count	13	27	5	8	26	79
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	22.0%	28.7%	22.7%	25.0%	44.1%	29.7%
Total	•	Count	59	94	22	32	59	266
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# 2010 Democratic primary election for governor vote choice \* Education Crosstabulation

					Education	1			
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
2010 Democratic primary	Bill White	Count	1	36	43	4	26	23	133
election for governor vote choice		% within Education	25.0%	45.0%	48.3%	33.3%	51.0%	79.3%	50.2%
CHOICE	Farouk Shami	Count	0	7	10	4	5	3	29
		% within Education	.0%	8.8%	11.2%	33.3%	9.8%	10.3%	10.9%
	Someone else	Count	2	7	11	0	4	1	25
		% within Education	50.0%	8.8%	12.4%	.0%	7.8%	3.4%	9.4%
	Don't know	Count	1	30	25	4	16	2	78
		% within Education	25.0%	37.5%	28.1%	33.3%	31.4%	6.9%	29.4%
Total		Count	4	80	89	12	51	29	265
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

				Frequency	attend religious s	services		
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
2010 Democratic primary	Bill White	Count	17	24	18	37	37	133
election for governor vote hoice		% within Frequency attend religious services	43.6%	45.3%	45.0%	53.6%	57.8%	50.2%
	Farouk Shami	Count	6	8	5	7	2	28
		% within Frequency attend religious services	15.4%	15.1%	12.5%	10.1%	3.1%	10.6%
	Someone else	Count	4	8	3	5	4	24
		% within Frequency attend religious services	10.3%	15.1%	7.5%	7.2%	6.3%	9.1%
	Don't know	Count	12	13	14	20	21	80
		% within Frequency attend religious services	30.8%	24.5%	35.0%	29.0%	32.8%	30.2%
Total	-	Count	39	53	40	69	64	265
		% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## 2010 Democratic primary election for governor vote choice \* Race Crosstabulation

	-	- Primary ord	1					Ī
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Race			
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Multi-racial	Total
2010 Democratic primary	Bill White	Count	76	34	21	1	1	133
election for governor vote choice		% within Race	63.3%	45.3%	35.0%	33.3%	16.7%	50.4%
	Farouk Shami	Count	8	6	9	2	3	28
		% within Race	6.7%	8.0%	15.0%	66.7%	50.0%	10.6%
	Someone else	Count	11	6	6	0	1	24
		% within Race	9.2%	8.0%	10.0%	.0%	16.7%	9.1%
	Don't know	Count	25	29	24	0	1	79
		% within Race	20.8%	38.7%	40.0%	.0%	16.7%	29.9%

Total	Count	120	75	60	3	6	264
	% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# 2010 Democratic primary election for governor vote choice \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation

			Own or re	ent home	
			Own	Rent	Total
2010 Democratic primary	Bill White	Count	103	30	133
election for governor vote choice		% within Own or rent home	56.3%	38.0%	50.8%
CHOICE	Farouk Shami	Count	18	8	26
		% within Own or rent home	9.8%	10.1%	9.9%
	Someone else	Count	16	8	24
		% within Own or rent home	8.7%	10.1%	9.2%
	Don't know	Count	46	33	79
		% within Own or rent home	25.1%	41.8%	30.2%
Total		Count	183	79	262
		% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## 2010 Democratic primary election for governor vote choice \* Marital Status Crosstabulation

	_ <del>_</del>	-			Marit	al Status			
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	Total
2010 Democratic primary	Bill White	Count	67	1	23	7	23	12	133
election for governor vote choice		% within Marital Status	50.8%	14.3%	59.0%	70.0%	42.6%	57.1%	50.6%
Choice	Farouk Shami	Count	17	0	3	0	7	1	28
		% within Marital Status	12.9%	.0%	7.7%	.0%	13.0%	4.8%	10.6%
	Someone else	Count	13	0	3	3	3	2	24
		% within Marital Status	9.8%	.0%	7.7%	30.0%	5.6%	9.5%	9.1%
	Don't know	Count	35	6	10	0	21	6	78

	% within Marital Status	26.5%	85.7%	25.6%	.0%	38.9%	28.6%	29.7%
Total	Count	132	7	39	10	54	21	263
	% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# 2010 Democratic primary election for governor vote choice \* Gender Crosstabulation

			Gen	der	
			Male	Female	Total
2010 Democratic primary	Bill White	Count	68	66	134
election for governor vote choice		% within Gender	62.4%	42.3%	50.6%
CHOICE	Farouk Shami	Count	14	14	28
		% within Gender	12.8%	9.0%	10.6%
	Someone else	Count	9	15	24
		% within Gender	8.3%	9.6%	9.1%
	Don't know	Count	18	61	79
		% within Gender	16.5%	39.1%	29.8%
Total		Count	109	156	265
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# 2010 Democratic primary election for governor vote choice \* Age Crosstabulation

				Age			
			60 and above	45-59	30-44	18-29	Total
2010 Democratic primary	Bill White	Count	48	50	19	12	129
election for governor vote choice		% within Age	68.6%	55.6%	30.6%	31.6%	49.6%
Choice	Farouk Shami	Count	5	8	12	4	29
		% within Age	7.1%	8.9%	19.4%	10.5%	11.2%
	Someone else	Count	6	10	3	3	22
		% within Age	8.6%	11.1%	4.8%	7.9%	8.5%
	Don't know	Count	11	22	28	19	80

	% within Age	15.7%	24.4%	45.2%	50.0%	30.8%
Total	Count	70	90	62	38	260
	% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### 2010 Democratic primary election for Lt governor vote choice \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation

						Ideology scale				
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Total
2010 Democratic primary	Marc Katz	Count	0	1	4	3	1	0	0	9
election for Lt governor vote choice		% within Ideology scale	.0%	2.0%	7.5%	2.9%	5.3%	.0%	.0%	3.4%
	Ronnie Earle	Count	5	7	11	14	3	1	0	41
		% within Ideology scale	22.7%	14.0%	20.8%	13.7%	15.8%	10.0%	.0%	15.6%
	Linda Chavez-Thompson	Count	4	7	8	16	7	2	2	46
		% within Ideology scale	18.2%	14.0%	15.1%	15.7%	36.8%	20.0%	33.3%	17.6%
	Someone else	Count	0	2	0	10	0	1	0	13
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	4.0%	.0%	9.8%	.0%	10.0%	.0%	5.0%
	Don't know	Count	13	33	30	59	8	6	4	153
		% within Ideology scale	59.1%	66.0%	56.6%	57.8%	42.1%	60.0%	66.7%	58.4%
Total		Count	22	50	53	102	19	10	6	262
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### 2010 Democratic primary election for Lt governor vote choice \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

	-	-			7 point P	arty ID			
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Total
2010 Democratic primary	Marc Katz	Count	5	3	0	0	0	0	8
election for Lt governor vote choice		% within 7 point Party ID	2.9%	5.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.1%
	Ronnie Earle	Count	29	8	2	0	1	0	40
		% within 7 point Party ID	16.8%	15.1%	9.5%	.0%	25.0%	.0%	15.4%
	Linda Chavez-Thompson	Count	29	8	6	3	0	0	46
		% within 7 point Party ID	16.8%	15.1%	28.6%	50.0%	.0%	.0%	17.8%
	Someone else	Count	7	5	0	2	0	0	14
		% within 7 point Party ID	4.0%	9.4%	.0%	33.3%	.0%	.0%	5.4%

	Don't know	Count	103	29	13	1	3	2	151
		% within 7 point Party ID	59.5%	54.7%	61.9%	16.7%	75.0%	100.0%	58.3%
Total		Count	173	53	21	6	4	2	259
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## 2010 Democratic primary election for Lt governor vote choice \* Population density Crosstabulation

	-	<del>-</del>	Po	opulation densi	ty	
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
2010 Democratic primary	Marc Katz	Count	2	5	2	9
election for Lt governor vote choice		% within Population density	1.9%	4.1%	5.9%	3.4%
Onloido	Ronnie Earle	Count	14	19	8	41
		% within Population density	13.1%	15.4%	23.5%	15.5%
	Linda Chavez-Thompson	Count	26	17	3	46
		% within Population density	24.3%	13.8%	8.8%	17.4%
	Someone else	Count	6	5	2	13
		% within Population density	5.6%	4.1%	5.9%	4.9%
	Don't know	Count	59	77	19	155
		% within Population density	55.1%	62.6%	55.9%	58.7%
Total		Count	107	123	34	264
		% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### 2010 Democratic primary election for Lt governor vote choice \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation

	_	-	Live	e in Houston, Dal	las-Fort Worth, Sa	an Antonio, or Au	stin	
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	,	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas.	Total
2010 Democratic primary election for Lt governor vote choice	Marc Katz	Count % within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	2 3.5%	2 2.1%	1 4.5%	6.3%	1 1.7%	8 3.0%

	Ronnie Earle	Count	9	11	3	12	6	41
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	15.8%	11.6%	13.6%	37.5%	10.3%	15.5%
	Linda Chavez-Thompson	Count	5	18	10	4	10	47
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	8.8%	18.9%	45.5%	12.5%	17.2%	17.8%
	Someone else	Count	4	4	1	0	5	14
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	7.0%	4.2%	4.5%	.0%	8.6%	5.3%
	Don't know	Count	37	60	7	14	36	154
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	64.9%	63.2%	31.8%	43.8%	62.1%	58.3%
Total		Count	57	95	22	32	58	264
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## 2010 Democratic primary election for Lt governor vote choice \* Education Crosstabulation

					Education	ı			
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
2010 Democratic primary	Marc Katz	Count	0	1	4	1	1	1	8
election for Lt governor vote choice		% within Education	.0%	1.3%	4.5%	8.3%	2.0%	3.4%	3.0%
	Ronnie Earle	Count	0	13	11	2	9	7	42
		% within Education	.0%	16.3%	12.4%	16.7%	17.6%	24.1%	15.8%
	Linda Chavez-Thompson	Count	0	8	15	4	12	8	47
		% within Education	.0%	10.0%	16.9%	33.3%	23.5%	27.6%	17.7%
	Someone else	Count	1	3	4	0	4	1	13
		% within Education	25.0%	3.8%	4.5%	.0%	7.8%	3.4%	4.9%
	Don't know	Count	3	55	55	5	25	12	155
		% within Education	75.0%	68.8%	61.8%	41.7%	49.0%	41.4%	58.5%

Total	Count	4	80	89	12	51	29	265
	% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### 2010 Democratic primary election for Lt governor vote choice \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation

	-	-		Frequency	attend religious s	ervices		
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
2010 Democratic primary	Marc Katz	Count	1	2	1	2	2	8
election for Lt governor vote choice		% within Frequency attend religious services	2.6%	3.8%	2.4%	2.9%	3.2%	3.0%
	Ronnie Earle	Count	7	10	7	10	8	42
		% within Frequency attend religious services	17.9%	18.9%	17.1%	14.3%	12.7%	15.8%
	Linda Chavez-Thompson	Count	8	11	8	12	8	47
		% within Frequency attend religious services	20.5%	20.8%	19.5%	17.1%	12.7%	17.7%
	Someone else	Count	1	5	5	1	2	14
		% within Frequency attend religious services	2.6%	9.4%	12.2%	1.4%	3.2%	5.3%
	Don't know	Count	22	25	20	45	43	155
		% within Frequency attend religious services	56.4%	47.2%	48.8%	64.3%	68.3%	58.3%
Total		Count	39	53	41	70	63	266
		% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# 2010 Democratic primary election for Lt governor vote choice \* Race Crosstabulation

					Race			
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Multi-racial	Total
2010 Democratic primary	Marc Katz	Count	6	0	1	0	1	8
election for Lt governor		% within Race	5.0%	.0%	1.7%	.0%	16.7%	3.0%

vote choice	Ronnie Earle	Count	26	7	8	0	1	42
		% within Race	21.7%	9.3%	13.3%	.0%	16.7%	15.8%
	Linda Chavez-Thompson	Count	13	13	19	1	1	47
		% within Race	10.8%	17.3%	31.7%	25.0%	16.7%	17.7%
	Someone else	Count	5	7	0	0	2	14
		% within Race	4.2%	9.3%	.0%	.0%	33.3%	5.3%
	Don't know	Count	70	48	32	3	1	154
		% within Race	58.3%	64.0%	53.3%	75.0%	16.7%	58.1%
Total		Count	120	75	60	4	6	265
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# 2010 Democratic primary election for Lt governor vote choice \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation

			Own or re	ent home	
			Own	Rent	Total
2010 Democratic primary	Marc Katz	Count	7	2	9
election for Lt governor vote choice		% within Own or rent home	3.8%	2.5%	3.4%
onolog	Ronnie Earle	Count	38	4	42
		% within Own or rent home	20.7%	5.0%	15.9%
	Linda Chavez-Thompson	Count	36	11	47
		% within Own or rent home	19.6%	13.8%	17.8%
	Someone else	Count	6	8	14
		% within Own or rent home	3.3%	10.0%	5.3%
	Don't know	Count	97	55	152
		% within Own or rent home	52.7%	68.8%	57.6%
Total	·	Count	184	80	264
		% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

2010 Democratic primary election for Lt governor vote choice \* Marital Status Crosstabulation

		_			Marit	al Status			
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	Total
2010 Democratic primary	Marc Katz	Count	6	0	0	0	3	0	9
election for Lt governor vote choice		% within Marital Status	4.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	5.6%	.0%	3.4%
GIOCE	Ronnie Earle	Count	24	0	5	2	4	6	41
		% within Marital Status	18.2%	.0%	12.5%	22.2%	7.4%	28.6%	15.6%
	Linda Chavez-Thompson	Count	23	2	11	3	6	2	47
		% within Marital Status	17.4%	28.6%	27.5%	33.3%	11.1%	9.5%	17.9%
	Someone else	Count	8	2	1	0	3	0	14
		% within Marital Status	6.1%	28.6%	2.5%	.0%	5.6%	.0%	5.3%
	Don't know	Count	71	3	23	4	38	13	152
		% within Marital Status	53.8%	42.9%	57.5%	44.4%	70.4%	61.9%	57.8%
Total		Count	132	7	40	9	54	21	263
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# 2010 Democratic primary election for Lt governor vote choice \* Gender Crosstabulation

			Gen	der	
			Male	Female	Total
2010 Democratic primary	Marc Katz	Count	4	5	9
election for Lt governor vote choice		% within Gender	3.6%	3.2%	3.4%
CHOICE	Ronnie Earle	Count	21	21	42
		% within Gender	19.1%	13.5%	15.8%
	Linda Chavez-Thompson	Count	19	28	47
		% within Gender	17.3%	17.9%	17.7%
	Someone else	Count	6	8	14
		% within Gender	5.5%	5.1%	5.3%
	Don't know	Count	60	94	154
		% within Gender	54.5%	60.3%	57.9%
Total		Count	110	156	266

2010 Democratic primary election for Lt governor vote choice \* Gender Crosstabulation

-	-	-	Gen	der	
			Male	Female	Total
2010 Democratic primary	Marc Katz	Count	4	5	9
election for Lt governor vote choice		% within Gender	3.6%	3.2%	3.4%
0110100	Ronnie Earle	Count	21	21	42
		% within Gender	19.1%	13.5%	15.8%
	Linda Chavez-Thompson	Count	19	28	47
		% within Gender	17.3%	17.9%	17.7%
	Someone else	Count	6	8	14
		% within Gender	5.5%	5.1%	5.3%
	Don't know	Count	60	94	154
		% within Gender	54.5%	60.3%	57.9%
Total	-	Count	110	156	266
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# 2010 Democratic primary election for Lt governor vote choice \* Age Crosstabulation

				Age			
			60 and above	45-59	30-44	18-29	Total
2010 Democratic primary	Marc Katz	Count	1	0	3	3	7
election for Lt governor vote choice		% within Age	1.4%	.0%	4.9%	7.9%	2.7%
CHOICE	Ronnie Earle	Count	22	10	6	4	42
		% within Age	31.4%	11.1%	9.8%	10.5%	16.2%
	Linda Chavez-Thompson	Count	10	17	14	5	46
		% within Age	14.3%	18.9%	23.0%	13.2%	17.8%
	Someone else	Count	2	10	1	1	14
		% within Age	2.9%	11.1%	1.6%	2.6%	5.4%
	Don't know	Count	35	53	37	25	150

	% within Age	50.0%	58.9%	60.7%	65.8%	57.9%
Total	Count	70	90	61	38	259
	% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## 2010 Democratic primary election for Ag Commissioner vote choice \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation

	-	-		Ideology scale							
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Total	
2010 Democratic primary	Kinky Friedman	Count	5	22	11	33	9	1	0	81	
election for Ag Commissioner vote choice		% within Ideology scale	22.7%	44.9%	21.2%	32.0%	47.4%	10.0%	.0%	31.0%	
	Hank Gilbert	Count	9	6	22	27	4	1	1	70	
		% within Ideology scale	40.9%	12.2%	42.3%	26.2%	21.1%	10.0%	16.7%	26.8%	
	Don't know	Count	8	21	19	43	6	8	5	110	
		% within Ideology scale	36.4%	42.9%	36.5%	41.7%	31.6%	80.0%	83.3%	42.1%	
Total	-	Count	22	49	52	103	19	10	6	261	
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

## 2010 Democratic primary election for Ag Commissioner vote choice \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

	-	_			7 point F	Party ID			
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Total
2010 Democratic primary	Kinky Friedman	Count	51	19	5	4	1	2	82
election for Ag Commissioner vote choice		% within 7 point Party ID	29.3%	35.8%	25.0%	66.7%	25.0%	100.0%	31.7%
Commissioner vote energy	Hank Gilbert	Count	51	9	9	0	1	0	70
		% within 7 point Party ID	29.3%	17.0%	45.0%	.0%	25.0%	.0%	27.0%
	Don't know	Count	72	25	6	2	2	0	107
		% within 7 point Party ID	41.4%	47.2%	30.0%	33.3%	50.0%	.0%	41.3%
Total	•	Count	174	53	20	6	4	2	259
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

2010 Democratic primary election for Ag Commissioner vote choice \* Population density Crosstabulation

	-	•	Po	opulation densi	ty	
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
2010 Democratic primary election for Ag Commissioner vote choice	Kinky Friedman	Count	33	42	10	85
		% within Population density	30.8%	34.4%	28.6%	32.2%
	Hank Gilbert	Count	24	34	12	70
		% within Population density	22.4%	27.9%	34.3%	26.5%
	Don't know	Count	50	46	13	109
		% within Population density	46.7%	37.7%	37.1%	41.3%
Total		Count	107	122	35	264
		% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

2010 Democratic primary election for Ag Commissioner vote choice \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation

	•	-	Li	ve in Houston, Da	llas-Fort Worth, Sa	an Antonio, or Aust	tin	
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas.	Total
2010 Democratic primary	Kinky Friedman	Count	20	31	9	9	16	85
election for Ag Commissioner vote choice		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	34.5%	32.6%	40.9%	28.1%	27.6%	32.1%
	Hank Gilbert	Count	17	28	4	8	14	71
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	29.3%	29.5%	18.2%	25.0%	24.1%	26.8%
	Don't know	Count	21	36	9	15	28	109
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	36.2%	37.9%	40.9%	46.9%	48.3%	41.1%
Total	<u>-</u>	Count	58	95	22	32	58	265
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

2010 Democratic primary election for Ag Commissioner vote choice \* Education Crosstabulation

	=	-			Education	1			
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
2010 Democratic primary	Kinky Friedman	Count	3	22	27	3	21	10	86
election for Ag Commissioner vote choice	_	% within Education	60.0%	27.5%	30.7%	25.0%	41.2%	34.5%	32.5%
	Hank Gilbert	Count	0	24	20	1	15	10	70
		% within Education	.0%	30.0%	22.7%	8.3%	29.4%	34.5%	26.4%
	Don't know	Count	2	34	41	8	15	9	109
		% within Education	40.0%	42.5%	46.6%	66.7%	29.4%	31.0%	41.1%
Total	-	Count	5	80	88	12	51	29	265
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# 2010 Democratic primary election for Ag Commissioner vote choice \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation

				Frequency	attend religious s	services		
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
2010 Democratic primary	Kinky Friedman	Count	8	17	15	24	21	85
election for Ag Commissioner vote choice		% within Frequency attend religious services	20.5%	32.1%	36.6%	34.3%	32.8%	31.8%
	Hank Gilbert	Count	16	12	6	14	23	71
		% within Frequency attend religious services	41.0%	22.6%	14.6%	20.0%	35.9%	26.6%
	Don't know	Count	15	24	20	32	20	111
		% within Frequency attend religious services	38.5%	45.3%	48.8%	45.7%	31.3%	41.6%
Total		Count	39	53	41	70	64	267
		% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# 2010 Democratic primary election for Ag Commissioner vote choice \* Race Crosstabulation

	<del>-</del>	<del>-</del>			Race			
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Multi-racial	Total
2010 Democratic primary election for Ag Commissioner vote choice	Kinky Friedman	Count	48	13	19	2	4	86
		% within Race	40.0%	17.3%	31.7%	50.0%	66.7%	32.5%
	Hank Gilbert	Count	41	20	9	0	0	70
		% within Race	34.2%	26.7%	15.0%	.0%	.0%	26.4%
	Don't know	Count	31	42	32	2	2	109
		% within Race	25.8%	56.0%	53.3%	50.0%	33.3%	41.1%
Total	-	Count	120	75	60	4	6	265
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# 2010 Democratic primary election for Ag Commissioner vote choice \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation

	-	-	Own or re	ent home	
			Own	Rent	Total
2010 Democratic primary election for Ag Commissioner vote choice	Kinky Friedman	Count	60	24	84
		% within Own or rent home	32.8%	30.4%	32.1%
	Hank Gilbert	61	8	69	
		% within Own or rent home	33.3%	10.1%	26.3%
	Don't know	Count	62	47	109
		% within Own or rent home	33.9%	59.5%	41.6%
Total	·	Count	183	79	262
		% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## 2010 Democratic primary election for Ag Commissioner vote choice \* Marital Status Crosstabulation

Marital Status	Total
----------------	-------

			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	
2010 Democratic primary	Kinky Friedman	Count	46	1	12	4	18	4	85
election for Ag Commissioner vote choice		% within Marital Status	34.8%	14.3%	30.8%	44.4%	33.3%	19.0%	32.4%
Commissioner vote choice	Hank Gilbert	Count	35	0	10	2	13	9	69
		% within Marital Status	26.5%	.0%	25.6%	22.2%	24.1%	42.9%	26.3%
	Don't know	Count	51	6	17	3	23	8	108
		% within Marital Status	38.6%	85.7%	43.6%	33.3%	42.6%	38.1%	41.2%
Total		Count	132	7	39	9	54	21	262
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# 2010 Democratic primary election for Ag Commissioner vote choice \* Gender Crosstabulation

	<del>-</del>	-	Ger	nder	
			Male	Female	Total
2010 Democratic primary election for Ag Commissioner vote choice	Kinky Friedman	Count	40	46	86
		% within Gender	36.4%	29.5%	32.3%
	Hank Gilbert	Count	33	37	70
		% within Gender	30.0%	23.7%	26.3%
	Don't know	Count	37	73	110
	% within Gender		33.6%	46.8%	41.4%
Total		Count	110	156	266
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# 2010 Democratic primary election for Ag Commissioner vote choice \* Age Crosstabulation

	<del>-</del>	_		Age			
			60 and above	45-59	30-44	18-29	Total
2010 Democratic primary	Kinky Friedman	Count	23	22	25	14	84
election for Ag Commissioner		% within Age	32.9%	24.4%	40.3%	37.8%	32.4%

vote choice	Hank Gilbert	Count	27	22	16	3	68
		% within Age	38.6%	24.4%	25.8%	8.1%	26.3%
	Don't know	Count	20	46	21	20	107
		% within Age	28.6%	51.1%	33.9%	54.1%	41.3%
Total	<u>-</u>	Count	70	90	62	37	259
		% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### 2010 election for governor vote choice: White vs. Perry \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation

		-				Ideology scale				
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Total
2010 election for governor	Bill White	Count	22	53	60	104	27	6	9	281
vote choice: White vs. Perry		% within Ideology scale	78.6%	82.8%	77.9%	47.7%	22.0%	3.1%	10.5%	35.5%
,	Rick Perry	Count	3	1	9	45	62	167	66	353
		% within Ideology scale	10.7%	1.6%	11.7%	20.6%	50.4%	85.2%	76.7%	44.6%
	Someone else	Count	1	3	1	15	22	13	7	62
		% within Ideology scale	3.6%	4.7%	1.3%	6.9%	17.9%	6.6%	8.1%	7.8%
	Don't know	Count	2	7	7	54	12	10	4	96
		% within Ideology scale	7.1%	10.9%	9.1%	24.8%	9.8%	5.1%	4.7%	12.1%
Total		Count	28	64	77	218	123	196	86	792
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### 2010 election for governor vote choice: White vs. Perry \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

	<u>-</u>	-				7 point Pa	arty ID				
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
2010 election for governor vote E choice: White vs. Perry	Bill White	Count	166	46	27	16	3	12	7	2	279
		% within 7 point Party ID	77.9%	51.1%	65.9%	34.8%	5.1%	11.1%	3.6%	33.3%	36.9%
	Rick Perry	Count	10	24	3	15	42	71	167	2	334
		% within 7 point Party ID	4.7%	26.7%	7.3%	32.6%	71.2%	65.7%	86.1%	33.3%	44.1%
	Someone else	Count	4	5	7	10	8	15	15	0	64
		% within 7 point Party ID	1.9%	5.6%	17.1%	21.7%	13.6%	13.9%	7.7%	.0%	8.5%

	Don't know	Count	33	15	4	5	6	10	5	2	80
		% within 7 point Party ID	15.5%	16.7%	9.8%	10.9%	10.2%	9.3%	2.6%	33.3%	10.6%
Total		Count	213	90	41	46	59	108	194	6	757
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## 2010 election for governor vote choice: White vs. Perry \* Population density Crosstabulation

			Po	opulation densi	ty	
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
2010 election for governor vote	Bill White	Count	117	128	37	282
choice: White vs. Perry		% within Population density	47.8%	32.6%	23.3%	35.4%
	Rick Perry	Count	76	185	93	354
		% within Population density	31.0%	47.1%	58.5%	44.4%
	Someone else	Count	19	32	12	63
		% within Population density	7.8%	8.1%	7.5%	7.9%
	Don't know	Count	33	48	17	98
		% within Population density	13.5%	12.2%	10.7%	12.3%
Total	-	Count	245	393	159	797
		% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### 2010 election for governor vote choice: White vs. Perry \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation

	_		Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin							
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas.	Total		
2010 election for governor vote choice: White vs. Perry	Bill White	Count	84	89	17	36	56	282		
	Da	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	53.2%	36.5%	24.6%	41.9%	23.2%	35.3%		
	Rick Perry	Count	48	109	35	32	130	354		
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	30.4%	44.7%	50.7%	37.2%	53.9%	44.4%		

	Someone else	Count	10	22	8	4	19	63
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	6.3%	9.0%	11.6%	4.7%	7.9%	7.9%
	Don't know	Count	16	24	9	14	36	99
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	10.1%	9.8%	13.0%	16.3%	14.9%	12.4%
Total	•	Count	158	244	69	86	241	798
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## 2010 election for governor vote choice: White vs. Perry \* Education Crosstabulation

					Education	1			
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
2010 election for governor vote choice: White vs. Perry	Bill White	Count	8	80	87	12	62	34	283
		% within Education	44.4%	28.6%	37.5%	33.3%	37.1%	52.3%	35.5%
	Rick Perry	Count	9	128	95	19	77	27	355
		% within Education	50.0%	45.7%	40.9%	52.8%	46.1%	41.5%	44.5%
	Someone else	Count	0	21	23	5	13	1	63
		% within Education	.0%	7.5%	9.9%	13.9%	7.8%	1.5%	7.9%
	Don't know	Count	1	51	27	0	15	3	97
		% within Education	5.6%	18.2%	11.6%	.0%	9.0%	4.6%	12.2%
Total	-	Count	18	280	232	36	167	65	798
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# 2010 election for governor vote choice: White vs. Perry \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation

	Frequency	attend religious s	services		
More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
a week	Office a Week	monun	yeai	INCACI	Total

2010 election for governor	Bill White	Count	34	61	39	82	68	284
vote choice: White vs. Perry		% within Frequency attend religious services	29.6%	35.7%	36.8%	35.5%	38.0%	35.4%
	Rick Perry	Count	67	72	49	101	67	356
		% within Frequency attend religious services	58.3%	42.1%	46.2%	43.7%	37.4%	44.4%
	Someone else	Count	6	18	5	19	16	64
		% within Frequency attend religious services	5.2%	10.5%	4.7%	8.2%	8.9%	8.0%
	Don't know	Count	8	20	13	29	28	98
		% within Frequency attend religious services	7.0%	11.7%	12.3%	12.6%	15.6%	12.2%
Total	-	Count	115	171	106	231	179	802
		% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# 2010 election for governor vote choice: White vs. Perry \* Race Crosstabulation

	-	-			R	ace			
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Total
2010 election for governor	Bill White	Count	144	67	60	5	1	6	283
vote choice: White vs. Perry		% within Race	29.5%	60.4%	37.5%	55.6%	25.0%	22.2%	35.4%
	Rick Perry	Count	255	7	73	2	3	15	355
		% within Race	52.3%	6.3%	45.6%	22.2%	75.0%	55.6%	44.4%
	Someone else	Count	42	7	11	0	0	3	63
		% within Race	8.6%	6.3%	6.9%	.0%	.0%	11.1%	7.9%
	Don't know	Count	47	30	16	2	0	3	98
		% within Race	9.6%	27.0%	10.0%	22.2%	.0%	11.1%	12.3%
Total		Count	488	111	160	9	4	27	799
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

2010 election for governor vote choice: White vs. Perry \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation

	-				
		_	Own or re	ent home	
			Own	Rent	Total
2010 election for governor vote	Bill White	Count	206	73	279
choice: White vs. Perry		% within Own or rent home	34.6%	36.7%	35.1%
	Rick Perry	Count	284	70	354
		% within Own or rent home	47.7%	35.2%	44.6%
	Someone else	Count	49	15	64
		% within Own or rent home	8.2%	7.5%	8.1%
	Don't know	Count	56	41	97
		% within Own or rent home	9.4%	20.6%	12.2%
Total	-	Count	595	199	794
		% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# 2010 election for governor vote choice: White vs. Perry \* Marital Status Crosstabulation

	-	-			Marit	al Status			
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	Total
2010 election for governor	Bill White	Count	152	6	43	9	49	21	280
vote choice: White vs. Perry		% within Marital Status	31.4%	31.6%	42.6%	36.0%	37.7%	55.3%	35.1%
,	Rick Perry	Count	252	5	33	12	43	10	355
		% within Marital Status	52.1%	26.3%	32.7%	48.0%	33.1%	26.3%	44.5%
	Someone else	Count	41	0	8	2	11	1	63
		% within Marital Status	8.5%	.0%	7.9%	8.0%	8.5%	2.6%	7.9%
	Don't know	Count	39	8	17	2	27	6	99
		% within Marital Status	8.1%	42.1%	16.8%	8.0%	20.8%	15.8%	12.4%
Total	•	Count	484	19	101	25	130	38	797
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

2010 election for governor vote choice: White vs. Perry \* Gender Crosstabulation

	<del>-</del>	-	Gen	der	
			Male	Female	Total
2010 election for governor vote	Bill White	Count	132	152	284
choice: White vs. Perry		% within Gender	36.4%	34.7%	35.5%
	Rick Perry	Count	177	178	355
		% within Gender	48.8%	40.6%	44.3%
	Someone else	Count	33	31	64
		% within Gender	9.1%	7.1%	8.0%
	Don't know	Count	21	77	98
		% within Gender	5.8%	17.6%	12.2%
Total		Count	363	438	801
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# 2010 election for governor vote choice: White vs. Perry \* Age Crosstabulation

	-	-		Age			
			60 and above	45-59	30-44	18-29	Total
2010 election for governor vote	Bill White	Count	67	105	70	34	276
choice: White vs. Perry		% within Age	32.5%	40.1%	31.8%	34.0%	35.0%
	Rick Perry	Count	107	109	102	33	351
		% within Age	51.9%	41.6%	46.4%	33.0%	44.5%
	Someone else	Count	20	20	16	8	64
		% within Age	9.7%	7.6%	7.3%	8.0%	8.1%
	Don't know	Count	12	28	32	25	97
		% within Age	5.8%	10.7%	14.5%	25.0%	12.3%
Total		Count	206	262	220	100	788
		% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### 2010 election for governor vote choice: White vs. Hutchison \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation

	<del>-</del>	-				Ideology scale				
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Total
2010 election for governor	Bill White	Count	26	47	59	89	28	11	8	268
vote choice: White vs. Hutchison		% within Ideology scale	89.7%	73.4%	77.6%	40.8%	22.8%	5.6%	9.4%	33.9%
	Kay Bailey Hutchison	Count	0	6	9	54	71	149	53	342
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	9.4%	11.8%	24.8%	57.7%	76.4%	62.4%	43.3%
	Someone else	Count	1	4	1	17	15	18	13	69
		% within Ideology scale	3.4%	6.3%	1.3%	7.8%	12.2%	9.2%	15.3%	8.7%
	Don't know	Count	2	7	7	58	9	17	11	111
		% within Ideology scale	6.9%	10.9%	9.2%	26.6%	7.3%	8.7%	12.9%	14.1%
Total		Count	29	64	76	218	123	195	85	790
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### 2010 election for governor vote choice: White vs. Hutchison \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

						7 point Pa	arty ID				
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
2010 election for governor	Bill White	Count	163	39	21	10	4	16	11	2	266
vote choice: White vs. Hutchison		% within 7 point Party ID	77.6%	43.3%	51.2%	22.2%	6.9%	14.7%	5.7%	33.3%	35.4%
	Kay Bailey Hutchison	Count	11	29	9	17	38	70	150	3	327
		% within 7 point Party ID	5.2%	32.2%	22.0%	37.8%	65.5%	64.2%	77.7%	50.0%	43.5%
	Someone else	Count	7	4	5	10	8	9	19	1	63
		% within 7 point Party ID	3.3%	4.4%	12.2%	22.2%	13.8%	8.3%	9.8%	16.7%	8.4%
	Don't know	Count	29	18	6	8	8	14	13	0	96
		% within 7 point Party ID	13.8%	20.0%	14.6%	17.8%	13.8%	12.8%	6.7%	.0%	12.8%
Total		Count	210	90	41	45	58	109	193	6	752
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### 2010 election for governor vote choice: White vs. Hutchison \* Population density Crosstabulation

=		
	Population density	Total

			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
2010 election for governor vote	Bill White	Count	112	126	34	272
choice: White vs. Hutchison		% within Population density	45.9%	32.3%	21.4%	34.3%
	Kay Bailey Hutchison	Count	83	176	83	342
		% within Population density	34.0%	45.1%	52.2%	43.1%
	Someone else	Count	15	34	19	68
		% within Population density	6.1%	8.7%	11.9%	8.6%
	Don't know	Count	34	54	23	111
		% within Population density	13.9%	13.8%	14.5%	14.0%
Total	•	Count	244	390	159	793
		% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### 2010 election for governor vote choice: White vs. Hutchison \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation

			Liv	e in Houston, Dal	las-Fort Worth, Sa	an Antonio, or Aus	stin	
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas.	Total
2010 election for governor	Bill White	Count	76	87	17	40	52	272
vote choice: White vs. Hutchison		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	48.1%	36.0%	25.0%	46.5%	21.8%	34.3%
	Kay Bailey Hutchison	Count	48	106	34	30	126	344
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	30.4%	43.8%	50.0%	34.9%	52.7%	43.4%
	Someone else	Count	10	24	8	7	17	66
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	6.3%	9.9%	11.8%	8.1%	7.1%	8.3%
	Don't know	Count	24	25	9	9	44	111
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	15.2%	10.3%	13.2%	10.5%	18.4%	14.0%
Total		Count	158	242	68	86	239	793

2010 election for governor vote choice: White vs. Hutchison \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation

			Liv	e in Houston, Dal	las-Fort Worth, Sa	an Antonio, or Aus	stin	
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas.	Total
2010 election for governor	Bill White	Count	76	87	17	40	52	272
vote choice: White vs. Hutchison		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	48.1%	36.0%	25.0%	46.5%	21.8%	34.3%
	Kay Bailey Hutchison	Count	48	106	34	30	126	344
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	30.4%	43.8%	50.0%	34.9%	52.7%	43.4%
	Someone else	Count	10	24	8	7	17	66
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	6.3%	9.9%	11.8%	8.1%	7.1%	8.3%
	Don't know	Count	24	25	9	9	44	111
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	15.2%	10.3%	13.2%	10.5%	18.4%	14.0%
Total	•	Count	158	242	68	86	239	793
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### 2010 election for governor vote choice: White vs. Hutchison \* Education Crosstabulation

	-	-			Education				
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
2010 election for governor	Bill White	Count	7	81	85	12	57	31	273
vote choice: White vs. Hutchison		% within Education	41.2%	29.1%	36.8%	32.4%	34.1%	46.3%	34.3%
	Kay Bailey Hutchison	Count	5	118	95	18	79	28	343
		% within Education	29.4%	42.4%	41.1%	48.6%	47.3%	41.8%	43.0%
	Someone else	Count	1	20	24	6	17	1	69
		% within Education	5.9%	7.2%	10.4%	16.2%	10.2%	1.5%	8.7%

	Don't know	Count	4	59	27	1	14	7	112
		% within Education	23.5%	21.2%	11.7%	2.7%	8.4%	10.4%	14.1%
Total	•	Count	17	278	231	37	167	67	797
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### 2010 election for governor vote choice: White vs. Hutchison \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation

				Frequency	attend religious se	ervices		
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
2010 election for governor	Bill White	Count	32	58	36	73	75	274
vote choice: White vs. Hutchison		% within Frequency attend religious services	28.6%	34.1%	34.3%	31.6%	41.9%	34.4%
	Kay Bailey Hutchison	Count	55	76	42	106	64	343
		% within Frequency attend religious services	49.1%	44.7%	40.0%	45.9%	35.8%	43.0%
	Someone else	Count	10	12	12	18	16	68
		% within Frequency attend religious services	8.9%	7.1%	11.4%	7.8%	8.9%	8.5%
	Don't know	Count	15	24	15	34	24	112
		% within Frequency attend religious services	13.4%	14.1%	14.3%	14.7%	13.4%	14.1%
Total	•	Count	112	170	105	231	179	797
		% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# 2010 election for governor vote choice: White vs. Hutchison \* Race Crosstabulation

	-	-			R	ace			
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Total
2010 election for governor	Bill White	Count	134	66	60	4	0	8	272
vote choice: White vs. Hutchison		% within Race	27.6%	60.6%	37.5%	50.0%	.0%	28.6%	34.3%
. raterineer.	Kay Bailey Hutchison	Count	248	20	59	2	3	12	344
		% within Race	51.1%	18.3%	36.9%	25.0%	75.0%	42.9%	43.3%

	Someone else	Count	41	2	17	0	0	7	67
		% within Race	8.5%	1.8%	10.6%	.0%	.0%	25.0%	8.4%
	Don't know	Count	62	21	24	2	1	1	111
		% within Race	12.8%	19.3%	15.0%	25.0%	25.0%	3.6%	14.0%
Total		Count	485	109	160	8	4	28	794
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# 2010 election for governor vote choice: White vs. Hutchison \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation

	-	-	Own or re	ent home	
			Own	Rent	Total
2010 election for governor vote	Bill White	Count	192	79	271
choice: White vs. Hutchison		% within Own or rent home	32.4%	39.5%	34.2%
	Kay Bailey Hutchison Count		269	72	341
		% within Own or rent home	45.4%	36.0%	43.1%
	Someone else Count		54	15	69
		% within Own or rent home	9.1%	7.5%	8.7%
	Don't know	Count	77	34	111
		% within Own or rent home	13.0%	17.0%	14.0%
Total	•	Count	592	200	792
		% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### 2010 election for governor vote choice: White vs. Hutchison \* Marital Status Crosstabulation

	•	_	Marital Status						
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	Total
2010 election for governor	Bill White	Count	143	7	42	9	49	20	270
vote choice: White vs. Hutchison		% within Marital Status	29.6%	36.8%	42.0%	34.6%	38.3%	52.6%	34.0%
. 10.101.11001.1	Kay Bailey Hutchison	Count	233	9	36	9	47	9	343
		% within Marital Status	48.2%	47.4%	36.0%	34.6%	36.7%	23.7%	43.2%

	Someone else	Count	45	0	11	3	8	2	69
		% within Marital Status	9.3%	.0%	11.0%	11.5%	6.3%	5.3%	8.7%
	Don't know	Count	62	3	11	5	24	7	112
		% within Marital Status	12.8%	15.8%	11.0%	19.2%	18.8%	18.4%	14.1%
Total		Count	483	19	100	26	128	38	794
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# 2010 election for governor vote choice: White vs. Hutchison \* Gender Crosstabulation

			Gen	der	
			Male	Female	Total
2010 election for governor vote	Bill White	Count	127	146	273
choice: White vs. Hutchison		% within Gender	35.2%	33.5%	34.3%
	Kay Bailey Hutchison	Count	177	166	343
		% within Gender	49.0%	38.1%	43.0%
	Someone else	Count	25	44	69
		% within Gender	6.9%	10.1%	8.7%
	Don't know	Count	32	80	112
		% within Gender	8.9%	18.3%	14.1%
Total	-	Count	361	436	797
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# 2010 election for governor vote choice: White vs. Hutchison \* Age Crosstabulation

	-	-		Age			
			60 and above	45-59	30-44	18-29	Total
2010 election for governor vote	Bill White	Count	70	94	71	32	267
choice: White vs. Hutchison		% within Age	34.0%	36.2%	32.4%	32.0%	34.0%
	Kay Bailey Hutchison	Count	106	109	96	29	340
		% within Age	51.5%	41.9%	43.8%	29.0%	43.3%

	Someone else	Count	16	24	19	10	69
		% within Age	7.8%	9.2%	8.7%	10.0%	8.8%
	Don't know	Count	14	33	33	29	109
		% within Age	6.8%	12.7%	15.1%	29.0%	13.9%
Total	•	Count	206	260	219	100	785
		% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### 2010 election for governor vote choice: White vs. Medina \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation

		-				Ideology scale				
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Total
2010 election for governor	Bill White	Count	21	46	61	101	32	16	6	283
vote choice: White vs. Medina		% within Ideology scale	75.0%	70.8%	78.2%	47.0%	26.2%	8.2%	7.0%	35.9%
Wodina	Debra Medina	Count	3	6	9	32	47	132	53	282
		% within Ideology scale	10.7%	9.2%	11.5%	14.9%	38.5%	67.7%	61.6%	35.7%
	Someone else	Count	0	1	0	11	22	16	10	60
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	1.5%	.0%	5.1%	18.0%	8.2%	11.6%	7.6%
	Don't know	Count	4	12	8	71	21	31	17	164
		% within Ideology scale	14.3%	18.5%	10.3%	33.0%	17.2%	15.9%	19.8%	20.8%
Total	_	Count	28	65	78	215	122	195	86	789
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### 2010 election for governor vote choice: White vs. Medina \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

	_	-				7 point Pa	arty ID				
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
2010 election for governor vote	Bill White	Count	159	48	25	11	6	19	11	2	281
choice: White vs. Medina		% within 7 point Party ID	76.1%	53.9%	61.0%	23.9%	10.3%	17.6%	5.7%	33.3%	37.5%
	Debra Medina	Count	16	9	7	14	39	51	130	2	268
		% within 7 point Party ID	7.7%	10.1%	17.1%	30.4%	67.2%	47.2%	67.4%	33.3%	35.7%
	Someone else	Count	4	3	1	6	5	13	22	2	56
		% within 7 point Party ID	1.9%	3.4%	2.4%	13.0%	8.6%	12.0%	11.4%	33.3%	7.5%

	Don't know	Count	30	29	8	15	8	25	30	0	145
		% within 7 point Party ID	14.4%	32.6%	19.5%	32.6%	13.8%	23.1%	15.5%	.0%	19.3%
Total		Count	209	89	41	46	58	108	193	6	750
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### 2010 election for governor vote choice: White vs. Medina \* Population density Crosstabulation

			P	opulation densi	ty	
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
2010 election for governor vote	Bill White	Count	119	130	37	286
choice: White vs. Medina		% within Population density	48.6%	33.5%	23.3%	36.1%
	Debra Medina	Count	60	152	69	281
		% within Population density	24.5%	39.2%	43.4%	35.5%
	Someone else	Count	9	35	16	60
		% within Population density	3.7%	9.0%	10.1%	7.6%
	Don't know	Count	57	71	37	165
		% within Population density	23.3%	18.3%	23.3%	20.8%
Total	-	Count	245	388	159	792
		% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### 2010 election for governor vote choice: White vs. Medina \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation

	-	<del>-</del>	Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin						
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas.	Total	
2010 election for governor	Bill White	Count	86	83	18	39	60	286	
vote choice: White vs. Medina		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	55.1%	34.3%	26.5%	45.3%	25.0%	36.1%	
	Debra Medina	Count	42	96	30	24	89	281	
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	26.9%	39.7%	44.1%	27.9%	37.1%	35.5%	

	Someone else	Count	6	22	6	7	19	60
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	3.8%	9.1%	8.8%	8.1%	7.9%	7.6%
	Don't know	Count	22	41	14	16	72	165
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	14.1%	16.9%	20.6%	18.6%	30.0%	20.8%
Total	•	Count	156	242	68	86	240	792
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### 2010 election for governor vote choice: White vs. Medina \* Education Crosstabulation

					Education	1			
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
2010 election for governor	Bill White	Count	6	74	89	13	71	35	288
vote choice: White vs. Medina		% within Education	33.3%	26.7%	38.7%	35.1%	42.5%	53.0%	36.2%
	Debra Medina	Count	4	100	79	13	66	20	282
		% within Education	22.2%	36.1%	34.3%	35.1%	39.5%	30.3%	35.5%
	Someone else	Count	1	22	15	3	15	4	60
		% within Education	5.6%	7.9%	6.5%	8.1%	9.0%	6.1%	7.5%
	Don't know	Count	7	81	47	8	15	7	165
		% within Education	38.9%	29.2%	20.4%	21.6%	9.0%	10.6%	20.8%
Total	-	Count	18	277	230	37	167	66	795
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# 2010 election for governor vote choice: White vs. Medina \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation

	Frequency	attend religious s	services		
More than once A few times a Once or twice a					
a week	Once a week	month	year	Never	Total

2010 election for governor	Bill White	Count	34	59	39	80	75	287
vote choice: White vs. Medina		% within Frequency attend religious services	30.1%	35.1%	37.9%	34.9%	41.7%	36.2%
	Debra Medina	Count	52	64	34	78	53	281
		% within Frequency attend religious services	46.0%	38.1%	33.0%	34.1%	29.4%	35.4%
	Someone else	Count	10	16	4	14	16	60
		% within Frequency attend religious services	8.8%	9.5%	3.9%	6.1%	8.9%	7.6%
	Don't know	Count	17	29	26	57	36	165
		% within Frequency attend religious services	15.0%	17.3%	25.2%	24.9%	20.0%	20.8%
Total	<u>-</u>	Count	113	168	103	229	180	793
		% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### 2010 election for governor vote choice: White vs. Medina \* Race Crosstabulation

	-	-			R	lace			
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Total
2010 election for governor	Bill White	Count	149	67	58	4	1	7	286
vote choice: White vs. Medina		% within Race	30.6%	61.5%	36.9%	44.4%	25.0%	25.9%	36.1%
	Debra Medina	Count	199	13	56	2	2	10	282
		% within Race	40.9%	11.9%	35.7%	22.2%	50.0%	37.0%	35.6%
	Someone else	Count	45	4	5	0	1	5	60
		% within Race	9.2%	3.7%	3.2%	.0%	25.0%	18.5%	7.6%
	Don't know	Count	94	25	38	3	0	5	165
		% within Race	19.3%	22.9%	24.2%	33.3%	.0%	18.5%	20.8%
Total		Count	487	109	157	9	4	27	793
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

2010 election for governor vote choice: White vs. Medina \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation

	-	-	Own or re	ent home	
			Own	Rent	Total
2010 election for governor vote	Bill White	Count	210	75	285
choice: White vs. Medina		% within Own or rent home	35.5%	37.7%	36.1%
	Debra Medina	Count	229	53	282
		% within Own or rent home	38.7%	26.6%	35.7%
	Someone else	Count	42	16	58
		% within Own or rent home	7.1%	8.0%	7.3%
	Don't know	Count	110	55	165
		% within Own or rent home	18.6%	27.6%	20.9%
Total		Count	591	199	790
		% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# 2010 election for governor vote choice: White vs. Medina \* Marital Status Crosstabulation

	-	-			Marit	al Status			
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	Total
2010 election for governor	Bill White	Count	150	7	49	10	50	18	284
vote choice: White vs. Medina		% within Marital Status	31.2%	36.8%	49.5%	38.5%	39.1%	47.4%	35.9%
Wiedina	Debra Medina	Count	208	6	22	7	29	10	282
		% within Marital Status	43.2%	31.6%	22.2%	26.9%	22.7%	26.3%	35.7%
	Someone else	Count	40	1	5	3	10	1	60
		% within Marital Status	8.3%	5.3%	5.1%	11.5%	7.8%	2.6%	7.6%
	Don't know	Count	83	5	23	6	39	9	165
		% within Marital Status	17.3%	26.3%	23.2%	23.1%	30.5%	23.7%	20.9%
Total	•	Count	481	19	99	26	128	38	791
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

2010 election for governor vote choice: White vs. Medina \* Gender Crosstabulation

	_	-	Gen	der	
			Male	Female	Total
2010 election for governor vote	Bill White Count		138	149	287
choice: White vs. Medina		% within Gender	38.1%	34.5%	36.1%
	Debra Medina	Count	151	131	282
	% within Gender		41.7%	30.3%	35.5%
	Someone else	Count	25	35	60
		% within Gender	6.9%	8.1%	7.6%
	Don't know	Count	48	117	165
		% within Gender	13.3%	27.1%	20.8%
Total		Count	362	432	794
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# 2010 election for governor vote choice: White vs. Medina \* Age Crosstabulation

	<del>-</del>	<del>-</del>		Age			
			60 and above	45-59	30-44	18-29	Total
2010 election for governor vote	Bill White	Count	75	100	74	34	283
choice: White vs. Medina		% within Age	36.6%	38.6%	33.8%	34.7%	36.2%
	Debra Medina	Count	80	93	80	23	276
		% within Age	39.0%	35.9%	36.5%	23.5%	35.3%
	Someone else	Count	22	15	18	5	60
		% within Age	10.7%	5.8%	8.2%	5.1%	7.7%
	Don't know	Count	28	51	47	36	162
		% within Age	13.7%	19.7%	21.5%	36.7%	20.7%
Total		Count	205	259	219	98	781
		% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### 2010 election for governor vote choice: Shami vs. Perry \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation

=	-	-			, ,	Ideology scale				
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Total
2010 election for governor	Farouk Shami	Count	18	45	42	67	16	5	3	196
vote choice: Shami vs. Perry		% within Ideology scale	66.7%	69.2%	53.8%	30.7%	13.2%	2.6%	3.5%	24.8%
Rick Perry	Count	5	1	9	54	70	170	69	378	
		% within Ideology scale	18.5%	1.5%	11.5%	24.8%	57.9%	87.2%	81.2%	47.9%
	Someone else	Count	2	9	19	41	27	15	7	120
		% within Ideology scale	7.4%	13.8%	24.4%	18.8%	22.3%	7.7%	8.2%	15.2%
	Don't know	Count	2	10	8	56	8	5	6	95
		% within Ideology scale	7.4%	15.4%	10.3%	25.7%	6.6%	2.6%	7.1%	12.0%
Total	-	Count	27	65	78	218	121	195	85	789
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### 2010 election for governor vote choice: Shami vs. Perry \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

	_					7 point Pa	arty ID				
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
2010 election for governor vote	Farouk Shami	Count	123	33	19	11	1	5	1	1	194
choice: Shami vs. Perry		% within 7 point Party ID	58.0%	36.7%	45.2%	23.9%	1.7%	4.6%	.5%	16.7%	25.7%
	Rick Perry	Count	11	25	8	18	45	78	171	3	359
<u>_</u>		% within 7 point Party ID	5.2%	27.8%	19.0%	39.1%	76.3%	72.2%	89.1%	50.0%	47.5%
	Someone else	Count	45	16	9	9	8	18	14	0	119
		% within 7 point Party ID	21.2%	17.8%	21.4%	19.6%	13.6%	16.7%	7.3%	.0%	15.8%
	Don't know	Count	33	16	6	8	5	7	6	2	83
		% within 7 point Party ID	15.6%	17.8%	14.3%	17.4%	8.5%	6.5%	3.1%	33.3%	11.0%
Total	_	Count	212	90	42	46	59	108	192	6	755
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### 2010 election for governor vote choice: Shami vs. Perry \* Population density Crosstabulation

F	= .		
		Population density	Total
		Population density	l otal

			Urban	Suburban	Rural	
2010 election for governor vote	Farouk Shami	Count	93	79	25	197
choice: Shami vs. Perry		% within Population density	37.8%	20.3%	15.7%	24.8%
	Rick Perry	Count	90	197	91	378
	•	% within Population density	36.6%	50.5%	57.2%	47.5%
	Someone else	Count	29	68	25	122
		% within Population density	11.8%	17.4%	15.7%	15.3%
	Don't know	Count	34	46	18	98
		% within Population density	13.8%	11.8%	11.3%	12.3%
Total	-	Count	246	390	159	795
		% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### 2010 election for governor vote choice: Shami vs. Perry \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation

			Li	ve in Houston, Da	llas-Fort Worth, Sa	an Antonio, or Aust	in	
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas.	Total
2010 election for governor	Farouk Shami	Count	40	69	15	25	50	199
vote choice: Shami vs. Perry		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	25.3%	28.5%	21.7%	29.1%	20.7%	25.0%
	Rick Perry	Count	66	112	38	31	131	378
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	41.8%	46.3%	55.1%	36.0%	54.4%	47.5%
	Someone else	Count	25	42	9	17	28	121
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	15.8%	17.4%	13.0%	19.8%	11.6%	15.2%
	Don't know	Count	27	19	7	13	32	98
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	17.1%	7.9%	10.1%	15.1%	13.3%	12.3%
Total	<u>-</u>	Count	158	242	69	86	241	796

2010 election for governor vote choice: Shami vs. Perry \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation

	-	_	Li	ve in Houston, Dal	las-Fort Worth, Sa	n Antonio, or Aust	in	
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas.	Total
2010 election for governor	Farouk Shami	Count	40	69	15	25	50	199
vote choice: Shami vs. Perry		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	25.3%	28.5%	21.7%	29.1%	20.7%	25.0%
	Rick Perry	Count	66	112	38	31	131	378
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	41.8%	46.3%	55.1%	36.0%	54.4%	47.5%
	Someone else	Count	25	42	9	17	28	121
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	15.8%	17.4%	13.0%	19.8%	11.6%	15.2%
	Don't know	Count	27	19	7	13	32	98
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	17.1%	7.9%	10.1%	15.1%	13.3%	12.3%
Total	<u>-</u>	Count	158	242	69	86	241	796
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# 2010 election for governor vote choice: Shami vs. Perry \* Education Crosstabulation

	_	-	Education						
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
2010 election for governor	Farouk Shami	Count	3	44	68	11	47	24	197
vote choice: Shami vs. Perry		% within Education	17.6%	15.8%	29.4%	29.7%	28.3%	36.9%	24.8%
City	Rick Perry	Count	9	138	106	19	80	26	378
		% within Education	52.9%	49.6%	45.9%	51.4%	48.2%	40.0%	47.6%
	Someone else	Count	4	42	28	6	33	8	121

		% within Education	23.5%	15.1%	12.1%	16.2%	19.9%	12.3%	15.2%
	Don't know	Count	1	54	29	1	6	7	98
		% within Education	5.9%	19.4%	12.6%	2.7%	3.6%	10.8%	12.3%
Total	•	Count	17	278	231	37	166	65	794
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# 2010 election for governor vote choice: Shami vs. Perry \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation

	<u>-</u>	-		Frequency	attend religious s	services		
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
2010 election for governor	Farouk Shami	Count	21	41	28	56	52	198
vote choice: Shami vs. Perry		% within Frequency attend religious services	18.9%	24.3%	26.9%	24.1%	28.9%	24.9%
	Rick Perry	Count	71	80	49	108	71	379
		% within Frequency attend religious services	64.0%	47.3%	47.1%	46.6%	39.4%	47.6%
	Someone else	Count	13	32	15	32	30	122
		% within Frequency attend religious services	11.7%	18.9%	14.4%	13.8%	16.7%	15.3%
	Don't know	Count	6	16	12	36	27	97
		% within Frequency attend religious services	5.4%	9.5%	11.5%	15.5%	15.0%	12.2%
Total	-	Count	111	169	104	232	180	796
		% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### 2010 election for governor vote choice: Shami vs. Perry \* Race Crosstabulation

				Race							
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Total		
2010 election for governor	Farouk Shami	Count	96	46	44	5	0	6	197		

vote choice: Shami vs. Perry	-	% within Race	19.8%	41.8%	27.5%	55.6%	.0%	21.4%	24.8%
	Rick Perry	Count	266	14	76	2	3	18	379
		% within Race	54.8%	12.7%	47.5%	22.2%	100.0%	64.3%	47.7%
	Someone else	Count	77	21	21	0	0	2	121
		% within Race	15.9%	19.1%	13.1%	.0%	.0%	7.1%	15.2%
	Don't know	Count	46	29	19	2	0	2	98
		% within Race	9.5%	26.4%	11.9%	22.2%	.0%	7.1%	12.3%
Total		Count	485	110	160	9	3	28	795
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# 2010 election for governor vote choice: Shami vs. Perry \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation

	-	-	Own or re	ent home	
			Own	Rent	Total
2010 election for governor vote	Farouk Shami	Count	140	55	195
choice: Shami vs. Perry		% within Own or rent home	23.6%	27.6%	24.7%
	Rick Perry	Count	300	76	376
		% within Own or rent home	50.7%	38.2%	47.5%
	Someone else	Count	94	28	122
		% within Own or rent home	15.9%	14.1%	15.4%
	Don't know	Count	58	40	98
		% within Own or rent home	9.8%	20.1%	12.4%
Total		Count	592	199	791
		% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# 2010 election for governor vote choice: Shami vs. Perry \* Gender Crosstabulation

Ger	nder	
Male	Female	Total

2010 election for governor vote	Farouk Shami	Count	95	103	198
choice: Shami vs. Perry		% within Gender	26.2%	23.6%	24.8%
	Rick Perry	Count	183	197	380
		% within Gender	50.6%	45.2%	47.6%
	Someone else Count		54	68	122
		% within Gender	14.9%	15.6%	15.3%
	Don't know	Count	30	68	98
		% within Gender	8.3%	15.6%	12.3%
Total		Count	362	436	798
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# 2010 election for governor vote choice: Shami vs. Perry \* Age Crosstabulation

				Age			
			60 and above	45-59	30-44	18-29	Total
2010 election for governor vote	Farouk Shami	Count	48	69	59	18	194
choice: Shami vs. Perry		% within Age	23.4%	26.4%	27.1%	18.0%	24.7%
	Rick Perry	Count	114	118	100	42	374
		% within Age	55.6%	45.2%	45.9%	42.0%	47.7%
	Someone else	Count	32	47	29	13	121
		% within Age	15.6%	18.0%	13.3%	13.0%	15.4%
	Don't know	Count	11	27	30	27	95
		% within Age	5.4%	10.3%	13.8%	27.0%	12.1%
Total	-	Count	205	261	218	100	784
		% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### 2010 election for governor vote choice: Shami vs. Hutchison \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation

			Ideology scale				
Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Total

2010 election for governor	Farouk Shami	Count	18	36	41	57	15	10	2	179
vote choice: Shami vs. Hutchison		% within Ideology scale	66.7%	56.3%	52.6%	26.0%	12.2%	5.1%	2.4%	22.6%
	Kay Bailey Hutchison	Count	4	11	17	69	77	149	57	384
		% within Ideology scale	14.8%	17.2%	21.8%	31.5%	62.6%	76.0%	67.1%	48.5%
	Someone else	Count	1	7	12	36	18	26	16	116
		% within Ideology scale	3.7%	10.9%	15.4%	16.4%	14.6%	13.3%	18.8%	14.6%
	Don't know	Count	4	10	8	57	13	11	10	113
		% within Ideology scale	14.8%	15.6%	10.3%	26.0%	10.6%	5.6%	11.8%	14.3%
Total	-	Count	27	64	78	219	123	196	85	792
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### 2010 election for governor vote choice: Shami vs. Hutchison \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

	-	-				7 point Pa	arty ID				
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
2010 election for governor	Farouk Shami	Count	108	30	18	6	2	6	6	0	176
vote choice: Shami vs. Hutchison		% within 7 point Party ID	50.7%	33.7%	42.9%	13.0%	3.4%	5.6%	3.1%	.0%	23.2%
	Kay Bailey Hutchison	Count	37	28	14	20	37	78	155	5	374
		% within 7 point Party ID	17.4%	31.5%	33.3%	43.5%	62.7%	72.2%	79.9%	83.3%	49.4%
	Someone else	Count	32	13	5	12	13	14	22	1	112
		% within 7 point Party ID	15.0%	14.6%	11.9%	26.1%	22.0%	13.0%	11.3%	16.7%	14.8%
	Don't know	Count	36	18	5	8	7	10	11	0	95
		% within 7 point Party ID	16.9%	20.2%	11.9%	17.4%	11.9%	9.3%	5.7%	.0%	12.5%
Total	•	Count	213	89	42	46	59	108	194	6	757
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# 2010 election for governor vote choice: Shami vs. Hutchison \* Population density Crosstabulation

	-	-	P	opulation densi	ty	
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
2010 election for governor vote	Farouk Shami	Count	79	75	27	181
choice: Shami vs. Hutchison		% within Population density	32.2%	19.2%	16.9%	22.7%
	Kay Bailey Hutchison	Count	99	201	86	386
		% within Population density	40.4%	51.4%	53.8%	48.5%

	Company also	Count	31	E E	30	116
	Someone else	Count	31	55	30	116
		% within Population density	12.7%	14.1%	18.8%	14.6%
	Don't know	Count	36	60	17	113
		% within Population density	14.7%	15.3%	10.6%	14.2%
Total	·	Count	245	391	160	796
		% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### 2010 election for governor vote choice: Shami vs. Hutchison \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation

			Liv	e in Houston, Dal	las-Fort Worth, Sa	an Antonio, or Aus	stin	
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas.	Total
2010 election for governor	Farouk Shami	Count	37	60	13	22	51	183
vote choice: Shami vs. Hutchison		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	23.4%	24.5%	18.8%	25.9%	21.2%	22.9%
	Kay Bailey Hutchison	Count	73	114	40	37	123	387
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	46.2%	46.5%	58.0%	43.5%	51.0%	48.5%
	Someone else	Count	23	38	8	14	32	115
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	14.6%	15.5%	11.6%	16.5%	13.3%	14.4%
	Don't know	Count	25	33	8	12	35	113
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	15.8%	13.5%	11.6%	14.1%	14.5%	14.2%
Total		Count	158	245	69	85	241	798
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

					Education				
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
2010 election for governor	Farouk Shami	Count	5	38	61	10	45	23	182
vote choice: Shami vs. Hutchison		% within Education	27.8%	13.6%	26.3%	27.0%	26.9%	34.8%	22.8%
Tratoriloon	Kay Bailey Hutchison	Count	5	139	110	19	87	28	388
		% within Education	27.8%	49.8%	47.4%	51.4%	52.1%	42.4%	48.6%
	Someone else	Count	5	39	32	6	26	8	116
		% within Education	27.8%	14.0%	13.8%	16.2%	15.6%	12.1%	14.5%
	Don't know	Count	3	63	29	2	9	7	113
		% within Education	16.7%	22.6%	12.5%	5.4%	5.4%	10.6%	14.1%
Total	<del>.</del>	Count	18	279	232	37	167	66	799
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### 2010 election for governor vote choice: Shami vs. Hutchison \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation

				Frequency	attend religious se	ervices		
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
2010 election for governor	Farouk Shami	Count	22	41	22	48	48	181
ote choice: Shami vs. lutchison		% within Frequency attend religious services	19.5%	24.3%	21.0%	20.9%	26.8%	22.7%
	Kay Bailey Hutchison	Count	61	81	44	122	78	386
		% within Frequency attend religious services	54.0%	47.9%	41.9%	53.0%	43.6%	48.5%
	Someone else	Count	16	26	24	24	26	116
		% within Frequency attend religious services	14.2%	15.4%	22.9%	10.4%	14.5%	14.6%
	Don't know	Count	14	21	15	36	27	113
		% within Frequency attend religious services	12.4%	12.4%	14.3%	15.7%	15.1%	14.2%
Total		Count	113	169	105	230	179	796
		% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

2010 election for governor vote choice: Shami vs. Hutchison \* Race Crosstabulation

	-	_			R	ace			
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Total
2010 election for governor	Farouk Shami	Count	87	43	42	5	0	4	181
vote choice: Shami vs. Hutchison		% within Race	17.8%	39.1%	26.3%	62.5%	.0%	14.8%	22.7%
	Kay Bailey Hutchison	Count	273	26	70	2	3	13	387
		% within Race	55.9%	23.6%	43.8%	25.0%	75.0%	48.1%	48.6%
	Someone else	Count	74	13	21	0	0	8	116
		% within Race	15.2%	11.8%	13.1%	.0%	.0%	29.6%	14.6%
	Don't know	Count	54	28	27	1	1	2	113
		% within Race	11.1%	25.5%	16.9%	12.5%	25.0%	7.4%	14.2%
Total		Count	488	110	160	8	4	27	797
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# 2010 election for governor vote choice: Shami vs. Hutchison \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation

	-	-	Own or re	ent home	
			Own	Rent	Total
2010 election for governor vote	Farouk Shami	Count	130	51	181
choice: Shami vs. Hutchison		% within Own or rent home		25.8%	22.8%
	Kay Bailey Hutchison	Count	303	81	384
		% within Own or rent home	50.9%	40.9%	48.4%
	Someone else	Count	93	23	116
		% within Own or rent home	15.6%	11.6%	14.6%
	Don't know	Count	69	43	112
		% within Own or rent home	11.6%	21.7%	14.1%
Total		Count	595	198	793
		% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

2010 election for governor vote choice: Shami vs. Hutchison \* Marital Status Crosstabulation

		-			Marit	al Status			
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	Total
2010 election for governor	Farouk Shami	Count	103	7	24	3	32	13	182
vote choice: Shami vs. Hutchison		% within Marital Status	21.3%	35.0%	24.0%	11.5%	24.8%	33.3%	22.8%
	Kay Bailey Hutchison	Count	254	7	46	15	54	12	388
		% within Marital Status	52.6%	35.0%	46.0%	57.7%	41.9%	30.8%	48.7%
	Someone else	Count	73	3	16	6	13	5	116
		% within Marital Status	15.1%	15.0%	16.0%	23.1%	10.1%	12.8%	14.6%
	Don't know	Count	53	3	14	2	30	9	111
		% within Marital Status	11.0%	15.0%	14.0%	7.7%	23.3%	23.1%	13.9%
Total	•	Count	483	20	100	26	129	39	797
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# 2010 election for governor vote choice: Shami vs. Hutchison \* Gender Crosstabulation

	-		Ger	nder	
			Male	Female	Total
2010 election for governor vote	Farouk Shami	Count	96	86	182
choice: Shami vs. Hutchison		% within Gender	26.4%	19.7%	22.8%
	Kay Bailey Hutchison	Count	190	198	388
	% within Gender		52.3%	45.4%	48.6%
	Someone else	Count	42	74	116
		% within Gender	11.6%	17.0%	14.5%
	Don't know	Count	35	78	113
		% within Gender	9.6%	17.9%	14.1%
Total		Count	363	436	799
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

2010 election for governor vote choice: Shami vs. Hutchison \* Age Crosstabulation

				Age			
			60 and above	45-59	30-44	18-29	Total
2010 election for governor vote	Farouk Shami	Count	42	57	57	22	178
choice: Shami vs. Hutchison		% within Age	20.4%	21.8%	26.0%	22.0%	22.6%
	Kay Bailey Hutchison	Count	118	130	104	33	385
		% within Age	57.3%	49.8%	47.5%	33.0%	49.0%
	Someone else	Count	33	42	26	13	114
		% within Age	16.0%	16.1%	11.9%	13.0%	14.5%
	Don't know	Count	13	32	32	32	109
		% within Age	6.3%	12.3%	14.6%	32.0%	13.9%
Total		Count	206	261	219	100	786
		% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# 2010 election for governor vote choice: Shami vs. Medina \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation

		-				Ideology scale				
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Total
2010 election for governor	Farouk Shami	Count	18	37	38	70	15	10	3	191
vote choice: Shami vs. Medina		% within Ideology scale	64.3%	56.9%	49.4%	32.0%	12.3%	5.1%	3.5%	24.1%
	Debra Medina	Count	3	5	10	49	62	135	56	320
		% within Ideology scale	10.7%	7.7%	13.0%	22.4%	50.8%	68.9%	65.9%	40.4%
	Someone else	Count	1	5	15	24	27	24	12	108
		% within Ideology scale	3.6%	7.7%	19.5%	11.0%	22.1%	12.2%	14.1%	13.6%
	Don't know	Count	6	18	14	76	18	27	14	173
		% within Ideology scale	21.4%	27.7%	18.2%	34.7%	14.8%	13.8%	16.5%	21.8%
Total		Count	28	65	77	219	122	196	85	792
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

2010 election for governor vote choice: Shami vs. Medina \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

	-	-				7 point Pa	arty ID				
i.			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
2010 election for governor vote	Farouk Shami	Count	116	34	17	5	4	5	5	1	187
choice: Shami vs. Medina		% within 7 point Party ID	54.5%	37.8%	41.5%	10.6%	6.8%	4.6%	2.6%	16.7%	24.6%
	Debra Medina	Count	29	13	12	22	38	65	132	1	312
		% within 7 point Party ID	13.6%	14.4%	29.3%	46.8%	64.4%	59.6%	68.0%	16.7%	41.1%
	Someone else	Count	23	16	2	8	9	17	29	0	104
		% within 7 point Party ID	10.8%	17.8%	4.9%	17.0%	15.3%	15.6%	14.9%	.0%	13.7%
	Don't know	Count	45	27	10	12	8	22	28	4	156
		% within 7 point Party ID	21.1%	30.0%	24.4%	25.5%	13.6%	20.2%	14.4%	66.7%	20.6%
Total		Count	213	90	41	47	59	109	194	6	759
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# 2010 election for governor vote choice: Shami vs. Medina \* Population density Crosstabulation

	-	-	Po	opulation densi	ty	
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
2010 election for governor vote	Farouk Shami	Count	85	79	29	193
choice: Shami vs. Medina		% within Population density	34.4%	20.1%	18.2%	24.2%
	Debra Medina	Count	78	173	71	322
		% within Population density	31.6%	44.0%	44.7%	40.3%
	Someone else	Count	25	57	28	110
		% within Population density	10.1%	14.5%	17.6%	13.8%
	Don't know	Count	59	84	31	174
		% within Population density	23.9%	21.4%	19.5%	21.8%
Total		Count	247	393	159	799
		% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

2010 election for governor vote choice: Shami vs. Medina \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation

			Li	ve in Houston, Da	llas-Fort Worth, Sa	an Antonio, or Aust	in	
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas.	Total
2010 election for governor	Farouk Shami	Count	36	58	16	26	56	192
vote choice: Shami vs. Medina		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	22.8%	23.8%	23.5%	30.2%	23.2%	24.1%
	Debra Medina	Count	55	111	34	26	96	322
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	34.8%	45.5%	50.0%	30.2%	39.8%	40.4%
	Someone else	Count	23	34	6	13	33	109
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	14.6%	13.9%	8.8%	15.1%	13.7%	13.7%
	Don't know	Count	44	41	12	21	56	174
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	27.8%	16.8%	17.6%	24.4%	23.2%	21.8%
Total		Count	158	244	68	86	241	797
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# 2010 election for governor vote choice: Shami vs. Medina \* Education Crosstabulation

		-			Education	1			
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
2010 election for governor	Farouk Shami	Count	1	43	62	12	52	22	192
vote choice: Shami vs. Medina		% within Education	5.6%	15.4%	26.7%	33.3%	31.1%	33.3%	24.1%
Wediria	Debra Medina	Count	8	117	93	17	66	23	324
		% within Education	44.4%	41.9%	40.1%	47.2%	39.5%	34.8%	40.6%
	Someone else	Count	5	39	24	4	27	10	109
		% within Education	27.8%	14.0%	10.3%	11.1%	16.2%	15.2%	13.7%

	Don't know	Count	4	80	53	3	22	11	173
		% within Education	22.2%	28.7%	22.8%	8.3%	13.2%	16.7%	21.7%
Total	•	Count	18	279	232	36	167	66	798
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# 2010 election for governor vote choice: Shami vs. Medina \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation

		-		Frequency	attend religious s	ervices		
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
2010 election for governor	Farouk Shami	Count	23	37	26	58	48	192
vote choice: Shami vs. Medina		% within Frequency attend religious services	20.4%	21.9%	24.8%	25.1%	26.7%	24.1%
	Debra Medina	Count	54	70	38	92	69	323
		% within Frequency attend religious services	47.8%	41.4%	36.2%	39.8%	38.3%	40.5%
	Someone else	Count	14	30	14	28	24	110
		% within Frequency attend religious services	12.4%	17.8%	13.3%	12.1%	13.3%	13.8%
	Don't know	Count	22	32	27	53	39	173
		% within Frequency attend religious services	19.5%	18.9%	25.7%	22.9%	21.7%	21.7%
Total	-	Count	113	169	105	231	180	798
		% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### 2010 election for governor vote choice: Shami vs. Medina \* Race Crosstabulation

				Race								
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Total			
2010 election for governor	Farouk Shami	Count	91	46	47	5	0	4	193			
vote choice: Shami vs.		% within Race	18.7%	41.4%	29.4%	55.6%	.0%	14.8%	24.2%			

Medina	Debra Medina	Count	225	15	64	2	3	13	322
		% within Race	46.2%	13.5%	40.0%	22.2%	75.0%	48.1%	40.4%
	Someone else	Count	78	15	11	0	0	6	110
		% within Race	16.0%	13.5%	6.9%	.0%	.0%	22.2%	13.8%
	Don't know	Count	93	35	38	2	1	4	173
		% within Race	19.1%	31.5%	23.8%	22.2%	25.0%	14.8%	21.7%
Total		Count	487	111	160	9	4	27	798
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# 2010 election for governor vote choice: Shami vs. Medina \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation

	-	-	Own or re	ent home	
			Own	Rent	Total
2010 election for governor vote	Farouk Shami	Count	137	56	193
choice: Shami vs. Medina		% within Own or rent home	23.1%	28.0%	24.3%
	Debra Medina	Count	262	61	323
	% within Own or rent home		44.1%	30.5%	40.7%
	Someone else	Count	81	27	108
		% within Own or rent home	13.6%	13.5%	13.6%
	Don't know	Count	114	56	170
		% within Own or rent home	19.2%	28.0%	21.4%
Total	-	Count	594	200	794
		% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# 2010 election for governor vote choice: Shami vs. Medina \* Marital Status Crosstabulation

	_	-	Marital Status							
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	Total	
2010 election for governor	Farouk Shami	Count	99	6	36	3	35	13	192	
vote choice: Shami vs.		% within Marital Status	20.5%	31.6%	35.6%	11.5%	26.9%	33.3%	24.1%	

Medina	Debra Medina	Count	230	7	27	12	36	11	323
		% within Marital Status	47.6%	36.8%	26.7%	46.2%	27.7%	28.2%	40.5%
	Someone else	Count	64	1	15	6	19	6	111
		% within Marital Status	13.3%	5.3%	14.9%	23.1%	14.6%	15.4%	13.9%
	Don't know	Count	90	5	23	5	40	9	172
		% within Marital Status	18.6%	26.3%	22.8%	19.2%	30.8%	23.1%	21.6%
Total		Count	483	19	101	26	130	39	798
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# 2010 election for governor vote choice: Shami vs. Medina \* Gender Crosstabulation

			Gen	der	
			Male	Female	Total
2010 election for governor vote	Farouk Shami	Count	96	97	193
choice: Shami vs. Medina	_	% within Gender	26.4%	22.2%	24.1%
	Debra Medina	Count	162	162	324
		% within Gender	44.6%	37.1%	40.5%
	Someone else	Count	48	61	109
		% within Gender	13.2%	14.0%	13.6%
	Don't know	Count	57	117	174
		% within Gender	15.7%	26.8%	21.8%
Total	-	Count	363	437	800
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# 2010 election for governor vote choice: Shami vs. Medina \* Age Crosstabulation

	<del>-</del>		Age			
		60 and above	45-59	30-44	18-29	Total
2010 election for governor vote Farouk Sham	Count	46	63	56	26	191
choice: Shami vs. Medina	% within Age	22.3%	24.0%	25.6%	26.0%	24.3%

	Debra Medina	Count	94	109	88	27	318
		% within Age	45.6%	41.6%	40.2%	27.0%	40.4%
	Someone else	Count	39	34	24	11	108
		% within Age	18.9%	13.0%	11.0%	11.0%	13.7%
	Don't know	Count	27	56	51	36	170
		% within Age	13.1%	21.4%	23.3%	36.0%	21.6%
Total		Count	206	262	219	100	787
		% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Differences between views of Rick Perry and Kay Bailey Hutchison \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation

	-	-				Ideology scale				
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Total
Differences between views of	Their views differ greatly	Count	4	5	5	22	12	20	20	88
Rick Perry and Kay Bailey Hutchison		% within Ideology scale	13.8%	7.8%	6.4%	10.0%	9.8%	10.2%	23.3%	11.1%
	Their views differ somewhat	Count	7	24	33	76	60	117	45	362
		% within Ideology scale	24.1%	37.5%	42.3%	34.7%	49.2%	59.4%	52.3%	45.5%
	Their views are largely the	Count	6	16	26	50	32	43	12	185
	same	% within Ideology scale	20.7%	25.0%	33.3%	22.8%	26.2%	21.8%	14.0%	23.3%
	Their views are almost	Count	7	10	5	13	2	4	3	44
	entirely the same	% within Ideology scale	24.1%	15.6%	6.4%	5.9%	1.6%	2.0%	3.5%	5.5%
	Don't know	Count	5	9	9	58	16	13	6	116
		% within Ideology scale	17.2%	14.1%	11.5%	26.5%	13.1%	6.6%	7.0%	14.6%
Total		Count	29	64	78	219	122	197	86	795
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Differences between views of Rick Perry and Kay Bailey Hutchison \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

	_		7 point Party ID							
		Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Differences between views of Their views differ greatly	Count	23	11	3	3	8	9	31	0	88
lick Perry and Kay Bailey	% within 7 point Party ID	10.8%	12.2%	7.3%	6.5%	13.8%	8.3%	16.0%	.0%	11.7%

Hutchison	Their views differ somewhat	Count	69	39	16	19	36	61	111	3	354
		% within 7 point Party ID	32.5%	43.3%	39.0%	41.3%	62.1%	56.5%	57.2%	50.0%	46.9%
	Their views are largely the	Count	67	15	14	9	11	23	38	1	178
	same	% within 7 point Party ID	31.6%	16.7%	34.1%	19.6%	19.0%	21.3%	19.6%	16.7%	23.6%
	Their views are almost	Count	26	3	4	4	1	1	3	0	42
	entirely the same	% within 7 point Party ID	12.3%	3.3%	9.8%	8.7%	1.7%	.9%	1.5%	.0%	5.6%
	Don't know	Count	27	22	4	11	2	14	11	2	93
		% within 7 point Party ID	12.7%	24.4%	9.8%	23.9%	3.4%	13.0%	5.7%	33.3%	12.3%
Total	<del>-</del>	Count	212	90	41	46	58	108	194	6	755
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Differences between views of Rick Perry and Kay Bailey Hutchison \* Population density Crosstabulation

	-	-	P	opulation densi	ty	
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Differences between views of	Their views differ greatly	Count	30	38	21	89
Rick Perry and Kay Bailey Hutchison		% within Population density	12.2%	9.7%	13.2%	11.2%
Tratornoon	Their views differ somewhat	Count	101	186	75	362
		% within Population density	41.1%	47.4%	47.2%	45.4%
	Their views are largely the	Count	64	97	25	186
	same	% within Population density	26.0%	24.7%	15.7%	23.3%
	Their views are almost entirely	Count	14	25	6	45
	the same	% within Population density	5.7%	6.4%	3.8%	5.6%
	Don't know	Count	37	46	32	115
		% within Population density	15.0%	11.7%	20.1%	14.4%
Total	•	Count	246	392	159	797
		% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Differences between views of Rick Perry and Kay Bailey Hutchison \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation

Live in Houston, Dollar Fort Worth, San Antonia, or Austin	Total
Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	Total

			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas.	
Differences between views	Their views differ greatly	Count	17	21	5	5	42	90
of Rick Perry and Kay Bailey Hutchison		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	10.7%	8.6%	7.5%	5.8%	17.5%	11.3%
	Their views differ	Count	71	126	33	32	98	360
	somewhat	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	44.7%	51.6%	49.3%	37.2%	40.8%	45.2%
	Their views are largely the	Count	38	60	18	27	42	185
	same	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	23.9%	24.6%	26.9%	31.4%	17.5%	23.2%
	Their views are almost entirely the same	Count	6	19	4	11	6	46
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	3.8%	7.8%	6.0%	12.8%	2.5%	5.8%
	Don't know	Count	27	18	7	11	52	115
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	17.0%	7.4%	10.4%	12.8%	21.7%	14.4%
Total		Count	159	244	67	86	240	796
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Differences between views of Rick Perry and Kay Bailey Hutchison \* Education Crosstabulation

	-	-	Education						
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Differences between views	Their views differ greatly	Count	3	39	19	5	19	5	90
of Rick Perry and Kay Bailey Hutchison		% within Education	15.8%	14.0%	8.2%	13.9%	11.3%	7.6%	11.3%
	Their views differ somewhat	Count	6	117	106	16	87	31	363
		% within Education	31.6%	41.9%	45.7%	44.4%	51.8%	47.0%	45.4%
	Their views are largely the	Count	3	55	58	9	39	23	187

	same	% within Education	15.8%	19.7%	25.0%	25.0%	23.2%	34.8%	23.4%
	Their views are almost	Count	0	13	15	1	9	6	44
	entirely the same	% within Education	.0%	4.7%	6.5%	2.8%	5.4%	9.1%	5.5%
	Don't know	Count	7	55	34	5	14	1	116
		% within Education	36.8%	19.7%	14.7%	13.9%	8.3%	1.5%	14.5%
Total		Count	19	279	232	36	168	66	800
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Differences between views of Rick Perry and Kay Bailey Hutchison \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation

	-	-		Frequency	attend religious s	ervices		
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
Differences between views	Their views differ greatly	Count	16	19	10	27	18	90
of Rick Perry and Kay Bailey Hutchison	,	% within Frequency attend religious services	14.2%	11.2%	9.5%	11.6%	10.0%	11.3%
	Their views differ somewhat	Count	58	92	55	98	59	362
		% within Frequency attend religious services	51.3%	54.4%	52.4%	42.2%	32.8%	45.3%
	Their views are largely the	Count	22	30	21	61	53	187
	same	% within Frequency attend religious services	19.5%	17.8%	20.0%	26.3%	29.4%	23.4%
	Their views are almost entirely the same	Count	5	9	6	9	16	45
		% within Frequency attend religious services	4.4%	5.3%	5.7%	3.9%	8.9%	5.6%
	Don't know	Count	12	19	13	37	34	115
		% within Frequency attend religious services	10.6%	11.2%	12.4%	15.9%	18.9%	14.4%
Total		Count	113	169	105	232	180	799
		% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Differences between views of Rick Perry and Kay Bailey Hutchison \* Race Crosstabulation

		Doos	Total
		Race	l otal
		11000	

			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	
Differences between views	Their views differ greatly	Count	64	10	13	2	0	1	90
of Rick Perry and Kay Bailey Hutchison		% within Race	13.1%	9.1%	8.2%	22.2%	.0%	3.6%	11.3%
	Their views differ somewhat	Count	229	39	69	4	3	18	362
		% within Race	46.8%	35.5%	43.4%	44.4%	75.0%	64.3%	45.3%
	Their views are largely the	Count	112	25	40	2	1	6	186
	same	% within Race	22.9%	22.7%	25.2%	22.2%	25.0%	21.4%	23.3%
	Their views are almost	Count	32	9	3	1	0	1	46
	entirely the same	% within Race	6.5%	8.2%	1.9%	11.1%	.0%	3.6%	5.8%
	Don't know	Count	52	27	34	0	0	2	115
		% within Race	10.6%	24.5%	21.4%	.0%	.0%	7.1%	14.4%
Total	-	Count	489	110	159	9	4	28	799
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Differences between views of Rick Perry and Kay Bailey Hutchison \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation

	•	-	Own or re	ent home	
			Own	Rent	Total
Differences between views of	Their views differ greatly	Count	69	21	90
Rick Perry and Kay Bailey Hutchison		% within Own or rent home	11.6%	10.5%	11.3%
Tatomoon	Their views differ somewhat	Count	288	72	360
		% within Own or rent home	48.4%	36.0%	45.3%
	Their views are largely the	Count	146	39	185
	same	% within Own or rent home	24.5%	19.5%	23.3%
	Their views are almost entirely	Count	34	11	45
	the same	% within Own or rent home	5.7%	5.5%	5.7%
	Don't know	Count	58	57	115
		% within Own or rent home	9.7%	28.5%	14.5%
Total		Count	595	200	795
		% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Differences between views of Rick Perry and Kay Bailey Hutchison \* Marital Status Crosstabulation

	_				Marit	al Status			
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	Total
Differences between views	Their views differ greatly	Count	50	3	14	8	14	0	89
of Rick Perry and Kay Bailey Hutchison		% within Marital Status	10.4%	15.0%	14.0%	32.0%	10.9%	.0%	11.2%
	Their views differ somewhat	Count	232	10	43	8	52	15	360
		% within Marital Status	48.0%	50.0%	43.0%	32.0%	40.3%	40.5%	45.3%
	Their views are largely the	Count	119	2	22	5	27	10	185
	same	% within Marital Status	24.6%	10.0%	22.0%	20.0%	20.9%	27.0%	23.3%
	Their views are almost	Count	27	0	6	3	4	5	45
	entirely the same	% within Marital Status	5.6%	.0%	6.0%	12.0%	3.1%	13.5%	5.7%
	Don't know	Count	55	5	15	1	32	7	115
		% within Marital Status	11.4%	25.0%	15.0%	4.0%	24.8%	18.9%	14.5%
Total		Count	483	20	100	25	129	37	794
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Differences between views of Rick Perry and Kay Bailey Hutchison \* Gender Crosstabulation

			Gen	der	
			Male	Female	Total
Differences between views of	Their views differ greatly	Count	42	48	90
tick Perry and Kay Bailey lutchison		% within Gender	11.6%	11.0%	11.3%
	Their views differ somewhat	Count	164	199	363
		% within Gender	45.2%	45.5%	45.4%
	Their views are largely the	Count	108	79	187
	same	% within Gender	29.8%	18.1%	23.4%
	Their views are almost entirely	Count	28	17	45
	the same	% within Gender	7.7%	3.9%	5.6%

	Don't know	Count	21	94	115
		% within Gender	5.8%	21.5%	14.4%
Total	•	Count	363	437	800
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Differences between views of Rick Perry and Kay Bailey Hutchison \* Age Crosstabulation

	•	-		Age			
			60 and above	45-59	30-44	18-29	Total
Differences between views of	Their views differ greatly	Count	33	30	20	5	88
Rick Perry and Kay Bailey Hutchison		% within Age	15.9%	11.5%	9.1%	5.0%	11.2%
i latoriisori	Their views differ somewhat	Count	98	129	92	36	355
		% within Age	47.3%	49.4%	42.0%	36.0%	45.1%
	Their views are largely the	Count	56	60	55	16	187
	same	% within Age	27.1%	23.0%	25.1%	16.0%	23.8%
	Their views are almost entirely	Count	13	17	11	1	42
	the same	% within Age	6.3%	6.5%	5.0%	1.0%	5.3%
	Don't know	Count	7	25	41	42	115
		% within Age	3.4%	9.6%	18.7%	42.0%	14.6%
Total		Count	207	261	219	100	787
		% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Differences between views of Bill White and Farouk Shami \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation

	-	-				Ideology scale				
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Total
Differences between views of	Their views differ greatly	Count	1	4	10	22	12	11	9	69
Bill White and Farouk Shami		% within Ideology scale	4.0%	6.3%	13.0%	10.1%	9.8%	5.7%	10.8%	8.8%
	Their views differ somewhat	Count	11	21	21	51	23	26	11	164
		% within Ideology scale	44.0%	32.8%	27.3%	23.4%	18.9%	13.4%	13.3%	20.9%

	Their views are largely the	Count	6	7	8	23	16	31	11	102
	same	% within Ideology scale	24.0%	10.9%	10.4%	10.6%	13.1%	16.0%	13.3%	13.0%
	Their views are almost	Count	2	0	0	2	3	7	2	16
	entirely the same	% within Ideology scale	8.0%	.0%	.0%	.9%	2.5%	3.6%	2.4%	2.0%
	Don't know	Count	5	32	38	120	68	119	50	432
		% within Ideology scale	20.0%	50.0%	49.4%	55.0%	55.7%	61.3%	60.2%	55.2%
Total		Count	25	64	77	218	122	194	83	783
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Differences between views of Bill White and Farouk Shami \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

	-	-				7 point Pa	arty ID				
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Differences between views of	Their views differ greatly	Count	23	9	3	3	3	10	16	0	67
Bill White and Farouk Shami		% within 7 point Party ID	11.0%	10.0%	7.3%	6.5%	5.2%	9.2%	8.4%	.0%	9.0%
	Their views differ somewhat	Count	72	23	9	10	10	12	25	1	162
		% within 7 point Party ID	34.3%	25.6%	22.0%	21.7%	17.2%	11.0%	13.2%	25.0%	21.7%
	Their views are largely the	Count	23	12	6	7	9	18	25	0	100
	same	% within 7 point Party ID	11.0%	13.3%	14.6%	15.2%	15.5%	16.5%	13.2%	.0%	13.4%
	Their views are almost	Count	3	0	1	3	2	1	6	0	16
	entirely the same	% within 7 point Party ID	1.4%	.0%	2.4%	6.5%	3.4%	.9%	3.2%	.0%	2.1%
	Don't know	Count	89	46	22	23	34	68	118	3	403
		% within 7 point Party ID	42.4%	51.1%	53.7%	50.0%	58.6%	62.4%	62.1%	75.0%	53.9%
Total		Count	210	90	41	46	58	109	190	4	748
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## Differences between views of Bill White and Farouk Shami \* Population density Crosstabulation

	-	-	P	opulation densi	ty	
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Differences between views of	Their views differ greatly	Count	21	35	15	71
Bill White and Farouk Shami		% within Population density	8.7%	9.0%	9.6%	9.0%
	Their views differ somewhat	Count	51	87	24	162
		% within Population density	21.2%	22.3%	15.4%	20.6%

	The signature and because who	0	00	50	40	404
	Their views are largely the	Count	29	59	16	104
	same	% within Population density	12.0%	15.1%	10.3%	13.2%
	Their views are almost entirely	Count	6	6	4	16
	the same	% within Population density	2.5%	1.5%	2.6%	2.0%
	Don't know	Count	134	204	97	435
		% within Population density	55.6%	52.2%	62.2%	55.2%
Total		Count	241	391	156	788
		% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## Differences between views of Bill White and Farouk Shami \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation

	-	_	Live	e in Houston, Dal	las-Fort Worth, S	an Antonio, or Au	stin	
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas.	Total
Differences between views	Their views differ greatly	Count	17	17	6	4	27	71
of Bill White and Farouk Shami		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	10.9%	7.0%	9.1%	4.7%	11.3%	9.0%
	Their views differ	Count	39	56	12	20	36	163
	somewhat	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	25.0%	23.0%	18.2%	23.3%	15.1%	20.6%
	Their views are largely the	Count	18	38	12	8	28	104
	same	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	11.5%	15.6%	18.2%	9.3%	11.7%	13.2%
	Their views are almost	Count	5	3	3	3	3	17
	entirely the same	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	3.2%	1.2%	4.5%	3.5%	1.3%	2.2%
	Don't know	Count	77	129	33	51	145	435
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	49.4%	53.1%	50.0%	59.3%	60.7%	55.1%
Total		Count	156	243	66	86	239	790

#### Differences between views of Bill White and Farouk Shami \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation

	_		Live	e in Houston, Dal	las-Fort Worth, S	an Antonio, or Au	stin	
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas.	Total
Differences between views	Their views differ greatly	Count	17	17	6	4	27	71
of Bill White and Farouk Shami		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	10.9%	7.0%	9.1%	4.7%	11.3%	9.0%
	Their views differ	Count	39	56	12	20	36	163
	somewhat	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	25.0%	23.0%	18.2%	23.3%	15.1%	20.6%
	Their views are largely the	Count	18	38	12	8	28	104
	same	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	11.5%	15.6%	18.2%	9.3%	11.7%	13.2%
	Their views are almost	Count	5	3	3	3	3	17
	entirely the same	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	3.2%	1.2%	4.5%	3.5%	1.3%	2.2%
	Don't know	Count	77	129	33	51	145	435
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	49.4%	53.1%	50.0%	59.3%	60.7%	55.1%
Total		Count	156	243	66	86	239	790
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Differences between views of Bill White and Farouk Shami \* Education Crosstabulation

	-	-			Education				
	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total			
Differences between views	Their views differ greatly	Count	3	29	18	5	15	1	71
of Bill White and Farouk		% within Education	18.8%	10.6%	7.8%	13.5%	8.9%	1.5%	9.0%

Shami	Their views differ somewhat	Count	4	37	49	11	40	22	163
		% within Education	25.0%	13.6%	21.3%	29.7%	23.8%	33.3%	20.6%
	Their views are largely the	Count	1	24	33	4	30	12	104
	same	% within Education	6.3%	8.8%	14.3%	10.8%	17.9%	18.2%	13.2%
	Their views are almost	Count	0	6	3	1	5	1	16
	entirely the same	% within Education	.0%	2.2%	1.3%	2.7%	3.0%	1.5%	2.0%
	Don't know	Count	8	177	127	16	78	30	436
		% within Education	50.0%	64.8%	55.2%	43.2%	46.4%	45.5%	55.2%
Total		Count	16	273	230	37	168	66	790
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## Differences between views of Bill White and Farouk Shami \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation

	-	-		Frequency	attend religious s	ervices		
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
Differences between views	Their views differ greatly	Count	11	18	4	24	13	70
of Bill White and Farouk Shami		% within Frequency attend religious services	9.6%	10.7%	3.8%	10.7%	7.3%	8.9%
	Their views differ somewhat	Count	22	38	27	45	31	163
		% within Frequency attend religious services	19.3%	22.5%	26.0%	20.0%	17.4%	20.6%
	Their views are largely the	Count	16	24	16	28	21	105
	same	% within Frequency attend religious services	14.0%	14.2%	15.4%	12.4%	11.8%	13.3%
	Their views are almost	Count	2	4	4	2	4	16
	entirely the same	% within Frequency attend religious services	1.8%	2.4%	3.8%	.9%	2.2%	2.0%
	Don't know	Count	63	85	53	126	109	436
		% within Frequency attend religious services	55.3%	50.3%	51.0%	56.0%	61.2%	55.2%
Total		Count	114	169	104	225	178	790
		% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Differences between views of Bill White and Farouk Shami \* Race Crosstabulation

	-				R	ace			
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Total
Differences between views	Their views differ greatly	Count	40	12	14	2	0	2	70
of Bill White and Farouk Shami		% within Race	8.3%	11.2%	8.9%	22.2%	.0%	7.1%	8.9%
C.1.a.1.11	Their views differ somewhat	Count	95	25	33	4	1	5	163
		% within Race	19.6%	23.4%	21.0%	44.4%	25.0%	17.9%	20.7%
	3 ,	Count	55	13	28	0	1	7	104
	same	% within Race	11.4%	12.1%	17.8%	.0%	25.0%	25.0%	13.2%
	Their views are almost	Count	10	1	2	0	2	1	16
	entirely the same	% within Race	2.1%	.9%	1.3%	.0%	50.0%	3.6%	2.0%
	Don't know	Count	284	56	80	3	0	13	436
		% within Race	58.7%	52.3%	51.0%	33.3%	.0%	46.4%	55.3%
Total	-	Count	484	107	157	9	4	28	789
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Differences between views of Bill White and Farouk Shami \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation

			Own or re	ent home	
			Own	Rent	Total
Differences between views of	Their views differ greatly Count		52	18	70
Bill White and Farouk Shami		% within Own or rent home	8.8%	9.1%	8.9%
	Their views differ somewhat	Count	124	39	163
		% within Own or rent home	21.1%	19.7%	20.7%
	Their views are largely the	Count	83	21	104
	same	% within Own or rent home	14.1%	10.6%	13.2%
	Their views are almost entirely	Count	11	5	16
	the same	% within Own or rent home	1.9%	2.5%	2.0%
	Don't know	Count	319	115	434

	% within Own or rent home	54.2%	58.1%	55.1%
Total	Count	589	198	787
	% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Differences between views of Bill White and Farouk Shami \* Marital Status Crosstabulation

					Marit	al Status			
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	Total
Differences between views	Their views differ greatly	Count	33	5	9	4	16	3	70
of Bill White and Farouk Shami Th		% within Marital Status	6.9%	25.0%	9.3%	15.4%	12.4%	7.7%	8.9%
	Their views differ somewhat	Count	92	5	28	8	20	11	164
	Their views are largely the	% within Marital Status	19.2%	25.0%	28.9%	30.8%	15.5%	28.2%	20.8%
		Count	66	0	9	1	23	6	105
	same	% within Marital Status	13.8%	.0%	9.3%	3.8%	17.8%	15.4%	13.3%
	Their views are almost	Count	14	0	1	0	1	0	16
	entirely the same	% within Marital Status	2.9%	.0%	1.0%	.0%	.8%	.0%	2.0%
	Don't know	Count	274	10	50	13	69	19	435
		% within Marital Status	57.2%	50.0%	51.5%	50.0%	53.5%	48.7%	55.1%
Total		Count	479	20	97	26	129	39	790
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Differences between views of Bill White and Farouk Shami \* Gender Crosstabulation

			Gen	der	
			Male	Female	Total
Differences between views of	Their views differ greatly	Count	30	41	71
Bill White and Farouk Shami		% within Gender	8.4%	9.4%	9.0%
	Their views differ somewhat	Count	82	81	163
		% within Gender	22.9%	18.7%	20.6%
	Their views are largely the	Count	68	36	104

		_	_		
	same	% within Gender	19.0%	8.3%	13.1%
	Their views are almost entirely	Count	12	5	17
	the same	% within Gender	3.4%	1.2%	2.1%
	Don't know	Count	166	271	437
		% within Gender	46.4%	62.4%	55.2%
Total	•	Count	358	434	792
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Differences between views of Bill White and Farouk Shami \* Age Crosstabulation

	-	_		Age			
			60 and above	45-59	30-44	18-29	Total
Differences between views of	Their views differ greatly	Count	22	23	19	6	70
Bill White and Farouk Shami	Their views differ somewhat 0	% within Age	10.9%	8.9%	8.6%	6.0%	9.0%
		Count	43	57	41	18	159
		% within Age	21.3%	22.1%	18.6%	18.0%	20.4%
	Their views are largely the	Count	30	29	28	15	102
	same	% within Age	14.9%	11.2%	12.7%	15.0%	13.1%
	Their views are almost entirely	Count	3	5	8	1	17
	the same	% within Age	1.5%	1.9%	3.6%	1.0%	2.2%
	Don't know	Count	104	144	124	60	432
		% within Age	51.5%	55.8%	56.4%	60.0%	55.4%
Total		Count	202	258	220	100	780
		% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### 2010 election for U.S. Congress party vote choice \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation

	<del>-</del>	-				Ideology scale				
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Total
2010 election for U.S.	Democratic candidate	Count	26	56	62	103	19	6	6	278

Congress party vote choice		% within Ideology scale	92.9%	86.2%	79.5%	47.0%	15.3%	3.1%	6.9%	34.9%
	Republican candidate	Count	0	1	7	34	66	173	73	354
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	1.5%	9.0%	15.5%	53.2%	88.3%	83.9%	44.4%
	Neither	Count	0	2	0	14	7	7	2	32
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	3.1%	.0%	6.4%	5.6%	3.6%	2.3%	4.0%
	Don't know	Count	2	6	9	68	32	10	6	133
		% within Ideology scale	7.1%	9.2%	11.5%	31.1%	25.8%	5.1%	6.9%	16.7%
Total	<del>-</del>	Count	28	65	78	219	124	196	87	797
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### 2010 election for U.S. Congress party vote choice \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

						7 point Pa	arty ID				
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
2010 election for U.S.	Democratic candidate	Count	187	54	23	7	2	4	1	2	280
Congress party vote choice		% within 7 point Party ID	87.8%	60.0%	54.8%	14.9%	3.4%	3.7%	.5%	33.3%	36.9%
	Republican candidate	Count	3	10	3	12	43	79	187	2	339
		% within 7 point Party ID	1.4%	11.1%	7.1%	25.5%	74.1%	73.1%	96.4%	33.3%	44.7%
	Neither	Count	1	3	4	11	4	1	0	0	24
		% within 7 point Party ID	.5%	3.3%	9.5%	23.4%	6.9%	.9%	.0%	.0%	3.2%
	Don't know	Count	22	23	12	17	9	24	6	2	115
		% within 7 point Party ID	10.3%	25.6%	28.6%	36.2%	15.5%	22.2%	3.1%	33.3%	15.2%
Total	<u> </u>	Count	213	90	42	47	58	108	194	6	758
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# 2010 election for U.S. Congress party vote choice \* Population density Crosstabulation

	-	-	Po	opulation densi	ty	
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
2010 election for U.S. Congress	Democratic candidate	Count	117	128	34	279
party vote choice		% within Population density	47.6%	32.6%	21.4%	35.0%
	Republican candidate	Count	72	187	95	354
		% within Population density	29.3%	47.6%	59.7%	44.4%
	Neither	Count	11	17	2	30

		% within Population density	4.5%	4.3%	1.3%	3.8%
	Don't know	Count	46	61	28	135
		% within Population density	18.7%	15.5%	17.6%	16.9%
Total	•	Count	246	393	159	798
		% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## 2010 election for U.S. Congress party vote choice \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation

	-	-	Liv	e in Houston, Dal	las-Fort Worth, Sa	an Antonio, or Aus	stin	
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas.	Total
2010 election for U.S.	Democratic candidate	Count	56	95	24	37	68	280
Congress party vote choice		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	35.2%	38.9%	34.8%	43.0%	28.2%	35.0%
	Republican candidate	Count	59	110	34	30	122	355
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	37.1%	45.1%	49.3%	34.9%	50.6%	44.4%
	Neither	Count	6	12	3	4	5	30
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	3.8%	4.9%	4.3%	4.7%	2.1%	3.8%
	Don't know	Count	38	27	8	15	46	134
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	23.9%	11.1%	11.6%	17.4%	19.1%	16.8%
Total		Count	159	244	69	86	241	799
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## 2010 election for U.S. Congress party vote choice \* Education Crosstabulation

		Education	Tatal
		Education	rotai
-			

			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
2010 election for U.S.	Democratic candidate	Count	8	79	88	13	56	36	280
Congress party vote choice		% within Education	42.1%	28.3%	37.9%	35.1%	33.7%	54.5%	35.0%
	Republican candidate	Count	7	126	96	18	82	27	356
		% within Education	36.8%	45.2%	41.4%	48.6%	49.4%	40.9%	44.6%
	Neither	Count	1	11	10	1	7	0	30
		% within Education	5.3%	3.9%	4.3%	2.7%	4.2%	.0%	3.8%
	Don't know	Count	3	63	38	5	21	3	133
		% within Education	15.8%	22.6%	16.4%	13.5%	12.7%	4.5%	16.6%
Total	•	Count	19	279	232	37	166	66	799
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# 2010 election for U.S. Congress party vote choice \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation

	-	-		Frequency	attend religious se	ervices		
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
2010 election for U.S.	Democratic candidate	Count	34	58	40	79	69	280
Congress party vote choice		% within Frequency attend religious services	29.8%	34.3%	38.1%	34.3%	38.3%	35.1%
	Republican candidate	Count	68	75	48	100	63	354
		% within Frequency attend religious services	59.6%	44.4%	45.7%	43.5%	35.0%	44.4%
	Neither	Count	4	7	3	7	9	30
		% within Frequency attend religious services	3.5%	4.1%	2.9%	3.0%	5.0%	3.8%
	Don't know	Count	8	29	14	44	39	134
		% within Frequency attend religious services	7.0%	17.2%	13.3%	19.1%	21.7%	16.8%
Total		Count	114	169	105	230	180	798
		% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

2010 election for U.S. Congress party vote choice \* Race Crosstabulation

	-	-			R	ace			
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Total
2010 election for U.S.	Democratic candidate	Count	131	71	64	5	0	8	279
Congress party vote choice		% within Race	26.8%	64.5%	40.0%	55.6%	.0%	29.6%	35.0%
	Republican candidate	Count	270	8	61	3	3	11	356
		% within Race	55.2%	7.3%	38.1%	33.3%	100.0%	40.7%	44.6%
	Neither	Count	16	4	6	1	0	3	30
		% within Race	3.3%	3.6%	3.8%	11.1%	.0%	11.1%	3.8%
	Don't know	Count	72	27	29	0	0	5	133
		% within Race	14.7%	24.5%	18.1%	.0%	.0%	18.5%	16.7%
Total		Count	489	110	160	9	3	27	798
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

2010 election for U.S. Congress party vote choice \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation

	-	<del>-</del>	Own or re	ent home	
			Own	Rent	Total
2010 election for U.S. Congress	Democratic candidate	Count	198	79	277
party vote choice		% within Own or rent home	33.3%	39.7%	34.9%
	Republican candidate	Count	289	65	354
		% within Own or rent home	48.7%	32.7%	44.6%
	Neither	Count	23	7	30
		% within Own or rent home	3.9%	3.5%	3.8%
	Don't know	Count	84	48	132
		% within Own or rent home	14.1%	24.1%	16.6%
Total	-	Count	594	199	793
		% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

2010 election for U.S. Congress party vote choice \* Marital Status Crosstabulation

	-	-			Marit	al Status			
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	Total
2010 election for U.S.	Democratic candidate	Count	139	9	43	10	55	21	277
Congress party vote choice		% within Marital Status	28.8%	47.4%	42.6%	40.0%	42.6%	55.3%	34.8%
	Republican candidate	Count	255	6	34	12	38	10	355
		% within Marital Status	52.8%	31.6%	33.7%	48.0%	29.5%	26.3%	44.7%
	Neither	Count	19	0	5	0	4	2	30
		% within Marital Status	3.9%	.0%	5.0%	.0%	3.1%	5.3%	3.8%
	Don't know	Count	70	4	19	3	32	5	133
		% within Marital Status	14.5%	21.1%	18.8%	12.0%	24.8%	13.2%	16.7%
Total		Count	483	19	101	25	129	38	795
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# 2010 election for U.S. Congress party vote choice \* Gender Crosstabulation

	-	-	Ger	nder	
			Male	Female	Total
2010 election for U.S. Congress	Democratic candidate	Count	120	161	281
party vote choice		% within Gender	33.1%	36.8%	35.1%
	Republican candidate	Count	194	161	355
		% within Gender	53.4%	36.8%	44.3%
	Neither	Count	14	17	31
		% within Gender	3.9%	3.9%	3.9%
	Don't know	Count	35	99	134
		% within Gender	9.6%	22.6%	16.7%
Total	•	Count	363	438	801
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

2010 election for U.S. Congress party vote choice \* Age Crosstabulation

	-	-		Age			
			60 and above	45-59	30-44	18-29	Total
2010 election for U.S. Congress	Democratic candidate	Count	72	97	67	37	273
carty vote choice R		% within Age	35.0%	37.0%	30.6%	36.6%	34.6%
	Republican candidate	Count	107	114	99	32	352
		% within Age	51.9%	43.5%	45.2%	31.7%	44.7%
	Neither	Count	5	11	10	4	30
		% within Age	2.4%	4.2%	4.6%	4.0%	3.8%
	Don't know	Count	22	40	43	28	133
		% within Age	10.7%	15.3%	19.6%	27.7%	16.9%
Total	•	Count	206	262	219	101	788
		% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### 2010 election for Texas state legislature party vote choice \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation

						Ideology scale				
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Total
2010 election for Texas state	Democratic candidate	Count	23	54	63	106	19	8	4	277
legislature party vote choice		% within Ideology scale	85.2%	85.7%	80.8%	48.8%	15.6%	4.1%	4.7%	35.2%
Republicar	Republican candidate	Count	0	1	7	26	68	163	76	341
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	1.6%	9.0%	12.0%	55.7%	83.6%	88.4%	43.3%
	Neither	Count	0	1	1	12	5	3	2	24
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	1.6%	1.3%	5.5%	4.1%	1.5%	2.3%	3.0%
	Don't know	Count	4	7	7	73	30	21	4	146
		% within Ideology scale	14.8%	11.1%	9.0%	33.6%	24.6%	10.8%	4.7%	18.5%
Total	-	Count	27	63	78	217	122	195	86	788
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

2010 election for Texas state legislature party vote choice \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

	-	-				7 point Pa	arty ID				
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
2010 election for Texas state	Democratic candidate	Count	188	57	23	8	3	3	0	0	282
legislature party vote choice		% within 7 point Party ID	89.1%	62.6%	56.1%	17.4%	5.2%	2.8%	.0%	.0%	37.5%
Re	Republican candidate	Count	2	6	1	12	44	79	183	2	329
		% within 7 point Party ID	.9%	6.6%	2.4%	26.1%	75.9%	73.1%	95.3%	33.3%	43.7%
	Neither	Count	1	2	3	10	1	1	0	0	18
		% within 7 point Party ID	.5%	2.2%	7.3%	21.7%	1.7%	.9%	.0%	.0%	2.4%
	Don't know	Count	20	26	14	16	10	25	9	4	124
		% within 7 point Party ID	9.5%	28.6%	34.1%	34.8%	17.2%	23.1%	4.7%	66.7%	16.5%
Total		Count	211	91	41	46	58	108	192	6	753
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# 2010 election for Texas state legislature party vote choice \* Population density Crosstabulation

	-	-	Po	opulation densi	ty	
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
2010 election for Texas state	Democratic candidate	Count	121	126	36	283
legislature party vote choice	<u>.                                  </u>	% within Population density	49.4%	32.4%	22.6%	35.7%
	Republican candidate	Count	69	187	86	342
	<u>.                                  </u>	% within Population density	28.2%	48.1%	54.1%	43.1%
	Neither	Count	9	12	2	23
		% within Population density	3.7%	3.1%	1.3%	2.9%
	Don't know	Count	46	64	35	145
		% within Population density	18.8%	16.5%	22.0%	18.3%
Total	•	Count	245	389	159	793
		% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## 2010 election for Texas state legislature party vote choice \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation

i e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e			
		11 1 1 1 1 5 1 5 1 1 0 4 1 1 4 1	<b>-</b>
		Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	Total

			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas.	
2010 election for Texas	Democratic candidate	Count	57	92	23	41	71	284
state legislature party vote choice		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	36.5%	37.6%	34.3%	47.7%	29.5%	35.7%
	Republican candidate	Count	61	109	32	27	114	343
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	39.1%	44.5%	47.8%	31.4%	47.3%	43.1%
	Neither	Count	3	8	3	4	4	22
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	1.9%	3.3%	4.5%	4.7%	1.7%	2.8%
	Don't know	Count	35	36	9	14	52	146
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	22.4%	14.7%	13.4%	16.3%	21.6%	18.4%
Total		Count	156	245	67	86	241	795
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## 2010 election for Texas state legislature party vote choice \* Education Crosstabulation

	-	-			Education				
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
2010 election for Texas state	Democratic candidate	Count	9	76	91	13	60	35	284
legislature party vote choice		% within Education	47.4%	27.2%	39.2%	36.1%	36.4%	53.0%	35.6%
	Republican candidate	Count	7	123	91	18	78	27	344
		% within Education	36.8%	44.1%	39.2%	50.0%	47.3%	40.9%	43.2%
	Neither	Count	0	7	10	1	5	0	23
		% within Education	.0%	2.5%	4.3%	2.8%	3.0%	.0%	2.9%
	Don't know	Count	3	73	40	4	22	4	146
		% within Education	15.8%	26.2%	17.2%	11.1%	13.3%	6.1%	18.3%

Total	Count	19	279	232	36	165	66	797
	% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### 2010 election for Texas state legislature party vote choice \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation

	-	-		Frequency	attend religious se	ervices		
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
2010 election for Texas state	Democratic candidate	Count	33	56	43	77	75	284
legislature party vote choice		% within Frequency attend religious services	28.9%	33.3%	41.0%	33.6%	41.9%	35.7%
	Republican candidate	Count	64	78	46	95	59	342
		% within Frequency attend religious services	56.1%	46.4%	43.8%	41.5%	33.0%	43.0%
	Neither	Count	2	3	3	7	8	23
		% within Frequency attend religious services	1.8%	1.8%	2.9%	3.1%	4.5%	2.9%
	Don't know	Count	15	31	13	50	37	146
		% within Frequency attend religious services	13.2%	18.5%	12.4%	21.8%	20.7%	18.4%
Total	•	Count	114	168	105	229	179	795
		% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# 2010 election for Texas state legislature party vote choice \* Race Crosstabulation

·	-	-			R	ace			
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Total
2010 election for Texas	Democratic candidate	Count	128	75	66	5	0	8	282
state legislature party vote choice		% within Race	26.5%	68.8%	41.3%	55.6%	.0%	28.6%	35.6%
onoide	Republican candidate	Count	271	4	52	3	3	10	343
		% within Race	56.1%	3.7%	32.5%	33.3%	75.0%	35.7%	43.3%
	Neither	Count	10	3	5	1	0	3	22
		% within Race	2.1%	2.8%	3.1%	11.1%	.0%	10.7%	2.8%

	Don't know	Count	74	27	37	0	1	7	146
		% within Race	15.3%	24.8%	23.1%	.0%	25.0%	25.0%	18.4%
Total	•	Count	483	109	160	9	4	28	793
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## 2010 election for Texas state legislature party vote choice \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation

			Own or re	ent home	
			Own	Rent	Total
2010 election for Texas state	Democratic candidate	Count	200	83	283
egislature party vote choice		% within Own or rent home	33.8%	41.9%	35.9%
	Republican candidate	Count	279	63	342
		% within Own or rent home	47.2%	31.8%	43.3%
	Neither	Count	18	5	23
		% within Own or rent home	3.0%	2.5%	2.9%
	Don't know	Count	94	47	141
		% within Own or rent home	15.9%	23.7%	17.9%
Total	-	Count	591	198	789
		% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### 2010 election for Texas state legislature party vote choice \* Marital Status Crosstabulation

	-	-			Marit	tal Status			
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	Total
2010 election for Texas state	Democratic candidate	Count	141	9	40	10	61	20	281
legislature party vote choice		% within Marital Status	29.3%	47.4%	40.0%	38.5%	47.3%	54.1%	35.5%
	Republican candidate	Count	249	4	31	13	36	10	343
		% within Marital Status	51.8%	21.1%	31.0%	50.0%	27.9%	27.0%	43.3%
<del>-</del>	Neither	Count	13	0	3	0	4	2	22
		% within Marital Status	2.7%	.0%	3.0%	.0%	3.1%	5.4%	2.8%

	Don't know	Count	78	6	26	3	28	5	146
		% within Marital Status	16.2%	31.6%	26.0%	11.5%	21.7%	13.5%	18.4%
Total	-	Count	481	19	100	26	129	37	792
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# 2010 election for Texas state legislature party vote choice \* Gender Crosstabulation

			Gen	der	
			Male	Female	Total
2010 election for Texas state	Democratic candidate	Count	126	159	285
legislature party vote choice		% within Gender	34.9%	36.6%	35.8%
	Republican candidate	Count	186	156	342
		% within Gender	51.5%	35.9%	43.0%
	Neither	Count	12	11	23
		% within Gender	3.3%	2.5%	2.9%
	Don't know	Count	37	109	146
		% within Gender	10.2%	25.1%	18.3%
Total	•	Count	361	435	796
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# 2010 election for Texas state legislature party vote choice \* Age Crosstabulation

	-	-		Age			
			60 and above	45-59	30-44	18-29	Total
2010 election for Texas state	Democratic candidate	Count	69	96	73	39	277
legislature party vote choice		% within Age	33.7%	36.9%	33.5%	39.0%	35.4%
	Republican candidate	Count	104	113	91	31	339
	<u>.                                  </u>	% within Age	50.7%	43.5%	41.7%	31.0%	43.3%
	Neither	Count	4	7	9	3	23
		% within Age	2.0%	2.7%	4.1%	3.0%	2.9%

	Don't know	Count	28	44	45	27	144
		% within Age	13.7%	16.9%	20.6%	27.0%	18.4%
Total	·	Count	205	260	218	100	783
		% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Party choice if Tea Party movement organized \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation

	-	-				Ideology scale				
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Total
Party choice if Tea Party	The Republican candidate	Count	0	1	5	19	33	72	35	165
movement organized		% within Ideology scale	.0%	1.5%	6.5%	8.8%	27.0%	36.7%	40.7%	20.9%
	The Democratic candidate	Count	23	54	60	113	20	4	6	280
		% within Ideology scale	82.1%	83.1%	77.9%	52.1%	16.4%	2.0%	7.0%	35.4%
	The Tea Party candidate	Count	3	1	1	14	29	62	19	129
		% within Ideology scale	10.7%	1.5%	1.3%	6.5%	23.8%	31.6%	22.1%	16.3%
	Don't know	Count	2	9	11	71	40	58	26	217
		% within Ideology scale	7.1%	13.8%	14.3%	32.7%	32.8%	29.6%	30.2%	27.4%
Total		Count	28	65	77	217	122	196	86	791
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Party choice if Tea Party movement organized \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

	-	-									
						7 point Pa	arty ID				
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Party choice if Tea Party	The Republican candidate	Count	1	4	3	1	10	40	99	0	158
movement organized		% within 7 point Party ID	.5%	4.4%	7.3%	2.2%	16.9%	36.7%	51.0%	.0%	20.9%
	The Democratic candidate	Count	188	58	27	5	1	3	2	0	284
		% within 7 point Party ID	88.7%	64.4%	65.9%	10.9%	1.7%	2.8%	1.0%	.0%	37.5%
	The Tea Party candidate	Count	3	2	4	17	33	28	35	3	125
		% within 7 point Party ID	1.4%	2.2%	9.8%	37.0%	55.9%	25.7%	18.0%	50.0%	16.5%
	Don't know	Count	20	26	7	23	15	38	58	3	190
		% within 7 point Party ID	9.4%	28.9%	17.1%	50.0%	25.4%	34.9%	29.9%	50.0%	25.1%
Total	<u>-</u>	Count	212	90	41	46	59	109	194	6	757
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Party choice if Tea Party movement organized \* Population density Crosstabulation

			Po	opulation densi	ty	
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Party choice if Tea Party	The Republican candidate	Count	43	76	47	166
movement organized		% within Population density	17.6%	19.4%	29.6%	20.9%
	The Democratic candidate	Count	121	132	32	285
		% within Population density	49.4%	33.7%	20.1%	35.8%
	The Tea Party candidate	Count	19	78	31	128
		% within Population density	7.8%	19.9%	19.5%	16.1%
	Don't know	Count	62	106	49	217
		% within Population density	25.3%	27.0%	30.8%	27.3%
Total		Count	245	392	159	796
		% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## Party choice if Tea Party movement organized \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation

	-	-	Live	e in Houston, Dal	las-Fort Worth, S	an Antonio, or Au	stin	
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas.	Total
Party choice if Tea Party	The Republican candidate	Count	23	56	15	10	62	166
movement organized		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	14.5%	23.0%	21.7%	11.6%	25.7%	20.8%
	The Democratic candidate	Count	55	97	22	41	71	286
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	34.6%	39.8%	31.9%	47.7%	29.5%	35.8%
	The Tea Party candidate	Count	37	23	11	17	41	129
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	23.3%	9.4%	15.9%	19.8%	17.0%	16.1%

	Don't know	Count	44	68	21	18	67	218
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	27.7%	27.9%	30.4%	20.9%	27.8%	27.3%
Total	<del>.</del>	Count	159	244	69	86	241	799
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## Party choice if Tea Party movement organized \* Education Crosstabulation

					Education				
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Party choice if Tea Party	The Republican candidate	Count	5	57	51	7	35	11	166
movement organized		% within Education	27.8%	20.4%	22.0%	18.9%	21.0%	16.7%	20.8%
	The Democratic candidate	Count	9	79	91	11	61	35	286
		% within Education	50.0%	28.2%	39.2%	29.7%	36.5%	53.0%	35.8%
	The Tea Party candidate	Count	0	48	34	8	34	6	130
		% within Education	.0%	17.1%	14.7%	21.6%	20.4%	9.1%	16.3%
	Don't know	Count	4	96	56	11	37	14	218
		% within Education	22.2%	34.3%	24.1%	29.7%	22.2%	21.2%	27.3%
Total		Count	18	280	232	37	167	66	800
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## Party choice if Tea Party movement organized \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation

	-	-		Frequency attend religious services						
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total		
Party choice if Tea Party	The Republican candidate	Count	25	41	28	45	28	167		
movement organized		% within Frequency attend religious services	22.1%	24.3%	26.9%	19.5%	15.5%	20.9%		
	The Democratic candidate	Count	36	54	41	79	76	286		

		% within Frequency attend religious services	31.9%	32.0%	39.4%	34.2%	42.0%	35.8%
	The Tea Party candidate	Count	14	34	15	33	33	129
		% within Frequency attend religious services	12.4%	20.1%	14.4%	14.3%	18.2%	16.2%
	Don't know	Count	38	40	20	74	44	216
		% within Frequency attend religious services	33.6%	23.7%	19.2%	32.0%	24.3%	27.1%
Total	-	Count	113	169	104	231	181	798
		% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Party choice if Tea Party movement organized \* Race Crosstabulation

					R	ace			
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Total
Party choice if Tea Party	The Republican candidate	Count	126	6	27	2	1	4	166
movement organized		% within Race	25.9%	5.4%	16.8%	25.0%	25.0%	14.8%	20.8%
	The Democratic candidate	Count	130	79	65	5	0	7	286
		% within Race	26.7%	71.2%	40.4%	62.5%	.0%	25.9%	35.8%
	The Tea Party candidate	Count	93	6	19	0	3	8	129
		% within Race	19.1%	5.4%	11.8%	.0%	75.0%	29.6%	16.2%
	Don't know	Count	138	20	50	1	0	8	217
		% within Race	28.3%	18.0%	31.1%	12.5%	.0%	29.6%	27.2%
Total		Count	487	111	161	8	4	27	798
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Party choice if Tea Party movement organized \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation

	-	-	Own or re	ent home	
			Own	Rent	Total
Party choice if Tea Party	The Republican candidate	Count	131	34	165

movement organized		% within Own or rent home	22.1%	17.1%	20.8%
	The Democratic candidate	Count	198	87	285
		% within Own or rent home	33.3%	43.7%	35.9%
	The Tea Party candidate	Count	108	19	127
		% within Own or rent home	18.2%	9.5%	16.0%
	Don't know	Count	157	59	216
		% within Own or rent home	26.4%	29.6%	27.2%
Total	•	Count	594	199	793
		% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Party choice if Tea Party movement organized \* Marital Status Crosstabulation

		-			Mari	al Status			
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	Total
Party choice if Tea Party	The Republican candidate	Count	121	2	16	7	16	4	166
movement organized		% within Marital Status	25.0%	10.5%	15.8%	28.0%	12.4%	10.5%	20.9%
	The Democratic candidate	Count	147	9	42	9	58	19	284
		% within Marital Status	30.4%	47.4%	41.6%	36.0%	45.0%	50.0%	35.7%
	The Tea Party candidate	Count	94	2	12	3	12	6	129
		% within Marital Status	19.4%	10.5%	11.9%	12.0%	9.3%	15.8%	16.2%
	Don't know	Count	122	6	31	6	43	9	217
		% within Marital Status	25.2%	31.6%	30.7%	24.0%	33.3%	23.7%	27.3%
Total		Count	484	19	101	25	129	38	796
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Party choice if Tea Party movement organized \* Gender Crosstabulation

	-	-	Gen	ıder	
			Male	Female	Total
Party choice if Tea Party	The Republican candidate	Count	89	77	166

movement organized	-	% within Gender	24.6%	17.7%	20.8%
	The Democratic candidate	Count	124	162	286
		% within Gender	34.3%	37.2%	35.8%
	The Tea Party candidate	Count	77	52	129
		% within Gender	21.3%	11.9%	16.2%
	Don't know	Count	72	145	217
		% within Gender	19.9%	33.3%	27.2%
Total		Count	362	436	798
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Party choice if Tea Party movement organized \* Age Crosstabulation

				Age			
			60 and above	45-59	30-44	18-29	Total
Party choice if Tea Party	The Republican candidate	Count	52	46	44	24	166
movement organized		% within Age	25.1%	17.6%	20.1%	24.0%	21.1%
	The Democratic candidate	Count	69	96	75	38	278
		% within Age	33.3%	36.8%	34.2%	38.0%	35.3%
	The Tea Party candidate	Count	30	51	41	6	128
		% within Age	14.5%	19.5%	18.7%	6.0%	16.3%
	Don't know	Count	56	68	59	32	215
		% within Age	27.1%	26.1%	26.9%	32.0%	27.3%
Total		Count	207	261	219	100	787
		% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Opinion on gay marriage or civil unions \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation

				Ideology scale				
	Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Total
Opinion on gay marriage or Gays and lesbians should Count	21	51	37	69	32	13	3	226

civil unions	have the right to marry.	% within Ideology scale	77.8%	79.7%	48.1%	31.5%	26.0%	6.6%	3.5%	28.5%
	Gays and lesbians should	Count	2	9	21	66	53	96	34	281
	have the right to civil unions but	% within Ideology scale	7.4%	14.1%	27.3%	30.1%	43.1%	49.0%	39.5%	35.5%
	Gays and lesbians should not	Count	4	3	12	56	27	81	48	231
	have the right to civil unions	% within Ideology scale	14.8%	4.7%	15.6%	25.6%	22.0%	41.3%	55.8%	29.2%
	Don't know	Count	0	1	7	28	11	6	1	54
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	1.6%	9.1%	12.8%	8.9%	3.1%	1.2%	6.8%
Total	-	Count	27	64	77	219	123	196	86	792
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Opinion on gay marriage or civil unions \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

						7 point Pa	arty ID				
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Opinion on gay marriage or	Gays and lesbians should	Count	105	37	17	22	5	21	14	0	221
civil unions	have the right to marry.	% within 7 point Party ID	49.3%	41.1%	41.5%	47.8%	8.6%	19.1%	7.2%	.0%	29.2%
	Gays and lesbians should	Count	45	28	14	14	31	50	86	3	271
	have the right to civil unions but	% within 7 point Party ID	21.1%	31.1%	34.1%	30.4%	53.4%	45.5%	44.3%	50.0%	35.8%
	Gays and lesbians should not	Count	50	19	9	9	17	31	87	3	225
	have the right to civil unions	% within 7 point Party ID	23.5%	21.1%	22.0%	19.6%	29.3%	28.2%	44.8%	50.0%	29.7%
	Don't know	Count	13	6	1	1	5	8	7	0	41
		% within 7 point Party ID	6.1%	6.7%	2.4%	2.2%	8.6%	7.3%	3.6%	.0%	5.4%
Total		Count	213	90	41	46	58	110	194	6	758
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Opinion on gay marriage or civil unions \* Population density Crosstabulation

	-	-	P	opulation densi	ty	
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Opinion on gay marriage or civil		Count	82	105	40	227
unions	the right to marry.	% within Population density	33.3%	26.8%	25.0%	28.4%
	Gays and lesbians should have	Count	75	155	53	283
	the right to civil unions but	% within Population density	30.5%	39.5%	33.1%	35.5%

	Gays and lesbians should not	Count	76	106	52	234
	have the right to civil unions	% within Population density	30.9%	27.0%	32.5%	29.3%
	Don't know	Count	13	26	15	54
		% within Population density	5.3%	6.6%	9.4%	6.8%
Total		Count	246	392	160	798
		% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## Opinion on gay marriage or civil unions \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation

	-	-	Live	e in Houston, Dal	las-Fort Worth, S	an Antonio, or Au	stin	
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas.	Total
Opinion on gay marriage or		Count	48	71	19	30	60	228
	have the right to marry.	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	30.2%	29.1%	27.5%	34.5%	24.9%	28.5%
	Gays and lesbians should	Count	63	85	23	31	81	283
	have the right to civil unions but	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	39.6%	34.8%	33.3%	35.6%	33.6%	35.4%
	Gays and lesbians should	Count	44	67	22	20	81	234
	not have the right to civil unions	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	27.7%	27.5%	31.9%	23.0%	33.6%	29.3%
	Don't know	Count	4	21	5	6	19	55
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	2.5%	8.6%	7.2%	6.9%	7.9%	6.9%
Total		Count	159	244	69	87	241	800
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Opinion on gay marriage or civil unions \* Education Crosstabulation

					Education				
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Opinion on gay marriage or	Gays and lesbians should	Count	4	65	73	11	47	28	228
civil unions	have the right to marry.	% within Education	22.2%	23.2%	31.5%	29.7%	28.0%	42.4%	28.5%
	Gays and lesbians should have the right to civil unions but	Count	3	92	83	17	65	24	284
		% within Education	16.7%	32.9%	35.8%	45.9%	38.7%	36.4%	35.5%
	Gays and lesbians should	Count	10	100	62	7	45	12	236
	not have the right to civil unions	% within Education	55.6%	35.7%	26.7%	18.9%	26.8%	18.2%	29.5%
	Don't know	Count	1	23	14	2	11	2	53
		% within Education	5.6%	8.2%	6.0%	5.4%	6.5%	3.0%	6.6%
Total	<u>-</u>	Count	18	280	232	37	168	66	801
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Opinion on gay marriage or civil unions \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation

	-	-		Frequency	attend religious s	ervices		
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
Opinion on gay marriage or	Gays and lesbians should	Count	11	34	25	73	85	228
-	have the right to marry.	% within Frequency attend religious services	9.6%	20.1%	23.8%	31.7%	47.5%	28.6%
	Gays and lesbians should have the right to civil unions but	Count	35	59	37	97	54	282
		% within Frequency attend religious services	30.7%	34.9%	35.2%	42.2%	30.2%	35.4%
	Gays and lesbians should	Count	57	70	36	44	28	235
	not have the right to civil unions	% within Frequency attend religious services	50.0%	41.4%	34.3%	19.1%	15.6%	29.5%
	Don't know	Count	11	6	7	16	12	52
		% within Frequency attend religious services	9.6%	3.6%	6.7%	7.0%	6.7%	6.5%
Total	•	Count	114	169	105	230	179	797
		% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Opinion on gay marriage or civil unions \* Race Crosstabulation

	-				R	ace			
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Total
Opinion on gay marriage or		Count	134	22	59	4	1	6	226
civil unions	have the right to marry.	% within Race	27.4%	20.2%	36.9%	44.4%	25.0%	22.2%	28.3%
Gays and lesbian have the right to obtain	Gays and lesbians should	Count	189	35	47	0	1	10	282
	have the right to civil unions but	% within Race	38.7%	32.1%	29.4%	.0%	25.0%	37.0%	35.3%
	Gays and lesbians should	Count	135	40	46	4	2	10	237
	not have the right to civil unions	% within Race	27.6%	36.7%	28.8%	44.4%	50.0%	37.0%	29.7%
	Don't know	Count	31	12	8	1	0	1	53
		% within Race	6.3%	11.0%	5.0%	11.1%	.0%	3.7%	6.6%
Total		Count	489	109	160	9	4	27	798
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Opinion on gay marriage or civil unions \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation

			Own or re	ent home	
			Own	Rent	Total
Opinion on gay marriage or civil	Gays and lesbians should have	Count	156	71	227
-	the right to marry.	% within Own or rent home	26.2%	35.5%	28.6%
	Gays and lesbians should have	Count	220	62	282
	the right to civil unions but	% within Own or rent home	37.0%	31.0%	35.5%
	Gays and lesbians should not	Count	183	50	233
	have the right to civil unions	% within Own or rent home	30.8%	25.0%	29.3%
	Don't know	Count	36	17	53
		% within Own or rent home	6.1%	8.5%	6.7%
Total		Count	595	200	795
		% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Opinion on gay marriage or civil unions \* Marital Status Crosstabulation

	-	-			Marit	al Status			
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	Total
Opinion on gay marriage or	Gays and lesbians should	Count	113	5	31	5	53	20	227
Gays a	have the right to marry.	% within Marital Status	23.3%	26.3%	30.7%	19.2%	40.8%	52.6%	28.4%
	Gays and lesbians should have the right to civil unions but	Count	192	6	29	7	40	8	282
		% within Marital Status	39.7%	31.6%	28.7%	26.9%	30.8%	21.1%	35.3%
	Gays and lesbians should	Count	148	8	30	13	28	8	235
	not have the right to civil unions	% within Marital Status	30.6%	42.1%	29.7%	50.0%	21.5%	21.1%	29.4%
	Don't know	Count	31	0	11	1	9	2	54
		% within Marital Status	6.4%	.0%	10.9%	3.8%	6.9%	5.3%	6.8%
Total		Count	484	19	101	26	130	38	798
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Opinion on gay marriage or civil unions \* Gender Crosstabulation

	-	-	Gen	der	
			Male	Female	Total
Opinion on gay marriage or civil	Gays and lesbians should have	Count	99	128	227
unions	the right to marry.	% within Gender	27.3%	29.3%	28.4%
	Gays and lesbians should have the right to civil unions but	Count	137	147	284
		% within Gender	37.7%	33.6%	35.5%
	Gays and lesbians should not	Count	109	127	236
	have the right to civil unions	% within Gender	30.0%	29.1%	29.5%
	Don't know	Count	18	35	53
		% within Gender	5.0%	8.0%	6.6%
Total		Count	363	437	800
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Opinion on gay marriage or civil unions \* Age Crosstabulation

	-	-		Age			
			60 and above	45-59	30-44	18-29	Total
	Gays and lesbians should have	Count	42	64	79	38	223
-	the right to marry.	% within Age	20.3%	24.4%	35.9%	38.0%	28.3%
	Gays and lesbians should have the right to civil unions but	Count	91	79	74	34	278
		% within Age	44.0%	30.2%	33.6%	34.0%	35.2%
	Gays and lesbians should not	Count	61	99	54	19	233
	have the right to civil unions	% within Age	29.5%	37.8%	24.5%	19.0%	29.5%
	Don't know	Count	13	20	13	9	55
		% within Age	6.3%	7.6%	5.9%	9.0%	7.0%
Total		Count	207	262	220	100	789
		% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## Opinion on the death penalty for those convicted of violent crimes \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation

	-	-				Ideology scale				
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Total
Opinion on the death	Strongly support	Count	10	15	27	93	76	133	65	419
penalty for those convicted of violent crimes		% within Ideology scale	37.0%	23.4%	35.5%	42.7%	62.3%	67.9%	75.6%	53.1%
	Somewhat support	Count	4	19	28	67	27	42	13	200
		% within Ideology scale	14.8%	29.7%	36.8%	30.7%	22.1%	21.4%	15.1%	25.3%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	0	16	11	21	11	13	3	75
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	25.0%	14.5%	9.6%	9.0%	6.6%	3.5%	9.5%
	Strongly oppose	Count	12	11	8	21	6	4	3	65
		% within Ideology scale	44.4%	17.2%	10.5%	9.6%	4.9%	2.0%	3.5%	8.2%
	Don't know	Count	1	3	2	16	2	4	2	30
		% within Ideology scale	3.7%	4.7%	2.6%	7.3%	1.6%	2.0%	2.3%	3.8%

Total	Count	27	64	76	218	122	196	86	789
	% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Opinion on the death penalty for those convicted of violent crimes \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

						7 point Pa	arty ID				
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Opinion on the death penalty	Strongly support	Count	79	26	16	30	39	73	142	2	407
for those convicted of violent crimes		% within 7 point Party ID	37.3%	29.2%	39.0%	65.2%	66.1%	67.0%	72.8%	33.3%	53.8%
	Somewhat support	Count	58	38	9	8	11	24	36	4	188
		% within 7 point Party ID	27.4%	42.7%	22.0%	17.4%	18.6%	22.0%	18.5%	66.7%	24.8%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	26	16	10	3	5	9	6	0	75
		% within 7 point Party ID	12.3%	18.0%	24.4%	6.5%	8.5%	8.3%	3.1%	.0%	9.9%
	Strongly oppose	Count	43	6	5	4	3	1	6	0	68
		% within 7 point Party ID	20.3%	6.7%	12.2%	8.7%	5.1%	.9%	3.1%	.0%	9.0%
	Don't know	Count	6	3	1	1	1	2	5	0	19
		% within 7 point Party ID	2.8%	3.4%	2.4%	2.2%	1.7%	1.8%	2.6%	.0%	2.5%
Total	•	Count	212	89	41	46	59	109	195	6	757
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## Opinion on the death penalty for those convicted of violent crimes \* Population density Crosstabulation

	-	-	Po			
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Opinion on the death penalty for those convicted of violent crimes	Strongly support	Count	109	222	92	423
		% within Population density	44.1%	56.8%	57.9%	53.1%
	Somewhat support	Count	70	82	47	199
		% within Population density	28.3%	21.0%	29.6%	25.0%
	Somewhat oppose Strongly oppose	Count	25	42	9	76
		% within Population density	10.1%	10.7%	5.7%	9.5%
		Count	30	30	7	67
		% within Population density	12.1%	7.7%	4.4%	8.4%
	Don't know	Count	13	15	4	32

	% within Population density	5.3%	3.8%	2.5%	4.0%
Total	Count	247	391	159	797
	% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Opinion on the death penalty for those convicted of violent crimes \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation

	-	-	Liv	Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin					
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas.	Total	
Opinion on the death	Strongly support	Count	85	129	42	35	131	422	
penalty for those convicted of violent crimes		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	54.1%	52.9%	61.8%	40.7%	54.1%	52.9%	
	Somewhat support	Count	42	46	18	24	70	200	
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	26.8%	18.9%	26.5%	27.9%	28.9%	25.1%	
	Somewhat oppose	Count	18	31	4	10	14	77	
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	11.5%	12.7%	5.9%	11.6%	5.8%	9.7%	
	Strongly oppose	Count	10	23	3	15	15	66	
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	6.4%	9.4%	4.4%	17.4%	6.2%	8.3%	
	Don't know	Count	2	15	1	2	12	32	
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	1.3%	6.1%	1.5%	2.3%	5.0%	4.0%	
Total	•	Count	157	244	68	86	242	797	
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

	-		Education						
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Opinion on the death penalty	Strongly support	Count	10	162	112	24	92	23	423
for those convicted of violent crimes		% within Education	55.6%	57.9%	48.5%	66.7%	55.1%	34.8%	53.0%
Chilles	Somewhat support	Count	5	68	58	6	48	14	199
		% within Education	27.8%	24.3%	25.1%	16.7%	28.7%	21.2%	24.9%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	0	21	25	3	13	14	76
		% within Education	.0%	7.5%	10.8%	8.3%	7.8%	21.2%	9.5%
	Strongly oppose	Count	2	14	28	2	10	12	68
		% within Education	11.1%	5.0%	12.1%	5.6%	6.0%	18.2%	8.5%
	Don't know	Count	1	15	8	1	4	3	32
		% within Education	5.6%	5.4%	3.5%	2.8%	2.4%	4.5%	4.0%
Total		Count	18	280	231	36	167	66	798
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## Opinion on the death penalty for those convicted of violent crimes \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation

	-	-	Frequency attend religious services					
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
Opinion on the death penalty for those convicted of violent crimes	Strongly support	Count	51	84	50	133	105	423
		% within Frequency attend religious services	45.1%	49.4%	47.6%	57.8%	58.3%	53.0%
	Somewhat support	Count	31	42	29	61	37	200
		% within Frequency attend religious services	27.4%	24.7%	27.6%	26.5%	20.6%	25.1%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	15	19	9	18	16	77
		% within Frequency attend religious services	13.3%	11.2%	8.6%	7.8%	8.9%	9.6%
	Strongly oppose	Count	11	19	12	11	13	66
		% within Frequency attend religious services	9.7%	11.2%	11.4%	4.8%	7.2%	8.3%
	Don't know	Count	5	6	5	7	9	32

	% within Frequency attend religious services	4.4%	3.5%	4.8%	3.0%	5.0%	4.0%
Total	Count	113	170	105	230	180	798
	% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Opinion on the death penalty for those convicted of violent crimes \* Race Crosstabulation

	-	-			R	ace			
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Total
Opinion on the death penalty		Count	290	30	81	3	3	16	423
for those convicted of violent crimes		% within Race	59.2%	27.3%	50.3%	33.3%	75.0%	61.5%	52.9%
	Somewhat support	Count	115	33	42	2	1	6	199
		% within Race	23.5%	30.0%	26.1%	22.2%	25.0%	23.1%	24.9%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	38	15	20	3	0	2	78
		% within Race	7.8%	13.6%	12.4%	33.3%	.0%	7.7%	9.8%
	Strongly oppose	Count	33	19	14	0	0	1	67
		% within Race	6.7%	17.3%	8.7%	.0%	.0%	3.8%	8.4%
	Don't know	Count	14	13	4	1	0	1	33
		% within Race	2.9%	11.8%	2.5%	11.1%	.0%	3.8%	4.1%
Total	_	Count	490	110	161	9	4	26	800
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Opinion on the death penalty for those convicted of violent crimes \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation

	-	-	Own or re	ent home	
			Own	Rent	Total
Opinion on the death penalty	Strongly support	Count	332	90	422
for those convicted of violent crimes		% within Own or rent home	55.9%	45.2%	53.2%
ommoo	Somewhat support	Count	148	49	197
		% within Own or rent home	24.9%	24.6%	24.8%

	Somewhat oppose	Count	55	22	77
		% within Own or rent home	9.3%	11.1%	9.7%
	Strongly oppose	Count	41	24	65
		% within Own or rent home	6.9%	12.1%	8.2%
	Don't know	Count	18	14	32
		% within Own or rent home	3.0%	7.0%	4.0%
Total	_	Count	594	199	793
		% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Opinion on the death penalty for those convicted of violent crimes \* Marital Status Crosstabulation

					Marit	al Status			
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	Total
Opinion on the death	Strongly support	Count	285	11	40	10	56	19	421
penalty for those convicted of violent crimes		% within Marital Status	59.1%	55.0%	40.0%	40.0%	43.4%	50.0%	53.0%
or violent chines	Somewhat support	Count	114	5	26	12	33	8	198
		% within Marital Status	23.7%	25.0%	26.0%	48.0%	25.6%	21.1%	24.9%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	43	1	10	2	15	4	75
		% within Marital Status	8.9%	5.0%	10.0%	8.0%	11.6%	10.5%	9.4%
	Strongly oppose	Count	24	3	15	1	17	7	67
		% within Marital Status	5.0%	15.0%	15.0%	4.0%	13.2%	18.4%	8.4%
	Don't know	Count	16	0	9	0	8	0	33
		% within Marital Status	3.3%	.0%	9.0%	.0%	6.2%	.0%	4.2%
Total		Count	482	20	100	25	129	38	794
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## Opinion on the death penalty for those convicted of violent crimes \* Gender Crosstabulation

Gender	Total
--------	-------

			Male	Female	
Opinion on the death penalty	Strongly support	Count	213	210	423
for those convicted of violent crimes		% within Gender	58.7%	48.1%	52.9%
onnes	Somewhat support	Count	79	122	201
		% within Gender	21.8%	27.9%	25.1%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	34	43	77
		% within Gender	9.4%	9.8%	9.6%
	Strongly oppose	Count	29	38	67
		% within Gender	8.0%	8.7%	8.4%
	Don't know	Count	8	24	32
		% within Gender	2.2%	5.5%	4.0%
Total	-	Count	363	437	800
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Opinion on the death penalty for those convicted of violent crimes \* Age Crosstabulation

	<del>-</del>	-		Age			
			60 and above	45-59	30-44	18-29	Total
Opinion on the death penalty	Strongly support	Count	111	141	126	39	417
for those convicted of violent crimes		% within Age	53.6%	54.0%	57.5%	39.4%	53.1%
	Somewhat support	Count	60	65	42	29	196
		% within Age	29.0%	24.9%	19.2%	29.3%	24.9%
	Somewhat oppose	Count	15	21	23	15	74
		% within Age	7.2%	8.0%	10.5%	15.2%	9.4%
	Strongly oppose	Count	15	26	17	8	66
		% within Age	7.2%	10.0%	7.8%	8.1%	8.4%
	Don't know	Count	6	8	11	8	33
		% within Age	2.9%	3.1%	5.0%	8.1%	4.2%
Total	•	Count	207	261	219	99	786
		% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Death penalty applied fairly or unfairly in Texas today \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation

	-	-				Ideology scale				
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Total
Death penalty applied fairly	Fairly	Count	8	15	30	97	87	159	69	465
or unfairly in Texas today		% within Ideology scale	28.6%	23.4%	39.0%	44.5%	70.7%	81.1%	80.2%	58.7%
	Unfairly	Count	17	38	40	76	25	12	6	214
		% within Ideology scale	60.7%	59.4%	51.9%	34.9%	20.3%	6.1%	7.0%	27.0%
	Don't know	Count	3	11	7	45	11	25	11	113
		% within Ideology scale	10.7%	17.2%	9.1%	20.6%	8.9%	12.8%	12.8%	14.3%
Total	-	Count	28	64	77	218	123	196	86	792
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Death penalty applied fairly or unfairly in Texas today \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

	<u>-</u>	_				7 point Pa	arty ID				
ļi			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Death penalty applied	Fairly	Count	76	31	11	28	47	92	161	2	448
fairly or unfairly in Texas today		% within 7 point Party ID	35.7%	34.1%	26.8%	60.9%	79.7%	85.2%	82.6%	33.3%	59.0%
	Unfairly	Count	115	38	24	12	8	9	7	2	215
		% within 7 point Party ID	54.0%	41.8%	58.5%	26.1%	13.6%	8.3%	3.6%	33.3%	28.3%
	Don't know	Count	22	22	6	6	4	7	27	2	96
		% within 7 point Party ID	10.3%	24.2%	14.6%	13.0%	6.8%	6.5%	13.8%	33.3%	12.6%
Total		Count	213	91	41	46	59	108	195	6	759
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Death penalty applied fairly or unfairly in Texas today \* Population density Crosstabulation

	 	<u>-</u>	Population density			
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total

Death penalty applied fairly or	Fairly	Count	119	240	111	470
unfairly in Texas today		% within Population density	48.4%	61.2%	69.4%	58.9%
	Unfairly	Count	92	96	26	214
		% within Population density	37.4%	24.5%	16.3%	26.8%
	Don't know	Count	35	56	23	114
		% within Population density	14.2%	14.3%	14.4%	14.3%
Total		Count	246	392	160	798
		% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Death penalty applied fairly or unfairly in Texas today \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation

	_	-	L	ive in Houston, Da	llas-Fort Worth, Sa	ın Antonio, or Austi	in	
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas.	Total
Death penalty applied fairly or	Fairly	Count	87	133	50	37	161	468
unfairly in Texas today		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	55.1%	54.5%	72.5%	43.0%	66.8%	58.6%
	Unfairly	Count	50	73	15	28	49	215
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	31.6%	29.9%	21.7%	32.6%	20.3%	26.9%
	Don't know	Count	21	38	4	21	31	115
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	13.3%	15.6%	5.8%	24.4%	12.9%	14.4%
Total		Count	158	244	69	86	241	798
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Death penalty applied fairly or unfairly in Texas today \* Education Crosstabulation

|--|

			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Death penalty applied fairly	Fairly	Count	12	186	118	24	103	25	468
or unfairly in Texas today		% within Education	66.7%	66.4%	50.9%	66.7%	61.7%	37.9%	58.6%
	Unfairly	Count	5	45	81	9	42	33	215
		% within Education	27.8%	16.1%	34.9%	25.0%	25.1%	50.0%	26.9%
	Don't know	Count	1	49	33	3	22	8	116
		% within Education	5.6%	17.5%	14.2%	8.3%	13.2%	12.1%	14.5%
Total		Count	18	280	232	36	167	66	799
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Death penalty applied fairly or unfairly in Texas today \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation

	-	-		Frequency	attend religious s	ervices		
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
Death penalty applied fairly	Fairly	Count	59	97	57	148	108	469
or unfairly in Texas today		% within Frequency attend religious services	51.8%	57.1%	54.3%	64.1%	60.0%	58.6%
	Unfairly	Count	34	53	34	52	43	216
	,	% within Frequency attend religious services	29.8%	31.2%	32.4%	22.5%	23.9%	27.0%
	Don't know	Count	21	20	14	31	29	115
		% within Frequency attend religious services	18.4%	11.8%	13.3%	13.4%	16.1%	14.4%
Total	•	Count	114	170	105	231	180	800
		% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Death penalty applied fairly or unfairly in Texas today \* Race Crosstabulation

Race	Total
------	-------

			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	
Death penalty applied	Fairly	Count	323	24	99	2	3	18	469
fairly or unfairly in Texas today		% within Race	66.1%	21.6%	61.9%	22.2%	75.0%	64.3%	58.6%
louay	Unfairly	Count	99	65	41	4	1	6	216
		% within Race	20.2%	58.6%	25.6%	44.4%	25.0%	21.4%	27.0%
	Don't know	Count	67	22	20	3	0	4	116
		% within Race	13.7%	19.8%	12.5%	33.3%	.0%	14.3%	14.5%
Total		Count	489	111	160	9	4	28	801
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Death penalty applied fairly or unfairly in Texas today \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation

	-	-	Own or re	ent home	
			Own	Rent	Total
Death penalty applied fairly or unfairly in Texas today	Fairly	Count	359	108	467
		% within Own or rent home	60.4%	54.3%	58.9%
	Unfairly	Count	155	56	211
		% within Own or rent home	26.1%	28.1%	26.6%
	Don't know	Count	80	35	115
		% within Own or rent home	13.5%	17.6%	14.5%
Total		Count	594	199	793
		% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Death penalty applied fairly or unfairly in Texas today \* Marital Status Crosstabulation

-	-				al Status			
		Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	Total
Death penalty applied fairly Fairly	Count	316	11	39	14	68	21	469
or unfairly in Texas today	% within Marital Status	65.3%	55.0%	39.0%	56.0%	52.3%	55.3%	58.8%

	Unfairly	Count	102	8	42	5	41	15	213
		% within Marital Status		40.0%	42.0%	20.0%	31.5%	39.5%	26.7%
	Don't know	Count	66	1	19	6	21	2	115
		% within Marital Status	13.6%	5.0%	19.0%	24.0%	16.2%	5.3%	14.4%
Total		Count	484	20	100	25	130	38	797
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Death penalty applied fairly or unfairly in Texas today \* Gender Crosstabulation

	_		Gen	der	
			Male	Female	Total
Death penalty applied fairly or unfairly in Texas today	Fairly	Count	229	241	470
		% within Gender	63.1%	55.1%	58.8%
	Unfairly	Count	100	115	215
		% within Gender	27.5%	26.3%	26.9%
	Don't know	Count	34	81	115
		% within Gender	9.4%	18.5%	14.4%
Total	•	Count	363	437	800
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Death penalty applied fairly or unfairly in Texas today \* Age Crosstabulation

	<del>-</del>			Age			
			60 and above	45-59	30-44	18-29	Total
Death penalty applied fairly or unfairly in Texas today	Fairly	Count	123	147	136	57	463
		% within Age	59.7%	56.1%	61.8%	57.0%	58.8%
	Unfairly	Count	58	81	50	21	210
		% within Age	28.2%	30.9%	22.7%	21.0%	26.6%
	Don't know	Count	25	34	34	22	115
		% within Age	12.1%	13.0%	15.5%	22.0%	14.6%

Total	Count	206	262	220	100	788
	% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Gambling policy proposals \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation

						Ideology scale				
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Total
Gambling policy proposals	Banning all gambling and	Count	1	2	7	18	9	24	17	78
	gaming in Texas	% within Ideology scale	3.6%	3.0%	9.0%	8.3%	7.4%	12.2%	19.8%	9.8%
	Leaving current gambling	Count	3	10	11	34	28	60	22	168
	laws unchanged	% within Ideology scale	10.7%	15.2%	14.1%	15.7%	23.0%	30.5%	25.6%	21.2%
	Allowing limited expansion of	Count	1	10	12	33	17	22	6	101
	gambling, but only in existing	% within Ideology scale	3.6%	15.2%	15.4%	15.2%	13.9%	11.2%	7.0%	12.7%
	Expanding gambling but only	Count	0	8	8	14	7	4	4	45
	to Indian reservations	% within Ideology scale	.0%	12.1%	10.3%	6.5%	5.7%	2.0%	4.7%	5.7%
	Allowing full casino gambling	Count	23	28	34	81	59	67	28	320
	in Texas	% within Ideology scale	82.1%	42.4%	43.6%	37.3%	48.4%	34.0%	32.6%	40.3%
	Don't know	Count	0	8	6	37	2	20	9	82
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	12.1%	7.7%	17.1%	1.6%	10.2%	10.5%	10.3%
Total		Count	28	66	78	217	122	197	86	794
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Gambling policy proposals \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

	-	_				7 point Pa	arty ID				
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Gambling policy proposals	Banning all gambling and	Count	20	5	6	5	9	6	25	1	77
	gaming in Texas	% within 7 point Party ID	9.5%	5.6%	14.6%	10.9%	15.3%	5.6%	12.9%	16.7%	10.2%
	Leaving current gambling	Count	29	14	11	4	21	25	53	2	159
	laws unchanged	% within 7 point Party ID	13.7%	15.6%	26.8%	8.7%	35.6%	23.1%	27.3%	33.3%	21.1%
	Allowing limited expansion of		19	23	8	7	7	15	18	1	98
	gambling, but only in existing	% within 7 point Party ID	9.0%	25.6%	19.5%	15.2%	11.9%	13.9%	9.3%	16.7%	13.0%
		Count	20	5	1	4	2	2	9	0	43
	to Indian reservations	% within 7 point Party ID	9.5%	5.6%	2.4%	8.7%	3.4%	1.9%	4.6%	.0%	5.7%

	Allowing full casino gambling Count		100	35	13	21	18	52	70	2	311
	in Texas	% within 7 point Party ID	47.4%	38.9%	31.7%	45.7%	30.5%	48.1%	36.1%	33.3%	41.2%
	Don't know	Count	23	8	2	5	2	8	19	0	67
		% within 7 point Party ID	10.9%	8.9%	4.9%	10.9%	3.4%	7.4%	9.8%	.0%	8.9%
Total	<u>-</u>	Count	211	90	41	46	59	108	194	6	755
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Gambling policy proposals \* Population density Crosstabulation

			Pe	opulation densi	ty	
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Gambling policy proposals	Banning all gambling and	Count	20	46	13	79
	gaming in Texas	% within Population density	8.2%	11.7%	8.1%	9.9%
	Leaving current gambling laws	Count	41	89	38	168
	unchanged	% within Population density	16.7%	22.7%	23.8%	21.1%
	Allowing limited expansion of	Count	35	51	15	101
	gambling, but only in existing	% within Population density	14.3%	13.0%	9.4%	12.7%
	Expanding gambling but only to	Count	15	21	8	44
	Indian reservations	% within Population density	6.1%	5.4%	5.0%	5.5%
	Allowing full casino gambling in	Count	106	157	60	323
	Texas	% within Population density	43.3%	40.1%	37.5%	40.5%
	Don't know	Count	28	28	26	82
		% within Population density	11.4%	7.1%	16.3%	10.3%
Total		Count	245	392	160	797
		% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Gambling policy proposals \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation

Live	in Houston, Dall	as-Fort Worth, Sa	an Antonio, or Aus	stin		
	Yes, I live the	Yes, I live in the		No, I live in		
Yes, I live in the	Dallas-Fort	San Antonio	Yes, I live in the	another part of		
Houston area.	Worth area	area	Austin area	Texas.	Total	

Gambling policy proposals	Banning all gambling and	Count	14	35	7	10	14	80
	gaming in Texas	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	8.9%	14.3%	10.0%	11.5%	5.8%	10.0%
	Leaving current gambling	Count	28	48	12	26	53	167
	laws unchanged	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	17.7%	19.7%	17.1%	29.9%	22.1%	20.9%
	Allowing limited expansion	Count	17	40	9	10	27	103
existing  Expanding g	of gambling, but only in existing	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	10.8%	16.4%	12.9%	11.5%	11.3%	12.9%
	Expanding gambling but	Count	9	10	4	6	15	44
	only to Indian reservations	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	5.7%	4.1%	5.7%	6.9%	6.3%	5.5%
	Allowing full casino	Count	78	93	34	22	95	322
	gambling in Texas	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	49.4%	38.1%	48.6%	25.3%	39.6%	40.3%
	Don't know	Count	12	18	4	13	36	83
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	7.6%	7.4%	5.7%	14.9%	15.0%	10.4%
Total		Count	158	244	70	87	240	799
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Gambling policy proposals \* Education Crosstabulation

	_				Education				
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Gambling policy proposals	Banning all gambling and	Count	5	26	18	4	19	7	79
	gaming in Texas	% within Education	31.3%	9.3%	7.8%	10.8%	11.4%	10.4%	9.9%
	Leaving current gambling	Count	5	51	43	6	45	17	167
	laws unchanged	% within Education	31.3%	18.2%	18.5%	16.2%	27.1%	25.4%	20.9%

	Allowing limited expansion	of Count	1	28	36	6	18	13	102
	gambling, but only in existi	ng % within Education	6.3%	10.0%	15.5%	16.2%	10.8%	19.4%	12.8%
	Expanding gambling but or	Count	2	12	15	2	9	4	44
	to Indian reservations	% within Education	12.5%	4.3%	6.5%	5.4%	5.4%	6.0%	5.5%
	Allowing full casino gambli	ng Count	2	123	96	16	66	20	323
	in Texas	% within Education	12.5%	43.9%	41.4%	43.2%	39.8%	29.9%	40.5%
	Don't know	Count	1	40	24	3	9	6	83
		% within Education	6.3%	14.3%	10.3%	8.1%	5.4%	9.0%	10.4%
Total		Count	16	280	232	37	166	67	798
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Gambling policy proposals \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation

				Frequency	attend religious s	ervices		
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
Gambling policy proposals	Banning all gambling and	Count	38	18	6	13	4	79
	gaming in Texas	% within Frequency attend religious services	33.6%	10.6%	5.8%	5.6%	2.2%	9.9%
	Leaving current gambling	Count	26	55	20	32	34	167
	laws unchanged	% within Frequency attend religious services	23.0%	32.4%	19.2%	13.8%	19.0%	20.9%
	Allowing limited expansion	Count	8	23	16	32	22	101
	of gambling, but only in existing	% within Frequency attend religious services	7.1%	13.5%	15.4%	13.8%	12.3%	12.7%
	Expanding gambling but	Count	4	6	9	14	12	45
	only to Indian reservations	% within Frequency attend religious services	3.5%	3.5%	8.7%	6.0%	6.7%	5.6%
	Allowing full casino	Count	23	57	41	114	88	323
	gambling in Texas	% within Frequency attend religious services	20.4%	33.5%	39.4%	49.1%	49.2%	40.5%
	Don't know	Count	14	11	12	27	19	83
		% within Frequency attend religious services	12.4%	6.5%	11.5%	11.6%	10.6%	10.4%
Total		Count	113	170	104	232	179	798

# Gambling policy proposals \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation

				Frequency	attend religious s	ervices		
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
Gambling policy proposals	Banning all gambling and	Count	38	18	6	13	4	79
	gaming in Texas	% within Frequency attend religious services	33.6%	10.6%	5.8%	5.6%	2.2%	9.9%
	Leaving current gambling	Count	26	55	20	32	34	167
	laws unchanged	% within Frequency attend religious services	23.0%	32.4%	19.2%	13.8%	19.0%	20.9%
	Allowing limited expansion	Count	8	23	16	32	22	101
	of gambling, but only in existing	% within Frequency attend religious services	7.1%	13.5%	15.4%	13.8%	12.3%	12.7%
	Expanding gambling but	Count	4	6	9	14	12	45
	only to Indian reservations	% within Frequency attend religious services	3.5%	3.5%	8.7%	6.0%	6.7%	5.6%
	Allowing full casino	Count	23	57	41	114	88	323
	gambling in Texas	% within Frequency attend religious services	20.4%	33.5%	39.4%	49.1%	49.2%	40.5%
	Don't know	Count	14	11	12	27	19	83
		% within Frequency attend religious services	12.4%	6.5%	11.5%	11.6%	10.6%	10.4%
Total		Count	113	170	104	232	179	798
		% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Gambling policy proposals \* Race Crosstabulation

			<u>. ,</u>						
	-	-			R	ace			
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Total
0, ,, ,	gaming in Texas %  Leaving current gambling Co	Count	53	13	8	2	1	3	80
		% within Race	10.9%	11.7%	5.0%	22.2%	33.3%	10.7%	10.0%
		Count	108	17	32	3	1	5	166
		% within Race	22.2%	15.3%	20.0%	33.3%	33.3%	17.9%	20.8%

	Allowing limited expansion	Count	53	15	32	0	0	3	103
	of gambling, but only in existing	% within Race	10.9%	13.5%	20.0%	.0%	.0%	10.7%	12.9%
	Expanding gambling but	Count	27	3	11	0	0	3	44
	only to Indian reservations	% within Race	5.5%	2.7%	6.9%	.0%	.0%	10.7%	5.5%
	Allowing full casino	Count	202	43	61	4	1	11	322
	gambling in Texas	% within Race	41.5%	38.7%	38.1%	44.4%	33.3%	39.3%	40.4%
	Don't know	Count	44	20	16	0	0	3	83
		% within Race	9.0%	18.0%	10.0%	.0%	.0%	10.7%	10.4%
Total		Count	487	111	160	9	3	28	798
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Gambling policy proposals \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation

	-	-	Own or re	ent home	
			Own	Rent	Total
Gambling policy proposals	Banning all gambling and	Count	61	19	80
	gaming in Texas	% within Own or rent home	10.3%	9.5%	10.1%
	Leaving current gambling laws	Count	138	29	167
	unchanged	% within Own or rent home	23.2%	14.6%	21.0%
	Allowing limited expansion of gambling, but only in existing	Count	72	29	101
gambling, but only in existing	% within Own or rent home	12.1%	14.6%	12.7%	
	Expanding gambling but only to	Count	33	12	45
	Indian reservations	% within Own or rent home	5.5%	6.0%	5.7%
	Allowing full casino gambling in	Count	234	86	320
	Texas	% within Own or rent home	39.3%	43.2%	40.3%
	Don't know	Count	57	24	81
		% within Own or rent home	9.6%	12.1%	10.2%
Total		Count	595	199	794
		% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Gambling policy proposals \* Marital Status Crosstabulation

	-				Marit	al Status			
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	Total
Gambling policy proposals	Banning all gambling and	Count	50	5	11	6	5	1	78
	gaming in Texas	% within Marital Status	10.4%	25.0%	10.9%	23.1%	3.9%	2.6%	9.8%
	laws unchanged	Count	129	5	11	5	15	2	167
		% within Marital Status	26.8%	25.0%	10.9%	19.2%	11.6%	5.3%	21.0%
		Count	55	2	13	1	22	9	102
		% within Marital Status	11.4%	10.0%	12.9%	3.8%	17.1%	23.7%	12.8%
	Expanding gambling but only		25	0	8	0	11	0	44
	to Indian reservations	% within Marital Status	5.2%	.0%	7.9%	.0%	8.5%	.0%	5.5%
	Allowing full casino gambling	Count	181	7	44	13	53	23	321
	in Texas	% within Marital Status	37.6%	35.0%	43.6%	50.0%	41.1%	60.5%	40.3%
	Don't know	Count	42	1	14	1	23	3	84
		% within Marital Status	8.7%	5.0%	13.9%	3.8%	17.8%	7.9%	10.6%
Total		Count	482	20	101	26	129	38	796
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Gambling policy proposals \* Gender Crosstabulation

			Ger	nder	
			Male	Female	Total
Gambling policy proposals  Banning all gambling and		Count	26	54	80
	gaming in Texas  Leaving current gambling laws	% within Gender	7.2%	12.3%	10.0%
		Count	76	91	167
	unchanged	% within Gender	21.1%	20.8%	20.9%
	Allowing limited expansion of	Count	42	60	102
	gambling, but only in existing	% within Gender	11.6%	13.7%	12.8%
	Expanding gambling but only to	Count	15	29	44
	Indian reservations	% within Gender	4.2%	6.6%	5.5%

	Allowing full casino gamb	oling in Count	180	143	323
	Texas	% within Gender	49.9%	32.6%	40.4%
	Don't know	Count	22	61	83
		% within Gender	6.1%	13.9%	10.4%
Total	-	Count	361	438	799
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Gambling policy proposals \* Age Crosstabulation

	-	-		Age			
			60 and above	45-59	30-44	18-29	Total
Gambling policy proposals	Banning all gambling and	Count	25	26	23	6	80
	gaming in Texas	% within Age	12.2%	10.0%	10.5%	6.0%	10.2%
	unchanged	Count	53	47	42	21	163
	unchanged	% within Age	25.9%	18.1%	19.2%	21.0%	20.8%
	Allowing limited expansion of	Count	14	32	37	15	98
	gambling, but only in existing	% within Age	6.8%	12.3%	16.9%	15.0%	12.5%
	, , , ,	Count	10	13	9	11	43
	Indian reservations	% within Age	4.9%	5.0%	4.1%	11.0%	5.5%
	Allowing full casino gambling in	Count	90	116	86	26	318
	Texas	% within Age	43.9%	44.6%	39.3%	26.0%	40.6%
	Don't know	Count	13	26	22	21	82
		% within Age	6.3%	10.0%	10.0%	21.0%	10.5%
Total	•	Count	205	260	219	100	784
		% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### State government in Texas serves as good model for other states to follow \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation

			Ideology scale				
Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Total

State government in Texas	Strongly agree	Count	3	3	1	10	26	64	37	144
serves as good model for other states to follow		% within Ideology scale	11.1%	4.6%	1.3%	4.6%	21.0%	32.5%	43.5%	18.2%
	Somewhat agree	Count	7	6	17	83	61	107	31	312
		% within Ideology scale	25.9%	9.2%	22.4%	38.2%	49.2%	54.3%	36.5%	39.4%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	3	25	25	47	16	12	3	131
		% within Ideology scale	11.1%	38.5%	32.9%	21.7%	12.9%	6.1%	3.5%	16.6%
	Strongly disagree	Count	12	24	28	30	11	2	2	109
		% within Ideology scale	44.4%	36.9%	36.8%	13.8%	8.9%	1.0%	2.4%	13.8%
	Don't know	Count	2	7	5	47	10	12	12	95
		% within Ideology scale	7.4%	10.8%	6.6%	21.7%	8.1%	6.1%	14.1%	12.0%
Total		Count	27	65	76	217	124	197	85	791
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### State government in Texas serves as good model for other states to follow \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

						7 point Pa	arty ID				
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
State government in Texas	Strongly agree	Count	14	3	0	8	22	19	72	2	140
serves as good model for other states to follow		% within 7 point Party ID	6.7%	3.3%	.0%	17.4%	37.9%	17.6%	36.9%	33.3%	18.5%
	Somewhat agree	Count	48	33	13	16	26	71	92	2	301
<del>-</del>		% within 7 point Party ID	22.9%	36.3%	31.7%	34.8%	44.8%	65.7%	47.2%	33.3%	39.9%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	55	24	12	11	3	10	12	2	129
		% within 7 point Party ID	26.2%	26.4%	29.3%	23.9%	5.2%	9.3%	6.2%	33.3%	17.1%
	Strongly disagree	Count	70	11	12	7	2	2	4	0	108
		% within 7 point Party ID	33.3%	12.1%	29.3%	15.2%	3.4%	1.9%	2.1%	.0%	14.3%
	Don't know	Count	23	20	4	4	5	6	15	0	77
		% within 7 point Party ID	11.0%	22.0%	9.8%	8.7%	8.6%	5.6%	7.7%	.0%	10.2%
Total		Count	210	91	41	46	58	108	195	6	755
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# State government in Texas serves as good model for other states to follow \* Population density Crosstabulation

Р	opulation densi	ty	
Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total

State government in Texas	Strongly agree	Count	38	74	34	146
serves as good model for other states to follow		% within Population density	15.4%	18.9%	21.5%	18.3%
States to follow	Somewhat agree	Count	77	168	69	314
		% within Population density	31.3%	42.9%	43.7%	39.4%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	51	64	16	131
		% within Population density	20.7%	16.3%	10.1%	16.5%
	Strongly disagree	Count	49	50	11	110
		% within Population density	19.9%	12.8%	7.0%	13.8%
	Don't know	Count	31	36	28	95
		% within Population density	12.6%	9.2%	17.7%	11.9%
Total		Count	246	392	158	796
		% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## State government in Texas serves as good model for other states to follow \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation

	-		Liv	e in Houston, Dal	las-Fort Worth, Sa	an Antonio, or Aus	tin	
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas.	Total
State government in Texas	Strongly agree	Count	31	37	19	12	48	147
serves as good model for other states to follow		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	19.6%	15.2%	27.9%	14.1%	20.0%	18.5%
	Somewhat agree	Count	60	97	26	22	109	314
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	38.0%	39.8%	38.2%	25.9%	45.4%	39.5%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	30	44	11	19	27	131
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	19.0%	18.0%	16.2%	22.4%	11.3%	16.5%
	Strongly disagree	Count	22	40	7	21	18	108
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	13.9%	16.4%	10.3%	24.7%	7.5%	13.6%

	Don't know	Count	15	26	5	11	38	95
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	9.5%	10.7%	7.4%	12.9%	15.8%	11.9%
Total	·	Count	158	244	68	85	240	795
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### State government in Texas serves as good model for other states to follow \* Education Crosstabulation

-	-	<del>-</del>	l						
					Education				
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
State government in Texas	Strongly agree	Count	5	50	36	7	35	12	145
serves as good model for other states to follow		% within Education	27.8%	17.9%	15.6%	19.4%	20.8%	18.2%	18.2%
	Somewhat agree	Count	7	118	89	16	68	17	315
		% within Education	38.9%	42.3%	38.5%	44.4%	40.5%	25.8%	39.5%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	1	38	45	7	24	17	132
		% within Education	5.6%	13.6%	19.5%	19.4%	14.3%	25.8%	16.5%
	Strongly disagree	Count	1	24	37	4	27	17	110
		% within Education	5.6%	8.6%	16.0%	11.1%	16.1%	25.8%	13.8%
	Don't know	Count	4	49	24	2	14	3	96
		% within Education	22.2%	17.6%	10.4%	5.6%	8.3%	4.5%	12.0%
Total		Count	18	279	231	36	168	66	798
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### State government in Texas serves as good model for other states to follow \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation

	go vorminome in roxus	o con voc do gova modor for canor	ctates to renew	r requestion atter	ia rongious soi vi	ooc or occuandiat					
	-	<del>-</del>		Frequency attend religious services							
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total			
State government in Texas	Strongly agree	Count	23	38	20	42	23	146			
serves as good model for other states to follow		% within Frequency attend religious services	20.2%	22.5%	19.0%	18.3%	12.9%	18.3%			

	Somewhat agree	Count	43	68	39	100	64	314
		% within Frequency attend religious services	37.7%	40.2%	37.1%	43.5%	36.0%	39.4%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	19	28	24	35	25	131
		% within Frequency attend religious services	16.7%	16.6%	22.9%	15.2%	14.0%	16.5%
	Strongly disagree	Count	14	18	13	28	37	110
		% within Frequency attend religious services	12.3%	10.7%	12.4%	12.2%	20.8%	13.8%
	Don't know	Count	15	17	9	25	29	95
		% within Frequency attend religious services	13.2%	10.1%	8.6%	10.9%	16.3%	11.9%
Total	<del>.</del>	Count	114	169	105	230	178	796
		% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### State government in Texas serves as good model for other states to follow \* Race Crosstabulation

					R	ace			
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Total
State government in Texas	Strongly agree	Count	95	6	35	1	3	6	146
serves as good model for other states to follow		% within Race	19.5%	5.5%	22.0%	10.0%	75.0%	20.7%	18.3%
	Somewhat agree	Count	213	29	57	4	1	10	314
		% within Race	43.8%	26.4%	35.8%	40.0%	25.0%	34.5%	39.3%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	72	23	26	2	0	9	132
		% within Race	14.8%	20.9%	16.4%	20.0%	.0%	31.0%	16.5%
	Strongly disagree	Count	65	25	15	2	0	3	110
		% within Race	13.4%	22.7%	9.4%	20.0%	.0%	10.3%	13.8%
	Don't know	Count	41	27	26	1	0	1	96
		% within Race	8.4%	24.5%	16.4%	10.0%	.0%	3.4%	12.0%
Total		Count	486	110	159	10	4	29	798
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# State government in Texas serves as good model for other states to follow \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation

			Own or re	ent home	
			Own	Own Rent	
State government in Texas	Strongly agree	Count	111	32	143
serves as good model for other states to follow		% within Own or rent home	18.8%	16.1%	18.1%
states to follow	Somewhat agree	omewhat agree Count		60	313
		% within Own or rent home	42.8%	30.2%	39.6%
	Somewhat disagree	91	38	129	
		% within Own or rent home	15.4%	19.1%	16.3%
	Strongly disagree	Count	83	26	109
		% within Own or rent home	14.0%	13.1%	13.8%
	Don't know	Count	53	43	96
		% within Own or rent home	9.0%	21.6%	12.2%
Total	-	Count	591	199	790
		% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### State government in Texas serves as good model for other states to follow \* Marital Status Crosstabulation

	<del>-</del>	-			Marit	al Status			
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	Total
State government in Texas	Strongly agree	Count	105	5	15	4	12	4	145
serves as good model for other states to follow		% within Marital Status	21.8%	27.8%	15.0%	14.8%	9.2%	10.5%	18.3%
sales states to follow	Somewhat agree	Count	205	5	30	10	48	14	312
		% within Marital Status	42.6%	27.8%	30.0%	37.0%	36.9%	36.8%	39.3%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	67	3	18	8	31	6	133
		% within Marital Status	13.9%	16.7%	18.0%	29.6%	23.8%	15.8%	16.8%
	Strongly disagree	Count	56	2	18	5	17	11	109
		% within Marital Status	11.6%	11.1%	18.0%	18.5%	13.1%	28.9%	13.7%
	Don't know	Count	48	3	19	0	22	3	95
		% within Marital Status	10.0%	16.7%	19.0%	.0%	16.9%	7.9%	12.0%

Total	Count	481	18	100	27	130	38	794
	% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### State government in Texas serves as good model for other states to follow \* Gender Crosstabulation

			Ger	nder	
			Male	Female	Total
State government in Texas	Strongly agree	Count	85	61	146
serves as good model for other states to follow		% within Gender	23.5%	14.0%	18.3%
states to follow	Somewhat agree	Count	154	160	314
		% within Gender	42.5%	36.7%	39.3%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	54	78	132
		% within Gender	14.9%	17.9%	16.5%
	Strongly disagree	Count	52	58	110
		% within Gender	14.4%	13.3%	13.8%
	Don't know	Count	17	79	96
		% within Gender	4.7%	18.1%	12.0%
Total	-	Count	362	436	798
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# State government in Texas serves as good model for other states to follow \* Age Crosstabulation

	-	-		Age			
			60 and above	45-59	30-44	18-29	Total
State government in Texas	Strongly agree	Count	42	49	39	15	145
serves as good model for other states to follow		% within Age	20.5%	18.7%	17.9%	14.7%	18.4%
	Somewhat agree	Count	75	98	97	37	307
		% within Age	36.6%	37.4%	44.5%	36.3%	39.0%
	Somewhat disagree	Count	38	41	30	22	131
		% within Age	18.5%	15.6%	13.8%	21.6%	16.6%

	Strongly disagree	Count	35	44	18	12	109
		% within Age	17.1%	16.8%	8.3%	11.8%	13.9%
	Don't know	Count	15	30	34	16	95
		% within Age	7.3%	11.5%	15.6%	15.7%	12.1%
Total	·	Count	205	262	218	102	787
		% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Statement closest to own views on origin and development of human beings \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation

	-					Ideology scale				
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Total
Statement closest to own	Human beings have	Count	14	28	32	89	48	73	15	299
views on origin and development of human	developed over millions of years from less	% within Ideology scale	48.3%	43.8%	41.0%	41.2%	39.0%	37.2%	17.6%	37.8%
beings	Human beings have	Count	10	27	19	11	19	8	2	96
developed over millions of years from less	% within Ideology scale	34.5%	42.2%	24.4%	5.1%	15.4%	4.1%	2.4%	12.1%	
	God created human beings	Count	3	7	17	72	46	97	60	302
	pretty much in their present form a	% within Ideology scale	10.3%	10.9%	21.8%	33.3%	37.4%	49.5%	70.6%	38.2%
	Don't know	Count	2	2	10	44	10	18	8	94
		% within Ideology scale	6.9%	3.1%	12.8%	20.4%	8.1%	9.2%	9.4%	11.9%
Total		Count	29	64	78	216	123	196	85	791
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Statement closest to own views on origin and development of human beings \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

	-	-				7 point Pa	arty ID				
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Statement closest to own	Human beings have	Count	86	42	17	17	28	42	57	4	293
views on origin and development of human	developed over millions of years from less	% within 7 point Party ID	41.0%	46.2%	41.5%	37.0%	48.3%	38.5%	29.7%	66.7%	38.9%
beings	Human beings have	Count	45	11	7	9	2	9	13	0	96
	developed over millions of years from less	% within 7 point Party ID	21.4%	12.1%	17.1%	19.6%	3.4%	8.3%	6.8%	.0%	12.7%
	God created human beings	Count	57	24	14	15	21	42	108	2	283
	pretty much in their present form a	% within 7 point Party ID	27.1%	26.4%	34.1%	32.6%	36.2%	38.5%	56.3%	33.3%	37.6%

	Don't know	Count	22	14	3	5	7	16	14	0	81
		% within 7 point Party ID	10.5%	15.4%	7.3%	10.9%	12.1%	14.7%	7.3%	.0%	10.8%
Total		Count	210	91	41	46	58	109	192	6	753
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Statement closest to own views on origin and development of human beings \* Population density Crosstabulation

			38 47 15.6% 12.0% 6. 76 149		ty	
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
	Human beings have developed	Count	88	157	53	298
on origin and development of numan beings	over millions of years from less	% within Population density	36.2%	40.2%	33.3%	37.6%
numan beings	Human beings have developed over millions of years from less	Count	38	47	11	96
		% within Population density	15.6%	12.0%	6.9%	12.1%
	God created human beings	Count	76	149	76	301
	pretty much in their present form a	% within Population density	31.3%	38.1%	47.8%	38.0%
	Don't know	Count	41	38	19	98
		% within Population density	16.9%	9.7%	11.9%	12.4%
Total		Count	243	391	159	793
		% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Statement closest to own views on origin and development of human beings \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation

	-	-	Live	e in Houston, Dal	las-Fort Worth, S	an Antonio, or Au	stin	
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas.	Total
Statement closest to own views on origin and development of human beings	Human beings have developed over millions of years from less	Count % within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	55 35.0%	97 40.2%	-	34 39.1%	88 36.5%	299 37.7%
	Human beings have	Count	18	31	8	20	20	97

	developed over millions of years from less	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	11.5%	12.9%	11.8%	23.0%	8.3%	12.2%
	God created human beings		60	83	26	24	107	300
	pretty much in their present form a	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	38.2%	34.4%	38.2%	27.6%	44.4%	37.8%
	Don't know	Count	24	30	9	9	26	98
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	15.3%	12.4%	13.2%	10.3%	10.8%	12.3%
Total	-	Count	157	241	68	87	241	794
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Statement closest to own views on origin and development of human beings \* Education Crosstabulation

					Education				
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Statement closest to own	Human beings have	Count	9	77	83	14	79	38	300
views on origin and development of human	developed over millions of years from less	% within Education	50.0%	27.7%	35.9%	38.9%	47.0%	57.6%	37.6%
beings	Human beings have developed over millions of years from less	Count	0	24	31	6	23	12	96
		% within Education	.0%	8.6%	13.4%	16.7%	13.7%	18.2%	12.0%
	God created human beings	Count	7	132	90	12	51	9	301
	pretty much in their present form a	% within Education	38.9%	47.5%	39.0%	33.3%	30.4%	13.6%	37.8%
	Don't know	Count	2	45	27	4	15	7	100
		% within Education	11.1%	16.2%	11.7%	11.1%	8.9%	10.6%	12.5%
Total	<u> </u>	Count	18	278	231	36	168	66	797
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Statement closest to own views on origin and development of human beings \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation

				Frequency	attend religious se	ervices		
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
Statement closest to own	Human beings have	Count	31	54	47	105	62	299
views on origin and development of human beings	developed over millions of years from less	% within Frequency attend religious services	27.4%	32.0%	46.1%	45.3%	34.6%	37.6%
Human b develope	Human beings have	Count	2	4	5	31	54	96
	developed over millions of years from less	% within Frequency attend religious services	1.8%	2.4%	4.9%	13.4%	30.2%	12.1%
	God created human beings	Count	73	94	36	66	32	301
	pretty much in their present form a	% within Frequency attend religious services	64.6%	55.6%	35.3%	28.4%	17.9%	37.9%
	Don't know	Count	7	17	14	30	31	99
		% within Frequency attend religious services	6.2%	10.1%	13.7%	12.9%	17.3%	12.5%
Total	<u> </u>	Count	113	169	102	232	179	795
		% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Statement closest to own views on origin and development of human beings \* Race Crosstabulation

	-				R	ace			
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Total
Statement closest to own	Human beings have	Count	177	31	75	3	1	11	298
views on origin and development of human	developed over millions of years from less	% within Race	36.6%	28.2%	46.9%	33.3%	25.0%	39.3%	37.5%
beings	Human beings have	Count	62	9	19	3	0	4	97
	developed over millions of years from less	% within Race	12.8%	8.2%	11.9%	33.3%	.0%	14.3%	12.2%
	God created human beings		190	44	50	2	2	13	301
	pretty much in their present form a	% within Race	39.3%	40.0%	31.3%	22.2%	50.0%	46.4%	37.9%
	Don't know	Count	55	26	16	1	1	0	99
		% within Race	11.4%	23.6%	10.0%	11.1%	25.0%	.0%	12.5%
Total	•	Count	484	110	160	9	4	28	795
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Statement closest to own views on origin and development of human beings \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation

			Own or re	ent home	
			Own	Rent	Total
	Human beings have developed	Count	220	76	296
on origin and development of numan beings	over millions of years from less	% within Own or rent home	37.3%	38.2%	37.5%
	Human beings have developed	Count	71	24	95
	over millions of years from less	% within Own or rent home	12.0%	12.1%	12.0%
	God created human beings	Count	234	66	300
	pretty much in their present form a	% within Own or rent home	39.7%	33.2%	38.0%
	Don't know	Count	65	33	98
		% within Own or rent home	11.0%	16.6%	12.4%
Total		Count	590	199	789
		% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Statement closest to own views on origin and development of human beings \* Marital Status Crosstabulation

			_						
					Marit	al Status			
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	Total
Statement closest to own views on origin and development of human	Human beings have	Count	184	7	33	6	50	19	299
	developed over millions of years from less	% within Marital Status	38.2%	35.0%	33.3%	24.0%	38.8%	50.0%	37.7%
beings	Human beings have developed over millions of years from less	Count	47	2	16	2	23	6	96
		% within Marital Status	9.8%	10.0%	16.2%	8.0%	17.8%	15.8%	12.1%
	God created human beings	Count	202	5	35	13	38	7	300
	pretty much in their present form a	% within Marital Status	41.9%	25.0%	35.4%	52.0%	29.5%	18.4%	37.8%
	Don't know	Count	49	6	15	4	18	6	98
		% within Marital Status	10.2%	30.0%	15.2%	16.0%	14.0%	15.8%	12.4%
Total		Count	482	20	99	25	129	38	793

#### Statement closest to own views on origin and development of human beings \* Marital Status Crosstabulation

	- <del>-</del>	-			Marit	al Status			
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	Total
Statement closest to own	Human beings have	Count	184	7	33	6	50	19	299
views on origin and development of human	developed over millions of years from less	% within Marital Status	38.2%	35.0%	33.3%	24.0%	38.8%	50.0%	37.7%
beings	Human beings have developed over millions of years from less	Count	47	2	16	2	23	6	96
		% within Marital Status	9.8%	10.0%	16.2%	8.0%	17.8%	15.8%	12.1%
	God created human beings	Count	202	5	35	13	38	7	300
	pretty much in their present form a	% within Marital Status	41.9%	25.0%	35.4%	52.0%	29.5%	18.4%	37.8%
	Don't know	Count	49	6	15	4	18	6	98
		% within Marital Status	10.2%	30.0%	15.2%	16.0%	14.0%	15.8%	12.4%
Total	•	Count	482	20	99	25	129	38	793
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Statement closest to own views on origin and development of human beings \* Gender Crosstabulation

	-	-	Gen	der	
			Male	Female	Total
	Human beings have developed	Count	142	158	300
on origin and development of human beings	over millions of years from less	% within Gender	39.1%	36.5%	37.7%
numan benigs	Human beings have developed	Count	64	32	96
	over millions of years from less	% within Gender	17.6%	7.4%	12.1%
	God created human beings	Count	111	191	302
	pretty much in their present form a	% within Gender	30.6%	44.1%	37.9%
	Don't know	Count	46	52	98
		% within Gender	12.7%	12.0%	12.3%
Total		Count	363	433	796
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Statement closest to own views on origin and development of human beings \* Age Crosstabulation

	-	-		Age			
			60 and above	45-59	30-44	18-29	Total
	Human beings have developed	Count	78	89	86	38	291
human beings	over millions of years from less	% within Age	38.2%	34.0%	39.4%	38.8%	37.2%
	Human beings have developed over millions of years from less	Count	19	36	29	12	96
		% within Age	9.3%	13.7%	13.3%	12.2%	12.3%
	God created human beings	Count	82	101	80	36	299
	pretty much in their present form a	% within Age	40.2%	38.5%	36.7%	36.7%	38.2%
	Don't know	Count	25	36	23	12	96
		% within Age	12.3%	13.7%	10.6%	12.2%	12.3%
Total		Count	204	262	218	98	782
		% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Statement closest to own views on origin and development of life on earth \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation

	-	-	,			Ideology scale				
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Total
Statement closest to own	Existed in its present form	Count	1	4	8	43	21	62	34	173
development of life on earth Ev th	since the beginning of time	% within Ideology scale	3.6%	6.2%	10.5%	19.7%	17.2%	32.0%	40.0%	22.0%
	Evolved over time, entirely through "natural selection," wit	Count	10	29	25	18	23	7	3	115
		% within Ideology scale	35.7%	44.6%	32.9%	8.3%	18.9%	3.6%	3.5%	14.6%
	Evolved over time, entirely	Count	15	28	40	116	72	108	43	422
	through "natural selection," but	% within Ideology scale	53.6%	43.1%	52.6%	53.2%	59.0%	55.7%	50.6%	53.6%
	Don't know	Count	2	4	3	41	6	17	5	78
		% within Ideology scale	7.1%	6.2%	3.9%	18.8%	4.9%	8.8%	5.9%	9.9%
Total		Count	28	65	76	218	122	194	85	788
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Statement closest to own views on origin and development of life on earth \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

	-					7 point Pa	arty ID				
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Statement closest to own	Existed in its present form	Count	30	13	9	12	11	21	67	1	164
views on origin and development of life on earth	since the beginning of time	% within 7 point Party ID	14.1%	14.6%	22.5%	26.7%	19.0%	19.3%	34.7%	20.0%	21.8%
	Evolved over time, entirely	Count	52	15	9	9	3	11	13	0	112
	through "natural selection," wit	% within 7 point Party ID	24.4%	16.9%	22.5%	20.0%	5.2%	10.1%	6.7%	.0%	14.9%
	Evolved over time, entirely	Count	108	51	20	20	39	66	101	4	409
	through "natural selection," but	% within 7 point Party ID	50.7%	57.3%	50.0%	44.4%	67.2%	60.6%	52.3%	80.0%	54.4%
	Don't know	Count	23	10	2	4	5	11	12	0	67
		% within 7 point Party ID	10.8%	11.2%	5.0%	8.9%	8.6%	10.1%	6.2%	.0%	8.9%
Total		Count	213	89	40	45	58	109	193	5	752
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Statement closest to own views on origin and development of life on earth \* Population density Crosstabulation

	-	-	P	opulation densi	ty	
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Statement closest to own views		Count	45	85	46	176
ife on earth Evolved over time,	the beginning of time	% within Population density	18.4%	21.6%	29.1%	22.1%
	Evolved over time, entirely	Count	48	57	12	117
	nrough "natural selection," wit	% within Population density	19.6%	14.5%	7.6%	14.7%
	Evolved over time, entirely	Count	125	212	84	421
	through "natural selection," but	% within Population density	51.0%	53.9%	53.2%	52.9%
	Don't know	Count	27	39	16	82
		% within Population density	11.0%	9.9%	10.1%	10.3%
Total		Count	245	393	158	796
		% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Statement closest to own views on origin and development of life on earth \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation

Live in Houston, Dallas-Fo	ort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Total
----------------------------	---

			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas.	
Statement closest to own	Existed in its present form	Count	40	41	25	6	63	175
views on origin and development of life on earth	since the beginning of time	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	25.3%	16.9%	36.2%	7.1%	26.3%	22.0%
	Evolved over time, entirely	Count	22	40	7	22	25	116
	through "natural selection, wit	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	13.9%	16.5%	10.1%	25.9%	10.4%	14.6%
	Evolved over time, entirely	Count	81	137	28	47	130	423
	through "natural selection," but	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	51.3%	56.4%	40.6%	55.3%	54.2%	53.2%
	Don't know	Count	15	25	9	10	22	81
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	9.5%	10.3%	13.0%	11.8%	9.2%	10.2%
Total		Count	158	243	69	85	240	795
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Statement closest to own views on origin and development of life on earth \* Education Crosstabulation

	-	-			Education	l			
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Statement closest to own views on origin and development of life on earth	Existed in its present form	Count	6	77	44	8	37	5	177
	since the beginning of time	% within Education	31.6%	27.5%	19.0%	22.2%	22.2%	7.6%	22.2%
	Evolved over time, entirely through "natural selection," wit	Count	0	31	37	6	28	14	116
		% within Education	.0%	11.1%	16.0%	16.7%	16.8%	21.2%	14.5%
	Evolved over time, entirely	Count	11	133	129	19	92	41	425
through "natural but	through "natural selection," but	% within Education	57.9%	47.5%	55.8%	52.8%	55.1%	62.1%	53.2%
	Don't know	Count	2	39	21	3	10	6	81

	% within Education	10.5%	13.9%	9.1%	8.3%	6.0%	9.1%	10.1%
Total	Count	19	280	231	36	167	66	799
	% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Statement closest to own views on origin and development of life on earth \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation

				Frequency	attend religious s	ervices		
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total
Statement closest to own	Existed in its present form	Count	52	59	15	36	14	176
views on origin and development of life on earth	since the beginning of time	% within Frequency attend religious services	46.4%	34.9%	14.3%	15.7%	7.8%	22.1%
	Evolved over time, entirely through "natural selection," wit	Count	0	4	9	37	66	116
		% within Frequency attend religious services	.0%	2.4%	8.6%	16.1%	36.7%	14.6%
	Evolved over time, entirely	Count	52	92	67	134	77	422
	through "natural selection," but	% within Frequency attend religious services	46.4%	54.4%	63.8%	58.3%	42.8%	53.0%
	Don't know	Count	8	14	14	23	23	82
		% within Frequency attend religious services	7.1%	8.3%	13.3%	10.0%	12.8%	10.3%
Total	•	Count	112	169	105	230	180	796
		% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Statement closest to own views on origin and development of life on earth \* Race Crosstabulation

	-				R	ace			
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Total
Statement closest to own	Existed in its present form	Count	104	29	33	2	3	6	177
views on origin and development of life on earth	since the beginning of time	% within Race	21.4%	26.6%	20.6%	22.2%	75.0%	21.4%	22.2%
	Evolved over time, entirely	Count	74	10	21	4	0	7	116
	through "natural selection," wit	% within Race	15.2%	9.2%	13.1%	44.4%	.0%	25.0%	14.6%

	Evolved over time, entirely through "natural selection," but	Count % within Race	261 53.7%	50 45.9%		-	0 .0%	15 53.6%	421 52.9%
	Don't know	Count	47	20	14	0	1	0	82
		% within Race	9.7%	18.3%	8.8%	.0%	25.0%	.0%	10.3%
Total	-	Count	486	109	160	9	4	28	796
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Statement closest to own views on origin and development of life on earth \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation

			Own or re	ent home	
			Own	Rent	Total
	Existed in its present form since	Count	140	37	177
on origin and development of life on earth	the beginning of time	% within Own or rent home	23.6%	18.6%	22.3%
	Evolved over time, entirely	Count	85	29	114
	through "natural selection," wit	% within Own or rent home	14.3%	14.6%	14.4%
	Evolved over time, entirely	Count	313	106	419
	through "natural selection," but	% within Own or rent home	52.8%	53.3%	52.9%
	Don't know	Count	55	27	82
		% within Own or rent home	9.3%	13.6%	10.4%
Total		Count	593	199	792
		% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Statement closest to own views on origin and development of life on earth \* Marital Status Crosstabulation

	-	-	Marital Status						
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	Total
Statement closest to own	Existed in its present form since the beginning of time	Count	121	5	19	7	17	6	175
views on origin and development of life on earth		% within Marital Status	25.1%	26.3%	19.0%	28.0%	13.1%	16.2%	22.1%
	Evolved over time, entirely	Count	52	2	17	2	33	9	115
through "natural selection," wit	% within Marital Status	10.8%	10.5%	17.0%	8.0%	25.4%	24.3%	14.5%	

	Evolved over time, entirely through "natural selection," but	Count % within Marital Status	266 55.2%	_	50 50.0%	12 48.0%	68 52.3%		421 53.1%
	Don't know	Count	43	6	14	4	12	3	82
		% within Marital Status	8.9%	31.6%	14.0%	16.0%	9.2%	8.1%	10.3%
Total		Count	482	19	100	25	130	37	793
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Statement closest to own views on origin and development of life on earth \* Gender Crosstabulation

			Gen	der	
			Male	26.1% 6 40 9.2% 9 235 53.8% 4 48	Total
Statement closest to own views		Count	63	114	177
on origin and development of life on earth	the beginning of time	% within Gender	17.4%	26.1%	22.2%
ine on earth	Evolved over time, entirely	Count	76	40	116
	through "natural selection," wit	% within Gender	21.0%	9.2%	14.5%
	Evolved over time, entirely	Count	189	235	424
	through "natural selection," but	% within Gender	52.2%	53.8%	53.1%
	Don't know	Count	34	48	82
		% within Gender	9.4%	11.0%	10.3%
Total	•	Count	362	437	799
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Statement closest to own views on origin and development of life on earth \* Age Crosstabulation

	-			Age			
			60 and above	45-59	30-44	18-29	Total
	Existed in its present form since	Count	53	56	39	25	173
on origin and development of life on earth	the beginning of time	% within Age	25.7%	21.4%	17.8%	25.0%	22.0%
ine on carm	Evolved over time, entirely	Count	22	39	35	18	114
	through "natural selection," wit	% within Age	10.7%	14.9%	16.0%	18.0%	14.5%

Evolved over		110	134	128	45	417
through "natu	ral selection," but % within Age	53.4%	51.1%	58.4%	45.0%	53.0%
Don't know	Count	21	33	17	12	83
	% within Age	10.2%	12.6%	7.8%	12.0%	10.5%
Total	Count	206	262	219	100	787
	% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Human beings developed from earlier species of animals \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation

	_	-				Ideology scale				
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Total
Human beings developed	Agree	Count	15	48	39	69	48	45	10	274
from earlier species of animals		% within Ideology scale	55.6%	75.0%	50.0%	31.7%	39.0%	22.8%	11.6%	34.6%
	Disagree	Count	7	8	31	105	58	126	71	406
		% within Ideology scale	25.9%	12.5%	39.7%	48.2%	47.2%	64.0%	82.6%	51.2%
	Don't Know	Count	5	8	8	44	17	26	5	113
		% within Ideology scale	18.5%	12.5%	10.3%	20.2%	13.8%	13.2%	5.8%	14.2%
Total	<del>-</del>	Count	27	64	78	218	123	197	86	793
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Human beings developed from earlier species of animals \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

	-	-				7 point Pa	arty ID				
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Human beings developed	Agree	Count	99	38	23	17	15	37	37	0	266
from earlier species of animals		% within 7 point Party ID	46.5%	42.2%	56.1%	37.0%	25.4%	33.9%	19.1%	.0%	35.1%
	Disagree	Count	83	42	16	19	34	53	137	6	390
		% within 7 point Party ID	39.0%	46.7%	39.0%	41.3%	57.6%	48.6%	70.6%	100.0%	51.5%
	Don't Know	Count	31	10	2	10	10	19	20	0	102
		% within 7 point Party ID	14.6%	11.1%	4.9%	21.7%	16.9%	17.4%	10.3%	.0%	13.5%
Total		Count	213	90	41	46	59	109	194	6	758

#### Human beings developed from earlier species of animals \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

	-	-				7 point Pa	irty ID				
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Human beings developed	Agree	Count	99	38	23	17	15	37	37	0	266
from earlier species of animals		% within 7 point Party ID	46.5%	42.2%	56.1%	37.0%	25.4%	33.9%	19.1%	.0%	35.1%
	Disagree	Count	83	42	16	19	34	53	137	6	390
		% within 7 point Party ID	39.0%	46.7%	39.0%	41.3%	57.6%	48.6%	70.6%	100.0%	51.5%
	Don't Know	Count	31	10	2	10	10	19	20	0	102
		% within 7 point Party ID	14.6%	11.1%	4.9%	21.7%	16.9%	17.4%	10.3%	.0%	13.5%
Total	-	Count	213	90	41	46	59	109	194	6	758
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Human beings developed from earlier species of animals \* Population density Crosstabulation

	-	-	Po	opulation densi	ty	
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Human beings developed from	Agree	Count	99	138	39	276
earlier species of animals		% within Population density	40.2%	35.2%	24.5%	34.6%
	Disagree	Count	111	200	94	405
		% within Population density	45.1%	51.0%	59.1%	50.8%
	Don't Know	Count	36	54	26	116
		% within Population density	14.6%	13.8%	16.4%	14.6%
Total		Count	246	392	159	797
		% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Human beings developed from earlier species of animals \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation

		-	L	ive in Houston, Da	ıllas-Fort Worth, Sa	n Antonio, or Austi	in	
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	No, I live in another part of Texas.	Total
Human beings developed	Agree	Count	51	93	26	39	67	276

from earlier species of animals	_	% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	32.1%	38.1%	38.2%	44.8%	27.8%	34.5%
	Disagree	Count	81	118	32	35	140	406
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	50.9%	48.4%	47.1%	40.2%	58.1%	50.8%
	Don't Know	Count	27	33	10	13	34	117
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	17.0%	13.5%	14.7%	14.9%	14.1%	14.6%
Total	<u> </u>	Count	159	244	68	87	241	799
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## Human beings developed from earlier species of animals \* Education Crosstabulation

	_	-			Education				
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Human beings developed	Agree	Count	1	66	77	13	76	43	276
from earlier species of animals		% within Education	5.6%	23.6%	33.2%	35.1%	45.8%	66.2%	34.6%
animais	Disagree	sagree Count	14	164	122	19	73	15	407
		% within Education	77.8%	58.6%	52.6%	51.4%	44.0%	23.1%	51.0%
	Don't Know	Count	3	50	33	5	17	7	115
		% within Education	16.7%	17.9%	14.2%	13.5%	10.2%	10.8%	14.4%
Total		Count	18	280	232	37	166	65	798
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Human beings developed from earlier species of animals \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation

Frequency attend religious services	Total
-------------------------------------	-------

			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	
Human beings developed	Agree	Count	15	29	28	103	101	276
from earlier species of animals		% within Frequency attend religious services	13.3%	17.2%	26.7%	44.6%	56.1%	34.6%
	Disagree	Count	88	123	56	93	47	407
	% within Fre	% within Frequency attend religious services	77.9%	72.8%	53.3%	40.3%	26.1%	51.0%
	Don't Know	Count	10	17	21	35	32	115
		% within Frequency attend religious services	8.8%	10.1%	20.0%	15.2%	17.8%	14.4%
Total	-	Count	113	169	105	231	180	798
		% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Human beings developed from earlier species of animals \* Race Crosstabulation

	-	-			R	ace			
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Total
Human beings developed from earlier species of animals	Agree	Count	168	21	69	8	1	8	275
		% within Race	34.4%	19.1%	43.4%	88.9%	25.0%	29.6%	34.5%
	Disagree	Count	257	63	65	1	3	17	406
		% within Race	52.7%	57.3%	40.9%	11.1%	75.0%	63.0%	50.9%
	Don't Know	Count	63	26	25	0	0	2	116
		% within Race	12.9%	23.6%	15.7%	.0%	.0%	7.4%	14.6%
Total		Count	488	110	159	9	4	27	797
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Human beings developed from earlier species of animals \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation

g accompanies operation of a second of a s		
•	Own or rent home	Total

			Own	Rent	
Human beings developed from	Agree	Count	209	66	275
earlier species of animals		% within Own or rent home	35.1%	33.0%	34.6%
	Disagree	Count	313	90	403
		% within Own or rent home	52.6%	45.0%	50.7%
	Don't Know	Count	73	44	117
		% within Own or rent home	12.3%	22.0%	14.7%
Total	•	Count	595	200	795
		% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Human beings developed from earlier species of animals \* Marital Status Crosstabulation

	-	-			Marit	al Status			
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	Total
Human beings developed	Agree	Count	156	6	25	8	60	20	275
from earlier species of animals		% within Marital Status	32.2%	31.6%	25.0%	32.0%	46.5%	52.6%	34.6%
animais	Disagree	Count	265	4	60	15	48	12	404
		% within Marital Status	54.8%	21.1%	60.0%	60.0%	37.2%	31.6%	50.8%
	Don't Know	Count	63	9	15	2	21	6	116
		% within Marital Status	13.0%	47.4%	15.0%	8.0%	16.3%	15.8%	14.6%
Total		Count	484	19	100	25	129	38	795
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Human beings developed from earlier species of animals \* Gender Crosstabulation

	-	-	Gen	der	
			Male	Female	Total
Human beings developed from	Agree	Count	155	121	276
earlier species of animals		% within Gender	42.8%	27.7%	34.5%

	Disagree	Count	156	251	407
		% within Gender	43.1%	57.4%	50.9%
	Don't Know	Count	51	65	116
		% within Gender	14.1%	14.9%	14.5%
Total		Count	362	437	799
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Human beings developed from earlier species of animals \* Age Crosstabulation

	-	-		Age			
			60 and above	45-59	30-44	18-29	Total
Human beings developed from earlier species of animals	Agree	Count	63	77	91	37	268
		% within Age	30.6%	29.4%	41.7%	37.0%	34.1%
	Disagree	Count	120	147	91	44	402
		% within Age	58.3%	56.1%	41.7%	44.0%	51.1%
	Don't Know	Count	23	38	36	19	116
		% within Age	11.2%	14.5%	16.5%	19.0%	14.8%
Total	-	Count	206	262	218	100	786
		% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Earliest humans lived at same time as dinosaurs \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation

	_										
				Ideology scale							
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Total	
Earliest humans lived at	Agree	Count	4	19	22	76	33	53	28	235	
same time as dinosaurs		% within Ideology scale	14.8%	29.7%	28.2%	34.9%	27.0%	27.0%	32.6%	29.7%	
	Disagree	Count	18	30	33	64	62	85	31	323	
		% within Ideology scale	66.7%	46.9%	42.3%	29.4%	50.8%	43.4%	36.0%	40.8%	
	Don't Know	Count	5	15	23	78	27	58	27	233	
		% within Ideology scale	18.5%	23.4%	29.5%	35.8%	22.1%	29.6%	31.4%	29.5%	

Total	Count	27	64	78	218	122	196	86	791
	% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

#### Earliest humans lived at same time as dinosaurs \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

	<u>-</u>					7 point Pa	rty ID				
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Earliest humans lived at	Agree	Count	47	40	13	8	19	36	58	4	225
same time as dinosaurs		% within 7 point Party ID	22.2%	44.0%	31.0%	17.4%	32.8%	33.0%	29.9%	66.7%	29.7%
	Disagree	Count	98	23	17	26	21	48	84	0	317
		% within 7 point Party ID	46.2%	25.3%	40.5%	56.5%	36.2%	44.0%	43.3%	.0%	41.8%
	Don't Know	Count	67	28	12	12	18	25	52	2	216
		% within 7 point Party ID	31.6%	30.8%	28.6%	26.1%	31.0%	22.9%	26.8%	33.3%	28.5%
Total		Count	212	91	42	46	58	109	194	6	758
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Earliest humans lived at same time as dinosaurs \* Population density Crosstabulation

		•	Po	opulation densi	ty	
			Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Earliest humans lived at same	Agree	Count	74	106	56	236
time as dinosaurs		% within Population density	30.3%	27.0%	35.2%	29.7%
	Disagree	Count	93	175	57	325
		% within Population density	38.1%	44.6%	35.8%	40.9%
	Don't Know	Count	77	111	46	234
		% within Population density	31.6%	28.3%	28.9%	29.4%
Total		Count	244	392	159	795
		% within Population density	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Earliest humans lived at same time as dinosaurs \* Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin Crosstabulation

			Li	Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin						
			Yes, I live in the Houston area.	Yes, I live the Dallas-Fort Worth area	Yes, I live in the San Antonio area	Yes, I live in the Austin area	No, I live in another part of Texas.	Total		
Earliest humans lived at	Agree	Count	50	73	19	11	83	236		
same time as dinosaurs		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	31.4%	29.9%	27.9%	12.8%	34.6%	29.6%		
	Disagree	Count	65	102	31	52	76	326		
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	40.9%	41.8%	45.6%	60.5%	31.7%	40.9%		
	Don't Know	Count	44	69	18	23	81	235		
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	27.7%	28.3%	26.5%	26.7%	33.8%	29.5%		
Total	·	Count	159	244	68	86	240	797		
		% within Live in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, San Antonio, or Austin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		

# Earliest humans lived at same time as dinosaurs \* Education Crosstabulation

			Education						
			No HS	High school graduate	Some college	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Earliest humans lived at same time as dinosaurs	Agree	Count	1	102	64	13	39	17	236
		% within Education	5.6%	36.7%	27.6%	36.1%	23.5%	25.8%	29.6%
	Disagree	Count	6	82	102	14	81	40	325
		% within Education	33.3%	29.5%	44.0%	38.9%	48.8%	60.6%	40.8%
	Don't Know	Count	11	94	66	9	46	9	235
		% within Education	61.1%	33.8%	28.4%	25.0%	27.7%	13.6%	29.5%
Total		Count	18	278	232	36	166	66	796
		% within Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Earliest humans lived at same time as dinosaurs \* Frequency attend religious services Crosstabulation

				Frequency attend religious services					
			More than once a week	Once a week	A few times a month	Once or twice a year	Never	Total	
Earliest humans lived at same time as dinosaurs	Agree	Count	37	57	26	74	42	236	
		% within Frequency attend religious services	32.7%	33.5%	24.8%	32.2%	23.6%	29.6%	
	Disagree	Count	42	64	40	93	86	325	
		% within Frequency attend religious services	37.2%	37.6%	38.1%	40.4%	48.3%	40.8%	
	Don't Know	Count	34	49	39	63	50	235	
		% within Frequency attend religious services	30.1%	28.8%	37.1%	27.4%	28.1%	29.5%	
Total	<u>-</u>	Count	113	170	105	230	178	796	
		% within Frequency attend religious services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

### Earliest humans lived at same time as dinosaurs \* Race Crosstabulation

				Race						
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Total	
Earliest humans lived at same time as dinosaurs	Agree	Count	131	38	52	4	2	10	237	
		% within Race	26.9%	34.5%	32.7%	44.4%	66.7%	35.7%	29.8%	
	Disagree	Count	203	34	68	4	1	14	324	
		% within Race	41.7%	30.9%	42.8%	44.4%	33.3%	50.0%	40.7%	
	Don't Know	Count	153	38	39	1	0	4	235	
		% within Race	31.4%	34.5%	24.5%	11.1%	.0%	14.3%	29.5%	
Total	- <del>-</del>	Count	487	110	159	9	3	28	796	
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

#### Earliest humans lived at same time as dinosaurs \* Own or rent home Crosstabulation

	_	-	Own or re		
			Own	Rent	Total
Earliest humans lived at same time as dinosaurs	Agree	Count	176	57	233
		% within Own or rent home	29.6%	28.6%	29.4%
	Disagree	Count	249	76	325
		% within Own or rent home	41.9%	38.2%	41.0%
	Don't Know	Count	169	66	235
		% within Own or rent home	28.5%	33.2%	29.6%
Total		Count	594	199	793
		% within Own or rent home	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

### Earliest humans lived at same time as dinosaurs \* Marital Status Crosstabulation

				Marital Status						
			Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic partnership	Total	
Earliest humans lived at same time as dinosaurs	Agree	Count	152	4	40	5	26	9	236	
		% within Marital Status	31.4%	21.1%	40.0%	20.8%	20.2%	23.1%	29.7%	
	Disagree	Count	204	4	23	8	69	16	324	
		% within Marital Status	42.1%	21.1%	23.0%	33.3%	53.5%	41.0%	40.8%	
	Don't Know	Count	128	11	37	11	34	14	235	
		% within Marital Status	26.4%	57.9%	37.0%	45.8%	26.4%	35.9%	29.6%	
Total	-	Count	484	19	100	24	129	39	795	
		% within Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

#### Earliest humans lived at same time as dinosaurs \* Gender Crosstabulation

Gender Total
--------------

			Male	Female	
Earliest humans lived at same time as dinosaurs	Agree Count		102	136	238
		% within Gender	28.2%	31.1%	29.8%
	Disagree Count		171	154	325
		% within Gender	47.2%	35.2%	40.7%
	Don't Know	Count	89	147	236
		% within Gender	24.6%	33.6%	29.5%
Total	-	Count	362	437	799
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

# Earliest humans lived at same time as dinosaurs \* Age Crosstabulation

		-		Age			
			60 and above	45-59	30-44	18-29	Total
Earliest humans lived at same time as dinosaurs	Agree	Count	57	82	69	22	230
		% within Age	27.8%	31.4%	31.7%	22.0%	29.3%
	Disagree	Count	75	100	95	50	320
		% within Age	36.6%	38.3%	43.6%	50.0%	40.8%
	Don't Know	Count	73	79	54	28	234
		% within Age	35.6%	30.3%	24.8%	28.0%	29.8%
Total	-	Count	205	261	218	100	784
		% within Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%